



STATE OF CALIFORNIA - DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ENGINEER'S DAILY REPORT

LAN Engineering Consultant

JK 6-6-08

REPORT NO.	537 {7-day}	DATE	June 02, 2008	<i>JK</i> T W T F S S (DAY)
NORMAL WORK HOUR:	START: 7:00AM	STOP: 3:30PM	WEATHER:	CLEAR SUNNY
LOCATION :	Construction Field Office :	333 Burma Road, Oakland 94607		
	Working Drawing Campus Office :	375 Burma Road, Oakland 94607		

04-SF-80-13.2/13.9
Contract No. 04-0120F4
{SAS Superstructure}

Caltrans Supervisor:
Gary Lai
Senior Bridge Engineer

Office Work:

- ❖ **Submittal Status Meeting (Caltrans – T.L.LIN – PB)**
 - Received the status of the TY, LIN and PB Shop Submittals.
 - Submitted an updated status of the PB responses to the submittals at the meeting.
 - Covered the Contractor Priority List for the submittals also.
- ❖ **MEP Shop Drawing Work.**
 - Updated the Priority submittal list.
 - Worked on the master penetration list (OBG – CCO59). Developing comprehensive lists of all the penetrations in the OBG for the processing of CCO # 59.
- ❖ **CCO # 42S1 Review and comments.**
 - Performed a final review and comment package for the CRM Meeting with the design group and the Contractor. Worked with Sandy Michelotti on the package.

Any questions or comments you can reach me at (916) 919-7158. My E-Mail address is Mike.Travis@LANEngineering.com or Michael_Travis@dot.ca.gov

END OF REPORT

Work hours 0530-1730 – 10 hours regular

Attachments:

1. **TEAM MEP 05-27-08 Meeting Recap**
2. **Email from Scott Fabel to Update of RFI's for CCO 42S1.**

SIGNATURE

Name

Michael F. Travis

TITLE

Electrical Engineer – LAN Engineering

REC'D *08 JUN-09 #005078



TEAM MEP MEETING
May 27, 2008 Meeting Recap
WDC Conference Room

1. OPEN GREETINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

- 1.1. The Usual Cordiality: How was your weekend? "Fine thanks." "It was too short!" "I ate too much!"
- 1.2. Vicarious Living: Did you go anywhere? Did you party?
- 1.3. Gripes: "Damn gas prices killed me!" "It took sooooo long to drive there!" "3 days was not enough!" "The sun barely came out!" "Yeah...work...whatever...I'm here physically anyway!"

2. RFI STATUS REVIEW AND EVALUATIONS

- 2.1. Requests for which ABF is awaiting response
 - 2.1.1. RFI # 734R2 (4/22/08) – Elevator General Arrangement
 - 2.1.1.1. no resolution or response ready; development mtg tentatively planned for this week

2.2. Requests returned since last meeting on 5/20

2.2.1. NOT APPLICABLE

2.3. RFI/CCO EVALUATIONS LEGEND

- (1 = NC = NO CHANGE)
- (2 = CCO = CALTRANS TO INCLUDE IN CHANGE ORDER)
- (3 = ? = STATUS UNRESOLVED; DEFER TO CORE GROUP FOR EVALUATION)
- (4 = OH = ON HOLD PENDING FURTHER REVIEW OR ADD'L INFO)

2.3.1. Recent (or on hold) RFI responses to be evaluated for CCO needs

RFI / EVALUATIONS	ABFJV	CALTRANS	FINAL	CCO #

2.4. Upcoming Requests

- 2.4.1. MEP-OBG coordination: misc attachments and penetrations
 - 2.4.1.1. cable rail post layout

3. SUBMITTALS

ATTACHMENT #1 (2/3)

3.1. MEP Submittals currently under review

3.1.1. NOT APPLICABLE

3.2. MEP Submittals returned since meeting on 5/20

3.2.1. NOT APPLICABLE

3.3. Upcoming Submittals

3.3.1. FWS working drawings (piping) – approximately 2 weeks out

3.3.1.1. preliminary review meeting was held last Thursday

3.3.1.1.1. The next resubmittal, # 112r4, will consist only of new drawings (showing pipe layouts) and others that have a Revise and Resubmit status; some drawings that were previously submitted will be entirely SUPERSEDED (numbers voided) and will be identified as such.

3.3.1.1.2. Approved as Noted drawings will not be resubmitted with this package.

3.3.1.1.3. A “bootleg” copy of all the current working drawings will be forwarded to CT and PB; they will be scanned with current FWS/ABF notes for correction; a “For Construction” set of drawings will be submitted after all major and minor review notes are addressed.

4. MISCELLANEOUS

4.1. Transmittal for sample light fixture, TRN # 1051, was sent on 5/22

4.2. Barrier-Deck-Utilities mock-up additions: Bleyco to add conduits and pull box

4.3. Revised CO 42S1 (draft) review set for next Tuesday, June 3rd

4.4. Bikepath pipe supports

4.4.1. possible conflict was identified by ABF; was it checked by any others?

4.4.2. it became apparent that clearances between railing gate and

4.5. Lighting for crossbeam at PP119 and related conduit penetrations

4.5.1. was available conduit route and girder penetration checked?

4.6. Fog Detection Panel(s)

4.6.1. did Bleyco receive “bootleg” copy of the remainder of CCO 61 (draft) design drawings?

4.6.1.1. BLI received it but misplaced it; another copy will be requested if necessary

ATTACHMENT #1 (3/3)

4.7. (CT) Change Orders update

4.8. TYL made mention of forthcoming changes to the bikepath railing gates

- 4.8.1. it became apparent that clearances between railing gate and bikepath mounted piping, conduits and supports should be checked more closely.

4.9. Forthcoming CCO 43 was discussed in regard to the extent of State supplied materials and how they shall be delivered to the Contractor

- 4.9.1. BLI/ABF expects all appurtenances, such as light fixtures and MVDS units, to be supplied along with the poles, but does not expect any appurtenances to come pre-installed on pole. Appurtenances to be supplied in protective packaging.
- 4.9.2. BLI/ABF expects mounting brackets for appurtenances to be pre-attached to pole so that light fixtures or MVDS units can be attached to the brackets in the field.
- 4.9.3. BLI/ABF expects cast-in-concrete anchor bolts to be delivered prior to placement of concrete for luminaire supports at Pier W2 cap beam.
- 4.9.4. BLI/ABF expects anchor bolts for connection of poles to steel luminaire supports to be delivered with poles and appurtenances

ATTACHMENT #2 (1/1)



Scott
Fabel/D04/Caltrans/CAGov

06/02/2008 05:26 PM

To Michael Travis/HQ/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Sandra
Michelotti/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT
cc Bill Shedd/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT,
grady_hart@dot.ca.gov@DOT, Rachel
Liu/D04/Caltrans/CAGov@DOT, Martin
bcc

Subject Update of RFI's for CCO 42S1

Just talked to Nick King about our meeting earlier today, and this is what he said regarding the RFI's he had to check on to see if they are to be in CCO 42S1 or not:

1. RFI 374R0: Nick says that Rocky stated this RFI was obsolete due to their CCR 51, so this does not have to be in CCO 42S1.
2. RFI 377R0: Stays in CCO 42S1.
3. RFI 378R0: Nick says to take this out of CCO 42S1. He says that Rocky was directed not to have it in CCO 42S1. (Just stating what I was told.)
4. RFI 476R2: Needs to be in CCO 42S1. Plans to follow (Sheets 363R1, 364R1, 375R1 and 376R1 from our meeting).
5. RFI 583R0: Nick said that Rocky says this should be in CCO 55. (I went ahead and temporarily placed this RFI into the RFI-CCO Hopper under CCO 055).

Mike and Sandy: Please make sure this is correct so we can send out the final RFI-CCO Hopper list for CCO 42S1.

Thanks,

Scott