

**CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER MEMORANDUM**

TO: Tony Anziano, Program Manager /			FILE: E.A. 04 - 0120F4	
FROM: Darryl Schram, Senior TE			CO-RTE-PM SF-80-13.2/13.9	
FED. NO. No				
CCO#: <b>327</b>	SUPPLEMENT#: <b>1</b>	Category Code: <b>CHPT</b>	CONTINGENCY BALANCE (incl. this change) <b>\$33,886,644.42</b>	
COST: <b>\$6,000,000.00</b> INCREASE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DECREASE <input type="checkbox"/>			HEADQUARTERS APPROVAL REQUIRED? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDS PROVIDED: <b>\$0.00</b>			IS THIS REQUEST IN ACCORDANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	
<b>CCO DESCRIPTION:</b> Additional Funds Pier E2 Install Saddle			<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</b> CONSTRUCT SELF-ANCHORED SUSPENSION BRIDGE	
Original Contract Time: <b>2490</b> Day(s)	Time Adj. This Change: <b>DEF</b> Day(s)	Previously Approved CCO Time Adjustments: <b>501</b> Day(s)	Percentage Time Adjusted: (including this change) <b>20</b> %	Total # of Unreconciled Deferred Time CCO(s): (including this change) <b>24</b>

**THIS CHANGE ORDER PROVIDES FOR:**

Additional funds for work platform access, falsework, survey, testing, quality control, Pier E2 saddle shop drawing production, traveler rail and safety rail modifications, subcontractor support, field painting, and installation of saddles for the Pier E2 saddle anchorage. Removing interim shim plates for the permanent bearings at E2 installed in CCO 338, Removing temporary anchor rods when the CCO 312 replacement rods are available for permanent installation. Installing and tensioning eight permanent rods.

Contract Plan Sheets 884R1 "Pier E2 Bearing Details No. 2" and 887R2 "Pier E2 Shear Key Details No. 1" identify the anchor bolts for the Pier E2 Shear Keys and Bearings as 76 mm A354 Grade BD Bolts. These plan sheets require the bolts to be tensioned to 0.70 Fu (70% of their ultimate strength). Special Provisions Sections 10-1.47 "Spherical Bushing Bearing (Pier E2)" and 10-1.50 "Shear Key (Pier E2)" provide the specification requirements for the anchor bolts. Both of these specifications refer you to Special Provisions Section 10-1.59 "Steel Structures" which in turn refers you to various ASTM specifications including ASTMs A123, A153, A143, A354, etc. which provide further specifications for the manufacture and testing of the anchor bolts and hardware. While these references provide for the final mechanical properties and processes for the manufacture of the bolts, they do not specifically require hydrogen embrittlement testing for the anchor bolts.

Within days after tensioning was performed, the anchor bolts in the shear keys directly below the Eastbound and Westbound Orthotropic Box Girder (OBG) structures (known as Shear Keys S1 and S2) began to fail. A total of 32 out of the 96 anchor bolts broke before the Department directed the Contractor to reduce the anchor bolt tension to prevent further failures. A forensic metallurgical examination was jointly performed with both the Contractor's and Materials Engineering and Testing Services' (METS) metallurgical experts. It was determined that while the failed bolts' material properties did meet the contract specifications, the hardness properties were at the upper limit and the ductility and toughness properties were at the lower limit. Taking this high end hardness and low end ductility into account and combining it with a high tensile stress (0.70 Fu) makes this material more susceptible to the effects of hydrogen cracking (also known as hydrogen embrittlement). The metallurgical examination indicated that the bolts were susceptible to hydrogen embrittlement due to a lack of uniformity in the microstructure of the rods.

The anchor bolts at Shear Keys S1 and S2 are uniquely different from the anchor bolts at the remaining shear keys and bearings (known as Shear Keys S3 and S4 and Bearings B1, B2, B3, and B4) in that they were manufactured in 2008 as opposed to the remaining ones in 2010. In addition, due to physical limitations the anchor bolts at Shear Keys S1 and S2 have their anchors fully cast into the Pier E2 cap and are not replaceable, as opposed to the remaining shear keys and bearings which are thru bolted and thus replaceable. As such, Shear Keys S1 and S2 will require an alternate anchorage solution.

Multiple change orders will be issued for the alternate shear key solution including:

- CCO 312 furnish replacements for rods removed for testing,
- CCO 313 procure long lead time materials,
- CCO 314 perform work to remove, replace and test sample rods,
- CCO 319 fabricate saddles,
- CCO 320 shim temporary bearings,
- CCO 325 perform concrete and rebar demolition,
- CCO 326 core drill for through-cap tendons,
- CCO 327 install temporary work platforms, falsework, and saddles,
- CCO 328 furnish and install reinforcing bars,

**CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER MEMORANDUM**

- CCO 329 place shear key concrete,
- CCO 330 furnish and install post tensioning
- CCO 331 plan sheets
- CCO 337 furnish, install, and remove temporary rods,
- CCO 338 shim permanent bearings, and
- CCO 363 furnish pier E2 bolt caps.

This change order (CCO 327 S1) will provide additional funds for work platform access, falsework, survey, testing, quality control, Pier E2 saddle shop drawing production, traveler rail and safety rail modifications, subcontractor support, field painting, and installation of saddles for the Pier E2 saddle anchorage. Removing interim shim plates for the permanent bearings at E2 installed in CCO 338, Removing temporary anchor rods when the CCO 312 replacement rods are available for permanent installation. Installing and tensioning eight permanent rods.

The total cost of this change order is \$6,000,000.00 force account, which can be financed from the contingency fund. The cumulative total of CCO 327 S0 and S1 is \$10,720,000.00. A detailed cost analysis is on file.

Consideration of a time adjustment will be deferred until completion of the work specified herein. Determination of a commensurate time adjustment will be made in accordance with Section 10-1.13, "PROGRESS SCHEDULE (CRITICAL PATH METHOD)" and Section 10-1.14, "TIME-RELATED OVERHEAD" of the Special Provisions, as well as Section 8-1.07, "LIQUIDATED DAMAGES", of the Standard Specifications

The Toll Bridge Project Oversight Committee (TBPOC) initially (April 11, 2013) approved \$4.3 million to continue work on the Shear Key S1 & S2 anchor rod replacement strategy solutions and to procure long lead time materials. The TBPOC revised this approval (May 9, 2013) to include all E2 shear key anchorage work within the approved \$4.3 million funding. At the June 6, 2013 TBPOC meeting the TBPOC revised this approval to \$7.5 million. At the July 10, 2013 TBPOC meeting the TBPOC further revised this approval to \$18 million. CCO's 313, 319, 320, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, and 330 are specific to this approval. The October 3, 2013 TBPOC meeting added CCOs 337 and 338 to the \$18 million approval. At the Jan 24, 2014 TBPOC meeting the TBPOC further revised this approval to \$25 million and added CCOs 327S1, 329S1, and 363.

In addition, at the May 9th meeting the TBPOC also approved \$1 million for the anchor rods test program. At the October 3, 2013 TBPOC meeting the TBPOC approved an additional \$2.7 million for a total of \$3.7 million. CCO's 312 and 314 are specific to this approval.

The SAS Second Quarter 2013 risk register is carrying the risk "Fabricate and Install a Retrofit for Shear Key bolts at Pier E2" in the range of \$15M to \$30M and was created to address the fabrication and installation of the new saddle design. The SAS risk register is also carrying the risk for the testing of ASTM A354 Grade BD Rods in the range of \$2.05M to \$25M to address testing and remedial actions as necessary.

CCO 327 S0 was submitted to the Contractor for signature on November 12, 2013, and was returned unsigned on November 15, 2013. The Contractor has stated that they will not sign the change order "due to the fact that seminal language, to which the parties on two separate occasions (June 2013 and October 2013), had "agreed-in-principle" is now in fact, excluded." CCO 327 S1, which provides additional funds for the scope of work in CCO 327 S0, will be processed unilaterally since the Contractor will not sign it and the Department cannot include additional Contractor requested language.

This change order has concurrence from William Casey (Supervising TE), Tony Anziano (Program Manager), Rich Foley (HQ Oversight), Wenyi Long (Bridge Design), Ken Brown (Maintenance), and Jing Chen (District Design).

**CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER MEMORANDUM**

<b>CONCURRED BY:</b>			<b>ESTIMATE OF COST</b>										
Construction Engineer:	William Casey, Sup TE	Date 9/21/13		THIS REQUEST	TOTAL TO DATE								
Bridge Engineer:	CT Oversight, Wenyi Long, P.E.	Date 7/9/13	ITEMS	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Project Engineer:	District Design, Jing Chen	Date 6/12/13	FORCE ACCOUNT	\$6,000,000.00	\$10,720,000.00								
Project Manager:	TB Program Manager, Tony Anzian	Date 1/27/14	AGREED PRICE	\$0.00	\$0.00								
FHWA Rep.:		Date	ADJUSTMENT	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Environmental:		Date	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$6,000,000.00</b>	<b>\$10,720,000.00</b>								
Other (specify):	HQ, Rich Foley	Date 9/24/13	<b>FEDERAL PARTICIPATION</b>										
Other (specify):	Maint, Ken Brown	Date 6/11/13	<input type="checkbox"/> PARTICIPATING <input type="checkbox"/> PARTICIPATING IN PART <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE <input type="checkbox"/> NON-PARTICIPATING (MAINTENANCE) <input type="checkbox"/> NON-PARTICIPATING										
District Prior Approval By:		Date	<b>FEDERAL SEGREGATION</b> (if more than one Funding Source or P.I.P. type) <input type="checkbox"/> CCO FUNDED PER CONTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> CCO FUNDED AS FOLLOWS										
HQ (Issue Approve) By:		Date	<table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCE</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">PERCENT</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>_____</td> <td>_____</td> </tr> </table>			FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCE	PERCENT	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCE	PERCENT												
_____	_____												
_____	_____												
_____	_____												
Resident Engineer's Signature:													