

State of California
Business, Transportation and Housing A
Department of Transportation

Prepared By:
Ileen Jellison
Acting Program Manager,
Budgets Program
(916) 654-4556



MASS TRANS FINANCIAL MATTERS
Rail/Transit Allocation Amendments
Resolution: BFA-99-05
CTC Meeting: March 29-30, 2000

Agenda Item: 2.6e.(1)



W. J. EVANS, Deputy Director
Finance
March 1, 2000

FINANCIAL VOTE
ALLOCATION AMENDMENT FOR PROPOSITION 116
RAIL PROGRAM PROJECT

RESOLUTION BFA-99-05
Amending Resolutions BFP-96-22

RECOMMENDATION

The Department of Transportation recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approve the following resolution, in accordance with the attached Vote List, for one project funded from Proposition 116 Rail Bond funds.

FINANCIAL RESOLUTION

Resolved That:

- 1.1 WHEREAS, the electorate enacted both Proposition 108, the Passenger Rail and Clean Air Bond Act of 1990, and Proposition 116, the Clean Air and Transportation Improvement Bond act of 1990 in the June, 1990, election authorizing the sale of general obligation rail bonds for rail transit purposes; and
- 1.2 WHEREAS, on January 29, 1997, the California Transportation Commission (Commission) approved Resolution BFP-96-22, which allocated \$4,900,000 in Proposition 116 funds to the North San Diego County Transit Development Board (Recipient) for the construction of the Oceanside-San Diego Commuter Rail Project, including the Elvira Curve Straightening element and the False Bay Passing Track element; and
- 1.3 WHEREAS, the Recipient has indicated that the Elvira Curve Straightening element of the Oceanside-San Diego project is no longer feasible at this time and has requested the CTC redirect the \$1,400,000, for other project elements on the Oceanside-San Diego Commuter Rail Project; the False Bay Passing Track element and the Sorrento to Miramar Second Mainline Track element; and
- 1.4 WHEREAS, the False Bay Passing Track element has not yet completed the environmental phase and will not be ready for construction until a later date; and
- 1.5 WHEREAS, the Department, at the request of Commission staff and with the concurrence of North San Diego County Transit Development Board, is requested to de-allocate \$4,590,000 of the \$4,900,000 in Proposition 116 construction funds, to comply with the Commission guideline that allocation for construction will be made only after documentation of the required environmental clearance for the project; and

- 1.6 WHEREAS, the remaining balance of \$310,000 will be used to complete environmental activities on the False Bay Passing Track element of the Oceanside-San Diego Commuter Rail Project.
- 2.1 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Commission hereby approves the Proposition 116 allocation amendment, Resolution BFA-99-05, Amending Resolution BFP-96-22 by reducing the total original allocation amount of \$4,900,000, by \$4,590,000, for a new total of \$310,000 for the Oceanside-San Diego Commuter Rail Project.

2.6 Mass Transportation Financial Matters

Project # Resolution Allocation Recipient RTPA/CTC District-County Legislative District	Project Title Project Description Funding Summary	Program/Year PA# PUC Code Dist-PPNO Prog Amount	Fund Program Codes Alloc Amount								
2.6e(1) Allocation Amendments – Proposition 116 Rail Program			BFA-99-05								
1 BFA-99-05 Amending BFP-96-22 \$310,000 North San Diego Transit Development Board <u>SANDAG</u> 11-San Diego Senate: 39 Assembly: 78	Oceanside – San Diego Commuter Rail Amend BFP-96-22 to reduce the original allocation of \$4,900,000 by \$4,590,000, for a revised total of \$310,000 for the Oceanside-San Diego Commuter Rail project. The remaining balance of \$310,000 will be for environmental activities related to the False Bay Track Passing Track element of the Oceanside – San Diego Commuter Rail project. (Concurrent PA Amendment under Item 2.1e.(2).)	P116C/93-94 PA-00-07 99629(a) 11-8201D \$310,000	P116 30.20.010 \$310,000								
	<table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>ALLOCATION</u></td> <td><u>ORIGINAL</u></td> <td><u>REVISION</u></td> <td><u>AMENDED</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>BFP-96-22</td> <td>\$4,900,000</td> <td>(\$4,590,000)</td> <td>\$310,000</td> </tr> </table>	<u>ALLOCATION</u>	<u>ORIGINAL</u>	<u>REVISION</u>	<u>AMENDED</u>	BFP-96-22	\$4,900,000	(\$4,590,000)	\$310,000		
<u>ALLOCATION</u>	<u>ORIGINAL</u>	<u>REVISION</u>	<u>AMENDED</u>								
BFP-96-22	\$4,900,000	(\$4,590,000)	\$310,000								