

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

Office of Structural Materials

Quality Assurance and Source Inspection



Bay Area Branch
690 Walnut Ave. St. 150
Vallejo, CA 94592-1133
(707) 649-5453
(707) 649-5493

Contract #: 04-0120F4Cty: SF/ALA Rte: 80 PM: 13.2/13.9File #: 1.28**WELDING INSPECTION REPORT****Resident Engineer:** Siegenthaler, Peter**Address:** 333 Burma Road**City:** Oakland, CA 94607**Report No:** WIR-017459**Date Inspected:** 15-Oct-2010**Project Name:** SAS Superstructure**OSM Arrival Time:** 900**Prime Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**OSM Departure Time:** 1730**Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**Location:** Job Site**CWI Name:** See Below**CWI Present:** Yes No**Inspected CWI report:** Yes No N/A**Rod Oven in Use:** Yes No N/A**Electrode to specification:** Yes No N/A**Weld Procedures Followed:** Yes No N/A**Qualified Welders:** Yes No N/A**Verified Joint Fit-up:** Yes No N/A**Approved Drawings:** Yes No N/A**Approved WPS:** Yes No N/A**Delayed / Cancelled:** Yes No N/A**Bridge No:** 34-0006**Component:** Orthotropic Box Girders**Summary of Items Observed:**

At the start of the shift the Quality Assurance Inspector (QAI) traveled to the project site and observed the following work performed by American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises (AB/F) personnel at the locations noted below:

- A). Field Splice E6/E7
- B). Field Splice W7/W8
- C). Longitudinal Stiffeners

A). Field Splice E6/E7

The QAI observed the continued backgouging of the bottom plate field splice performed by the welders Rory Hogan and Jeremy Dolman. This work was performed on the "B" face of the weld joint identified as WN: 6E-7E-D1 and D2. The back gouging was performed utilizing the plasma arc cutting method.

The QAI also observed the QC inspector perform a visual inspection and a Magnetic Particle Test (MPT) of the side plate field splice identified as WN: 6E-7E-C1 and C2. At the conclusion of the inspection the QC inspector, Jesse Cayabyab, performed the MPT utilizing a Parker Contour Probe, DA-400. The testing was performed by Mr. Cayabyab utilizing the MT Procedure identified as SE-MT-D1.5-CT-100 Rev.4. The QC technician performed the required longitudinal and transverse technique during the testing. No rejectable indications were noted by the QC inspector.

Later in the shift, at approximately 1300, the QC inspector requested QAI to perform MPT verification of the side

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 2 of 4)

plate field splice.

B). Field Splice W7/W8

The QAI observed the excavations of the unacceptable discontinuities on the deck plate field splice identified as WN: 7E-8E-A3, repair cycle # 1, and the rejects identified as Flaw # 1, 2 and 3. The rejectable discontinuities were discovered during the Ultrasonic Testing (UT) performed by the QC technician, Tom Pasqualone and appeared to travel in the longitudinal direction of the weld axis. The excavation was performed by welding personnel Fred Kaddu ID-2188 utilizing a high cycle grinder to remove the defects and a rotary file to bring the excavated area into compliance with the Weld Procedure Specification (WPS) ABF-WPS-D15-1001 Repair, Rev. 0. At the conclusion of the excavation the QC inspector, Mr. Pasqualone, performed a visual inspection and a Magnetic Particle Test (MPT) of the areas and no rejectable indications were noted. At this time the welder commenced the repair welding utilizing the Shielded Metal Arc Welding (SMAW) process as per the WPS which was also utilized by the QC inspector to monitor the welding and to verify the DC welding parameters. The QC inspector verified the DC welding parameters as 135 amps and the minimum preheat temperature 40 degrees Celsius and the maximum interpass temperature of 230 degrees Celsius which appeared to comply with the contract documents. The 3.2 mm electrode was utilized with the welding performed in the flat (1G) position with the work in an approximately horizontal plane and the weld metal deposited from the upper side. Prior to the welding the QAI verified the dimensions of the excavations and were noted and recorded as follows; Flaw # 1, Y=3760 mm, L=135 mm and d=14 mm; Flaw #2, Y=4370 mm, L=150 mm and d=14 mm and Flaw # 3, Y=4720, L=175 mm and d=14mm. The welding and the QC inspection was completed during this shift.

Later in the shift, on the deck plate field splice identified as WN: 7E-8E-A4, the QAI verified the dimensions and the Y coordinate of the excavation. The dimensions of the excavation were observed and recorded as follows; Flaw #1, Y=1330 mm, L=180 mm and d=14 mm.

C). "A" Deck Longitudinal Stiffeners

The QAI observed the welder, Xiao Jian Wan ID-9677, perform the CJP groove welding on the longitudinal stiffener field splice identified as WN: 2E-PP13.5-E2-LS-West, located at the ventilation access hole. The welder utilized the SMAW process as per the Welding Procedure Specification (WPS) identified as ABF-WPS-D15-1012-3, Rev.0 and was also utilized by the QC inspector John Pagliero as a reference to monitor welding and verify the DC welding parameters. The amperage was recorded as 125 amps and the minimum preheat of 100 degrees Celsius and the maximum interpass temperature of 230 degrees Celsius was observed and verified. The CJP welding performed by the welder was completed during this scheduled shift.

The QAI also observed the welder, Hua Qiang Hwang ID-2930, performed the CJP groove welding on the longitudinal stiffener field splice identified as WN: 1E-PP10.5-E2-LS-West. The welder utilized the SMAW process as per the Welding Procedure Specification (WPS) identified as ABF-WPS-D15-1012-3, Rev.0 and was also utilized by the QC inspector John Pagliero as a reference to monitor the welding and verify the DC welding parameters. The amperage was recorded as 123 amps and the minimum preheat of 100 degrees Celsius and the maximum interpass temperature of 230 degrees Celsius was verified. The CJP welding performed by the welder was completed during this scheduled shift.

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 3 of 4)

The welding was performed in the vertical (3G) position with the work placed in an approximately vertical plane and the groove approximately vertical. The welder utilized a slag hammer, pneumatic air gun with an attached chisel and a wire wheel attached to a 4" high cycle grinder to remove slag after deposit of each fill pass. The electrodes were stored in electrically heated, thermostatically controlled oven after removal from sealed containers. The exposure limits of the electrodes identified as E9018-H4R and the minimum storage oven temperature of 250 degrees Celsius appeared to be in compliance with the contract documents. At the time of the observation no issues were noted by the QAI.


The QAI also observed that the preheat temperatures were achieved and maintained utilizing electric resistance heating bands for the entire length of the weld. The heaters were controlled by attached thermocouples.

QA Observation and Verification Summary

The QA inspector observed the QC activities and the welding of the field splices utilizing the WPS as noted above, which appeared to be posted at the weld station. The welding parameters and surface temperatures were verified by the QC inspector and utilizing a Fluke 337 clamp meter for the electrical welding parameters and a Fluke 63 IR Thermometer for verifying the preheat and interpass temperatures. The ESAB consumables utilized for the SMAW welding process appeared to comply with the AWS Specification and AWS Classification. The QC inspection, testing and welding performed on this shift appeared to be in general compliance with the contract documents. At random intervals, the QAI verified the QC inspection, testing, welding parameters and the surface temperatures utilizing various inspection equipment and gages which included a Fluke 337 Clamp Meter and Tempilstik Temperature indicators.

The QAI also performed a random Magnetic Particle Test of the Complete Joint Penetration (CJP) groove weld identified as WN: 6E-7E-C1 and C2. A total area of approximately 10% was tested to verify the weld and testing by QC meet the requirements of the contract documents. The examination was performed in the longitudinal and the transverse directions utilizing a Parker Contour Probe, DA-400. A MPT report TL-6028, was generated on this date.

The digital photographs below illustrate the work observed during this scheduled shift.



WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 4 of 4)

Summary of Conversations:

There were general conversations with Quality Control Inspector Bonifacio Daquinag, Jr. at the start of the shift regarding the location of American Bridge/Fluor welding, inspection and N.D.E. testing personnel scheduled for this shift.

Comments

This report is for the purpose of determining conformance with the contract documents and is not for the purpose of making repair or fit for purpose recommendations. Should you require recommendations concerning repairs or remedial efforts please contact Mohammad Fatemi (916) 813-3677, who represents the Office of Structural Materials for your project.

Inspected By:	Reyes,Danny	Quality Assurance Inspector
Reviewed By:	Levell,Bill	QA Reviewer
