Table of Contents

Table of Contents xix
Volume II xx
Volume III xx
Volume IV xx
List of Figures xxi
List of Tables xxiii
List of Abbreviated Terms xxv
Chapter 1 Proposed Project 1
1.1 Introduction 1
1.2 Purpose and Need 7
1.2.1 Purpose 7
1.2.2 Need 8
1.3 Alternatives 12
1.3.1 Build Alternatives 12
1.3.2 No-Build Alternative 24
1.3.3 Transportation System Management and Transportation Demand Management Alternative 24
1.3.4 Comparison of Alternatives 24
1.3.5 Identification of the Preferred Alternative 29
1.3.6 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Discussion Prior to Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment 30
Chapter 2 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures 39
2.1 Human Environment 40
2.1.1 Land Use 40
2.1.1.1 Existing and Future Land Use 40
2.1.1.2 Consistency with State, Regional and Local Plans 50
2.1.1.3 Coastal Zone 63
2.1.1.4 Parks and Recreation 67
2.1.2 Growth 72
2.1.3 Community Impacts 81
2.1.3.1 Community Character and Cohesion 81
2.1.3.2 Environmental Justice 98
2.1.4 Utilities/Emergency Services 100
2.1.5 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities 101
2.1.6 Visual/Aesthetics 132
2.1.7 Cultural Resources 243
2.2 Physical Environment 259
2.2.1 Hydrology and Floodplain 259
2.2.2 Water Quality and Storm Water Runoff 266
2.2.3 Geology/Soils/Seismic/Topography 296
2.2.4 Paleontology 299
2.2.5 Hazardous Waste or Materials 303
2.2.6 Air Quality 313

2.2.7 Noise 330
2.3 Biological Environment 403
2.3.1 Natural Communities 403
2.3.2 Wetlands and Other Waters 408
2.3.3 Animal Species 442
2.3.4 Threatened and Endangered Species 447
2.3.5 Invasive Species 458
2.4 Construction Impacts 459
2.5 Cumulative Impacts 487
Chapter 3 California Environmental Quality Act Evaluation 517
3.1 Determining Significance under the California Environmental Quality Act 517
3.2 Discussion of Significant Impacts 518
3.2.1 No Effects 518
3.2.2 Less than Significant Effects of the Proposed Project 519
3.2.3 Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Project 520
3.2.4 Mandatory Findings of Significance 521
3.2.5 Unavoidable Significant Environmental Effects 521
3.2.6 Climate Change under the California Environmental Quality Act 522
3.3 Mitigation Measures for Unavoidable Significant Impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act 557
Chapter 4 Comments and Coordination 561
Chapter 5 List of Preparers 581
Chapter 6 Distribution List 585
List of Technical Studies Bound Separately 589

Appendices (bound separately)

Volume II

Appendix A - CEQA Checklist
Appendix B - Resources Evaluated Relative to the Requirements of Section 4(f)
Appendix C - Title VI Policy Statement
Appendix D - State Historic Preservation Officer Correspondence
Appendix E - Floodplain Mapping
Appendix F - Minimization and/or Mitigation Summary
Appendix G - Potential Paleontological Sensitive Areas
Appendix H - Biological Coordination
Appendix I - Evaluation of Montecito Association's Proposal
Appendix J - Left-Side Ramps Fact Sheet
Appendix K - Director’s Letter to SBCAG Board and Response

Volume III

Appendix L - Project Mapping

Volume IV

Appendix M - Comments and Responses

List of Figures

Figure 1-1 U.S. 101 Corridor Projects—Mussel Shoals to the City of Santa Barbara 5
Figure 1-2 U.S. 101 Corridor Traffic Volume Summary 9
Figure 1-3 Distribution of Daily Traffic on U.S. 101 in the Project Limits 11
Figure 1-4 Project Vicinity Map 15
Figure 1-5 Project Location Map 16
Figure 1-6 Schematic of 5 Configurations for the Proposed Cabrillo Boulevard Interchange 23
Figure 1-7 Comparison of Alternatives in Similar Locations 27
Figure 2-1 Existing Land Uses 43
Figure 2-2 County Bicycle Routes 57
Figure 2-3 Parks and Recreation Facilities 69
Figure 2-4 Constrained Analysis Results for 2040 74
Figure 2-5 Residential Analysis Zones 77
Figure 2-6 Employment Analysis Zones/Centroids 79
Figure 2-7 Socioeconomic Study Area 83
Figure 2-8 Future Conditions for Evaluated Intersections – Peak Hour Level of Service117
Figure 2-9 Observer Viewpoint Location Map 143
Figure 2-10 Observer Viewpoint Location Map 145
Figure 2-11 Observer Viewpoint Location Map 147
Figure 2-12 Existing Configuration of U.S. 101-Cabrillo Boulevard Interchange 206
Figure 2-13 Conceptual Image of Interchange Configuration F 207
Figure 2-14 Conceptual Image of Interchange Configuration F Modified 208
Figure 2-15 Conceptual Image of Interchange Configuration J 209
Figure 2-16 Conceptual Image of Interchange Configuration M 210
Figure 2-17 Conceptual Image of Interchange Configuration M Modified 211
Figure 2-18 South Coast Hydrologic Unit 273
Figure 2-19 Toro Creek Flooding, Circa 1971 279
Figure 2-20 Preliminary Site Investigation—Potential Contamination Locations 307
Figure 2-21 Typical Noise Levels 332
Figure 2-22 Proposed Soundwall Locations 357
Figure 2-23 Proposed Soundwall Locations 359
Figure 2-24 Proposed Soundwall Locations 361
Figure 2-25 Proposed Soundwall Locations 363
Figure 2-26 Proposed Soundwall Locations 365
Figure 2-27 Proposed Soundwall Locations 367
Figure 2-28 Proposed Soundwall Locations 369
Figure 2-29 Proposed Soundwall Locations 371
Figure 2-30 Proposed Soundwall Locations 373
Figure 2-31 Proposed Soundwall Locations 375
Figure 2-32 Proposed Soundwall Locations 377
Figure 2-33 Biological Study Area 421
Figure 2-34 Biological Study Area 423
Figure 2-35 Biological Study Area 425
Figure 2-36 Biological Study Area 427

Figure 2-37 Biological Study Area 429
Figure 2-38 Biological Study Area 431
Figure 2-39 Biological Study Area 433
Figure 2-40 Biological Study Area 435
Figure 3-1 California Greenhouse Gas Forecast 527
Figure 3-2 Possible Effect of Traffic Operation Strategies in Reducing CO_2 Emission 528
Figure 3-3 Cascade of Uncertainties 533
Figure 3-4 Mobility Pyramid 537
Figure 3-5 Sea Level Rise by 2100 549
Figure 3-6 Sea Level Rise by 2100 551
Figure 3-7 Sea Level Rise by 2100 553

List of Tables

Table S.1 Summary of Potential Impacts from Alternatives ix
Table S.2 Summary of Major Potential Impacts from Alternatives with Mitigation/Minimization Measures* xiii
Table 1.1 Forecast Travel Conditions on U.S. 101 (No-Build Alternative) 10
Table 1.2 Eliminated Cabrillo Boulevard Interchange Configurations 34
Table 1.3 Permits and Approval Required for Proposed Project 38
Table 2.1 Proposed Development 46
Table 2.2 Potential Policy Inconsistencies 62
Table 2.3 Park and Recreational Facilities 68
Table 2.4 Population, Employment, and Housing Forecast Santa Barbara County, 2010–2040 85
Table 2.5 Age Breakdown in the Study Area 87
Table 2.6 Ethnic Composition in the Study Area 89
Table 2.7 Median Household Income and Households Below Poverty Level 91
Table 2.8 Vacancy Rates in the Study Area 92
Table 2.9 Median Home Values 94
Table 2.10 Housing Characteristics 95
Table 2.11 Housing Occupancy 96
Table 2.12 Minority and Low-Income Populations 99
Table 2.13 U.S. 101 and Ramp Collision Data from October 1, 2006 to September 30, 2009 105
Table 2.14 Bikeway Classifications 107
Table 2.15 U.S. 101 Peak Direction Measure of Effectiveness Summary** 110
Table 2.16 Peak Hour Travel Speed (2040) 111
Table 2.17 Peak Hour Travel Time* (2040) 112
Table 2.18 Peak Period Vehicle Hours of Delay (2040) 113
Table 2.19 Person Hours of Delay during Peak Hour Travel Time (2040) 115
Table 2.20 Primary Intersection Level of Service Summary 129
Table 2.21 Observer Viewpoint Locations 141
Table 2.22 Visual Impact Ratings for Each Project Alternative 239
Table 2.23 Visual Impact Ratings for Each Cabrillo Boulevard Interchange Configuration 240
Table 2.24 303(d) Listed Water Bodies HSA 315.34 (2010 List) 276
Table 2.25 Transportation Infrastructure Operation Pollutant Sources and Pollutants (Permanent Impacts) 289
Table 2.26 Preliminary Locations for Biostrips and Bioswales 293
Table 2.27 Soil Excavation with the Potential to Encounter Paleontological Resources within Sensitive Geologic Units 300
Table 2.28 Risk Potential for Contamination 308
Table 2.29 Air Quality Table 317
Table 2.30 Federal and State Attainment Status 318
Table 2.31 Summary of Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data in Comparison to National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) 320
Table 2.32 Forecast Measure of Effectiveness Summary 321

Table 2.33 Pollutant Emission Estimates—Morning and Afternoon Peak..... 322
Table 2.34 Typical Pollutant Emission Estimates Related to Mobile Source Air Toxics325
Table 2.35 Activity Categories and Noise Abatement Criteria 331
Table 2.36 Predicted Future Noise Levels and Noise Barrier Analysis 337
Table 2.37 Soundwalls Recommended for Construction 382
Table 2.38 Riparian Impacts 405
Table 2.39 Impacts to Jurisdictional Wetlands (acres) 415
Table 2.40 Summary of Impacts to Other Waters of the U.S. (acres) 417
Table 2.41 Impacts to Other Waters of the U.S. at Creeks 438
Table 2.42 Compensatory Mitigation for Permanent Wetland Impacts 439
Table 2.43 Vibration Level and Intensity 462
Table 2.44 Construction Site Activities, Materials, and Associated Pollutants..... 466
Table 2.45 Impacts to Other Waters of the U.S. at Creeks for Preferred Alternative 467
Table 2.46 Estimated Construction Emissions (Vehicles)..... 470
Table 2.47 Construction Equipment Noise 472
Table 2.48 Minimum Safe Distance for Existing Structures 473
Table 2.49 Structures within Zones of Concern 473
Table 2.50 Potential Cumulative Project List 497
Table 2.51 2040 Cumulative-plus Traffic Conditions 505
Table 2.52 Comparison of the Net New Impervious Existing Impervious Area for Large Watersheds 511
(South Coast 101 HOV Lanes and 101 Rehabilitation Project) 511
Table 3.1 Estimated Carbon Dioxide Emissions in Tons per Year for Build and No-Build Alternatives..... 529
Table 3.2 Vehicle Fuel Economy—Required Miles Per Gallon by Year..... 531
Table 3.3 Climate Change/CO_2 Reduction Strategies 539
Table 3.4 Impacts to U.S. 101 from a 55-Inch Sea Level Rise..... 547
Table 3.5 Impacts to U.S. 101 from a 16-inch Sea Level Rise..... 555

List of Abbreviated Terms

Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
dBA	A-weighted decibels, the measurement of noise that best represents human perception
EA	Environmental Assessment (federal environmental document/NEPA compliance) and also used as Expenditure Authorization a Caltrans billing/project tracking code
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
HOV lane	high occupancy vehicle lane
LOSSAN	Los Angeles-San Diego-San Luis Obispo Rail Corridor Agency North Strategic Plan
ml	milliliters
MPN	most probable number
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
PM	post mile
PM10	particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (one micron is equal to one millionth of a meter)
PM2.5	particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter, considered to be fine particulate matter (one micron is equal to one-millionth of meter)
SBCAG	Santa Barbara County Association of Governments