

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

Office of Structural Materials

Quality Assurance and Source Inspection



Bay Area Branch
690 Walnut Ave. St. 150
Vallejo, CA 94592-1133
(707) 649-5453
(707) 649-5493

Contract #: 04-0120F4Cty: SF/ALA Rte: 80 PM: 13.2/13.9File #: 1.28**WELDING INSPECTION REPORT****Resident Engineer:** Casey, William**Address:** 333 Burma Road**City:** Oakland, CA 94607**Report No:** WIR-028684**Date Inspected:** 01-Nov-2012**Project Name:** SAS Superstructure**OSM Arrival Time:** 700**Prime Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**OSM Departure Time:** 1730**Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**Location:** Job Site**CWI Name:** As noted below.**CWI Present:** Yes No**Inspected CWI report:** Yes No N/A**Rod Oven in Use:** Yes No N/A**Electrode to specification:** Yes No N/A**Weld Procedures Followed:** Yes No N/A**Qualified Welders:** Yes No N/A**Verified Joint Fit-up:** Yes No N/A**Approved Drawings:** Yes No N/A**Approved WPS:** Yes No N/A**Delayed / Cancelled:** Yes No N/A**Bridge No:** 34-0006**Component:** Tower**Summary of Items Observed:**

Quality Assurance Inspector (QA) William Clifford was at the American Bridge/Fluor (ABF) job site at Yerba Buena Island in California between the times noted above in order to monitor Quality Control functions and the in process work being performed by ABF personnel. The following items were observed:

Ultrasonic Testing of ESW

ESW V, Face A:

This QA performed Ultrasonic Testing (UT) of Tower Electroslag Complete Joint Penetration (CJP) shear plate welds designated as "ESW V" on face A.

This weld was previously tested by QC Ultrasonic technicians in accordance with supplemental procedure SE-UT-D1.5-CT-108-ESW-R5.

Testing was performed to verify previous findings of transverse indications. This QA verified location, depth, and indication ratings for assigned indications at each joint.

The following indications were observed. Due to joint configuration and weld cap shape, indications observed as having a transverse orientation could not be evaluated for length or "X" location.

Y locations are recorded as:

Indication #1: Y= 9320mm

Sizing – A=76db, B= 51db, C= 7db, D= 13db

Sound Path= 110.0mm, Depth= 38.36mm

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 2 of 4)

Indication #2: Y= 9490mm

Sizing – A=80db, B= 51db, C= 9db, D= 19db

Sound Path= 140.2mm, Depth= 48.90mm

Indication #3: Y= 9600mm

Sizing – A=67db, B= 51db, C= 7db, D= 9db

X= 5mm, L= 35mm

Sound Path= 113.6mm, Depth= 39.62mm

ESW Q, Face A:

This QA performed Ultrasonic Testing (UT) of Tower Electroslag Complete Joint Penetration (CJP) shear plate welds designated as “ESW Q” on face A.

This weld was previously tested by QC Ultrasonic technicians in accordance with supplemental procedure SE-UT-D1.5-CT-108-ESW-R5.

Testing was performed to verify previous findings of transverse indications. This QA verified location, depth, and indication ratings for assigned indications at each joint.

The following indications were observed. Due to joint configuration and weld cap shape, indications observed as having a transverse orientation could not be evaluated for length or “X” location.

Y locations are recorded as:

Indication #1: Y= 6610mm

Sizing – A=70db, B= 54db, C= 5db, D= 11db

X= -12mm, L= 25mm

Sound Path= 81.86mm, Depth= 28.53mm

Indication #2: Y= 6585mm

Sizing – A=71db, B= 54db, C= 5db, D= 12db

X= -12mm, L= 25mm

Sound Path= 85.57mm, Depth= 29.82mm

Indication #3: Y= 6620mm

Sizing – A=81db, B= 54db, C= 6db, D= 22db

Sound Path= 96.19mm, Depth= 33.53mm

Indication #4: Y= 6585mm

Sizing – A=83db, B= 54db, C= 6db, D= 23db

Sound Path= 101.1mm, Depth= 35.27mm

Indication #5: Y= 6010mm

Sizing – A=83db, B= 54db, C= 6db, D= 22db

Sound Path= 102.7mm, Depth= 35.81mm

ESW P, Face A:

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 3 of 4)

This QA performed Ultrasonic Testing (UT) of Tower Electroslag Complete Joint Penetration (CJP) shear plate welds designated as "ESW P" on face A.

This weld was previously tested by QC Ultrasonic technicians in accordance with supplemental procedure SE-UT-D1.5-CT-108-ESW-R5.

Testing was performed to verify previous findings of transverse indications. This QA verified location, depth, and indication ratings for assigned indications at each joint.

The following indications were observed. Due to joint configuration and weld cap shape, indications observed as having a transverse orientation could not be evaluated for length or "X" location.

Y locations are recorded as:

Indication #1: Y= 5795mm

Sizing – A=80db, B= 51db, C= 8db, D= 21db

Sound Path= 123mm, Depth= 44mm

Indication #2: Y= 5705mm

Sizing – A=61db, B= 51db, C= 6db, D= 4db

X= 18mm, L= 45mm

Sound Path= 103.4mm, Depth= 36.06mm

Indication #3: Y= 5695mm

Sizing – A= 77db, B= 51db, C= 8db, D= 18db

Sound Path= 122mm, Depth= 44mm

Indication #4: Y= 5690mm

Sizing – A=72db, B= 51db, C= 6db, D= 5db

X= -8mm, L= 175mm

Sound Path= 106.3mm, Depth= 37.04mm

Indication #5: Y= 5370mm

Sizing – A=69db, B= 51db, C= 13db, D= 5db

X= -20mm, L= 35mm

Sound Path= 195.8mm, Depth= 68.27mm

Indication #6: Y= 5350mm

Sizing – A=76db, B= 51db, C= 15db, D= 10db

Sound Path= 212mm, Depth= 76mm

Indication #7: Y= 5315mm

Sizing – A=79db, B= 51db, C= 15db, D= 13b

Sound Path= 216mm, Depth= 77mm

This QA performed UT of welds designated as ESW V, ESW P and ESW Q in accordance with the approved supplemental procedure. This testing was performed in tandem with QC technician Andrew Keech. Tandem

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 4 of 4)

report for work performed on this date will be completed by QC technician and signed by both QA/QC parties. Items listed on tandem report reflect indications agreed upon by QA/QC. Due to QA/QC disagreement on indication interpretation, tandem report may not reflect all indications discovered by QA at time of testing.

Unless otherwise noted, all work observed on this date appeared to generally comply with applicable contract documents.

Summary of Conversations:

Conversation was relevant to testing performed during this shift.

Comments

This report is for the purpose of determining conformance with the contract documents and is not for the purpose of making repair or fit for purpose recommendations. Should you require recommendations concerning repairs or remedial efforts please contact Gary Thomas (916) 764-6027, who represents the Office of Structural Materials for your project.

| | | |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Inspected By: | Clifford,William | Quality Assurance Inspector |
| Reviewed By: | Reyes,Danny | QA Reviewer |
