



Oakland Touchdown

Location: 04-Ala-80-1.6/2.7

Client Name: CalTrans

Run date 16-Feb-13

Time 6:25 PM

Daily Diary Report by Bid Item

Contract No. 04-0120L4

Diary #: 048 Const Calendar Day 905 Date: 05-Jun-2009 Friday

Inspector Name: Ghafghazi, Ben Title: Resident Engineer

Inspection Type:

Shift Hours: Break: Over Time:

Federal ID:

Location:

Reviewer: Ghafghazi, Ben Approved Date: 08-Jun-09 Status: Approved

Weather

Temperature	7 AM	12 PM	4PM
Precipitation			Condition Clear

Working Day If no, explain:

Diary:

Dispute

Office work

- Reviewed and signed WSWDs #92 and 93 and the Weekly Statement of Working Days to go to MCM.
- Sent my comments to response to Water Board to Brad Dickson . This response was put together by Stefan Galvez's team.
- Put together a summary of reports and responses in reference to Water Board's inspection on 5/1/09 to go to Tony Anziano.
- Sent an email to Richard McCall to remind him to respond to CCO 111, Drainage System #2.
- Submitted my time sheet, updated on line car log for vehicle Id # 0011444.
- Reviewed and approved daily diaries, time sheets.
- At 2:00 P.M. in OTD conference room, met with Ken, Mike, Amer, Dragomir, Stefan, Brad, Dennis, Mohsen, Raj (on line), Lisa and Greg Allen to review the NOV we had just received from the Water Board and finalize our response letter. Ken stated that the response to Water Board's visit should have gone out much sooner. He also expressed concern that as a partnering gesture, the Water Board should have send the NOV letter addressed to him not Bijan Sartipi because Toll Bridge has it's own organization. Greg Allen complained that he was not informed immediately after the NOV was received by the Department. He said that he should have been informed immediately. He said that he had to hear it from Lisa. I told him that The NOV was addressed to his office and perhaps they had received it even before I had because the letter was dated 5/28/09 and mailed that day whereas I did not receive the email until 3:00 p.m. on 6/2/09. I immediately distributed the NOV to CT seniors and Amer as well as Dragomir. I scheduled a meeting to review the NOV and collect our thoughts before engaging the contractor. Greg also said that he is in business to make money and if we had responded to the Water Board a few days after the inspection we would not have received the NOV. He was implying that it is the Department's cost to pay for the repair costs and any penalties. I informed the group that let's not jump into conclusion because I had thirty days to respond to the Water Board but the PDF file in the email carrying the NOV read: MCM NOV 5/13/03/09, indicating that the NOV was already finalized on 5/13/09, just two weeks after the inspection. I explained to Greg that the NOV was earned on the day of inspection because of the deficiencies the Board found at the time of inspection and it has nothing to do with how fast we contacted the Board.
- The following CCO activities also took place this day:
 - CCO 101, signed memo and gave back to Richard Duncan,
 - CCO 48-1, Amer signed the CCO and need to get HQ I&A,
 - CCO 105, Amer signed go to MCM,
 - CCO 9-2. Amer approved to go to DO,
 - CCO 110, sent to MCM,
 - CCO 107, sent CCO to DO,
 - CCO 85-2, Amer signed to go to DO,
 - CCO 109, sent to DO,
 - CCO 101-1, sent to MCM,

Daily Diary Report by Bid Item

Job Name: 04-0120L4 Inspector Name: Ghafghazi, Ben

Diary #: 048 Date: 05-Jun-2009 Friday

.CCO 104, sent to DO,
.CCO 77, sent to DO,
.CCO 106, sent to DO,
.CCO 88, sent to DO,
.CC 116, sent to MCM,
.CCO 61-3, sent to MCM,
.CCO 112, sent to MCM,
.CCO 62-2, sent to DO.