

January 9, 2007

<h1 style="font-size: 4em; transform: rotate(-15deg); opacity: 0.5;">Agenda</h1>	<p><b>January 9, 2007</b>  <b>10:00 AM – 12:00 PM</b></p> <p><b>MTC</b>          101 Eighth Street          Oakland, CA 94607          Contact: Raymond Odunlami          (510) 817-5799</p>
--	--

**Meeting called by:** Rachel Falsetti  
**Facilitator:** Abhijit Bagde  
**Recorder/Time Keeper:** Tracy Hendrickson

**Agenda Topics**

Item	Description	Time	Presenter
1	Topics/Agenda/Introductions	10:00	Abhijit Bagde
2	Ground Rules	10:05	Abhijit Bagde
3	Approval of the 11/28/2006 CFPG meeting minutes	10:10	Abhijit Bagde
4	Announcements and updates	10:15	All
5	<p><b>Follow-Up Items from last meeting:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. MPOs to send response to survey regarding their schedule on amending projects funded from recently approved transportation bond – See item 8 below.</li> <li>2. FHWA to send information on the safety projects. – Item completed.</li> <li>3. Provide information on proposed Stewardship Agreement between Caltrans and FHWA – See item 12 below.</li> <li>4. Send comments on the FHWA Resource Center Financial Template to Steve Luxenberg.</li> </ol>	10:20	CT/FHWA/MPO
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• SAFETEA-LU letter (Handout)</li> <li>• Addition of fourth year in CTIPS in order to comply with SAFETEA-LU</li> </ul>	10:25	CT/FHWA
7	SAFETEA-LU Gap Analyses by MPO	10:35	Rachel Falsetti
8	CMIA/STIP augmentation MPO amendment schedule	10:45	Rachel Falsetti
9	Infrastructure Bond and its impact on FTIPs - Update	10:55	Rachel Falsetti
10	CMAQ annual report and federal register	11:00	Cathy Gomes

11	E-76 authorization requirement	11:05	Rachel Falsetti										
12	Stewardship Agreement between Caltrans and FHWA	11:15	Rachel Falsetti										
13	FASS implementation query	11:25	Abhijit / Rachel										
14	Status of legislative clean-up to SAFETEA-LU	11:35	Sookyung Kim										
15	Open Forum	11:45	All										
16	Follow-up Items	11:50	Abhijit Bagde										
17	<p>Meeting dates and locations for 2007:</p> <table border="0"> <thead> <tr> <th><u>Date</u></th> <th><u>Place</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>February 20</td> <td>Caltrans, Sacramento</td> </tr> <tr> <td>April 3</td> <td>FHWA, Sacramento</td> </tr> <tr> <td>May 15</td> <td>SACOG</td> </tr> <tr> <td>June 26</td> <td>Caltrans, Sacramento</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	February 20	Caltrans, Sacramento	April 3	FHWA, Sacramento	May 15	SACOG	June 26	Caltrans, Sacramento	11:55	All
<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>												
February 20	Caltrans, Sacramento												
April 3	FHWA, Sacramento												
May 15	SACOG												
June 26	Caltrans, Sacramento												

**CALIFORNIA FEDERAL PROGRAMMING GROUP (CFPG)  
MEETING MINUTES – January 9, 2007**

The CFPG meeting was held at the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) in Oakland from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 a.m.

**1. Topics/Agenda/Introduction:**

The meeting started with the self-introduction of attendees.

**2. Ground Rules:**

Abhijit Bagde, Caltrans, Federal Programming, went over the ground rules for the meeting:

- Since there are phone participants, everyone who speaks should state his/her name and agency.
- Keep comments as brief as possible.
- Stick to the current agenda item. Additional items not in the agenda will be added to the end and will be discussed if time permits.
- Turn off cell phones and limit interruptions.
- This is a forum to hear everyone's concerns, comments and suggestions. Please make sure your voice is heard.
- Facilitator to ask before moving on to the next item if anyone on the phone has any additional comments on the item, then pause for a few seconds.
- Respond to follow-up items and meeting notices by the deadlines.
- Except for follow-up items, the minutes will include discussions that take place during the meeting only. If you do not want what you say during the meeting included in the minutes, state "off the record."
- When not speaking, phone participants to keep their phones on mute if possible.

**3. Approval of November 28, 2006 CFPG Meeting Minutes:**

The meeting minutes for November 28, 2006, were approved with no changes.

**4. Announcements and updates:**

Rachel Falsetti, Caltrans, Federal Programming, announced she will be leaving Caltrans. Update: Rachel Falsetti will not be leaving at this time.

**5. Follow-up items from prior meeting:**

- 1) This item was discussed in item eight.
- 2) The recent changes in program requirements regarding Safety Project information was received from Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and has been distributed to the CFPG.
- 3) This item was discussed in item 12.
- 4) Steve Luxenberg, FHWA, stated comments were received from MTC. Rachel was concerned over how the template was put together and states further discussion might be needed

**6. Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) letter / Addition of fourth year in California Transportation Improvement Program System (CTIPS) in order to comply with SAFETEA-LU:**

Rachel Falsetti went over a couple of the major issues in the letter from FHWA regarding SAFETEA-LU compliant Federal Transportation Improvement Programs (FTIPs) and Federal Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (FSTIP). The Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) need to know what must be done between now and July 1, 2007 in order to be SAFETEA-LU compliant.

- After July 1, 2007, FHWA and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) will only be able to approve formal amendments on the SAFETEA-LU compliant FTIPs.
- If the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the FSTIP are not SAFETEA-LU compliant by July 1, 2007, the MPOs and the Department of Transportation (Department) may continue to advance projects from the adopted FTIPs and only administrative amendments can be made to the TIPs.
- FHWA indicated that one of the key compliance issues is to add a fourth year of programming to the FTIPs and FSTIP prior to July 1, 2007.
- Abhijit Bagde informed the group that the fourth year can be added to CTIPS in order to comply with SAFETEA-LU. This will be achieved by highlighting the first four years in CTIPS. He also stated that the Federal Programming office will evaluate current CTIPS generated reports and the reports will be modified to include the fourth year if required.
- Garth Hopkins, Caltrans, Transportation Planning, stated a letter was sent to MPOs/Regional Transportation Planning Agencies (RTPAs) requesting specific information for FHWA's request for the Gap Analysis and requested that the information be provided by Wednesday January 17, 2007. Rachel Falsetti asked MPOs to include Caltrans in discussions with FHWA/FTA on Gap Analysis, and also advised MPOs to process RTP amendments before July 1, 2007, if required.

**7. SAFETEA-LU Gap Analyses by MPO:**

This section was discussed in item six.

**8. Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA)/State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Augmentation MPO Amendment:**

Rachel Falsetti stated MPOs must be cognizant of the July 1, 2007, SAFTEA-LU compliance date when amending projects into the program. As such, it is important to process amendments to add any projects from the transportation bonds prior to July 1, 2007.

Rachel indicated the CMIA projects will be adopted by the California Transportation Commission (CTC) on February 28, 2007. She also informed the CFPG of other transportation bond programs such as the Trade Corridors Improvement Fund (TCIF), State Route 99 Corridor and Seismic Retrofit Bond. She stated that the TCIF, as well as other bond program funds will likely need more legislation.

For programming projects funded from the STIP Augmentation program, MPOs may program projects up to their CTC estimated county shares. The State Route 99 Corridor projects can be programmed in the FTIPs if the regions have identified the projects that will be funded from this program. For CMIA projects, the MPOs can only program projects which are part of the CTC adopted list on February 28, 2007.

Eric Bost, Caltrans, Local Assistance, announced the Highway Bridge Program list that includes funding for Proposition 1B will be released during the week of January 15, 2007.

**9. Infrastructure Bond and its impact on FTIPs - Update:**

This item was combined with item eight above.

**10. Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality (CMAQ) Annual Report & Federal Register:**

Cathy Gomes, Caltrans, Federal Programming, stated that only 20 percent of obligated funds have been reported and those funds should reconcile with the amount that Cathy has sent out. Cathy stated the submission was overdue and requested the required information be submitted by Friday, January 12, 2007. Cathy will be scheduling two phone conferences on the changes to the CMAQ Guidelines and requested you notify her if you are willing to participate in the conference.

AASHTO will also coordinate a response to the docket for interim CMAQ guidance.

## **11. E-76 Authorization Requirement:**

The letter on the requirements was sent to the CFPG. Rachel Falsetti went over a couple of the requirements.

- CTIPS FTIP/FSTIP ID or MPO FTIP ID and page # and any appropriate grouped project listing;
- PM 2.5 conformity determination if NEPA was approved prior to April 2006 (applies only to impacted areas);
- Copy of the emissions benefits calculation (applies only to CMAQ projects);
- RTP project number and page number.

The group was concerned over the RTP project number and page number, and stated that some of this information could create logistical problems as some of the information is already available. Wade Hobbs, FHWA, stated that as long as FHWA could find the project in the RTP with ease they do not have a problem. It is only when they cannot locate the project that a page number might be relevant.

Rachel Falsetti will look into whether the E-76 letter is still a draft.

## **12. Stewardship Agreement between Caltrans and FHWA:**

Rachel Falsetti discussed performance measures related to FTIP/FSTIP from the proposed Stewardship Agreement between Caltrans and FHWA. One of the performance measures requires reporting the number of projects from a previous FSTIP cycle that has been delivered per MPO. Steve Luxenberg, FHWA, stated that the estimate might need to occur more often than two or four years, and maybe once a year would be better.

Another concern was the performance measures in regards to the number of FTIP/FSTIP amendments. Ross Mckeown, MTC, expressed concern over the value and the complexity of compliance for this measure.

## **13. FTIP Automated Submittal System (FASS) implementation query:**

Abhijit Bagde informed the group that FASS is ready to be implemented in CTIPS and will ask the MPOs who use CTIPS exclusively to develop their FTIPs, if they are interested in implementation of FASS.

Wade Hobbs, FHWA, stated that although there were glitches when tested he thought there were benefits such as helping in reconciling and maintaining data consistency with MPO board approvals.

## **14. Status of legislative clean-up to SAFETEA-LU:**

Sookyung Kim was not available so this item will be discussed at the next CFPG meeting.

### **15. Open Forum:**

Olin Woods asked if the February 20, 2007, and the June 26, 2007, CFPG meetings could be rescheduled due to conflicts with previous engagements. Abhijit stated that it may not be possible to reschedule the February 20 meeting, but will check if the June 26 meeting can be rescheduled.

A discussion took place on whether there will be an end to the FHWA full oversight of the E-76 process. Wade Hobbs, FHWA, sees no changes in the near future.

### **16. Follow-Up Item:**

- |  |                |
|--|----------------|
| 1. MPOs to send comments on Gap Analysis to Garth Hopkins. | 1/07/07        |
| 2. CMAQ Annual Report submittal.                           | ASAP           |
| 3. Abhijit to send out FASS survey.                        | ASAP           |
| 4. FHWA to provide E-76 sampling results.                  | When Available |

### **17. Next Meeting Information:**

The next CFPG meeting will be held on Tuesday, February 20, 2007 at the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in Sacramento. (Cancelled)

Rescheduled Date: Tuesday, April 3, 2007, at FHWA in Sacramento.



**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

CALIFORNIA DIVISION

650 Capitol Mall, Suite 4-100

Sacramento, CA. 95814

January 5, 2007

IN REPLY REFER TO  
HDA-CA  
Document # S49934

Mr. Will Kempton, Director  
California Department of Transportation  
1120 N Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Attention: Federal Programming Office, Room 4400  
Sharon Scherzinger, Office of Regional and Interagency Planning

Dear Mr. Kempton:

SUBJECT: FSTIP/TIP Amendments On and After July 1, 2007

There are two major issues facing the State of California and it's Metropolitan Planning Organization's (MPOs) in the coming months regarding their ability to amend transportation programs: the July 1, 2007 implementation schedule for the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) and the pending release of the new Emissions Factor (EMFAC2007) model by the California Air Resources Board (ARB) containing revised vehicle fleet information. The SAFETEA-LU implementation schedule impacts the ability of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to approve amendments to programs that do not comply with the provisions of SAFETEA-LU, while the EMFAC2007 release will impact FHWA/FTA's ability to approve conformity determinations started after the end of a six-month transitional period from the date of the final release.

SAFETEA-LU Implementation Deadline

On and after July 1, 2007, FHWA and FTA will take action on updated Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs) and the Federal-Statewide TIP that are compliant with SAFETEA-LU provisions, even if the MPO(s) or Caltrans have not yet produced new, SAFETEA-LU compliant Long-range Transportation Plans. If State and MPO Plans are making satisfactory progress towards compliance with the provisions of SAFETEA-LU on and after July 1<sup>st</sup>, the MPOs and Caltrans may make amendments to the SAFETEA-LU compliant FSTIP and TIPs that are consistent with those Plans. If TIPs and the FSTIP are not compliant with SAFETEA-LU provisions on and after July 1<sup>st</sup>, the MPOs and Caltrans may continue advancing projects from the adopted TIPs and FSTIP. However, only "administrative amendments" could be made to the TIP and FSTIP.

In our letter dated November 15, 2006, FHWA encouraged the MPOs and Caltrans to complete a SAFETEA-LU gap analysis of their current TIPs and Plans. FHWA encourages Caltrans and all MPOs to complete that gap analysis in order to amend their current FSTIP/TIPs prior to July 1, 2007 to add a fourth year of programming and any other changes identified through the gap analysis. On and after July 1<sup>st</sup> FHWA/FTA will take action on amendments to TIPs that are SAFETEA-LU compliant or amendments whose purpose is to bring the TIP into compliance. Since after July 1<sup>st</sup> FHWA/FTA will only be able to process TIP amendments that are consistent with Long-range Transportation Plans, FHWA also encourages the MPOs to process any amendments (including conformity determinations) to



their Plans identified as necessary through their gap analysis prior to that date. In order to amend Plans after July 1<sup>st</sup>, they must be SAFETEA-LU compliant.

#### EMFAC 2007 Final Release

The ARB initially planned to release EMFAC2007 for use in state implementation plan (SIP) development on November 1, 2006, but that release has been delayed and is currently anticipated in January 2007. The 2007 version of EMFAC contains updated vehicle fleet data. Therefore, beginning on the date the final version of the model is released, the FHWA, FTA and EPA are providing the MPOs with a six-month transitional period for using the new vehicle fleet data in conformity determinations. In order for FHWA and FTA to approve conformity determinations using the older fleet data, the emissions modeling for conformity purposes must be started before the end of this transitional period, expected to be in July 2007. Conformity determinations where the emissions modeling is started after the end of this transitional period must use the updated vehicle fleet data.

This requirement is based on the Clean Air Act, the Transportation Conformity Rule and joint EPA/FHWA guidance released in January of 2000 "*Use of Latest Planning Assumptions in Conformity Determinations*," which all require the use of latest available planning assumptions, including vehicle fleet data, in conformity determinations. According to the guidance, assumptions older than five years should be updated unless a valid technical justification is available. Upon the release of EMFAC2007, the Federal agencies will consider the new vehicle fleet data available for conformity purposes since it is available for SIP-development purposes. However, the Federal agencies have agreed to a six-month transitional period since the new data is not compatible with EMFAC2002.

If you have any questions, please contact Steven Luxenberg, FHWA, at (916) 498-5066 or [steve.luxenberg@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:steve.luxenberg@fhwa.dot.gov).

Sincerely,

*/s/ Steve Luxenberg*

For  
Gene K. Fong  
Division Administrator

cc:

FTA Region IX

Ray Sukys  
Ted Matley  
Jerry Wiggins  
Alex Smith  
Paul Page  
Lorraine Lerman  
Lucinda Eagle  
Hymie Luden

EPA Region IX

Karina O'Connor  
Ginger Vagenas  
John Kelly  
Rebecca Rosen

California Department of Transportation

Ross Chittenden  
Joan Sollenberger  
Rachel Falsetti  
Sharon Scherzinger  
Garth Hopkins  
Randy Steen  
Mike Brady

California Air Resources Board

Kurt Karperos  
Dennis Wade

sluxenberg/ac:

**Required document to provide FHWA for each E76 submittal  
(Updated after FHWA meeting on 11/29/06) -- DRAFT (12/6/06)**

The purpose of this process is to verify that FHWA project authorization meets Federal Aid Financing Requirements prior to obligation of Federal funds. This may include but is not limited to a review of Field Operations (Plan Specification and Estimate) requirements, Project Development & Environment (National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations), and Planning and Programming (Air Quality Conformity/CMAQ funding and Right of Way) requirements. This process is designed to ensure consistency and efficiency of operating procedures, ensure compliance with Federal laws and regulations and provide reasonable assurance of accurate and reliable financial statements.

The FHWA is requiring Caltrans to submit supporting documents as listed below for every request (E76) for Authorization (AAGR) or Agreement Modification (AMOD). Until further notice and to prevent delays to our E76 approvals, please send an email with supporting documents attached before or on the day that the E76 is transmitted. FHWA has committed to approve our requests within five days after they have full documentation. The documents should include the items listed in the table below for each type of request. If you feel more detail is needed, include other documents that you use to base your approval of the E76.

Until we develop a better tool, for each E76, send an email with all required documents attached to FHWA. It is very important to have: **FPN** followed by the 7 #s and **EA** for our tracking on the Subject Line of the email. The emails should be sent to:

[Brenda.Bryant@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:Brenda.Bryant@fhwa.dot.gov)  
[Jerilynn.Fogle@fhwa.dot.gov](mailto:Jerilynn.Fogle@fhwa.dot.gov)

Type of E76 Request	Documents				
	* Programming	Right of Way	Environmental	Engineering	Finance
Preliminary Engineering (PE) - AAGR	1(a,d)			4(b)	5(a)
Right of Way (R/W) - AAGR	1(a,b,d)	2(a,b,c,d)	3(a)	4(b)	5(a)
Construction (Con) - AAGR	1(a,b,d)	2(a,b,c,d)	3(a)	4(a,b)	5(a)
PE - AMOD				4(b)	5(a)
R/W - AMOD		2(a,b,c,d)		4(b)	5(a)
Con - AMOD				4(a,b)	5(a)
Final Voucher (FV) - AMOD		2(a,b,c,d)		4(a,b,c,d,e)	5(a)

\* Caltrans and FHWA need to agree on timing of compliance assurance for 1( c )

1) Programming:

- a) CTIPS FTIP/FSTIP ID or MPO FTIP ID and page # and any appropriate grouped project listing
- b) Only for impacted areas: PM 2.5 conformity determination if NEPA was approved prior to April 2006
- c) Only for CMAQ projects – Copy of the emissions benefits calculation
- d) RTP project number and page number

2) Right of Way (R/W)

- a) Up-to-date R/W Data Sheet (or) Description of R/W parcels and estimate on E76
- b) Utility Summary Sheet (or) Utility Relocation/Adjustments on E76
- c) Only if FHWA requests - R/W Maps or Plans (R/W project plans, Appraisal maps, etc)
- d) Only for Construction Authorization – R/W Certification

3) Environmental

- a) Valid and current approved NEPA per procedures in SER

4) Engineering

- a) Estimate: Type of estimate will depend on the type of request that matches E76. In addition, provide the following items if applicable:
  - 1) Only for Emergency Relief (ER) projects: Damage Assessment Form (DAF)
  - 2) Only if State/Local Furnished Materials and Expenses are used on SHS: Public Interest Finding (PIF)

**Required document to provide FHWA for each E76 submittal  
(Updated after FHWA meeting on 11/29/06) -- DRAFT (12/6/06)**

- 3) Only if Supplemental Work Items are used on SHS: Justification Memo/Letter
  - 4) Non-participating items
  - b) Only for Local Assistance Projects: Finance Letter
  - c) Listing of Contract Change Orders (CCOs)
  - d) Additional time and/or money, incidentals, w/cost justification
  - e) Claims
- 5) Finance
- a) Information on E76

## Caltrans/FHWA

### Stewardship Performance Measures Protocol Questionnaire

<b>Responsible Division:</b>	Transportation Programming
<b>Measure:</b>	Percentage of all projects delivered from the previous FSTIP; to be updated at the end of each FSTIP cycle. Note, the MPOs will report this information at the time they submit the new FTIP.
<b>Goal:</b>	80% (?)
<b>FHWA Concurrence:</b>	Yes
<b>FHWA Contact:</b>	Steve Luxenberg, Planning Team Leader, 498-5066

Information Availability	Now	Later (specify)	October 2008
<b>Reporting Cycle</b>	Annual at:	Quarterly at:	Other (specify): Every submittal of a new FSTIP.
<b>Type of Measure:</b>	Performance: (yes/no)	Compliance: (yes/no)	Performance
<b>Data Source:</b>	MPOs for TIPs and Trans Prog for rural non-MPO TIP		

**Concerns/Comments:**

It should be noted that program is allowed to be programmed up to the apportionment level thus some over programming is allowed and 100% can not be achieved. In addition, not sure how to handle projects which are added during the FTIP cycle as new funds become available. This will need to be further discussed at the time of reporting.

**Caltrans/FHWA  
Stewardship Performance Measures Protocol Questionnaire**

<b>Responsible Division:</b>	Transportation Programming
<b>Measure:</b>	<b>Number of FSTIP/FTIP amendments: a measure that tracks the effectiveness and efficiency of the programming process, and provides a measure of the relationship between MPOs and their project sponsors.</b>
<b>Goal:</b>	N/A
<b>FHWA Concurrence:</b>	Yes
<b>FHWA Contact:</b>	Steve Luxenberg, Planning Team Leader, 498-5066

<b>Information Availability</b>	Now	Later (specify)	October 2008
<b>Reporting Cycle</b>	Annual at:	Quarterly at:	Other (specify): Every submittal of a new FSTIP.
<b>Type of Measure:</b>	Performance: (yes/no)	Compliance: (yes/no)	Performance
<b>Data Source:</b>	Caltrans Federal Transportation Program Office (FSTIP Office)		

**Concerns/Comments:**

This measure focuses more on the program issue of whether the program is functioning as it should. It is supposed to measure the effectiveness of the MPOs relationship with partner agencies. The results are to be discussed at the every three year MPO planning certification meetings. This measure is really a measure for the performance of the MPOs not Caltrans.

## Caltrans/FHWA

### Stewardship Performance Measures Protocol Questionnaire

<b>Responsible Division:</b>	Transportation Programming
<b>Measure:</b>	<b>Percent of formal amendments approved by CT FSTIP office and sent to FHWA within 4 weeks of receipt from MPO.</b>
<b>Goal:</b>	95%
<b>FHWA Concurrence:</b>	No – not discussed yet.
<b>FHWA Contact:</b>	Steve Luxenberg, Planning Team Leader, 498-5066

<b>Information Availability</b>		Now	
<b>Reporting Cycle</b>		Quarterly at: coincide with delivery report.	
<b>Type of Measure:</b>		Performance	
<b>Data Source:</b>	Caltrans Federal Transportation Program Office (FSTIP Office)		

**Concerns/Comments:**

Not discussed yet with FHWA thought this seems a reasonable performance measure. Quarterly may be too often to report.

## Caltrans/FHWA

### Stewardship Performance Measures Protocol Questionnaire

<b>Responsible Division:</b>	Transportation Programming
<b>Measure:</b>	Percent of formal amendments approved by FHWA within 4 weeks of receipt from Caltrans.
<b>Goal:</b>	95%
<b>FHWA Concurrence:</b>	No – not discussed yet.
<b>FHWA Contact:</b>	Steve Luxenberg, Planning Team Leader, 498-5066

<b>Information Availability</b>		Now	
<b>Reporting Cycle</b>		Quarterly at: coincide with delivery report.	
<b>Type of Measure:</b>		Performance	
<b>Data Source:</b>	Caltrans Federal Transportation Program Office (FSTIP Office)		

**Concerns/Comments:**

Not discussed yet with FHWA thought this seems a reasonable performance measure. Quarterly may be too often to report.

**Caltrans/FHWA  
Stewardship Performance Measures Protocol Questionnaire**

<b>Responsible Division:</b>	Transportation Programming
<b>Measure:</b>	Kilograms of pollutants removed per CMAQ dollar. This will be reported using information from the CMAQ annual report that is submitted in January of each year.
<b>Goal:</b>	Not sure at this time.
<b>FHWA Concurrence:</b>	Yes
<b>FHWA Contact:</b>	Steve Luxenberg, Planning Team Leader, 498-5066

<b>Information Availability</b>	Later		
<b>Reporting Cycle</b>	Annually at: January	Quarterly at:	
<b>Type of Measure:</b>	Performance		
<b>Data Source:</b>	Caltrans Federal Transportation Program Office (FSTIP Office) – CMAQ annual report		

**Concerns/Comments:**

Not sure at this time what this measure will generate. Once the number is calculated it will be possible to determine the usefulness of this measure.