

**Clarifications No. 4, November 2, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

| RFC No. | Class | Document | Section                                       | Clarification   | Response  |
|---------|-------|----------|---|---|---|
| 67      | 4     | ITP      | Appendix B, 3.4, Page 33                      | <p>“One copy of Form 5 must be executed by each of the Proposer, each Principal/Major Participant and any proposed non-exempt Subcontractors. Form 5 should be duplicated and executed by the Proposer, Principal/Major Participants and proposed non-exempt Subcontractors. “</p> <p>Question: We have not been able to find a definition for “non-exempt” Subcontractors. Please provide a definition for “non-exempt” Subcontractors.</p>  | <p>This should read “One copy of Form 5 must be executed by each of the Proposer, each Principal/Major Participant and any proposed Subcontractors. Form 5 should be duplicated and executed by the Proposer, Principal/Major Participants and proposed Subcontractors.” See Addendum No. 4</p> |
| 68      | 4     | ITP      | Appendix B, 3.4 pg. 33 and Appendix F, Form 5 | <p>“One copy of Form 5 must be executed by each of the Proposer, each Principal/Major Participant and any proposed <u>non-exempt</u> Subcontractors. “ Form 5: Equal Employment Opportunity Certification states: [<i>To be executed by the Proposer, Key Personnel, Principal/Major Participants, proposed Subconsultants and proposed Subcontractors</i>]. Form 5 does NOT mention “non-exempt” Subcontractors and asks us to submit one each for all proposed Subconsultants and Subcontractors. Question: Which is correct? Do we submit a Form 5 for every proposed Subconsultant and Subcontractor or just for “non-exempt” Subcontractors?</p> | <p>A Form 5 shall be submitted for each Subcontractor and Subconsultant. See Addendum No. 4.</p>  |
| 69      | 3     | Book 2   | 4.4.1.3                                       | <p>The RFP makes reference to new parcels to be required for the project. Are new parcels in the context referring to parcels currently being required by the Department or is this referring to any additional parcels being proposed by the design builder?</p>   | <p>All new parcels in this context are referring to additional parcels needed for the Project beyond what the Department has already identified and shown in Exhibit 7-A.</p>   |
| 70      | 2     | Book 2   | 4.4.1.3.10                                    | <p>Aerially Deposited Lead - This section states that the Design-Builder is to bid various ADL Types with varying requirements for disposal. Without a reference ADL Report, the quantities of the various ADL Types cannot be determined nor can they be bid accurately. We would suggest that this work be bid as a provisional sum unless an ADL Report can be provided.</p>   | <p>No ADL was encountered on I-10 and Dalewood Street under the ongoing construction. However, there is a potential for ADL to be present on I-605. The Department will be providing an Allowance provision for ADL in Addendum No. 5.</p>  |

**Clarifications No. 4, November 2, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

| <b>RFC No.</b> | <b>Class</b> | <b>Document</b> | <b>Section</b>     | <b>Clarification</b>   | <b>Response</b>  |
|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 71             | 3            | Book 2          | Exhibit 11B & RID  | The preliminary design plans in the advisory design exception shows a profile for the direct connector with a minimum clearance of 15' over Athol Street with a down grade of -6.258%. If the minimum clearance is raised to 16'-6" per Addendum #2 would that require an increase in the non-standard down slope and a revision to the advisory design exception?                                 | Yes, a new advisory design exception will be required. Addendum #3 revised the minimum vertical clearance to 16' over Athol Street.  |
| 72             | 4            | Book 2          | 13.3.1.6           | Proposal in advance planning study assumes two stage construction. Please clarify.   | The APS is provided for reference only. The APS assumed two stages in construction but the Design-Builder is allowed to stage the Project as needed providing that they follow the Lane Closure Chart. |
| 73             | 1            | Book 2          | 14.4.3             | For the plant establishment (Type 2), we request the plant establishment period start upon completion of the planting work and allow the plant establishment period to go beyond the 480 working days allowed for in the contract. We need all the work space we can get for the construction of the bridge, connectors and roadways and need to put the landscape in near the end of the project. | The Plant Establishment period will begin upon completion of the planting work and may extend beyond the Substantial Completion Deadline.  |
| 74             | 3            | Book 2          | 16.2.4             | States "Preliminary Engineering Documents... show ... a preliminary design." Could not find. Please make available.  | This is referring to the Conceptual Plans and other Preliminary Design Documents contained in the RID.   |
| 75             | 3            | Book 2,         | 16.3.7.3, Pg. 16-5 | Lighting Under Structures – Please confirm that the requirement for understructure lighting only applies to the new flyover structure  | Understructure lighting is not required. Section 16.3.7.3 has been deleted by Addendum No. 4.  |
| 76             | 3            | Book 2,         | 16.3.7.3, Pg. 16-5 | Lighting Under Structures – The width of the proposed flyover is less than 50' wide and as high as 60' over I-10. Please confirm that a 4 foot-candle requirement under the entire length of the structure is required.  | Understructure lighting is not required. Section 16.3.7.3 has been deleted by Addendum No. 4.  |

**Clarifications No. 4, November 2, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

| RFC No. | Class | Document | Section         | Clarification  | Response   |
|---------|-------|----------|-----------------|--|--|
| 77      | 2     | Book 2,  | 17.3.2          | General requirements (for ITS) (Page 17-4) – The requirement indicates that the "ITS design shall provide for fiber-optic communications, real-time National Television System Committee (NTSC) closed circuit television (CCTV) surveillance, operations data collection (loop detection), and motorist information features along the entire corridor on I-605 from cable node at I-210 and I-605 to San Gabriel Hub." Please confirm that this requirement only applies to maintaining the existing ITS equipment in operation for the duration of this project and that it does not require additional fiber optic conduit or hardware to be installed along I-605 between the Cable Node at I-210/605 to the San Gabriel Hub. | Confirmed that this requirement applies to maintaining the existing ITS communication system. Any element such as CCTV, HAR, Loop Detection, Conduit and Fiber Optic that requires replacement or relocation due to the impact of the construction must be completed in such a way as to ensure the communication system is working for the duration of the Project. |
| 78      | 3     | Book 2   | 17.3.4.1.1,     | Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Camera (Page 17-6) – Confirm intent of first sentence "The Design- Builder shall furnish and install CCTV hardware at locations." Contract EA07-1170714, currently under construction, is installing a new 45' CCTV camera (SB315) KP 50.3 on WB I-10 at exit to NB 605, a new 45' CCTV camera (SB322) on WB I-10 at Baldwin Park Blvd entrance ramp and modifying the existing CCTV camera (SB311) on EB I-10 at 605 exit ramps. A separate plan set also shows an existing CCTV camera (SG203) PM 20.3 on SB 605 at exit ramps to I-10 that will need to be relocated.  | Within the Project limits, only one CCTV (SG203) PM20.3 may need to be relocated. Other CCTVs are out of Project limits.   |
| 79      | 4     | Book 2   | 18.2.3, Pg 18-2 | Second bullet point in this section states "A Traffic Management Plan Checklist completed under the direction of the Traffic Engineering Manager. See attachment 18-A." We cannot find Attachment 18-A in the RFP documents. Please provide Attachment 18-A per the referenced section. Exhibit 18-A is the Lane Closure Charts.   | The Traffic Management Plan Checklist is Exhibit 18-B. This has been provided with Addendum No. 4.   |
| 80      | 3     | Book 2   | 22              | Exhibit 22A mentions swales/detention basin/Gross Solids Removal Devices. Please clarify what is required.   | BMPs are an option based on the SWDR. However, due to limited area to construct BMPs, they are not required.   |

**Clarifications No. 4, November 2, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

| RFC No. | Class | Document | Section                              | Clarification  | Response   |
|---------|-------|----------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| 81      | 3     | Book 2   | 23.2.4                               | "Connector metering shown in preliminary engineering plan" mentioned. Could not find. Please make available.   | This Section is referring to the Conceptual Plans and other Preliminary Design Documents contained in the RID. There is no connector metering shown. |
| 82      | 3     | RID      | Conceptual Plans, Sheet PS-3 and L-2 | The OG (original ground) shown in Profile "SW-C" line on Sheet PS-3 does not match the contours shown on Sheet L-2 or in the electronic Microstation file provided. It appears that the OG on the Profile "SW-C" Line should be significantly lower. Please advise as to which OG to use in bidding the project. | Sheet PS-3 and Sheet L-2 are provided for reference only.  |