

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DIVISION OF ENGINEERING SERVICES

Office of Structural Materials

Quality Assurance and Source Inspection



Bay Area Branch
690 Walnut Ave. St. 150
Vallejo, CA 94592-1133
(707) 649-5453
(707) 649-5493

Contract #: 04-0120F4Cty: SF/ALA Rte: 80 PM: 13.2/13.9File #: 1.28**WELDING INSPECTION REPORT****Resident Engineer:** Pursell, Gary**Address:** 333 Burma Road**City:** Oakland, CA 94607**Report No:** WIR-014501**Date Inspected:** 28-May-2010**Project Name:** SAS Superstructure**OSM Arrival Time:** 1100**Prime Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**OSM Departure Time:** 1930**Contractor:** American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises, a JV**Location:** Job Site**CWI Name:** See Below**CWI Present:** Yes No**Inspected CWI report:** Yes No N/A**Rod Oven in Use:** Yes No N/A**Electrode to specification:** Yes No N/A**Weld Procedures Followed:** Yes No N/A**Qualified Welders:** Yes No N/A**Verified Joint Fit-up:** Yes No N/A**Approved Drawings:** Yes No N/A**Approved WPS:** Yes No N/A**Delayed / Cancelled:** Yes No N/A**Bridge No:** 34-0006**Component:** Orthotropic Box Girders**Summary of Items Observed:**

At the start of the shift the Quality Assurance Inspector (QAI) traveled to the project site and observed the following work performed by American Bridge/Fluor Enterprises (AB/F) personnel at the locations noted below:

- A). Field Splice W1/W2
- B). Field Splice W3/W4

A). Field Splice W1/W2

The QAI observed the continued Ultrasonic Testing (UT) of the longitudinal stiffener field splices identified as WN: 1W-2W-D, stiffeners S1 through S3 and the testing was performed by the QC technician Tom Pasqualone utilizing a G.E./Krautkramer USM-35. During the testing of the CJP groove weld Mr. Pasqualone also utilized the UT Procedure identified as SE-UT-D1.5-CT-100 Rev.4. The QC technician performed the required longitudinal wave utilizing a 1" diameter transducer for base metal soundness and a .75 x .75 rectangular transducer to perform the shear wave technique during the testing for weld soundness. At the conclusion of this shift the QAI observed the QC technician has completed the testing of the two (2) CJP welds and noted and marked the longitudinal stiffener S1 as a UT reject and S3 as accepted.

The QAI also observed Chun Fai Tsui ID-3426 perform the excavation and weld repair of the items marked for repair as a result of the UT examination by the QC inspector on the stiffeners identified as S9. Also at the conclusion of the excavations the QC technician Jesse Cayabyab performed a Magnetic Particle Test (MPT) of the excavated areas and no rejectable indications were noted. The application and evaluation of the MPT appeared to

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 2 of 3)

comply with the MPT procedure identified as SE-MT-CT-D1.5-101 Rev. 4. The repair welding was performed utilizing the Shielded Metal Arc Welding (SMAW) process and 3.2mm electrode as per the Welding Procedure Specification (WPS) identified as ABF-WPS-1000 Repair Rev. 1. The WPS was also used by the QC inspector, Mr. Cayabyab as a reference to monitor and verify the Direct Current welding parameters and were noted as 112 amps. The welding was performed in the vertical position (3G) with the work positioned approximately in the vertical plane with the groove approximately vertical and the weld progression up.

Later in the shift, the QAI observed the initial Ultrasonic Testing (UT) of the transverse CJP weld of the side plate field splice identified as WN: 1W-2W-C. The testing was performed by the QC technician Steve McConnell utilizing a G.E./Krautkramer USM 35X. Mr. McConnell also utilized the UT Procedure identified as SE-UT-D1.5-CT-100 Rev.4 during the examination of the CJP. The QC technician performed the required longitudinal wave utilizing a 1" diameter transducer for base metal soundness and a .75 x .75 rectangular transducer to perform the shear wave testing during the testing for weld soundness. The testing was performed on the "A" face (inside) of the weld joint.

B). Field Splice W3/W4

The QAI observed the automatic Flux Cored Arc Welding (FCAW-G) on the "A" face of the weld joint identified as Weld Number (WN) 3W-4W-E. The welding was performed by welding personnel Song Tao Huang, ID-3794 utilizing the WPS ABF-D15-3042A-1 Rev. 0. The joint designation appeared to comply with AWS single-v-groove butt joint identified as B-U2a-G. The WPS was also used by the QC inspector Bernie Docena as a reference to monitor and verify the Direct Current Electrode Positive (DCEP) welding parameters which noted and recorded by the QAI as follows: 260 amps, 24.5 volts and a travel speed measured as 251mm per minute. The welding was performed in vertical position (3G) at an approximate incline of 22 degrees. The QAI inspector also verified the minimum preheat temperature of 60 degrees Celsius and the maximum interpass temperature of 230 degrees Celsius. Later during the shift the QAI observed, at random intervals, the QC inspector monitoring the in process welding, the surface temperatures and verifying the DCEP welding parameters.


QA Observation and Verification Summary

The QA inspector observed the QC activities and the welding of the field splices utilizing the WPS as noted above, which appeared to be posted at the weld station. The welding parameters and surface temperatures were verified by the QC inspector's and utilizing a Fluke 337 clamp meter for the electrical welding parameters and a Fluke 63 IR Thermometer for verifying the preheat and interpass temperatures. The ESAB consumables utilized for the SMAW and the FCAW-G process appeared to comply with the AWS Specification and AWS Classification. The QC inspection, testing and welding performed on this shift was not completed appeared to be in general compliance with the contract documents. At random intervals, the QAI verified the QC inspection, testing, welding parameters and the surface temperatures utilizing various inspection equipment and gages which included a Fluke 337 Clamp Meter and Tempilstik Temperature indicators.

The digital photographs, on page 3 of this report, illustrate the work observed during this scheduled shift.

WELDING INSPECTION REPORT

(Continued Page 3 of 3)



Summary of Conversations:

There were no pertinent conversations were discussed in regards to the project.

Comments

This report is for the purpose of determining conformance with the contract documents and is not for the purpose of making repair or fit for purpose recommendations. Should you require recommendations concerning repairs or remedial efforts please contact Mohammad Fatemi (916) 813-3677, who represents the Office of Structural Materials for your project.

Inspected By:	Reyes, Danny	Quality Assurance Inspector
Reviewed By:	Levell, Bill	QA Reviewer
