



**Oakland Touchdown**

Location: 04-Ala-80-1.6/2.7

Client Name: CalTrans

Run date 16-Feb-13

Time 6:19 PM

**Daily Diary Report by Bid Item**

Contract No. 04-0120L4

Diary #: 093 Const Calendar Day 968 Date: 07-Aug-200 Friday

Inspector Name: Ghafghazi, Ben Title: Resident Engineer

Inspection Type:

Shift Hours: Break: Over Time:

Federal ID:

Location:

Reviewer: Ghafghazi, Ben Approved Date: 13-Oct-09 Status: Approved

**Weather**

Temperature	7 AM	12 PM	4PM
Precipitation			Condition Clear

Working Day  If no, explain:

**Diary:**

Dispute

**Office work**

- Randy Iwasaki, new CT director, stopped by to visit the office and introduced himself . They will be taking a tour of the jobsite today.
- All on Friday Furlough.
- Bob Brignano stopped by office this morning to remind me of the value analysis in Sacramento next week. Also talked about ABF access issues to Skyway. Told him I will respond to him by email today and said that no contact with MCM is necessary but need to inform Ernie Schultz or me if something comes up. There will be a Daisy Chain on gate that they can put their lock on.
- Received an email from Vic Zorzinsky of EBMUD regarding the last two EBMUD water consumption bills. In a previous conversation with Amer, He was told there might be a way that Caltrans can calculate how much water was used based on the amount of concrete mixed/poured. He wanted us to review these bills, as well as your calculations so that an agreeable reimbursement can be made to the SFPUC for water consumption on the EBMUD line. Amer needs to respond to this.
- Received an email from Brad Dickson conveying a message from the Water Board regarding the NOV we received in early May. The letter was from Brendan Thompson, Environmental Specialist, San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board. The message said: Thank you for submitting Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) amendments and a revised Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) in response the May 28, 2009, Notice of Violation issued to the Department in response to a Bay Bridge-Oakland Touchdown construction inspection. The NOV required the Department submit the abovementioned documents. We accept the SWPPP amendments, which address deficiencies noted during the Water Board's May 1st inspection. The SAP is generally acceptable because it documents the Department's intentions to implement a sampling plan in accordance with the requirements of the NOV-- Water Board staff have one comment--Although the SAP identifies 16 sampling locations, there is not a commitment to implement sampling at any number of those locations. Water Board staff understand that site variables inform appropriate monitoring locations; for instance, depending upon the stage of construction activities at the time of sampling, certain locations may not receive construction site runoff, and therefore would not be suitable monitoring locations. Given that, Water Board staff believe that 8-12 monitoring locations would sufficiently represent construction site runoff. If the Department finds that site conditions at the time of sampling may be sufficiently represented using fewer sampling locations, please contact Water Board staff to discuss the Department's rationale for fewer sampling locations. If this discussion is initiated after your sampling event, and we find that significant sampling locations were omitted from the sampling plan, we may request more samples be obtained. Also, SAP Section 600.5.2, "Sampling Locations" contains numerous typographic errors that detract from conveying the information in a clear and precise manner. It is highly recommended the Department revise this section before distributing the SAP to staff or others that may be involved in implementing the SAP.
- Reviewed and approved time sheets, Daily diaries.
- Sent an email to Bob Brignano regarding access to Skyway structure . My response was as follows: Per



---

## **Daily Diary Report by Bid Item**

**Job Name: 04-0120L4    Inspector Name: Ghafghazi, Ben    Diary #: 093    Date: 07-Aug-2009    Friday**

---

our conversation this morning, attached please find a letter from MCM regarding the field activities scheduled for next week and access to Skyway structure. There should be clear access to Skyway after August 14, 2009. To answer Jim's questions regarding who needs to be informed prior to access the Skyway structure, the answer is that no prior notification is required. However, as a point of contact for any questions, you can contact myself or Mr. Ernie Schultz, Safety Engineer, at 510-773-4368. There is no need for prior notification to access the Skyway. To access the Skyway, you can use the existing maintenance road and through MCM's trestle. I talked to Greg Allen of MCM yesterday and they have no objection to that. We have installed a gate on the new WB roadway to keep people from getting on the Skyway. There will be a daisy chain on the gate and you can add your own lock to it later. Right now, we only have a State lock. Please make sure you close the gate behind you whenever you need to go through the gate. We do not want the gate to remain open at any time.

·Sent an email to EBMUD to inform them that next week MCM will be removing the existing maintenance road and building a new one.

Received a call from Jason Weinstein of BATA that they will be accessing the Skyway this afternoon at 6:00 p.m. perhaps for a couple of hours to take some pictures from the projects there. I asked him to be sure to lock the gate after they leave.