

Job Stamp
04-0120F4
04-SF-80-8.2/8.7

SFOBB East-Span
Seismic Retrofit
Project (SAS)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Rick Morrow's

Resident Engineer
(Field)

DAILY REPORT

Report No. 45.

Date: 9/25/06 – 9/29/06

Monday, September 25, 2006 – Vacation , 8 hrs

Tuesday, September 26, 2006- Overcast AM, clear PM

Checked in at working drawing campus. Stan Ku, Chris Havel, Pat Lowry, Mentol Sun, Lalit Mathur and George Baker in at 0800. Ron Matin moving into an office.

Attended ES Task Management meeting from 1000-1400 in Sacramento at Translab.

Wednesday, September 29, 2006 – Siegenthaler staff meeting at 0700. Received Dist vehicle under utilization report – 3 staff listed. One has left on rotation, one shows incorrect vehicle number, and the third did not have the vehicle for the entire period. Pete reminded us to update RMS. Also told to hold 11/15 and 16 for statewide emergency response meeting.

0800 – Core meeting with ABF. Most discussion was on how to handle partnering. All agreed that yesterday's Ventura presentation was not good. Pete discussed "team building".

Other discussion on timing of work in China – deferred until Thomas Nillson back next week. Also discussed CCO status, submission of subcontractor requests, and welding using Lincoln equip. for ribs and possible electroslog at tower base.

1000 – preliminary in house phone conference with Mazen on Hinge K pipe beam proposal to roll vs. forge. All was to prepare for the PM meeting.

1100- Meeting held at SAS trailer to hear from Walter Gatti on the plans for how Steel shop drawings are being prepared for submittal. Many general details and std. Weld details are planned. Shop drawings should start in mid October and continue at a rate of 20-30 sheets per week.

1300 – Teleconference with ABF and OIW on the proposal to roll the pipe beam fuses rather than forge. Their proposal also adds stainless cladding in lieu of weld bead application. Discussed need for added information especially details on Why we should allow this (technically not just to save them \$\$), details of the rolling procedure, need for a mock – up, and need for the Contractor/supplier to accept full risk for meeting requirements (to avoid similar issues from Skyway HPBs).

I sat in on a discussion at ABF with the designers (Marwan and James) where ABF asked questions on the sequence of work for the W2 stressing and pipe beam erection and voiced concerns over tolerances, especially where strands need to match up and be stressed into the OBG through the closure pour.

REC'D *09 JUN-17 #009863

Thursday, September 29, 2006 – Weather is still overcast in AM and clearing, high in 70s. RFI status meeting held in the afternoon. ABF questioned answers received on RFIs #131, 146R1, 178. Other RFIs mentioned were 208, 209, 199, 187. I asked if the due date for RFI #4 can be further moved to 10/10/06 and all agreed. I offered that we plan to reply to RFI #34 soon as we just received template sketches from KFM/KOS. RFC #13 discussed and question arose about angle size needed.

Friday, September 29, 2006 – Gary Purcell off today. Same weather as earlier in the week, but a few degrees cooler.