

# Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: August 20, 2014

Reference No.: 4.8  
Action  
**REPLACEMENT**

From: ANDRE BOUTROS  
Executive Director

Subject: **ADOPTION OF THE 2014 ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM (ATP) STATEWIDE  
and SMALL URBAN & RURAL COMPONENTS  
RESOLUTION G-14-17**

## **ISSUE:**

Should the Commission adopt the 2014 Active Transportation Program (ATP) Statewide (50%) and Small Urban & Rural (10%) components as recommended by staff?

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Commission staff recommends that the Commission adopt the 2014 ATP, Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components, in accordance with the attached resolution and the staff recommendations, noting any specific changes, corrections, or exceptions to staff recommendations.

The staff recommendations are based primarily on:

- Funding levels identified in the 2014 ATP Fund Estimate;
- Eligibility for the program;
- Advisory Members and Caltrans project scores;
- Statutory requirements; and
- Commission policies as expressed in the ATP guidelines.

In summary, the recommendations include:

Statewide Component – ATP funds of \$183,843,000 for 126 projects valued at \$353,309,000

Small Urban & Rural Component – ATP funds of \$37,005,000 for 22 projects valued at \$78,069,000

The Statewide component includes ATP funds of \$101,013,000 (55%) for 83 Safe-Routes-to-School projects including ATP funds of \$22,710,000 (12%) for Non-Infrastructure components of the projects. ATP funds of \$191,532,000 or 86.7% of the Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components are directed at 130 projects that provide benefits to disadvantaged communities.

**The staff also notes that the adoption of the 2014 ATP, Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components, is not authorization to begin work on a project. Contracts may not be awarded and/or work cannot begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program.**

**BACKGROUND:**

Under state law, the Commission adopts the Active Transportation Program. The Commission adopted the fund estimate and related amendments for the 2014 ATP in December 2013 and August 2014 respectively, and adopted the program guidelines in March 2014. The 2014 ATP will cover a two-year period from 2014-15 through 2015-16.

The 2014 ATP includes two years of programming, 2014-15 and 2015-16, with \$368.079 million in funding capacity for the following program components\*:

- Statewide (50% or \$184.04 million)
  - Safe Routes to School ( \$72 million with \$21.6 million for non-infrastructure)
- Small Urban & Rural (10% or \$36.808 million)
- Large MPO (40% or \$147.232 million)

\*A minimum 25% (\$92.02 million) of all ATP funds must benefit disadvantage communities.

Legislation creating the ATP was signed by the Governor on September 26, 2013. The Commission adopted program guidelines for the 2014 ATP on March 20, 2014 and required receipt of project applications on or before May 21, 2014. Applications were received for 771 projects, requesting in excess of \$1 billion.

The Commission formed a multidisciplinary advisory group to assist in evaluating project applications. The advisory group consisted of stakeholder volunteers with expertise in bicycling and pedestrian transportation, including Safe Routes to Schools projects, and projects benefiting disadvantaged communities. Volunteers were assigned to one of eight teams to provide for geographical representation by large MPOs, RTPAs, small urban, rural areas, and nongovernmental agencies. Caltrans representatives facilitated the teams ensuring that each application was scored. Commission staff also reviewed every project application.

On July 31, 2014, Caltrans submitted recommendations to the Commission for programming the Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components. These recommendations were based on a compilation of scoring data reported by advisory group members and Caltrans staff evaluators. The attached Caltrans recommendations were consulted by Commission staff in preparing the Commission's staff recommendations.

Many projects not recommended for the Statewide component remain eligible for the MPO component. The MPOs will bring their programming recommendations forward at the November 2014 CTC meeting for Commission adoption.

Commission staff recommendations include active transportation projects that will provide significant benefits throughout the state. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following:

#### Statewide Projects

- Maryland Elementary Pedestrian Mobility Improvements Project, City of Vista, San Diego County - \$712,000. This highest scoring project will construct sidewalks, curb pop-outs and other pedestrian safety improvements to serve the Maryland Elementary community.
- CV Link Project, Riverside County - \$10,900,000. This is a 50 mile long, mostly Class 1, multi-use path connecting eight of nine cities in the Coachella Valley and three Indian Tribal lands. This project has 4 main purposes: provide a safe corridor for alternative modes of transportation, reduce congestion on Route 111, improve air quality, and improve public health. This project will serve disadvantaged communities.
- Wilmington Avenue Safe Streets Pedestrian/Bicycle Improvements Project, City of Compton, Los Angeles County - \$996,000. This project provides safety improvements to the Wilmington Avenue corridor, including pedestrian crossings and bicycle lanes, to address public complaints of dangerous conditions. This area includes ten public and private schools and three neighborhood parks. The City of Compton is a disadvantaged community.
- Eastside Light Rail Bike Interface Project, Los Angeles County - \$1,305,000. This project will construct four bikeways connecting the Metro Gold Line light rail stations of Indiana, Maravilla, Civic Center and Atlantic to the neighboring communities. This project will benefit disadvantaged communities.
- Three Bike Boulevards Project, City of Santa Ana, Orange County - \$950,000. This is a project to construct three bike boulevards, with bulb-outs, traffic circles and other traffic calming elements. Santa Ana has limited existing bicycle facilities, but has a large number of bicycling trips per day, leading to increased accident rates. This project will benefit a disadvantaged community.
- Covelo Route 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail Project, Community of Covelo, Mendocino County - \$847,000. This project provides a Class 1 trail off State Route 162, which serves as the main street in the community. The Round Valley Tribes' Commerce Center, Tribal Performance Grounds, Tribal Health Center, Tribal Administrative Center and outlying residential areas generate pedestrian and bicycle traffic in the corridor.
- Napa Vine Trail, Gap Closure Project, Napa County - \$3,600,000. This project will contribute to the completion of a 14 mile long section of the Vine Trail from the Town of Yountville to Napa Valley College. This trail will eventually connect to Vallejo Ferry Terminal, all park-n-ride lots in the County, and the County's transit center and multiple bus stops.

- Teresa Burke School & Filburn Walking Path Project, City of Wasco, Kern County - \$1,794,000. This project will construct the pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure necessary for safe access to an elementary school on the south side of Filburn Avenue. The majority of students live on the north side of Filburn, with a wide (90 ft) road with high traffic speeds. This project benefits a disadvantaged community.
- Bicycle Master Plan Update, City of Stockton, San Joaquin County - \$550,000. This is a project to rewrite the Stockton Bicycle Master Plan to align with City and Regional goals as described in current sustainable growth, infill development, complete streets, climate action and transit oriented development documents. The plan will facilitate rapid construction of the remainder of an optimized bicycle network.

#### Small Urban & Rural Projects

- State Highway 59 Multi-Use Path Project, City of Merced, Merced County - \$958,000. This project will add off-street bicycle and pedestrian facilities for travel to and from employment and shopping districts along Route 59. Current travel occurs on dirt shoulders between traffic and a fence creating an unsafe environment. This project benefits a disadvantaged community.
- Trout Creek Trail, Phase 2 Project, Town of Truckee, Nevada County - \$1,520,000. This project constructs the final connecting trail segment between Historic Downtown Truckee and the Truckee Donner subdivision, containing over 6,000 residential properties. This separated trail will remove bicycle and pedestrian traffic from nearby roads with histories of accidents.
- Humboldt Bay Trail: Arcata Rail with Trail Project, City of Arcata, Humboldt County - \$3,100,000. This project will construct a 3.2 mile section of Class 1 trail to complete Arcata's portion of the Humboldt Bay Trail. This trail diverts bicycle and pedestrian traffic from Highway 101, State Route 255, and Old Arcata Road onto a safer, separated trail. This is the region's highest transportation priority and benefits a disadvantaged community.
- North Fremont Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Access and Safety Improvements Project, City of Monterey, Monterey County - \$6,480,000. This project will construct Class 2 bicycle lanes along both sides of North Fremont, with signing and bicycle detection at each of five intersections. It will also include changes to the travel lanes and median to accommodate bicycle lanes and Bus Rapid Transit queue jumps, shortened pedestrian crossings and median refuges, and audible pedestrian signals. This project will provide safe access to bicyclists and pedestrians on a vital link between residential, commercial, educational and community facilities, and will provide benefits to disadvantaged communities.

The following tables show the summary of proposed programming recommendations:

Overall Programming Recommendation (Amounts in \$1000s)						
Component	Projects	14-15	15-16	Total	Fund Est Target	Under (Over) Target
Statewide Component	126	66,141	117,702	183,843	184,040	197
Small Urban/Rural Component	22	15,621	21,384	37,005	36,808	(197)
<b>Total</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>81,762</b>	<b>139,086</b>	<b>220,848</b>	<b>220,848</b>	<b>-</b>
Cumulative Programmed		81,762	220,848			
Cumulative Capacity		149,028	220,848			
Cumulative Under (Over) Fund Est		67,266	-			

Statutory Requirements (Amounts in \$1000s)						
Project Type	Projects	14-15	15-16	Total	Target	Under (Over) Target
Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities (Statewide)	112	55,702	105,157	160,859	46,010	(114,849)
Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities (Rural)	18	11,611	19,062	30,673	9,202	(21,471)
Safe Routes to School	83	34,463	66,550	101,013	72,000	(29,013)
<i>Non-infrastructure</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>14,501</i>	<i>8,209</i>	<i>22,710</i>	<i>21,600</i>	<i>(1,110)</i>

Staff recommendations deviate from the recommendations by Caltrans due to the following:

- Commission staff reviewed all project scores submitted by Caltrans, which in some cases reflected significant differences. Scores falling significantly outside (much lower or higher than) other project scores were identified. In addition, not all projects were scored by three evaluators. In these instances, Commission staff reviewed the individual project application to validate the reasonableness of the project scores. Missing scores and scores with significant deviations from the scores of other reviewers that could not be validated were not

included in calculating the overall score. The overall score is based on the average of the scores determined to be reasonable.

- The statutory minimum of \$21.6 million for Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure projects in the statewide component was not met in Caltrans' recommendations. To meet the minimum funding level, non-infrastructure projects are included in the staff recommendations, replacing infrastructure projects recommended by Caltrans.
- Two high scoring projects in the City of Perris are recommended for funding. Caltrans did not recommend these projects due to unclear project schedule information in the applications. Commission staff contacted the applicant agency directly and the agency confirmed the projects can be delivered within the time period of the program.
- Right-of-way in the amount of \$3.9 million for the CV Link project in 2015-16 is recommended in addition to the \$7 million recommended by Caltrans for environmental in 2014-15.
- Two projects (a feasibility study and a street master plan) recommended by Caltrans are not included in the Commission staff recommendation. These projects, essentially project initiation documents, do not meet the goals of the program to increase the proportion of walking and biking trips. These projects may compete in future ATP cycles once project initiation documents are complete.
- One project, the Samoa Trail project in Humboldt County, has been withdrawn by the applicant.

**FUND ESTIMATE AND GUIDELINES FOR THE 2014 ATP**

The development of the 2014 ATP began with the Commission's adoption of the 2014 ATP Fund Estimate on December 13, 2013, the adoption of the ATP guidelines on March 20, 2014, and a Fund Estimate amendment (adding \$9 million) to be adopted on August 20, 2014.

**2014 ATP Fund Estimate**

The initial 2014 ATP Fund Estimate covered the two-year period of the 2014 ATP, 2014-15 and 2015-16, with an estimated total new programming capacity of \$359.1 million. This capacity includes three years (2013-14, 2014-15, and 2015-16) of estimated state and federal funds. The amended 2014 ATP Fund Estimate adds \$8.979 million to the original estimate, for a new total program capacity of \$368.079 million. Fifty percent of the total (\$184.04) is set aside for the Statewide component, ten percent (\$36.808) is set aside for the Small Urban & Rural component, and forty percent (\$147.232) is set aside for the large MPO component.

**SUMMARY OF 2014 ATP CAPACITY**

(\$ in millions)

	<b>New Capacity</b>	<b>Added Capacity</b>	<b>Total</b>
State Highway Account	\$102,600	\$8,979	\$111,579
Federal Transportation Alternative Program (TAP)	190,950		190,950
Federal TAP Recreational Trails	5,700		5,700
Other Federal	59,850		59,850
<b>Total</b> (may not match FE due to rounding)	<b>\$ 359,100</b>	<b>\$8,979</b>	<b>\$368,079</b>

**ATP Guidelines**  
**Policies and Procedures Specific to the 2014 ATP**

The following specific policies and procedures address the particular circumstances of the 2014 ATP:

Schedule. The following schedule lists the major milestones for the development and adoption of the 2014 ATP:

Commission adopts Fund Estimate	December 11, 2013
Commission adopts ATP Guidelines	March 20, 2014
Call for projects	March 21, 2014
Applications due to Caltrans	May 21, 2014
Commission Approves/Rejects MPO Optional Guidelines	June 25, 2014
CTC Staff recommendations for Statewide and Small Urban & Rural Components	August 8, 2014
Commission adopts Statewide and Small Urban & Rural Components	August 20, 2014
MPO programming recommendations to CTC	September 30, 2014
Commission adopts MPO selected projects	November 12, 2014

ATP Fund Estimate. The program capacity for the 2014 ATP Fund Estimate was based on Senate Bill 99 and Assembly Bill 101, along with the Federal Highway Administration, Commission and California State Transportation Agency Guidance. The Administration proposed the ATP in the January 2013 Governor's Budget proposal, but due to the complex nature of the programs, and the scope of the changes proposed, the Legislature chose to defer action on this proposal when adopting the June 15th Budget package and instead froze funds for these purposes and inserted intent language that the ATP would be developed before the end of the 2014 legislative session.

An amended Fund Estimate is to be adopted at the August 20, 2014 CTC meeting to include the addition of \$8.979 million in state funds identified for the program in the 2014-15 state budget.

## ATTACHMENTS TO 2014 ATP STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS

### PROJECT LISTS

The tables on the following pages include projects recommended for the Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components, and a list of all project applications received. Caltrans recommendations are also provided.

The tables are:

- **Statewide Component, Staff Recommendation.** Includes the proposed new programming for the Statewide component by County and by Agency.
- **Small Urban & Rural Component, Staff Recommendation.** Includes the proposed new programming for the Small Urban & Rural component by County and by Agency.
- **2014 Active Transportation Program Applications.** Includes a listing of all projects (including the Technical Assistance Resource Center) applied for in the 2014 ATP by County.

**August 20, 2014**  
**REPLACEMENT**

**CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**  
**Adoption of 2014 Active Transportation Program**  
**Statewide and Small Urban & Rural Components**

**Resolution No. G-14-17**

- 1.1 WHEREAS Streets and Highways Code Section 2384 requires the California Transportation Commission to adopt a program of projects to receive allocations under the Active Transportation Program (ATP), and
- 1.2 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2384, the 2014 ATP is a two-year program covering program years 2014-15, and 2015-16, and
- 1.3 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2381, the program will be funded by state and federal funds from appropriations in the annual budget, as estimated in the ATP Fund Estimate adopted by the Commission on December 13, 2013, with an amendment adopted on August 20, 2014, and
- 1.4 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2382, the Commission adopted ATP guidelines, to be applicable to the 2014 ATP development process, on March 20, 2014, and
- 1.5 WHEREAS the 2014 ATP Fund Estimate (with amendment) provided \$368.079 million in ATP programming capacity to be apportioned to Statewide (50%), Small Urban & Rural (10%) and MPO (40%) components, and
- 1.6 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 2382(c), no less than 25% of overall program funds will benefit disadvantaged communities during each program cycle, and
- 1.7 WHEREAS, pursuant to AB 101, Budget Act of 2013, \$24 million of the annual funding for the Statewide component is for projects that fund safe routes to schools, with no less than \$7.2 million of that for non-infrastructure grants, including funding for a state technical assistance resource center, and
- 1.8 WHEREAS the total amount programmed in each fiscal year may not exceed the amount specified in the adopted Fund Estimate, and
- 1.9 WHEREAS the Commission staff recommendations for the 2014 ATP, Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components, were published and made available to the Commission, the Department, regional transportation agencies, and county transportation commissions on August 8, 2014, with revisions published August 15, 2014, and
- 1.10 WHEREAS the staff recommendations conform to the Fund Estimate and other requirements of statute for the ATP.
- 2.1 NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the California Transportation Commission hereby adopts the 2014 Active Transportation Program (ATP), Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components, to include the program described in the staff recommendations, including the attachments to this resolution, and
- 2.2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that having a project included in the adopted 2014 ATP, Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components, is not authorization to begin work on that project. Contracts may not be awarded and/or work cannot begin until an allocation is approved by the Commission for a project in the adopted program, and
- 2.3 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if available funding is less than assumed in the Fund Estimate, the Commission may be forced to delay or restrict allocations using interim allocation

plans, or, if available funding proves to be greater than assumed, it may be possible to allocate funding to some projects earlier than the year programmed, and

- 2.4 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that Commission staff, in consultation with the Department and regional agencies, is authorized to make further technical changes in cost, schedules, and descriptions for projects in the 2014 ATP, Statewide and Small Urban & Rural components, consistent with the Fund Estimate, in order to reflect the most current information, or to clarify the Commission's programming commitments, with report of any substantive changes back to the Commission for approval at the October 8, 2014 meeting.

**2014 Active Transportation Program - Statewide Component**

**CTC STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

(\$1,000s)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI
0118	ALA	Alameda CTC	East Bay Greenway	3,000	2,656	2,656				2,656		2,125		2,656	
0119	ALA	Albany	Complete Streets Implementation for San Pablo Ave and Buchanan St	3,465	335	335		18		18	299	335		335	
0137	ALA	Oakland	International Blvd Ped Lighting and Sidewalk Repair	6,475	2,481	279	2,202		2,202		279	2,481			
0139	ALA	Oakland	LAMMPS/Laurel, Mills, Maxwell Park and Seminary Active Transportation Connection	4,066	3,598	695	2,903	84	2,903	611		3,598			
0052	BUT	Paradise	Pearson Rd SR2S Connectivity Project	1,388	1,388	226	1,162		1,162	45	181	1,388		1,388	91
0004	DN	Del Norte LTC	Del Norte Walk & Roll to School Encouragement Program	134	60	60			60					60	60
0287	FRE	CSU Fresno	Barstow Ave Bikeways	2,075	872	872			650		222	872			
0294	FRE	Fresno COG	Regional Active Transportation Plan	150	150	150			150			150	150		
0010	HUM	Humboldt Co	Redwood Mobility Education Program	600	600	600			600			600		600	600
0011	HUM	Humboldt Co	Lafayette Elementary School Safe Routes Improv.	800	800	65	735	5	730	5	60	800		800	75
0703	IMP	Westmorland	Improve Center St Ped Facility	1,113	985	985			897		88	985		985	
0313	KER	Delano	Safety and Education for an Active Delano School Community	393	393	31	362		362	31		393		393	50
0315	KER	Kern Co	Highland Elementary Ped Improvements	330	275		275		275			275		275	
0316	KER	Kern Co	Horace Mann Ped Improvements	372	310		310		310			310		310	
0582	KER	Tehachapi	Valley Blvd Bikeway	1,292	1,292	136	1,156		1,156	6	130	1,292			
0329	KER	Wasco	Palm Ave Elementary School Ped Infrastructure Improvements	458	458	48	410	19	410	2	27	458		458	
0333	KER	Wasco	Teresa Burke School & Filburn Walking Path	1,794	1,794	224	1,570	110	1,570	5	109	1,794		1,794	
0377	LA	Bell	Florence Ave Ped Improvements	2,405	62		62				62	62			
0378	LA	Bell Gardens	City Wide Safety Enhancement Project	997	802		802		802			802			
0381	LA	Carson	City of Carson Active Transportation Project	1,482	1,482	1,482			1,436		46	1,482			
0382	LA	Compton	Wilmington Ave Safe Streets Ped/Bicycle Improvements	996	996	47	949		949		47	996			
0384	LA	Cudahy	Cudahy Citywide SRTS Improvement (Ped Crosswalks)	1,271	1,271	98	1,173		1,173		98	1,271		1,271	
0389	LA	Duarte	Duarte Gold Line Station Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,646	1,305	148	1,157		1,157	36	112	650			
0392	LA	El Monte City School District	Durfee-Thompson Elementary Emerald Necklace Walking School Bus	692	604	604			604			604		604	604
0394	LA	Glendale	Citywide Ped Plan	500	500	500			500			500	500		
0396	LA	Glendale	SRTS Improvements	1,642	1,642	126	1,516		1,516		126	640		1,642	
0401	LA	Inglewood	Active Transportation Plan & SRTS Plan	486	486	486			486			486	332	486	100
0408	LA	Lancaster	5th Street East Corridor Improvements	1,438	1,438	85	1,353		1,353		85	1,438		1,438	30
0409	LA	LARRC	N. Atwater Non-Motorized Multimodal Bridge	9,038	3,660	3,660			3,660			3,660			
0416	LA	Los Angeles	Yale St Ped Linkages - Phase 1	690	690	110	580		580		110	690		690	
0418	LA	Los Angeles	Beverly Blvd Trans Enhancements	1,374	992		992		992			992			
0420	LA	Los Angeles	Cesar E Chavez Connections	2,350	1,565		1,565		1,565			1,565			
0422	LA	Los Angeles	Top 50 SRTS Safety Assessments & Travel Plans	1,900	1,900	1,900			1,900			1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900
0424	LA	Los Angeles	Eastside Active Transportation Linkages, Ph II	3,651	2,237	382	1,855		1,855		382	2,237			
0425	LA	Los Angeles	Hollywood Western Ped Improvements	3,923	2,288	322	1,966		1,966		322	2,288			
0428	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Education and Enforcement Prog	2,829	2,829	2,829			2,829					2,829	2,829
0429	LA	Los Angeles	Expo Line Bundy Sta First-Last Mile Improvements	3,450	3,053	287	2,766		2,766		287	3,053	3,053		
0430	LA	Los Angeles	Little Tokyo Ped Safety	4,439	3,316	663	2,653		2,653		663	3,316			
0431	LA	Los Angeles	Hollywood HS & Selma Ave ES, SRTS	3,412	3,412	661	2,751		2,751		661	3,412		3,412	
0434	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Delores Huerta ES/Quincy Jones ES	4,292	4,292	858	3,434		3,434		858	4,292		4,292	
0435	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Menlo Ave ES/West Vernon ES	4,742	4,742	948	3,794		3,794		948	4,742		4,742	
0436	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Sheridan St ES/Breed St ES	5,092	5,092	1,018	4,074		4,074		1,018	5,092		5,092	
0442	LA	Los Angeles Co	Vermont Av Bike Lane, Manchester-El Segundo	1,317	676		676		676			676			
0443	LA	Los Angeles Co	East Los Angeles Community SRTS Program	925	810		810		710		100	810		810	190
0445	LA	Los Angeles Co	Florence-Firestone Community SRTS	1,092	960		960		850		110	960		960	105
0446	LA	Los Angeles Co	Florence Metro Blue Line Stn Bikeway Access Improv.	1,624	1,188		1,188		1,188			1,188			
0447	LA	Los Angeles Co	Eastside Light Rail Bike Interface Project	1,861	1,305		1,305		1,305			1,305			
0448	LA	Los Angeles Co	Unincorporated LA County Ped Plans and Programs	1,498	1,445		1,445		1,445			1,445	1,445		
0449	LA	Los Angeles Co	Quarry Clasp Peck Road to Peck Park Bike Project	2,575	1,546		1,546		1,546			1,546			

**2014 Active Transportation Program - Statewide Component**

**CTC STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

(\$1,000s)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI
0450	LA	Los Angeles Co	Willowbrook Area Ped Access Improvements to MLK MACC	5,555	3,865		3,865		3,865			3,865			
0457	LA	Palmdale	Active Transportation Program Plan	595	595	595			595			595			
0458	LA	Palmdale	Ave R Complete Streets and Safe Routes	6,669	5,332	3,080	2,252	2,500	2,252	140	440	5,332		5,332	
0466	LA	Pomona	Priority Implementation for Downtown Bike and Ped Improvements	2,010	2,010		2,010		2,010			2,010			
0478	LA	Santa Monica	Santa Monica SRTS Program	450	450		450		450					450	450
0022	MEN	MCOG	Covelo SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail, Phase 1	904	847	663	184		233	430	184	847		847	233
0023	MEN	Mendocino Co HSSA	SRTS	871	871	871			871			871		871	871
0235	MON	Monterey Co	Castroville Bike/Ped Path and RR Crossing	8,931	913	913			913			913		913	
0174	NAP	NCTPA	Napa Vine Trail Phase 2- Gap Closure	7,600	3,600	3,600			3,600			3,600			
0707	ORA	Anaheim	Western Ave Ped Signal	400	400	81	319	30	319		51	400		400	8
0708	ORA	Anaheim	South St Sidewalk Gap Closure	796	796	367	429	267	429		100	796		796	15
0710	ORA	Anaheim	Cerritos Ave Sidewalk Gap Closure	1,209	1,209	587	622	467	622	100	20			1,209	8
0751	ORA	Santa Ana	Newhope-Civic Ctr-Grand Class 11 Bike Lanes	272	272	272			272			272			
0752	ORA	Santa Ana	Complete Streets Plan	300	300	300						300		300	300
0754	ORA	Santa Ana	SRTS Enhancements for Heninger Elementary	480	480	35	445		445		35	480		480	15
0756	ORA	Santa Ana	SRTS Enhancements for King Elementary	500	500	36	464		464		36			500	15
0758	ORA	Santa Ana	SRTS Enhancements for Washington Elementary	780	780	57	723		723		57	780		780	15
0760	ORA	Santa Ana	Develop, design, and construct Bishop-Pacific-Shelton bike boulevards	950	950	70	880		880		70	950		950	
0072	PLA	Roseville	Downtown Roseville Class 1 Trails	2,547	1,236	1,236			1,236						
0507	RIV	CVAG	CV Link	99,359	10,900	7,000	3,900	3,900		7,000		7,000			
0509	RIV	Indio	Andrew Jackson Elementary Ped Improvements	2,581	2,581	207	2,374		2,374	21	186	2,581		2,581	
0510	RIV	Jurupa Valley	SRTS - Troth St	689	627	125	502		502		125	627		627	
0511	RIV	Jurupa Valley	Pyrite St SRTS Project	732	665	133	532		532		133			665	
0512	RIV	Moreno Valley	Citywide SRTS Ped Facility Improvements	1,640	1,640	160	1,480	71	1,480	4	85	1,640		1,640	60
0515	RIV	Perris	Murrieta Road Ped Improvements	1,100	1,100		1,100		1,100			1,100		1,100	
0516	RIV	Perris	Perris Valley Storm Drain Channel Trail	3,828	1,202		1,202		1,202			1,202			
0519	RIV	Riverside	Downtown and Adjoining Areas Bicycle and Ped Improvements	997	877		877		877			877			
0768	RIV	Riverside Co DPH	SRTS Active Transportation Program City of Perris	350	350	350			350			350		350	350
0769	RIV	Riverside Co DPH	SRTS City of Jurupa Valley	500	500	500			500			500		500	500
0770	RIV	Riverside Co DPH	SRTS City of Indio	500	500	500			500			500		500	500
0532	RIV	San Jacinto	Safe & Active San Jacinto SRTS	989	989	989		126	807	28	28	989		989	150
0074	SAC	Elk Grove	Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail	1,778	1,573	266	1,307	83	1,224	106	160	1,573		1,573	
0092	SAC	Sacramento Co	Howe Ave Sidewalk Infill and Bike Lane Improvements	1,853	1,853	320	1,533	40	1,533		280	1,853		1,853	
0093	SAC	SJUSD	SRTS	250	250	250			250			250	250	250	250
0246	SB	Lompoc	Sidewalk Infill and Curb Ramp Project	442	442	39	403		403	4	35	442		442	
0251	SB	Santa Barbara	Cacique & Soledad Ped/Bicycle Bridges & Corr Improv	3,703	2,703	150	2,553		2,153	150	400	2,703		2,703	
0540	SBD	Apple Valley	Apple Valley SRTS	1,095	1,095	1,095			1,095			1,095		1,095	
0546	SBD	Colton	Active transportation plan	265	265	265			265			265	265		
0552	SBD	Ontario	SRTS Active Transportation-Bon View, Corona, Euclid and Vineyard Elementary Schools	1,164	1,164	150	1,014	50	1,014		100	1,164		1,164	8
0557	SBD	Rilato	SRTS Plan	1,450	1,450	1,450			1,450			1,450		1,450	1,450
0537	SBD	SANBAG	SANBAG SRTS Plan	400	400	400			400			120	400	400	400
0561	SBD	SANBAG	Metrolink Station Accessibility Improvement	4,679	4,679	576	4,103		4,103		576	4,679			
0566	SBD	Yucaipa	Safe Routes to Calimesa and Wildwood Elementary Schools	1,027	872		872		872			523			872
0265	SCR	Santa Cruz Co HSA	SRTS Education and Encouragement in Santa Cruz County	447	447	447			447					447	447
0654	SD	Chula Vista Elementary School District	It's Cool 2 Walk to School NI Project	590	590	590			590			590		590	590
0655	SD	Coronado	SRTS Education	43	36	36			36					36	36
0659	SD	El Cajon	Cajon Valley Union School District SRTS Project	500	500	500			500			500		500	500
0666	SD	Imperial Beach	Elm Ave Traffic, Ped and Cycling Safety & Mobility Improvement	1,459	709	226	483	6	483		220	709		709	55
0669	SD	La Jolla Band of Luiseno Indians	La Jolla Active Transportation Project 2014	4,110	4,110	1,230	2,880		3,019	350	591	4,110			

**2014 Active Transportation Program - Statewide Component**  
**CTC STAFF RECOMMENDATION**  
(\$1,000s)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI
0670	SD	La Mesa	King Street Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,050	940	130	810	15	810	35	80	940		940	
0672	SD	National City	El Toyon - Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor	1,865	375		375	75		50	250	300	375		
0673	SD	National City	National City SRTS Ped Enhancements	1,690	350	275	75	75		50	225	350		350	
0676	SD	National City	18th St Bicycle and Ped Enhancements	1,225	1,225	200	1,025	50	975	50	150	1,225			
0680	SD	San Diego	Linda Vista SRTS	500	500	500			500					500	500
0681	SD	San Diego	Chollas Creek-Bayshore Bikeway Final Design	735	735		735	20	20		695	735			
0688	SD	San Diego Co	SRTS - Live Oak Elementary/Potter Junior High	2,760	2,760	694	2,066	166	1,900	360	334			2,760	
0700	SD	Vista	Vista SRTS Master Plan	120	120	120			120			120	120	120	120
0702	SD	Vista	Maryland Elementary Ped Mobility Improvement	792	712	85	627		627		85	712		712	
0195	SF	San Francisco Co DPH	San Francisco SRTS to Non-Infrastructure Project	990	990		990		990			990		990	990
0197	SF	SF DPW	John Yehall Chin SRTS	2,241	514		514			21	493	514		514	
0199	SF	SFMTA	SF Safer Streets	2,000	2,000		2,000		2,000			2,000		2,000	2,000
0031	SHA	Redding	Placer St Improvements	5,004	2,296		2,296		2,296			2,296			
0034	SHA	SRTA	Shasta SRTS	500	500		500		500			500		500	500
0611	SJ	Stockton	SRTS Plan	350	350	350			350			350		350	350
0617	SJ	Stockton	Bicycle Master Plan Update	550	550	550			550			440			
0621	SJ	Stockton	Fremont Square Sidewalk Reconstruction	728	728	79	649		649		79	728		728	
0625	SJ	Stockton	San Joaquin Trail	1,479	1,394	1,394			1,205		189	1,394			
0205	SM	East Palo Alto	Hwy 101 Ped/Bike Overcrossing	9,400	8,600		8,600		8,600			8,600		8,600	
0204	SM	San Mateo Co Office of Ed	San Mateo County SRTS for Health and Wellness	4,036	900	900			900					900	900
0217	SOL	Solano Transportation Authority	Solano County SR2S - Ingraining Walking & Rolling into the School Culture	388	388	388			388			388		388	388
0357	TUL	Tulare Co	SRTS Plan	110	110	110			110			110		110	110
0099	YOL	Davis	SRTS Program	562	562	562			562					562	562
0105	YOL	Woodland	2014 SRTS	539	539	539			539			270		539	539
0109	YUB	Marysville	SRTS Project & Programs	489	489	489			448	41		489		489	16
0773	VAR	Caltrans	State Technical Assistance Resource Center	1,875	1,875	625	1,250		1,875					1,875	1,875
0569	VAR	Omnitrans	West Valley Connector Corridor	25,125	3,500	525	2,975		2,975		525	3,115			
0494	VAR	SCAG	SCAG Active Transportation Safety & Encouragement Campaign	2,333	2,333	2,333			2,333			934			
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>353,309</b>	<b>183,843</b>	<b>66,141</b>	<b>117,702</b>	<b>8,177</b>	<b>148,414</b>	<b>12,355</b>	<b>14,447</b>	<b>160,859</b>	<b>8,790</b>	<b>101,013</b>	<b>22,710</b>

RW: Right of Way Phase  
CON: Construction Phase  
PAED: Project Approval/Environmental Document Phase  
PSE: Plans, Specifications & Estimate Phase  
DAC: Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities  
Plan: Active Transportation Plan  
SRTS: Safe Routes to School  
NI: Non-Infrastructure

**2014 Active Transportation Program - Small Urban/Rural Component**

**CTC STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

(\$1,000s)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI
0041	BUT	Biggs	SRTS Project	860	860	40	820		760	40	60	860		860	40
0051	BUT	Paradise	Maxwell Drive SR2S Project	968	968	131	837		837	33	98	968		968	66
0058	ED	El Dorado Co	Sawmill Bike Trail Safe Access	2,694	750	750			750			750			
0006	HUM	Arcata	Humboldt Bay Trail: Arcata Rail with Trail Project	5,366	3,100	3,100			3,100			2,325			
0007	HUM	Eureka	Eureka Waterfront Trail	4,382	2,448	150	2,298	150	2,298			2,448			
0008	HUM	Fortuna	SRTS safety improvements on bike/ped facilities	917	917	88	829		829	35	53	917		917	75
0017	LAK	Clearlake	Phillips Ave Class II Bicycle Lanes & Roadway Rehab	1,177	564	69	495		495	23	46	564		564	
0705	MAD	Chowchilla	Roberston Blvd/SR233 & 11 St Ped Improvements	550	550		550		470	20	60	550		550	
0020	MEN	Fort Bragg	Chestnut St Multi Use Facility and SRTS	1,051	259	259			259			259		259	26
0599	MER	Merced	State Highway 59 Multi-use Path	958	958		958	400	483	5	70	958			
0601	MER	Merced Co	Walnut Ave Complete Street Upgrade	2,179	1,781	236	1,545		1,545	200	36	1,781		1,781	150
0234	MON	Monterey	North Fremont Bike and Ped Access and Safety Improvements	7,318	6,480	735	5,745	443	5,302		735	6,480			
0237	MON	Monterey Co HD	Via Salinas Valley: Pathways to Health Through Active Transportation	4,666	4,662	4,662		140	4,172	9	345	4,662	427	4,662	320
0068	NEV	Truckee	Trout Creek Trail, Ph 2	2,300	1,520	1,520			1,520						
0242	SB	Goleta	Hollister Class 1 Bike	1,780	1,644	1,644			1,644					1,644	
0243	SB	Goleta	Fowler Rd & Ekwil St Extension	23,871	2,010		2,010		2,010						
0260	SB	Lompoc USD	Developing a Sustainable SRTS Program	411	411	411			411			411	411	411	411
0249	SB	Santa Barbara	Lower Milpas Ped Improv.	1,097	1,097	125	972		972	20	105	1,097		1,097	
0250	SB	Santa Barbara	Las Positas Rd Multiuse Path	10,387	1,372	354	1,018			354	1,018	1,372			
0252	SB	Santa Barbara	Montecito St Bridge Replace & Ped Improv	3,875	3,442	597	2,845		2,845	147	450	3,442		3,442	
0264	SCR	Santa Cruz Co	Radar Speed Feedback Signs and Flashing Beacons	829	829	829			757		72	829		829	
0269	SCR	UC Santa Cruz	Great Meadow Bike Path Safety Improvements Project	433	383	71	312		312		71				
<b>TOTAL</b>				<b>78,069</b>	<b>37,005</b>	<b>15,771</b>	<b>21,234</b>	<b>1,133</b>	<b>31,771</b>	<b>886</b>	<b>3,219</b>	<b>30,673</b>	<b>838</b>	<b>17,984</b>	<b>1,088</b>

- RW: Right of Way Phase
- CON: Construction Phase
- PAED: Project Approval/Environmental Document Phase
- PSE: Plans, Specifications & Estimate Phase
- DAC: Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities
- Plan: Active Transportation Plan
- SRTS: Safe Routes to School
- NI: Non-Infrastructure

**LETTERS  
RECEIVED**

STATE CAPITOL  
P.O. BOX 942849  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0039  
(916) 319-2039  
FAX (916) 319-2139

DISTRICT OFFICE  
9300 LAURAL CANYON BLVD., FIRST FLOOR  
ARIETA, CA 91331  
(818) 504-3911  
FAX (818) 504-3912

E-MAIL  
Assemblymember.Bocanegra@assembly.ca.gov

# Assembly California Legislature



**RAUL BOCANEGRA**  
ASSEMBLYMEMBER, THIRTY-NINTH DISTRICT

COMMITTEES  
CHAIR: REVENUE AND TAXATION  
APPROPRIATIONS  
BUSINESS, PROFESSIONS AND  
CONSUMER PROTECTION  
ELECTIONS AND REDISTRICTING  
WATER, PARKS AND WILDLIFE

SELECT COMMITTEES  
CHAIR: ADDRESSING OUT OF  
SCHOOL, UNEMPLOYED YOUTH  
INNOVATIVE GREEN FINANCING  
MECHANISMS  
JUSTICE REINVESTMENT  
SMALL BUSINESS FINANCING AND  
DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES

July 11, 2014

Mr. Andre Boutros  
Executive Director  
California Transportation Commission  
1120 N Street, Room 2233  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Pacoima Wash Bikeway and Pedestrian Trail Project

Dear Mr. Boutros:

I write to offer my support for the City of San Fernando's Pacoima Wash Bikeway and Pedestrian Trail project which has applied for grant funding under the California Active Transportation Program (ATP).

For decades, the Pacoima Wash, which is a tributary of the Los Angeles River, has not only been an eyesore and safety concern for the City of San Fernando and the surrounding communities of Sylmar, Pacoima, and Arleta, but has acted as a significant impediment to mobility. The Pacoima Wash has few pedestrian crossings and acts as a barrier in these densely populated neighborhoods ringed by freeways and industrial buildings. Unfortunately, the current condition of the Pacoima Wash prevents folks from easily accessing the rare opportunities for outdoor recreation in the area, such as the Hansen Dam Recreation Center.


However, there is a wave of momentum focused on transforming the Pacoima Wash into a community asset. With innovative and creative ideas coming from environmental justice groups like Pacoima Beautiful, coupled with efforts by the cities of San Fernando and Los Angeles, there is a real possibility of transforming the Pacoima Wash into a pedestrian and bike friendly thoroughfare that will encourage folks to live healthier lifestyles by providing access to much needed outdoor recreation, something that these historically disadvantaged communities have gone far too long without.

Thank you for your consideration on this issue and please do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss this further.

Sincerely,

Raul Bocanegra  
Assemblymember, 39<sup>th</sup> District

Cc: Members, San Fernando City Council





August 14, 2014

Mr. Andre Boutros, Executive Director  
California Transportation Commission  
1120 N Street Room 2221 (MS-52)  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**RE: Farmersville Active Transportation Program Grant Application  
ID No. 0342 (Tulare County) (Score: 78)**

Dear Mr. Boutros:

On August 20, 2014, the California Transportation Commission Board is scheduled to adopt the 2014 Active Transportation Program (ATP) Statewide and Small Urban and Rural Components via Resolution G-14-17 (Reference No.: 4.8). Our City leaders were deeply disappointed to learn that Farmersville's application for ATP funds fell just short of the funding cutoff to receive an award recommendation in the Statewide competition. This news was even more disheartening when we noticed that our application only received *two evaluations, rather than the stated standard of three*. **Given the very high score we received, we would kindly ask your further consideration for funding or provision of a third evaluation in order to give us a level playing field in competing for these funds.**


Two evaluators scored our application with scores of 94 and 62, respectively, for an average score of 78. Only one other Tulare County application scored higher (Woodlake at 81); however Woodlake received the benefit of three evaluations. No other application from Tulare County received a score of 94 or higher by an evaluator and none of Tulare County's 34 applications are on the staff recommended list dated August 8, 2014.

It is also our understanding that our MPO, the Tulare County Association of Governments has very limited ATP funds to provide countywide. Our grant request of \$2.038 million exceeds the amount the MPO has available to allocate. Our "Plan B" for securing funds at the local/regional ATP level is limited.

Our Comprehensive Active Transportation project addresses significant deficiencies in our **disadvantaged community**. A pedestrian was struck and killed where there are no sidewalks or bicycle lanes at the main entrance to our City. Our proposed scope of work will mitigate this hazard as well as other deficiencies citywide.

I appreciate the opportunity to express our request for a third evaluation and I appreciate the CTC's efforts to address the inequitable evaluation process to ensure fairness to all applicants who expended considerable resources to apply for funds. Please feel free to contact me at (559) 747-0321 if you have questions or would like additional information.

Sincerely,



Mario Krstic  
Interim City Manager

cc: Laurel Janssen, California Transportation Commission  
Ben Giuliani, Tulare County Association of Governments

TAB 20



1255 East Street, Suite 202 • Redding, CA 96001 • (530)262-6190 • FAX (530)262-6189  
E-Mail [srta@srta.ca.gov](mailto:srta@srta.ca.gov) • HOME PAGE [www.srta.ca.gov](http://www.srta.ca.gov)

Daniel S. Little, Executive Director

August 14, 2014

Carl Guardino, Chair  
California Transportation Commission  
1120 N. Street  
Room 2221 (MS-52)  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: Incomplete Active Transportation Program (ATP) Scoring

Honorable Chair Guardino:

Let me start by applauding Caltrans and CTC staff for their diligent work on an initial ATP program subject to an aggressive schedule. All seem to recognize many lessons learned and better ways to approach future cycles. We look forward to working with you to that end.

While certain bumps in the initial process are expected, any oversight that results in purely mathematical inequities and an incomplete or random evaluation process should be considered unacceptable. This certainly occurred when some applicants received completed scoring by three evaluators and others did not. A handful of applications likely lost funding due to this administrative oversight. In deference to the hard work by ATP applicants and related public involvement efforts, we request the commission direct staff to complete the evaluation process for those applications where the third score was a difference-maker.

To illustrate, consider the three evaluation scenarios used by staff to recommend the ATP program and compare an example using the same project with the same possible range of scores.

Scenario 1: The project has three evaluations. The three scores are averaged for a final score.

$$90 + 80 + 50 = \text{Score of } 73.3$$

Scenario 2: The project has three evaluations. Low outlier scores were eliminated at the discretion of staff.

$$90 + 80 + 50 = \text{Score of } 85$$

Scenario 3: The project is subject to two evaluations, thereby eliminating an unknown third score. The two scores are averaged. No outlier can be eliminated because it cannot be determined from a field of two.

$$(80 + 50?) \text{ or } (90 + 50?) \text{ or } (90 + 80?) = \text{Random Score of } 65, 70 \text{ or } 85$$

How would you want your project evaluated? Scenario 1 is fair enough and who wouldn't want Scenario 2? No one would pick Scenario 3. It is random and patently unfair with two of the three possible outcomes resulting in lower scores than under Scenario 1 or 2.

Put simply, the applicants forced to Scenario 3 were subject to random outcomes and – based on the math alone – were more likely to receive a lower score than applicants with full evaluations.


By our count, about a dozen applications subjected to Scenario 3 may have exceeded the grant award threshold had they received a full and complete evaluation. There is no way to know for certain until they receive the benefit of a third score like everyone else. This is unfortunate because those applications with incomplete reviews represent hundreds of hours of work and public vetting only to be dismissed because a third evaluator did not take a couple hours to score the project, nor were there reasonable efforts to substitute with a new evaluator. We know of several volunteer evaluators who would have scored extra applications given a couple days notice. There was time to request this as volunteer evaluations were due in early July.

We recognize the commission needs to adopt an ATP program of projects at the August meeting. That can and should proceed; however, we also urge the commission to direct staff to complete the evaluation process for those applicants that could have exceeded the funding thresholds with a third score. Volunteer evaluators stand ready. For those applications determined to meet the award threshold, consider remedies at the next meeting. Since experience has shown us that a large percentage of ATP projects will undergo delays, the handful of projects that could be funded after receiving a complete evaluation could be contingency projects or given priority in the next ATP cycle.

Random, inequitable and incomplete scoring go against the core tenants of an even-handed public grant program and the integrity of the evaluation process. With so much at stake in this highly competitive program, we urge the commission to address this immediately. The countless hours of hard work by ATP applicants, and the extensive involvement by the public, deserve no less than a level playing field.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Susie Baugh, Chair  
Shasta Regional Transportation Agency (MPO)

c: Malcolm Dougherty, Director, California Department of Transportation  
Andre Boutros, Executive Director, California Transportation Commission



**HUMBOLDT COUNTY ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

TAB 20

611 I Street, Suite B  
Eureka, CA 95501  
(707) 444-8208  
www.hcaog.net

August 18, 2014

Mr. Andre Boutros  
Executive Director  
California Transportation Commission  
1120 N Street, Rom 2233  
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Selection of the last project in the Active Transportation Program Statewide Component

Dear Mr. Boutros,

I begin with a thank you to you, your staff and Caltrans staff for the hard work, long days and probable conflict resolutions involved in this first cycle of the Active Transportation Program (ATP). While the program will most likely undergo minor tweaks in the next round, I look forward to participating in the ATP guidelines revisions that will take place before the next call for projects.

The Humboldt Region did very well in the program this cycle. I am pleased that our top regional priority projects, including those that ranked high in our Safe Routes to School Tool, were successful. Most importantly, lives will be saved with the implementation of these projects in our region.

I write this letter in an attempt to fully understand the decision making process for the selection of the last project in the Statewide component. From what I can decipher, the decision came down to two choices:


Project ID	Total Project Cost	Total Funds Requested	SRTS-NI	Project Score
0532	\$989	\$989	\$150	82.0
0599	\$958	\$958	\$0	82.7

I was surprised that the lower scoring project was chosen. I understand that the decision was made due to the Safe Routes to School Non Infrastructure component. That would make sense if the project scores were tied or if the Non Infrastructure component was under target, but neither of those scenarios are the case. This decision directly affects the Small Urban/Rural component as Project ID 0599 is the highest ranking project in that category. I am respectfully asking for a reconsideration of this decision.

Sincerely,

Marcella Clem, Executive Director

cc: Laurel Janssen, Deputy Director



Tahoe Transportation  
DISTRICT

TAB 20

Connecting our communities

August 19, 2014

Hon. Carl Guardino, Chair  
California Transportation Commission  
1120 N Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: Active Transportation Program Recommendations;  
Lake Tahoe Bike and Pedestrian Active Transportation Project

Dear Chairman Guardino and Commissioners,

Thank you for the time you took to discuss the Commission staff's recommendation that resulted in the Lake Tahoe Bikeway and Pedestrian ATP project not being included in the ATP program. As you are aware, the State Department of Transportation (Caltrans) had recommended our project submittal inclusion in the Small Urban and Rural component of the ATP program. As members of the project team, we are requesting the Commission to reconsider your staff's ATP recommendations and consider the merits of the uniqueness of the Tahoe Basin and restore the Tahoe Transportation District (TTD) ATP project submittal.

Our project submittal emphasized the Bike and Pedestrian Improvement elements identified in the larger SR 89/Fanny Bridge Community Revitalization Project. These elements will be ready for construction in 2015, even as the larger overall project elements of the SR 89/Fanny Bridge Community Revitalization Project continue to be developed for delivery in 2016. In addition, the ATP grant submittal included the South Lake Tahoe Greenway Shared Use Path. The grant request sought a total of \$10.8 million for these critical infrastructure improvements for the Lake Tahoe Region, and would have been utilized to leverage more than \$40 million in completed project improvements here in the Tahoe Basin.

The SR 89/Fanny Bridge Project includes a realignment of SR 89 on US Forest Service lands, rehabilitation of the historic Fanny Bridge, and bike/pedestrian/transit circulation improvements through complete streets developments. This project would also include improved bike/pedestrian/transit access to US Forest Service lands, with the extension on the north of the bike trail/shared use path 0.8 miles to Meeks Bay in the West Shore area and two miles to the Dollar Creek area on the North Shore.

As a successful recipient of the Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) funding, TTD is shepherding the Fanny Bridge Community Revitalization project through the development process, in concert with FHWA and Caltrans District 3. The FHWA will rely on its authority under the US DOT Secretary's "Every Day Counts" initiative to use a variant on CM/GC as the delivery method on the project. Caltrans District 3 will provide Quality Assurance, giving the state staff more direct experience with innovative delivery methods.



128 Market Street Suite 3F Stateline, Nevada 89449 | PO Box 499 Zephyr Cove, Nevada 89448  
775.589.5500 Fax 775.588.0917 [tahoetransportation.org](http://tahoetransportation.org)

TTD has met the federal requirement of this funding with local sources to provide a match of 11.47%. But closing the remaining fund source gap has been elusive. The submitted ATP application is intended to accelerate the bike/pedestrian elements of the Fanny Bridge project, rounding out the entire funding package and meeting ATP deliverability guidance by having the bike/pedestrian components ready to deliver next year.

As you may be aware, there are many challenges to providing a robust, sustainable living environment in the Lake Tahoe Basin for public agencies, such as TTD. Unfortunately, the current state and federal transportation funding resources do not recognize the unique characteristics of the Lake Tahoe region.

The Basin features a preponderance of public lands surrounding and intermixing with the communities in the vicinity. In many cases, the density of the population requirements in state or federal funding formulas do not account for this disproportionate amount of public lands or the 6.5 million annual visitors. The Lake itself, for these purposes, further affects density and urban factor calculations. Accordingly, Placer County, El Dorado County, the communities in the Basin and public agencies, including TRPA and TTD must rely on discretionary grant programs to supplement the available local resources.

Sincerely,

				
Carl Hasty District Manager TTD	Cindy Gustafson General Manager Tahoe City Public Utility District	Joanne Marchetta Executive Director Tahoe Regional Planning Agency	Jennifer Montgomery Board Supervisor Placer County	Norma Santiago Board Supervisor El Dorado County

CC: Commissioners  
Andre Boutros, CTC Executive Director  
Malcolm Dougherty, Caltrans, Director of Transportation  
Brian Annis, CalSTA, Deputy Secretary  
Todd Ferrara, CA Natural Resources Agency, Deputy Secretary  
Nick Haven, Tahoe Regional Planning Agency  
Peter Kraatz, Placer County

August 19, 2014

Andre Boutros, Executive Director  
James C. Ghielmetti, Chair  
California Transportation Commission  
1120 N Street, Room 2221 (MS-52)  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**Re: Recommendations to Strengthen Equity Provisions of SB 99 within Active Transportation Program Guidelines, Application, and/or Scoring Rubric**

Dear Executive Director Boutros and Chairman Ghielmetti,

On behalf of the undersigned organizations, we commend the California Transportation Commission (CTC) for your leadership in the implementation of the Active Transportation Program (ATP) as a comprehensive, statewide commitment to expand safe and active travel—especially for disadvantaged communities, schools, and residents—and achieve California’s climate and public health goals. Throughout the development of the ATP guidelines and application, we have appreciated the opportunity to provide feedback on the disadvantaged communities (DAC), public health, safety, and public participation sections to ensure that our most vulnerable communities fully share in the benefits of this program. As the process to revise the ATP guidelines gets underway, we thank you for this opportunity to submit recommendations for improving the program for the next funding cycle in order to maximize the ATP’s equity, public health, safety, and climate outcomes across California. Please see below for our specific recommendations.

- **Establish Safeguards for Write-In Disadvantaged Communities (DAC) Definition:** (page 9 of Application Part 2, Narrative question #6, part A, b.): While the write-in DAC definition should continue to be a valid option (given that many small disadvantaged communities throughout the state do not have accurate median household income data nor are captured by the CalEnviroScreen), there should be some form of oversight to ensure that this option isn't abused. While this oversight shouldn't create one more barrier for already burdened communities, it should ensure transparency and fairness. Cycle 1 Scoring Rubric left full discretion to the reviewers to determine whether a write-in DAC definition “meets the CTC Guideline criterion.” However, the guidelines are unclear as to who is required to review the proposed definition, requiring simply that an applicant “submit for consideration a quantitative assessment of why the community should be considered disadvantaged.”

Given that proposed MPO changes to the DAC definition require CTC approval, we recommend that a CTC-led process or body (e.g., a CTC ATP Advisory Committee)--with meaningful external stakeholder input--be charged with providing further clarification and guidance on what constitutes a "quantitative assessment," including required elements/components, for the purposes of the write-in DAC definition PRIOR to the release of the next ATP call for projects. This would allow for write-in DAC definitions to better conform to CTC guidelines' intent, rather than leaving all discretion to individual reviewers.

- **Clarify and Define "Significantly Benefit a Disadvantaged Community":** The CTC should clearly and publicly define what it means to "significantly benefit a disadvantaged community." Cycle 1 Scoring Rubric established a threshold that concluded that if 50% of a project's funds benefit a DAC, then the project significantly benefits a DAC. This 50% threshold was determined by CTC staff without any stakeholder input and not based on any research or best practices. Moreover, the threshold was determined AFTER ATP applications had already been submitted.

In order to increase transparency and to ensure that the established threshold is based on research and/or best practices, we recommend that the CTC establish a CTC ATP Advisory Committee--with meaningful external stakeholder input--to determine a significant benefit threshold within the guidelines. This Advisory Committee should assist in making determinations of what percentage of a project benefits disadvantaged communities in order to assist the CTC more accurately in accounting for how it is meeting its SB 99-mandated 25% DAC target. Additionally, the CTC could more clearly define methodologies, such as a Health Impact Assessment, which establish concrete metrics that define, in qualitative and quantitative terms, benefits to DACs.

Further, "significant benefit" should mean projects that specifically target and prioritize residents living in disadvantaged communities in order to ensure that projects that are determined as meeting the 25% DAC target "clearly demonstrate a benefit to a disadvantaged community", as outlined in SB 99. One example from the largest projects that is of particular concern is the Coachella Valley Link project submitted by the Coachella Valley Association of Governments for cycle 1 of ATP funding. The project purports to benefit disadvantaged communities (7 million of the 10.5 million award is attributable to DACs) and we are concerned that while parts of the project may be near census tracts or schools that qualify as disadvantaged, there is no indication that the project will serve or target those students. In fact, this project faced significant opposition from nearby residents of disadvantaged communities and local community-based organizations. There are ineffective measures in place to ensure that projects located in or near DACs will in fact significantly benefit DACs and their residents.

To more effectively evaluate this in the application, applicants should be required to answer specific questions that will yield more thorough and detailed responses on how their project targets and prioritizes residents living in disadvantaged communities. This will offer clarity to potential applicants regarding what it means for projects to benefit and serve disadvantaged

communities, and will provide more complete information to reviewers of the applications in determining how projects will impact targeted communities and provide a significant benefit. We recommend that the “Items to Consider” for the Disadvantaged Communities section in the Application Instructions (page 14, Q6, B.) be placed in the Application as questions that applicants are required to respond to if applicable to their project.

- **Preserve Local Match Exemption for DACs in Regional ATP Shares:** After much deliberation during the Guidelines development process, statewide consensus emerged that local match requirements constitute a de facto barrier for DACs in accessing active transportation grants. Accordingly, the adopted Guidelines provided for a local match exemption for DACs. Unfortunately, several regions across the state have either removed or created potential barriers for DACs through their region-specific match requirements, including:

*Local Match Exemption for DACs Removed Outright:* Sacramento Area Council of Governments (SACOG), Fresno Council of Governments (FCOG)

*Local Match Exemption for DACs Maintained But New Barrier:* While both the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) and the Tulare County Association of Governments (TCAG) maintained the local match exemption for DACs, these regions have chosen to award additional points to projects with higher levels of local match. In doing so, these regions create obstacles for projects from DACs unable to generate a local match in order to be competitive.

*Local Match Exemption for DACs Removed AND New Barrier:* The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LA Metro) not only removed the local match exemption for DACs but also increased the local match requirement to 20% from the state’s 11.47% minimum. This policy creates extremely high barriers to entry for DACs in LA Metro’s purview and likely discourages DACs from even applying for funds in the first place.

While we recognize that the MPOs have discretion to structure their regional programs to meet their regional needs, this discretion cannot be so unfettered so as to undermine SB 99’s statutorily mandated 25% DAC target. Since the 25% DAC target unambiguously applies to the MPO regional shares (ATP Guidelines, pg. 3), we recommend that the CTC remove these regional program barriers to DACs in order to ensure that the overall ATP achieves its DAC target.

- **Public Participation and Planning:** While the Cycle 1 Scoring Rubric instructed reviewers to consider how DAC residents were targeted and involved in project planning and prioritization processes, this DAC emphasis was neither reflected in the Application question nor the Application’s scoring breakdown--resulting in few applications that addressed the needs of DAC residents in the planning process.

Accordingly, we recommend the CTC clarify and strengthen the DAC component of the public participation and planning section. For example, applicants should be required to show not only how project area residents were consulted or engaged in the planning process but also how deliberate steps were taken to target DAC residents within the project area for consultation, feedback, and participation in the planning and prioritization process.

Moreover, we strongly recommend that language access, cultural competency, and community convenience (e.g., through the provision of child care, meetings located within community or walking distance to transit, scheduling meetings outside of traditional work hours, etc.) be explicitly included in the application questions, especially in the public participation section. To the extent that community based organizations (CBOs) are involved in public participation efforts, applicants should demonstrate how those CBOs work with and represent interest of DAC residents.

- **Provide Targeted Technical Assistance to DAC Applicants:** Disadvantaged communities often lack the resources (e.g. lack of planning or engineering staff, lack of dedicated grant writers) and capacity to develop and submit competitive and successful proposals despite the overwhelming and unmet infrastructure needs. Access to technical assistance resources during the application process can help overcome this barrier and increase the number of objectively competitive, successful awards that benefit low-income, underserved, disadvantaged communities. Without technical assistance, these communities will continue to fall even further behind in their ability to compete for grants
- **Increase Geographic Equity of the Program:** Regions of the state are underrepresented in this program. Many of these areas are the same regions that have historically been underrepresented by transit and active transportation programs, and therefore this program is acting in some instances to exacerbate historic inequality. For example, there are four districts (two of them comprising the San Joaquin Valley), all relatively rural, that represented the only districts where less than 10% of applications they submitted were approved. All of these four districts also represent those areas that enjoy the smallest share of awards granted in this cycle.

There are also areas within larger regions that, despite severe need for active transportation investments find themselves at a distinct disadvantage. One example of this is rural communities in the SCAG region.

Within the SCAG region, rural DACs are left at a competitive disadvantage to accessing ATP funds allocated at the regional level. There are a number of rural agricultural communities found in the southeastern end of the SCAG region, for example Eastern Riverside and Imperial Counties are marked by isolated communities of agricultural workers who, more often than not, are completely isolated and lack basic access to safe streets and other forms of active transportation. These communities, however, are unable to access funds available for rural communities because they are within the SCAG boundaries. In turn, any projects for these

communities are not competitive at the SCAG level because they fail to provide services to high density populations. In order to counterbalance the urban and suburban bias in SCAG we recommend a 10% set aside of all regional funds allocated to SCAG be set aside for rural, isolated communities within the boundaries of the MPO.

Incorporating these recommendations into the ATP Guidelines for future ATP Cycles will greatly strengthen the equity goals outlined in SB 99 and will ensure that all Californians can safely walk and bicycle to school, to work and to access critical services and amenities. We thank you again for your continued leadership and commitment to this work and we respectfully ask for your support of these important recommendations as this program moves forward. Questions or concerns regarding this letter can be addressed to Tony Dang, Deputy Director for California Walks at [tony@californiawalks.org](mailto:tony@californiawalks.org) or (510) 507-4943.

Sincerely,

Wendy Alfsen, Executive Director, California Walks

Phoebe Seaton, Co-Director, Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability

Judith Bell, President, PolicyLink

Jeanie Ward-Waller, California Advocacy Organizer, Safe Routes to Schools National Partnership

Sarah de Guia, Executive Director, California Pan-Ethnic Health Network

**2014 ATP  
PROJECT APPLICATIONS**

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0110	ALA	Alameda	Encinal High School Intersection Safety Improvement Project	437	387	387			387					387		63	56	70	63.0
0111	ALA	Alameda	Cross Alameda Trail	2,520	2,231	226	2,005		2,005		226	2,231	141	718	123	75	89	71	78.3
0112	ALA	Alameda Co	A Street Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Improvement Project	2,370	240		240				240					55	41	66	54.0
0113	ALA	Alameda Co	Anita Ave Ped Safety Improvement Project	2,600	265		265				265			265		53	58	59	56.7
0114	ALA	Alameda Co	D Street Ped Safety Improvement Project	4,850	485		485				485			485		53	66	55	58.0
0115	ALA	Alameda Co	Be Oakland, Be Active: A Comprehensive SRTS Program	988	988	988						988		988	988	60	-	77	68.5
0116	ALA	Alameda Co	Ashland Ave Bicycle and Ped SRTS Project	910	708		708		615		93	708		708		85	-	73	79.0
0117	ALA	Alameda Co	Hillside Elementary School SRTS Project	970	858		858		858			858		858		67	78	17	72.5
0118	ALA	Alameda CTC	East Bay Greenway	3,000	2,656	2,656				2,656		2,125		2,656		90	92	90	90.7
0119	ALA	Albany	Complete Streets Implementation for San Pablo Ave and Buchanan St	3,465	335	335		18		18	299	335		335		85	90	90	88.3
0120	ALA	BART	MacArthur Transit Village Improvements	5,657	1,500	1,500			1,500			1,500				60	29	58	49.0
0121	ALA	BART	Berkeley BART Plaza and Transit Area Improvements	10,456	3,726	3,726			3,726			3,726				45	46	46	45.7
0122	ALA	Berkeley	SRTS Improvements	292	263	32	231		231		32			263		78	69	74	73.7
0123	ALA	Berkeley	SRTS Improvements	318	286	35	251		251		35			286		78	69	76	74.3
0124	ALA	Berkeley	SRTS Improvements - LeConte ES	758	683	83	600		600		82			683		69	84	70	74.3
0125	ALA	Emeryville	South Bayfront Ped and Bicycle Bridge	19,000	-											78	85	77	80.0
0126	ALA	Fremont	Ped and Bike Improvements at Niles Elementary	899	796	80	716		716		80		20	796	20	73	52	38	54.3
0127	ALA	Fremont	Civic Cntr Dr Ped & Bike Streetscape Improvements	2,400	2,112	176	1,936		1,936	4	172					81	61	49	63.7
0128	ALA	Hayward	Tennyson Ped and Bicycle Bridge Project	1,242	295	168	127			168	127	295				73	67	66	68.7
0129	ALA	Hayward	Cannery Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridge Project	2,132	434	243	191			243	191	434				71	-	63	67.0
0130	ALA	Livermore	Marylin Ave Elementary SRTS	359	359		359		275	1	83	359		359		-	39	85	62.0
0131	ALA	Oakland	High Street-Courtland Ave-Ygnacio Ave Intersection Improvements for SRTS	1,128	1,128	84	1,044				84	1,128		1,128		67	79	66	70.7
0132	ALA	Oakland	International Blvd Ped Refuges	602	602	82	520		520		82	602				67	84	33	61.3
0133	ALA	Oakland	Thornhill Drive/Mountain Blvd Intersection Improvements	660	660	139	521		521		139	660				59	-	75	67.0
0134	ALA	Oakland	Harrison St/27th St/24th St Improvements	850	850	179	671		671		179	850		850		91	69	86	82.0
0135	ALA	Oakland	Park Blvd Area Improvements	1,147	1,147	241	906		906		241	1,147		1,147		71	80	93	81.3
0136	ALA	Oakland	City of Oakland Improvements for SRTS	1,236	1,236	260	976		976		260	1,236		1,236		68	75	87	76.7
0137	ALA	Oakland	International Blvd Ped Lighting and Sidewalk Repair	6,475	2,481	279	2,202		2,202		279	2,481				94	88	88	90.0
0138	ALA	Oakland	Lake Merritt to Bay Trail Bicycle Ped Gap Closure Project	16,212	3,210	2,885	325	325			2,885	3,210				84	72	76	77.3
0139	ALA	Oakland	LAMMPS/Laurel, Mills, Maxwell Park and Seminary Active Transportation Connection	4,066	3,598	695	2,903	84	2,903	611		3,598				95	91	77	87.7
0140	ALA	San Leandro	Traffic Safety Improvements at Garfield & Lincoln Schools	341	307	34	273		273		34			307	22	63	66	74	67.7
0141	ALA	San Leandro	Floresta/Monterey Intersection Improvements	801	681	60	621		621		60			681	10	-	72	48	60.0
0589	AMA	Jackson	Jackson Creek Walk	1,160	248	198	50	50		100	98	25				75	51	64	63.3
0590	AMA	Plymouth	SR-49/Main Street Intersection Improvement	4,926	699	699			699			699		699		56	57	52	55.0
0591	AMA	Sutter Creek	Hanford Street Bicycle & Ped Improv.	1,204	1,204	201	1,003		1,003	80	121					61	60	78	66.3
0041	BUT	Biggs	SRTS Project	860	860	40	820		760	40	60	860		860	40	-	70	94	82.0
0042	BUT	Butte Co	South Oroville Ped and Bike Plan	375	375	375				375		375	375			67	79	71	72.3
0043	BUT	Chico	Bidwell park Middle Trail Rehabilitation	249	220	220			215		5					36	-	39	37.5
0044	BUT	Chico	SR32 & Ivy Street	2,740	558		558		558			558				49	49	60	52.7
0045	BUT	Chico	LCC Bikeway Phase 2	1,138	563	563			563			563		563		62	31	39	44.0
0046	BUT	Chico	Memorial Way Multimodal Improvements	576	576	200	376	100	376		100	576		576		68	50	44	47.0
0047	BUT	Chico	SR99 Bikeway Phase 4	1,598	1,498	100	1,398	400	998		100	1,498				52	47	11	49.5
0048	BUT	Gridley	SR 99 Ped Infrastructure	767	625		625		625			625				54	70	55	59.7
0049	BUT	Oroville	Rt 162 & Myers St Ped improv	998	111	101	10	10			101					47	16	61	54.0
0050	BUT	Paradise	Downtown Paradise Equal Mobility Project	537	77	19	58	38		19	20	77				57	80	71	69.3
0051	BUT	Paradise	Maxwell Drive SR2S Project	968	968	131	837		837	33	98	968		968	66	78	85	83	82.0
0052	BUT	Paradise	Pearson Rd SR2S Connectivity Project	1,388	1,388	226	1,162		1,162	45	181	1,388		1,388	91	-	89	80	84.5
0592	CAL	Angels	The City of Angels Camp Ped Mobility Project	709	47	47				47						77	71	66	71.3
0593	CAL	Calaveras Co	San Andreas Ped Mobility Project	635	41	41				41		41				68	65	81	71.3

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0142	CC	CCTA	Mokelumne Trail Bicycle/Ped Overcrossing	6,600	6,098	6,098		1,171	4,879		48					66	54	33	60.0
0143	CC	Contra Costa Co	Pacheco Blvd Sidewalk Gap Closure (Phase III)	355	300	300					300			300		79	60	66	68.3
0144	CC	Contra Costa Co	Appian Way Complete Street	3,209	500	500				159	341			500		61	71	62	64.7
0145	CC	Contra Costa Co	Rio Vista Ped Connection	904	689	193	496	193	496	70	145	689		689	45	93	78	56	75.7
0146	CC	Contra Costa Co	Port Chicago Hwy & Willow Pass Rd bike/ped	2,307	1,000		1,000		900		100	1,000		1,000		77	51	56	61.3
0147	CC	Contra Costa Transportation Authority	Riverside Ave Ped Overcrossing	4,885	2,000	2,000			2,000							-	77	86	81.5
0148	CC	East Bay Regional Park District	San Francisco Bay Trail, Pinole Shores to Bay Front Park	7,100	4,000	4,000			4,000							70	78	83	77.0
0149	CC	Lafayette	Pleasanthill Rd (Mt Diablo Blvd - Springhill/Quandt Rds) Complete Streets Project	4,237	535	535				30	505			535		73	-	69	71.0
0150	CC	Orinda	Intermediate School Sidewalk Improvements	1,600	1,600	365	1,235		1,235		365			1,600		62	-	61	61.5
0151	CC	Pleasant Hill	Contra Costa Blvd Improvement Project (Beth Dr to Harriet Dr)	2,960	1,556		1,556		1,556					1,556		61	72	70	67.7
0152	CC	Richmond	Richmond Greenway Unity Connector	1,600	350	60	290		200	30	120	350				66	49	59	58.0
0153	CC	San Pablo	Wildcat Creek Restoration and Greenway Trail	3,776	750		750		750			750				80	82	54	72.0
0154	CC	Walnut Creek	Walnut Bl, Homestead Av, Walker Av Improv	8,194	-											47	33	35	38.3
0155	CC	Walnut Creek	Cedro Lane Improv - SRTS	1,389	177		177			35	142			177		54	50	68	57.3
0156	CC	Walnut Creek	Overlook Area SRTS	1,000	177		177			106	71			177		59	65	79	67.7
0001	DN	Crescent City	Front St Active Transp Catalyst & Coastal Trailhead	1,853	1,640	1,640			1,640			1,640				62	64	65	63.7
0002	DN	Del Norte Co	Mary Peacock School SRTS	489	489	35	454		454	35		489		489		50	66	84	66.7
0003	DN	Del Norte Co	Bess Maxwell School SRTS	888	888	888			888			888		888		57	78	49	53.0
0004	DN	Del Norte LTC	Del Norte Walk & Roll to School Encouragement Program	134	60	60			60					60	60	80	73	70	74.3
0005	DN	Del Norte LTC	California Coastal Trail - Starfish Way Segment	357	316	316			316			316				80	-	48	64.0
0053	ED	ED Co	ADA Ramp Improvements	436	436	78	358		358	20	58			436		-	64	24	44.0
0054	ED	ED Co	ADA Transition Plan for Curb Ramps and Sidewalks	260	260	260			260			260	260			45	-	62	53.5
0055	ED	ED Co	Comprehensive Bide Improvements	1,427	1,427	262	1,165		1,165	25	237			1,427		51	61	42	51.3
0056	ED	ED Co	EDH Blvd Bike Pate Gov Dr to Brittany Way	568	503	122	381	27	381	44	51			503		47	61	56	54.7
0057	ED	EDCTC	Western El Dorado County Bike Travel Opportunities Map	50	50	50			50			50				61	54	49	54.7
0058	ED	El Dorado Co	Sawmill Bike Trail Safe Access	2,694	750	750			750			750				90	70	79	79.7
0059	ED	Forest Service	Pope Baldwin Nat'l Rec Trail Enhance	1,050	750	750		5	720		25					40	77	83	66.7
0060	ED	Lake Tahoe	Sierra Blvd Bicycle and Ped Trail	5,588	500	500					500	500				77	64	61	67.3
0061	ED	Placerville	Combella Rd SRTS	330	70	20	50	30		20	20	70		70		62	41	56	53.0
0062	ED	Tahoe Transportation District	Lake Tahoe Unified School District SRTS Master Plan	111	111	32	79		111			111		111	111	65	60	66	63.7
0286	FRE	Coalinga	City Active Transportation Plan	240	240	240			240			240	240			93	-	71	82.0
0287	FRE	CSU Fresno	Barstow Ave Bikeways	2,075	872	872			650		222	872				71	95	84	89.5
0288	FRE	Firebaugh	Downtown ("O" Street) Sidewalks and Ramps	1,891	1,891	151	1,740		1,740	11	140	1,891				51	63	70	61.3
0771	FRE	Firebaugh	2014 SRTS Sidewalks	798	798	64	734		734	4	60	798		798		63	63	-	63.0
0289	FRE	Fowler	Merced St Ped Facilities from 3rd St to 5th St	324	267	267			267							24	23	48	31.7
0290	FRE	Fresno	Butler Ave Bicycle Lane, Hazelwood-Peach	274	41		41				41					67	39	18	28.5
0291	FRE	Fresno	Barstow Ave Bicycle Lane, Maroa-Del Mar	339	58		58	9			49					48	33	30	37.0
0292	FRE	Fresno	Columbia Elementary, complete sidewalks	615	84		84				84					60	46	35	47.0
0293	FRE	Fresno	Fresno Ped Bike Safety Education Program	255	250	250				250		250		250	250	76	50	50	50.0
0294	FRE	Fresno COG	Regional Active Transportation Plan	150	150	150			150			150	150			83	85	25	84.0
0295	FRE	Kerman	Ped Safety Improvements at Various Locations	250	250	250			224	4	22	250		250		77	70	61	69.3
0296	FRE	Kingsburg	10th Ave Ped Improvements from Stroud Ave to Kamm Ave	375	332	46	286	53	232		47					43	-	39	41.0
0297	FRE	Mendota	Mendota Elementary School Ped Improvements	254	254	254			229		25	254		254		72	62	71	68.3
0298	FRE	Reedley	2014 SRTS Project	140	140	25	115		115		25	140		140		-	37	38	37.5
0299	FRE	San Joaquin	Multi-Purpose Trail	1,579	182	182				12	170	182				71	48	63	67.0
0300	FRE	San Joaquin	Ped Improvements	1,906	220	220				10	210	220		220		68	53	86	60.5
0301	FRE	Sanger	Sanger "Fowler Switch" Canal Ped and Bicycle Trail	970	970	970			970			970				52	41	76	56.3
0302	FRE	Selma	Bike/Ped	380	380	380			350	30		380		380		64	81	37	72.5
0063	GLE	Orland	Active Transportation Plan	85	85	85			85			85	85			36	29	58	32.5

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0006	HUM	Arcata	Humboldt Bay Trail: Arcata Rail with Trail Project	5,366	3,100	3,100			3,100			2,325				-	76	79	77.5
0007	HUM	Eureka	Eureka Waterfront Trail	4,382	2,448		2,448	150	2,448			2,448				69	69	83	73.7
0008	HUM	Fortuna	SRTS safety improvements on bike/ped facilities	917	917	88	829		829	35	53	917		917	75	83	-	76	79.5
0009	HUM	Humboldt	Central Ave Shoulder Widening Hwy 101 (0.0) to Barstow Rd (0.45)	626	554		554		531		23					27	26	14	22.3
0010	HUM	Humboldt Co	Redwood Mobility Education Program	600	600	600			600			600		600	600	72	84	94	89.0
0011	HUM	Humboldt Co	Lafayette Elementary School Safe Routes Improv.	800	800	65	735	5	730	5	60	800		800	75	91	87	80	86.0
0012	HUM	Humboldt Co	Red Cap Rd Bicycle/Ped Improv.	1,500	975	975			975			975				80	54	63	65.7
0013	HUM	Humboldt Co	Beau Pre Trails	2,022	2,022	2,022			1,781	241		2,022				56	67	72	65.0
0014	HUM	Humboldt Co	Samoa Trail	1,618	1,618	1,618			1,517	101		1,618				83	72	83	79.3
0015	HUM	Rio Dell	Rio Dell SRTS Project 2014	741	741	159	582	75	582	46	38			741		-	58	69	63.5
0016	HUM	Trinidad	Van Wycke Street and Trail Project	305	305	64	241	20	241	14	30					67	66	64	65.7
0641	IMP	Calexico	SRTS NI	70	70	70			70			70		70	70	-	75	33	54.0
0642	IMP	Calexico	SRTS Infrastructure	384	340	340			340			340		340		73	-	50	61.5
0643	IMP	El Centro	Prepare ATP/SRTS Plan and make bike/ped improvements	797	797	797			738		59	797	150	797	150	79	76	72	75.7
0644	IMP	Holtville	Holtville Class I Bike Path	2,111	2,111	884	1,227	739	1,227		145	2,111				79	38	58	58.3
0645	IMP	Imperial	Aten Rd Bike Improvements	971	860	860			860							48	58	9	53.0
0646	IMP	Imperial Co	Sidewalk Improvements on Rio Vista Street in Seeley California	399	399	70	329	15	314		70	399	399			68	60	36	54.7
0704	IMP	Imperial Co	Sidewalk Improvement Grace Smith ES	785	785	77	708	35	673		77	785		785		69	72	44	61.7
0647	IMP	Imperial CTC	Heber Bus Stop & Ped Access Improvement Project at SR-86	800	707	707			707			707				63	70	55	62.7
0648	IMP	Quechan Indian Tribe	Fort Yuma Multi-purpose Pathway	640	168	87	81	15		87	66	168		168		70	79	65	71.3
0703	IMP	Westmorland	Improve Center St Ped Facility	1,113	985	985			897		88	985		985		80	64	88	84.0
0573	INY	Inyo Co	Construct bike lanes	562	75	75				75				75		50	59	51	53.3
0574	INY	Inyo Co LTC	Meadow Farm North Sidewalk	2,018	340	340				340		340		340		42	74	58	58.0
0575	INY	Inyo Co LTC	Lone Pine South Sidewalk	2,262	375	375				375		375		375		58	70	45	57.7
0303	KER	Arvin	T01 Varsity Rd Sidewalk Improvements	253	253	56	197	15	197	3	38	253		253		65	48	42	51.7
0304	KER	Arvin	T02 Sidewalk Improvements	680	680	680			580	5	95	680		680		76	77	13	76.5
0305	KER	Arvin	T03 Multi-Modal Use Pathway	988	988	123	865	15	850	3	120	988		988		79	46	61	62.0
0306	KER	Bakersfield	SRTS - Liberty High School	172	172		172		172					172		-	44	39	41.5
0307	KER	Bakersfield	Bike Lane and Route Projects - Group B	270	270		270		270							44	37	34	38.3
0308	KER	Bakersfield	SRTS - Frank West Elementary	312	312		312		312					312		63	71	79	71.0
0309	KER	Bakersfield	SRTS - Stockdale High School	389	389		389		389					389		-	47	67	57.0
0310	KER	Bakersfield	Bike Lane and Route Projects - Group C	405	405		405		405							84	45	53	49.0
0311	KER	Bakersfield	City Bike Lanes/Routes, Group A	425	425		425		425			425				64	57	72	64.3
0312	KER	Bakersfield	SRTS - Roosevelt Elementary	603	603		603		603			603		603		78	57	-	67.5
0576	KER	California City	California City Blvd Sidewalk Infill	470	411	42	369		369	7	35	411				48	54	41	47.7
0577	KER	California City	California City Blvd Sidewalk/Ped Improvements	999	999	94	905		905	8	86	999				53	78	38	45.5
0313	KER	Delano	Safety and Education for an Active Delano School Community	393	393	31	362		362	31		393		393	50	95	88	42	91.5
0314	KER	Kern Co	Stiem Middle School Ped Improvements	150	125		125		125			125		125		80	75	76	77.0
0315	KER	Kern Co	Highland Elementary Ped Improvements	330	275		275		275			275		275		85	74	91	83.3
0316	KER	Kern Co	Horace Mann Ped Improvements	372	310		310		310			310		310		-	74	95	84.5
0317	KER	Kern Co	Mojave Ped Improvements	640	565		565		565			565				69	63	78	70.0
0318	KER	Kern Co	Kern River Parkway Bike Trail Extension	1,500	1,310	310	1,000		1,300	10		300		1,010	300	80	48	52	50.0
0319	KER	Kern Co Superintendent of Schools	Kern County SRTS (KCSR2S)	652	652	652			652					652	607	63	74	35	68.5
0320	KER	Kern COG	AT Surveys, analysis, and Recommendations for Metropolitan Bakersfield Area+	180	180	180			180				180			42	31	42	38.3
0321	KER	Kern COG	Kern Regional Active Transportation Program Plan	700	700	700			700				700			44	79	33	38.5
0322	KER	McFarland	Active Transportation Plan	100	100	100			100			100	100			84	62	26	57.3
0323	KER	McFarland	SRTS Cliff Ave	782	782	104	678		678	5	99	782		782		61	74	73	69.3
0324	KER	McFarland	Industrial Ave. - SRTS	252	252	42	210		210	5	37	252		252		62	-	55	58.5
0325	KER	McFarland	E. Perkins Ave. & Browning Rd. Intersection - SRTS	401	401	59	342		342	5	54	401		401		61	43	51	51.7

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0326	KER	Ridgecrest	Sierra Sands USD - SRTS and Improvements	1,868	1,868	370	1,498		1,658	60	150	1,308		1,868	160	54	66	74	64.7
0327	KER	Taft	Taft SRTS	1,160	1,160	1,160			1,005	155		1,160		1,160		50	62	65	59.0
0578	KER	Tehachapi	Active transportation plan	300	300		300		300			300				47	62	64	57.7
0579	KER	Tehachapi	Warrior Park Trail Project	845	845	682	163		682	3	40	845				52	27	36	31.5
0580	KER	Tehachapi	Mill Street Overpass Improvement	4,973	550	50	500			50	500	550				41	82	37	53.3
0581	KER	Tehachapi	SRTS	900	900	120	780	50	780	5	65	900		900		51	72	89	70.7
0582	KER	Tehachapi	Valley Blvd Bikeway	1,292	1,292	136	1,156		1,156	6	130	1,292				74	93	82	83.0
0328	KER	Wasco	Karl Clemens & Thomas Jefferson Schools Ped Improvements	306	306	33	273	12	273	2	19	306		306		80	95	61	78.7
0329	KER	Wasco	Palm Ave Elementary School Ped Infrastructure Improvements	458	458	48	410	19	410	2	27	458		458		87	86	93	88.7
0330	KER	Wasco	JL Prueitt Ped Improvements	473	473	53	420	22	420	2	29	473		473		71	93	65	76.3
0331	KER	Wasco	Hwy 43 Ped Lighting	593	593	63	530	24	530	5	34	593				76	80	79	78.3
0332	KER	Wasco	Hwy 46 Ped Refuge	1,089	1,089	115	974	44	974	5	66	1,089				35	23	86	48.0
0333	KER	Wasco	Teresa Burke School & Filburn Walking Path	1,794	1,794	224	1,570	110	1,570	5	109	1,794		1,794		83	89	31	86.0
0334	KIN	Hanford	High Visibility School Crosswalks and Legends and Accessibility Ramps	678	678	678			678			678		678		39	71	64	58.0
0375	LA	Arcadia	Gold Line first Last Mile Access Improvements	3,540	2,478	201	2,277		2,277		201					50	75	84	69.7
0376	LA	Baldwin Park	Maine Ave Corridor Complete Streets Improvement	3,651	2,201	2,201			2,130		71	2,201		2,201		92	70	81	81.0
0377	LA	Bell	Florence Ave Ped Improvements	2,405	62		62				62	62				89	89	93	90.3
0378	LA	Bell Gardens	City Wide Safety Enhancement Project	997	802		802		802			802				-	71	95	83.0
0379	LA	Beverly Hills	Pedestrian Safety improvements at selected locations within Beverly Hills	1,300	136		136			14	122		136			25	70	38	44.3
0380	LA	Burbank	San Fernando Bikeway	8,239	5,743		5,743		5,743							69	83	62	71.3
0381	LA	Carson	City of Carson Active Transportation Project	1,482	1,482	1,482			1,436		46	1,482				82	86	87	85.0
0382	LA	Compton	Wilmington Ave Safe Streets Ped/Bicycle Improvements	996	996	47	949		949		47	996				81	89	93	87.7
0383	LA	Covina	Covina Bicycle Network	1,048	839	839			839			839				60	87	95	80.7
0384	LA	Cudahy	Cudahy Citywide SRTS Improvement (Ped Crosswalks)	1,271	1,271	98	1,173		1,173		98	1,271		1,271		95	93	66	84.7
0385	LA	Culver City	La Ballona Elementary School Improvements, Speed Reductions and Citywide Transition Plan Project	1,400	1,371		1,371		1,371					1,371		62	58	58	59.3
0386	LA	Downey	Rio Hondo Elementary School Route	360	360	360			360					360	28	61	48	87	65.3
0387	LA	Downey	Blodgett Ave Sidewalk Improvements	375	375	375			375					375	62	76	74	72	74.0
0388	LA	Downey	South Downey SRTS	711	711	711			711			711		711	171	80	78	78	78.7
0389	LA	Duarte	Duarte Gold Line Station Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,646	1,305	148	1,157		1,157	36	112	650				93	85	89	89.0
0390	LA	El Monte	Main Street Bicycle Blvd and Ped Access Improvements	995	995	46	949		949		46	995				53	72	59	61.3
0391	LA	El Monte	Rosemead Blvd Bicycle and Ped Safety Gap Closure	1,785	1,785	135	1,650		1,650		135	1,785				52	87	71	70.0
0392	LA	El Monte City School District	Durfee-Thompson Elementary Emerald Necklace Walking School Bus	692	604	604			604			604		604	604	76	89	90	85.0
0393	LA	Glendale	Citywide Safety Education Initiative	500	500	500			500			500				82	57	80	81.0
0394	LA	Glendale	Citywide Ped Plan	500	500	500			500			500	500			96	91	88	91.7
0395	LA	Glendale	Regional Bike Share/Station Network	2,404	1,500		1,500		1,500			1,500				51	70	65	62.0
0396	LA	Glendale	SRTS Improvements	1,642	1,642	126	1,516		1,516		126	640		1,642		80	82	87	83.0
0397	LA	Hermosa Beach	Veterans parkway Bikeway Herendo St to Gould Ave on Valley Dr, Admore Ave, and Greenbelt Path	456	268	41	227		227		41					72	48	37	42.5
0398	LA	Hermosa Beach	Hermosa Valley Middle School SR2S	756	605	101	504		504		101			605		39	48	44	43.7
0399	LA	Huntington Park	Randolph St Shared Use Bik/Trail Rails to Trails Project Study	400	400	400			400			400				82	56	74	70.7
0400	LA	Huntington Park	State Street Complete Street	1,184	1,184	1,184			1,163		21	1,184				71	91	77	79.7
0401	LA	Inglewood	Active Transportation Plan & SRTS Plan	486	486	486			486			486	332	486	100	-	91	99	95.0
0454	LA	La Canada Flintridge	Foothill Blvd link Bikeway and Ped Greenbelt	2,038	1,366	122	1,244		1,244		122					-	56	56	56.0
0455	LA	La Canada Flintridge	La Canada Flintridge Citywide School Route improvement Project	3,520	3,520	250	3,270		3,270		250			3,520	20	87	56	73	72.0
0402	LA	La Mirada	La Mirada Bicycle and Pedestrian Safety Enhancement Project	991	55		55				55	55				67	83	79	76.3
0403	LA	LA Unified School District	LA Unified School District Sustainable 50 Middle Schools SRTS Project	982	982	982			982			716		982	982	52	47	63	54.0
0404	LA	La Verne	Ped safety improvements and bicycle connections in the City of La Verne	591	523	523			499		24					51	73	58	60.7
0405	LA	Lancaster	15th St East and Ave J-8 Corridor Improvements	1,848	1,848	37	1,811		1,719	5	124	1,848		1,848	10	64	86	76	75.3
0406	LA	Lancaster	SRTS - Master Plan	366	322	322			322			322	322	322	95	81	78	45	68.0
0407	LA	Lancaster	SRTS - Endeavor MS	910	783		783		783					783	10	57	76	41	58.0

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0408	LA	Lancaster	5th Street East Corridor Improvements	1,438	1,438	85	1,353		1,353		85	1,438		1,438	30	93	93	70	85.3
0409	LA	LARRC	N. Atwater Non-Motorized Multimodal Bridge	9,038	3,660	3,660			3,660			3,660				91	80	92	87.7
0410	LA	Lawndale	City of Lawndale Mobility Plan	350	350		350		350			350	350			35	13	38	28.7
0411	LA	Long Beach	LA River Bike Path Gap Closures	1,049	839	108	731		731		108	839		839		-	84	53	68.5
0412	LA	Long Beach	Walnut Ave & 52nd St Bicycle Blvd	1,645	1,645	226	1,419		1,419		226	1,645		1,645		76	64	16	52.0
0413	LA	Long Beach	Market Street Ped Enhancements	4,460	2,982	352	2,630		2,630		352	2,982				84	-	69	76.5
0414	LA	Los Angeles	Wilmington Community/Waterfront & Alameda Corridor Freight Line West Terminus Ped Grade Sep	12,000	680	170	510				680	680		680		79	50	58	54.0
0415	LA	Los Angeles	Western Ave Expo Line State Linkage Project (south)	858	686	70	616		616		70					87	71	57	71.7
0416	LA	Los Angeles	Yale St Ped Linkages - Phase 1	690	690	110	580		580		110	690		690		87	78	95	86.7
0417	LA	Los Angeles	Main St Ped Enhance, 2nd-4th St	1,034	827	165	662		662		165	827				59	-	71	65.0
0418	LA	Los Angeles	Beverly Blvd Trans Enhancements	1,374	992		992		992			992				92	91	95	92.7
0419	LA	Los Angeles	LANI-Santa Monica Blvd. Improvement Project	1,375	1,225	125	1,100		1,100		125	1,225				88	48	84	73.3
0420	LA	Los Angeles	Cesar E Chavez Connections	2,350	1,565		1,565		1,565			1,565				96	82	77	85.0
0421	LA	Los Angeles	Imperial Hwy Bike Lane & Median Modification	1,957	1,580		1,580		1,580							75	70	71	72.0
0422	LA	Los Angeles	Top 50 SRTS Safety Assessments & Travel Plans	1,900	1,900	1,900			1,900			1,900	1,900	1,900	1,900	78	62	66	68.7
0423	LA	Los Angeles	Central Av Historic Corridor Streetscape	2,588	1,698	340	1,358		1,358		340	1,698				84	72	76	77.3
0424	LA	Los Angeles	Eastside Active Transportation Linkages, Ph II	3,651	2,237	382	1,855		1,855		382	2,237				80	97	94	90.3
0425	LA	Los Angeles	Hollywood Western Ped Improvements	3,923	2,288	322	1,966		1,966		322	2,288				94	-	86	90.0
0426	LA	Los Angeles	Expo Line Ped Improv, Crenshaw-City Lim.	2,890	2,311	178	2,133		2,133		178	2,311				85	-	80	82.5
0427	LA	Los Angeles	LA River Bike Path, Ph 4, Riverside-Forest Lawn	3,201	2,744		2,744		2,744			2,744				56	83	86	75.0
0428	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Education and Enforcement Prog	2,829	2,829	2,829			2,829					2,829	2,829	92	88	84	88.0
0429	LA	Los Angeles	Expo Line Bundy Sta First-Last Mile Improvements	3,450	3,053	287	2,766		2,766		287	3,053	3,053			-	89	92	90.5
0430	LA	Los Angeles	Little Tokyo Ped Safety	4,439	3,316	663	2,653		2,653		663	3,316				91	82	81	84.7
0431	LA	Los Angeles	Hollywood HS & Selma Ave ES, SRTS	3,412	3,412	661	2,751		2,751		661	3,412		3,412		93	93	63	93.0
0432	LA	Los Angeles	MLK/Bill Robertson Lane Linkages	6,369	3,980		3,980		3,980			3,980				84	79	74	79.0
0433	LA	Los Angeles	Boyle Heights - Chavez Ave Ped Improvements	5,227	4,182	836	3,346		3,346		836	4,182				87	61	72	73.3
0434	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Delores Huerta ES/Quincy Jones ES	4,292	4,292	858	3,434		3,434		858	4,292		4,292		88	90	87	88.3
0435	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Menlo Ave ES/West Vernon ES	4,742	4,742	948	3,794		3,794		948	4,742		4,742		95	71	88	91.5
0436	LA	Los Angeles	SRTS Sheridan St ES/Breed St ES	5,092	5,092	1,018	4,074		4,074		1,018	5,092		5,092		80	98	95	91.0
0437	LA	Los Angeles	LA River Bike Path, Headwaters, Owensmouth-Mason	6,136	5,432		5,432		5,432			5,432				69	88	83	80.0
0438	LA	Los Angeles	Broadway Historic Theater Dist. Ped Improvements	7,220	6,392	797	5,595		5,595	222	575	6,392				75	65	85	75.0
0439	LA	Los Angeles	Sixth St Viaduct Replacement, Bike/Ped Facilities	434,263	15,000	1,000	14,000		14,000		1,000	15,000				85	75	78	79.3
0440	LA	Los Angeles	San Fernando Rd Bike Path, Ph 3	25,430	21,195		21,195		21,195			21,195				67	88	84	79.7
0441	LA	Los Angeles Co	Willowbrook Area Bikeway Improvements	656	446		446		446			446				78	76	76	76.7
0442	LA	Los Angeles Co	Vermont Av Bike Lane, Manchester-EI Segundo	1,317	676		676		676			676				87	98	92	92.3
0443	LA	Los Angeles Co	East Los Angeles Community SRTS Program	925	810		810		710		100	810		810	190	97	85	93	91.7
0444	LA	Los Angeles Co	North County Bikeways	1,825	941		941		941			941				69	75	54	66.0
0445	LA	Los Angeles Co	Florence-Firestone Community SRTS	1,092	960		960		850		110	960		960	105	-	99	90	94.5
0446	LA	Los Angeles Co	Florence Metro Blue Line Stn Bikeway Access Improv.	1,624	1,188		1,188		1,188			1,188				86	95	88	89.7
0447	LA	Los Angeles Co	Eastside Light Rail Bike Interface Project	1,861	1,305		1,305		1,305			1,305				84	97	97	92.7
0448	LA	Los Angeles Co	Unincorporated LA County Ped Plans and Programs	1,498	1,445		1,445		1,445			1,445	1,445			87	92	68	89.5
0449	LA	Los Angeles Co	Quarry Clasp Peck Road to Peck Park Bike Project	2,575	1,546		1,546		1,546			1,546				94	86	89	89.7
0450	LA	Los Angeles Co	Willowbrook Area Ped Access Improvements to MLK MACC	5,555	3,865		3,865		3,865			3,865				88	90	0	89.0
0451	LA	Monterey Park	Monterey Park Bike Corridor Project	675	540	540			540							26	18	39	27.7
0452	LA	Montebello	Montebello Blvd ATP Improvement	6,108	4,205	1,470	2,735		4,205			4,205				64	72	71	69.0
0453	LA	MTA	Metro Blue Line First/Last Mile Plan	280	280		280		280			280	280			92	70	67	68.5
0456	LA	Norwalk	Foster Road Side Panel SRTS Improvement Project	2,208	2,208	100	2,108		2,108		100	2,208		2,208	30	79	86	83	82.7
0457	LA	Palmdale	Active Transportation Program Plan	595	595	595			595			595				92	87	88	89.0
0458	LA	Palmdale	Ave R Complete Streets and Safe Routes	6,669	5,332	3,080	2,252	2,500	2,252	140	440	5,332		5,332		86	90	78	84.7

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0459	LA	Palos Verdes	Palos Verdes Estates Citywide Ped Mobility Project	755	746	129	617	29	617	16	84			676	10	-	80	30	55.0
0460	LA	Paramount	West Santa Ana Branch Bike Trail Phase 2	3,701	3,277	27	3,250		2,951	60	266					74	51	82	69.0
0461	LA	Pasadena	Northwest Pasadena Active Transportation Plan	240	210	100	110		210			210	210			58	-	50	54.0
0462	LA	Pasadena	Traffic Signal at Orange Grove Blvd and Sunnyslope Ave	515	456	53	403		403	9	44					65	66	83	71.3
0463	LA	Pasadena	Cordova Street Road Diet	3,252	2,597	214	2,383		2,383	40	174					69	57	86	70.7
0464	LA	Pico Rivera	Pico Rivera iBike Place	4,014	3,553	334	3,219		3,053	18	482	3,553				51	-	66	58.5
0465	LA	Pomona	Foothill Blvd/Sumner Ave Active Transportation	800	705	47	658	3	655		47	705		705		54	86	80	73.3
0466	LA	Pomona	Priority Implementation for Downtown Bike and Ped Improvements	2,010	2,010		2,010		2,010			2,010				88	97	88	91.0
0467	LA	Rancho Palos Verdes	Palos Verdes Dr So. Bike Compatible Roadway Safety & Linkage	788	631	115	516		516		115					62	65	53	60.0
0468	LA	Redondo Beach	Redondo Beach Bicycle Transportation Plan Implementation	2,770	2,419	131	2,288		2,288	61	70					85	58	84	75.7
0469	LA	Rosemead	Bicycle Safety Improvements for Valley Boulevard and Mission Drive	603	603	603			563		40					80	61	30	57.0
0470	LA	San Dimas	San Dimas Canyon at Foothill Blvd Safety Enhancement Project	174	174		174		174					174		55	74	50	52.5
0471	LA	San Fernando	Pacoima Wash Bikeway and Ped Trail	2,796	1,997		1,997		1,997			1,997				66	-	60	63.0
0472	LA	San Gabriel	Las Tunas Drive Active Transportation Corridor Improvements	1,856	1,485	58	1,427		1,427		58					82	63	84	76.3
0473	LA	San Gabriel Valley COG	SGV Regional Active Transportation Planning Initiative	643	643	485	158		643							91	77	82	73.3
0474	LA	San Gabriel Valley COG	San Gabriel Valley Regional Greenway Network Initiative	19,918	18,013	1,068	16,945		14,409	1,068	2,536	18,013				91	41	78	74.5
0475	LA	Santa Clarita	Valley Vista Property Acquisition/Crest to Coast Trail	4,500	250	250		250								39	15	59	37.7
0476	LA	Santa Clarita	Sierra Hwy Ped & Bicycle Bridge and Street Improvements	3,229	1,402		1,402		1,402			1,402		1,402		75	79	93	82.3
0477	LA	Santa Monica	Personalized Travel Planning	335	335		335									49	72	41	54.0
0478	LA	Santa Monica	Santa Monica SRTS Program	450	450		450		450					450	450	46	73	65	69.0
0479	LA	Santa Monica	4th St Bike/Ped upgrades	750	600	600			600			600				92	-	72	82.0
0480	LA	Santa Monica	CA Incline Ped Overcrossing Replacement and Idaho Trail Improvement	1,511	1,077		1,077		1,077							61	51	46	52.7
0481	LA	Santa Monica	17th Street Station First/Last Mile Bike and Ped Improvements	5,477	4,819	482	4,337		4,337		482					84	65	76	75.0
0482	LA	So El Monte	General Plan Update (Circulation Element)	350	350	75	275			350		350	350			56	63	92	59.5
0483	LA	South El Monte	South El Monte High School & Monte Vista Elementary School SRTS Project	4,060	4,060	338	3,722		3,722		338	4,060		4,060		63	89	77	76.3
0484	LA	South El Monte	Santa Anita Ave Walkability	15,282	15,282	1,273	14,009		14,009		1,273					82	77	79	79.3
0485	LA	South Pasadena	Arroyo Seco Bike and Ped Trail	2,000	1,304		1,304		1,304							49	42	31	40.7
0486	LA	Temple City	Las Tunas Dr Bicycle Lane Project	2,402	1,921		1,921		1,921			1,921				71	47	77	74.0
0487	LA	Temple City	Las Tunas Drive Ped Improvement Project	4,689	3,751		3,751		3,751			3,751				48	86	67	67.0
0488	LA	UCLA	UCLA-CicLAvia UNITE LA (Urban Navigation Information, Training & Engagement)	612	612	612			612			612				30	58	98	44.0
0489	LA	Vernon	City of Vernon Bicycle Master Plan	60	53	53						53	53			65	63	100	64.0
0490	LA	Watershed Conservation Authority	San Gabriel River Bike Trail Extension and Roundabout	999	885	885		10	875							79	53	72	68.0
0491	LA	West Hollywood	Design District Streetscape - Melrose Av	7,786	4,876		4,876		4,876							70	57	84	70.3
0492	LA	Whittier	Whittier Greenway Trail Extension	3,747	2,998	185	2,813	56	2,374	439	129					72	73	52	65.7
0493	LA	William Hart Union HS	SRTS - Castaic Trail	4,543	1,852	1,852			1,852					1,852		29	50	36	38.3
0017	LAK	Clearlake	Phillips Ave Class II Bicycle Lanes & Roadway Rehab	1,177	564	69	495		495	23	46	564		564		70	45	82	76.0
0018	LAK	Lake Co	Mt. Konociti Multi-Use Trail Planning, Design & Construction Project	961	200	98	102	20		98	82	200				51	56	73	60.0
0019	LAK	Lake Co	Upper Lake Ped Improvements	367	367	48	319	5	314	12	36	367		367		-	49	64	56.5
0705	MAD	Chowchilla	Roberston Blvd/SR233 & 11 St Ped Improvements	550	550		550		470	20	60	550		550		46	74	85	79.5
0335	MAD	Madera	Fresno River Trail	728	160	160			160							72	65	67	68.0
0336	MAD	Madera Co	North Fork Pathway	715	633	73	560	24	560		49	316				78	34	63	70.5
0594	MAR	Mariposa Co	Creating Safe Routes to Mariposa Schools	1,280	441	441			441			441		441		68	69	68	68.3
0020	MEN	Fort Bragg	Chestnut St Multi Use Facility and SRTS	1,051	259	259			259			259		259	26	76	77	89	80.7
0021	MEN	MCOG	Westport Bike Lanes	750	700	185	515	125		185	390	350				56	64	-	60.0
0022	MEN	MCOG	Covelo SR 162 Corridor Multi-Purpose Trail, Phase 1	904	847	663	184		233	430	184	847		847	233	-	92	84	88.0
0023	MEN	Mendocino Co HSSA	SRTS	871	871	871			871			871		871	871	94	84	87	88.3
0024	MEN	Ukiah	Northwestern Pacific Rail Trail Phases 1 and 2	1,950	1,950	612	1,338	10	1,720	10	210	1,950				62	60	68	63.3
0025	MEN	Willits	East Hill Road Improvements Project	440	410	37	373		373	5	32	410				52	60	46	52.7
0595	MER	Gustine	Downtown Ped Improvements	2,488	344	344				14	330	344		344		63	71	43	67.0

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0596	MER	Merced	Bike Lane Markings	482	482		482		417	5	60	274		482		71	68	74	71.0
0597	MER	Merced	ADA Ramps around Schools	717	717		717		547	5	165	595		717		75	-	41	58.0
0598	MER	Merced	State Highway 99 Multi-use Pathway at Railroad Crossing	945	945		945		834	5	106	945				57	61	76	64.7
0599	MER	Merced	State Highway 99 Multi-use Path	958	958		958	400	483	5	70	958				85	88	75	82.7
0600	MER	Merced	Childs Ave ATP Project	2,721	2,721		2,721		2,378	5	338	2,721		2,721		70	62	88	66.0
0601	MER	Merced Co	Walnut Ave Complete Street Upgrade	2,179	1,781	236	1,545		1,545	200	36	1,781		1,781	150	74	47	87	80.5
0583	MNO	Mammoth Lakes	Minaret Road Connector Path MUP	1,820	80	80					80					37	58	67	54.0
0584	MNO	Mammoth Lakes	High School Connector Path Project	259	229	40	189		189		40			229		65	36	62	54.3
0585	MNO	Mammoth Lakes	Mammoth Creek Gap Closure	706	585	266	319	221	319		45					48	57	64	56.3
0586	MNO	Mammoth Lakes	Trails End Access Path Maintenance Project	670	593	593			580		13					74	42	48	45.0
0587	MNO	Mono Co	SRTS - Bridgeport and Lee Vining	1,970	1,970	200	1,770		1,770	40	160			1,970		70	71	74	71.7
0232	MON	Marina	Citywide Sidewalk and Curb Ramp Improvement Project	3,885	6,260	2,375	3,885		3,510	15	110	3,885		3,885		46	-	53	49.5
0233	MON	Monterey	Transportation Demand Management - Monterey on the Move	350	350	350			350			350	350			67	0	14	40.5
0234	MON	Monterey	North Fremont Bike and Ped Access and Safety Improvements	7,318	6,480	735	5,745	443	5,302		735	6,480				83	80	72	78.3
0235	MON	Monterey Co	Castroville Bike/Ped Path and RR Crossing	8,931	913	913			913			913		913		86	59	94	90.0
0236	MON	Monterey Co	Monterey Bay Sanctuary Trail, Moss Landing Seg.	8,635	3,795		3,795		3,795							45	57	47	49.7
0237	MON	Monterey Co HD	Via Salinas Valley: Pathways to Health Through Active Transportation	4,666	4,662	4,662		140	4,172	9	345	4,662	427	4,662	320	71	80	47	75.5
0238	MON	Seaside	West Broadway Urban Infrastructure Improvements	12,500	2,700		2,700		2,700			2,700				68	61	64	64.3
0159	MRN	Corte Madera	Bike/Ped Intersection Surface Treatments	279	250	150	100			150	100		250			29	9	0	19.0
	MRN	Corte Madera	Intersection Undercrossing along Wornum - Feasibility Study	250	250	250										19	20	6	15.0
0160	MRN	Fairfax	Fairfax School Bike Spine Gap Completion Project	363	363	363			309		54			363		70	70	60	66.7
0161	MRN	Golden Gate Transportation District	Alexander Ave Improvements	3,000	2,097	73	2,024		2,024		73					59	66	65	63.3
0162	MRN	Marin Co	Mill Valley-Sausalito Multi-Use Path Rehabilitation	1,756	988		988		988			524				42	56	65	54.3
0163	MRN	Marin Co	North Civic Center Drive Improvements	4,077	1,824	1,824			1,824							-	45	57	51.0
0164	MRN	Marin Co Transit District	Ped Access and Safety Improvements for Downtown Novato Transit Facility	3,655	2,270	2,270			2,270			2,270				68	64	37	66.0
0157	MRN	Mill Valley	Transforming Miller Ave into a Complete Street	1,200	1,200	1,200			1,200							39	66	71	58.7
0165	MRN	Novato	Arthur Street Sidewalk and Class II Bike Lane Gap Closure	350	350	350			308	32				350		-	45	63	54.0
0166	MRN	Novato	Nave Dr Multi-Use Path	585	387	387			340	47				387		52	52	59	54.3
0167	MRN	San Anselmo	Lower Brookside Elementary School Sidewalk Gap Completion Project	441	441	441			367		74			441		70	72	59	67.0
0168	MRN	San Rafael	Davidson Middle School Ped Safety Gap Closure Project	399	399	399			340		59	399		399		44	75	62	60.3
0169	MRN	San Rafael	Grand Ave Pathway Connector	690	611	75	536	62	536		13					56	74	70	66.7
0170	MRN	San Rafael	Francisco Blvd East Improvements	2,684	2,376		2,376									65	59	68	64.0
0171	MRN	Sausalito	Harbor Drive to Gate 6 Road Multi-Use Pathway	1,964	1,739	1,739			1,512		227					57	55	84	56.0
0172	MRN	TAM	Marin Bike Share System	1,080	956	956			956							33	27	22	27.3
0173	NAP	Napa	SR 29 Bike and Ped Undercrossing	579	482		482	40	367		75	482		482		70	79	96	81.7
0174	NAP	NCTPA	Napa Vine Trail Phase 2- Gap Closure	7,600	3,600	3,600			3,600			3,600				-	88	87	87.5
0175	NAP	St Helena	St Helena Ped Plan	125	125	125			125			125	125			40	32	53	41.7
0064	NEV	Grass Valley	Bicycle Improvements	770	770	140	630		630	56	84	770				34	62	79	58.3
0065	NEV	Grass Valley	Curb Ramps & Accessible Ped Signals	827	827	138	689		689	28	110	827				43	51	34	42.7
0066	NEV	Grass Valley	City Sidewalk Improvements	991	991	180	811		811	72	108	991				68	53	61	60.7
0067	NEV	Grass Valley	SRTS Project	1,416	1,416	236	1,180		1,180	47	189	1,416		1,416		49	-	26	37.5
0068	NEV	Truckee	Trout Creek Trail, Ph 2	2,300	1,520	1,520			1,520							78	78	84	80.0
0069	NEV	Truckee-Donner Recreation & Park District	Tahoe_Pyramide Bikeway	1,994	1,795	111	1,684		1,684	42	111					61	-	73	67.0
0706	ORA	Aliso Viejo	Ped Bridge Crossing at Aliso Creek	730	540	93	447		447		93					64	-	54	59.0
0707	ORA	Anaheim	Western Ave Ped Signal	400	400	81	319	30	319		51	400		400	8	96	90	84	90.0
0708	ORA	Anaheim	South St Sidewalk Gap Closure	796	796	367	429	267	429		100	796		796	15	83	87	93	87.7
0709	ORA	Anaheim	Anaheim Coves Northern Extension	832	832	832			832			832				75	82	79	78.7
0710	ORA	Anaheim	Cerritos Ave Sidewalk Gap Closure	1,209	1,209	587	622	467	622	100	20			1,209	8	71	92	96	86.3
0711	ORA	Brea	The Tracks at Brea, Segment 4	3,026	2,484	2,484			2,484							71	87	76	78.0

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0712	ORA	Brea	The Tracks at Brea, Segments 2 & 3	2,889	2,557	2,557			2,557							80	75	86	80.3
0713	ORA	Costa Mesa	SRTS Flashing Crosswalks	253	253	50	203		203		50			253	50	50	55	-	52.5
0714	ORA	Costa Mesa	West 19th Street Bicycle Trail Project	1,704	1,704	1,704			1,704			1,704				83	36	71	63.3
0715	ORA	Costa Mesa	Eastside SRTS Traffic Calming	2,043	2,043	150	1,893		1,893		150		2,043	2,043	150	39	70	56	63.0
0716	ORA	Cypress	Cerritos Ave Bike Corridor Improvements	571	505	505			505							76	80	80	78.7
0717	ORA	Dana Point	Coast Highway Class I/Ped Way Extension Project, Phase 1 Project	690	610		610		610							44	48	65	52.3
0718	ORA	Fountain Valley	Ped pathway Improvements within School Zones	542	542	542			542					542		21	-	29	25.0
0719	ORA	Fullerton	COF Union Pacific Trail, Ph II	1,527	1,352	32	1,320		1,320		32	1,352				-	17	81	49.0
0720	ORA	Garden Grove	Harbor & Twintree HAWK	160	160	160			160					160		81	78	54	79.5
0721	ORA	Garden Grove	Chapman & Lamplighter New Traffic Signal	190	190	190			180		10	38		190		60	68	74	67.3
0722	ORA	Huntington Beach	Orange Ave Bike Blvd	1,346	1,193	1,193			1,143		50					63	53	72	62.7
0723	ORA	Huntington Beach	Huntington Beach Blufftop Path	1,631	1,435	132	1,303		1,303	132						38	63	78	59.7
0724	ORA	Irvine	Bicycle Friendly Intersection	507	444	120	324		324	31	89					80	46	59	61.7
0725	ORA	Irvine	Harvard and Walnut Trail Enhancements	563	495	106	389		389	31	75					57	43	63	54.3
0726	ORA	Irvine	Citywide Bicycle, Ped, Motorist Safety Program	500	500	500			465	35						85	48	66	66.3
0727	ORA	La Habra	Union Pacific Rail Line Bikeway	800	708	708		708								62	67	82	70.3
0728	ORA	Laguna Hills	La Paz Sidewalk Widening	540	478		478	89	345		44	478		478		69	-	79	74.0
0729	ORA	Laguna Hills	La Paz Open Space Bikeway Project	706	625	625			625							45	55	-	50.0
0730	ORA	Lake Forest	School Zone Sign Replacement Project	60	60	60			60					60		59	-	34	46.5
0731	ORA	Lake Forest	Foothill Ranch Elementary School Zone & Crosswalk	65	65	65			59		6			65		64	60	46	56.7
0732	ORA	Lake Forest	Saddleback Ranch Rd Traffic Calming	999	499	499			499							-	34	56	45.0
0733	ORA	Newport Beach	West Coast Hwy Ped & Bike Overcrossing	14,410	7,188	497	6,691	6,194		497	497					64	51	50	55.0
0734	ORA	OCTA	Orange County Sidewalk Inventory	185	163	163			163			163	163			-	55	67	61.0
0735	ORA	Orange	Katella Av & Struck Av Sidewalk Improvements	784	90		90	20			70	90		90		49	35	57	47.0
0736	ORA	Orange	Glassell Street and Palm Ave Traffic Signal	305	270	270			270			153				53	68	64	61.7
0737	ORA	Orange	Almond Ave Sidewalk Improvements	285	285	37	248		248	5	32	285		285		32	54	49	45.0
0738	ORA	Orange	Ped Countdown Signals for Major Intersections	386	341	341			341			271				73	-	58	65.5
0739	ORA	Orange	Collins Ave Sidewalk, Hart St-Laurel Dr	415	415	38	377		377		38	415				46	31	64	47.0
0740	ORA	Orange	City Bikeways System Enhancements	458	458	25	433		433		25	458				38	63	46	49.0
0741	ORA	Orange	Orangewood Ave Sidewalk	567	502	18	484		456	18	28	502				42	39	35	38.7
0742	ORA	Orange	Santiago Blvd Sidewalk, Taft Av-Meats Av	561	561		561		510		51	561				18	16	37	23.7
0743	ORA	Orange Co	Bicycle Loop - Segment D	300	266	266				266						85	76	79	80.0
0744	ORA	Orange Co	Lambert Road Bikeway Project	445	394	394			394			89				73	76	65	71.3
0745	ORA	Orange Co	County Bicycle Loop, Segments F and H	525	465	465				465						-	71	73	72.0
0746	ORA	San Clemente	Shorecliffs Middle School SRTS	585	68	68				17	51			68		62	64	68	64.7
0747	ORA	San Clemente	Concordia School Ped/Bike Improv.	1,180	126	126				32	94			126		72	71	82	75.0
0748	ORA	San Clemente	Las Palmas Elementary SRTS	1,156	133	133				33	100			133		60	63	68	63.7
0749	ORA	San Juan Capistrano	San Juan Capistrano Bikeway Gap Closure	493	437	437			384		53					85	67	75	75.7
0750	ORA	San Juan Capistrano	SRTS on El Camino Real and Spring Street	464	464	10	454		414	10	40			464		43	46	-	44.5
0751	ORA	Santa Ana	Newhope-Civic Ctr-Grand Class 11 Bike Lanes	272	272	272			272			272				71	92	96	86.3
0752	ORA	Santa Ana	Complete Streets Plan	300	300	300						300		300	300	75	-	89	82.0
0753	ORA	Santa Ana	Monte Vista Elementary SRTS Enhancements	430	430	30	400		400		30			430		80	65	37	72.5
0754	ORA	Santa Ana	SRTS Enhancements for Heninger Elementary	480	480	35	445		445		35	480		480	15	83	82	97	87.3
0755	ORA	Santa Ana	Bikeways Feasibility Study	500	500	500			500			500	500	500	500	85	82	81	82.7
0756	ORA	Santa Ana	SRTS Enhancements for King Elementary	500	500	36	464		464		36			500	15	80	-	86	83.0
0757	ORA	Santa Ana	Ped and Bicycle Safety Campaign	550	550	550			550			550				82	57	62	59.5
0758	ORA	Santa Ana	SRTS Enhancements for Washington Elementary	780	780	57	723		723		57	780		780	15	90	82	81	84.3
0759	ORA	Santa Ana	Lincoln Ave Ped Pathway Connectivity	1,099	882		882		882			882				65	73	60	66.0
0760	ORA	Santa Ana	Develop, design, and construct Bishop-Pacific-Shelton bike boulevards	950	950	70	880		880		70	950		950		86	92	89	89.0

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0761	ORA	Santa Ana	Maple Bicycle Trail Safety Enhancements	1,101	1,101	82	1,019		1,019		82	1,101		1,101		78	64	-	71.0
0762	ORA	Seal Beach	Lampson Ave Bike Lane Gap Closure	1,002	887	95	792		792		95					69	80	26	58.3
0763	ORA	Tustin	Peters Canyon Trail Gap Closure	1,744	1,565	133	1,432		1,432		133	1,565				84	52	64	58.0
0764	ORA	Tustin	Tustin Legacy Ped/Bike Trail and Bridges	11,942	2,859	528	2,331		2,331	28	500	2,859				50	51	53	51.3
0765	ORA	Westminster	Garden Grove Blvd Bike Lanes, Sidewalk, and Roadway Widening Improvement Project	2,500	2,500	500	2,000		2,000	50	450	2,500				39	70	62	66.0
0766	ORA	Yorba Linda	Lakeview Ave Sidewalk Gap Closure	100	100		100		80	20				100		44	42	52	46.0
0767	ORA	Yorba Linda	Master Plan of Sidewalks	150	150	150				150			150			36	60	19	38.3
0070	PLA	Colfax	N. Main Street Bike Route Project	299	265	27	238		220	27	18					70	39	67	58.7
0071	PLA	Placer Co	Auburn Folsom Rd Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,089	739	739			739							67	51	47	55.0
0072	PLA	Roseville	Downtown Roseville Class 1 Trails	2,547	1,236	1,236			1,236							83	88	37	85.5
0073	PLA	Roseville	Downtown Ped Bridge	2,809	2,487	2,487			2,487							82	77	31	79.5
0026	PLU	Plumas Co	Bicycle Transportation Plan	62	62	62			62			62	62			32	63	71	55.3
0027	PLU	Plumas Co	Greenville SR89 Rehabilitation	7,497	1,812		1,812		1,812			1,812				54	77	56	55.0
0571	RIV	Coachella	ATP Improvements	1,764	1,764	100	1,664		1,664		100	1,764		1,764		81	48	94	74.3
0507	RIV	CVAG	CV Link	99,359	10,900	7,000	3,900	3,900		7,000		7,000				85	88	88	87.0
0508	RIV	Eastvale	SRTS at Multiple Schools-Signalized Crossing and Radar Speed Display	479	479	66	413		413	10	56			479		60	65	80	68.3
0509	RIV	Indio	Andrew Jackson Elementary Ped Improvements	2,581	2,581	207	2,374		2,374	21	186	2,581		2,581		80	94	80	84.7
0572	RIV	Jurupa Area Rec & Park Dist.	Horseshoe Lake Park Trailhead	438	391	391			391			391				20	62	21	34.3
0510	RIV	Jurupa Valley	SRTS - Troth St	689	627	125	502		502		125	627		627		-	85	98	91.5
0511	RIV	Jurupa Valley	Pyrite St SRTS Project	732	665	133	532		532		133			665		96	82	42	89.0
0512	RIV	Moreno Valley	Citywide SRTS Ped Facility Improvements	1,640	1,640	160	1,480	71	1,480	4	85	1,640		1,640	60	82	96	75	84.3
0513	RIV	Murrieta	Murrieta Creek Trail - Copper Canyon Bridge and Clinton Keith Trail	643	577	577			485		92					42	43	-	42.5
0514	RIV	Palm Springs	Bicycles on Every Street (Class II & III)	1,920	1,700		1,700		1,700			1,700				56	64	51	57.0
0515	RIV	Perris	Murrieta Road Ped Improvements	1,100	1,100		1,100		1,100			1,100		1,100		86	90	93	89.7
0516	RIV	Perris	Perris Valley Storm Drain Channel Trail	3,828	1,202		1,202		1,202			1,202				87	85	87	86.3
0517	RIV	Riverside	Iowa Ave and Martin Luther King Blvd Bike Improvements	332	267	267			267							83	67	21	75.0
0518	RIV	Riverside	Bridge Lighting Improvements	403	326		326		326			326				55	34	81	56.7
0519	RIV	Riverside	Downtown and Adjoining Areas Bicycle and Ped Improvements	997	877		877		877			877				85	93	86	88.0
0520	RIV	Riverside	Railroad Crossing Sidewalk Safety Improvements	2,057	1,655		1,655		1,655			1,655				44	61	72	59.0
0521	RIV	Riverside	Wells/Arlanza Sidewalk Improvement	1,961	-											77	64	73	71.3
0522	RIV	Riverside	Norte Vista Sidewalk Improvement	2,833	2,575		2,575		2,575			2,575		2,575		70	-	68	69.0
0523	RIV	Riverside	Santa Ana River Trail (SART) Improvements	3,991	3,211		3,211		3,211			3,211				52	76	29	52.3
0524	RIV	Riverside	Ramona Sidewalk Improvement	4,316	3,923		3,923		3,923			3,923				54	36	48	46.0
0525	RIV	Riverside Co	Avenida Rambla Sidewalk Safety Improvements	356	356	85	271		271	35	50	356		356		85	81	72	79.3
0526	RIV	Riverside Co	Mecca Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements	605	571	65	506		506	50	15	571		571		57	61	79	65.7
0527	RIV	Riverside Co	Clark St Sidewalk and Intersection Safety Improvements	721	721	544	177	177		200	344	721		200		72	87	52	79.5
0528	RIV	Riverside Co	Install sidewalks and safety improvements	878	878	167	711		711	50	117	878		878		66	78	59	67.7
0529	RIV	Riverside Co	3rd Place Sidewalk and Roadway Safety Improvements	881	881	182	699	120	579	52	130	881		881		64	43	50	52.3
0530	RIV	Riverside Co	Grapefruit Blvd/4th St Ped and Roadway Safety Improvements	2,300	2,300	430	1,870	10	1,860	143	287	2,300				78	80	-	79.0
0768	RIV	Riverside Co DPH	SRTS Active Transportation Program City of Perris	350	350	350			350			350		350	350	79	82	78	79.7
0769	RIV	Riverside Co DPH	SRTS City of Jurupa Valley	500	500	500			500			500		500	500	99	99	86	94.7
0770	RIV	Riverside Co DPH	SRTS City of Indio	500	500	500			500			500		500	500	99	75	97	90.3
0531	RIV	Riverside Co Parks	Salt Creek Parkway, Phase III	4,223	3,251		3,251		3,251			3,251				40	77	45	42.5
0532	RIV	San Jacinto	Safe & Active San Jacinto SRTS	989	989	989		126	807	28	28	989		989	150	79	80	87	82.0
0533	RIV	Temecula	Santa Gertudis Creek Ped/Bicycle Trail Extension and Interconnect	4,362	3,543	168	3,375		3,375	42	126					54	76	50	52.0
0534	RIV	Western Riverside COG	Wester Riverside County Subregional Active Transportation Plan	333	333	333			333			333	333			71	82	87	80.0
0535	RIV	Wildomar	Murrieta Creek Multi-Use Trail Connectivity Phase 1	973	861	18	843		784	18	59					50	50	53	51.0
0074	SAC	Elk Grove	Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail	1,778	1,573	266	1,307	83	1,224	106	160	1,573		1,573		84	85	86	85.0
0075	SAC	Elk Grove	Powerline Trail/Hudson Basin Trailhead Improvements	2,023	1,791	293	1,498	149	1,349	117	176					51	41	74	55.3

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0076	SAC	Elk Grove	Laguna Creek Trail Under-crossing at Waterman and Bond Rd	2,077	1,839	315	1,524	76	1,448	126	189					73	41	79	64.3
0077	SAC	Elk Grove	Laguna Creek Trail and Bruceville Road SRTS Improvements	2,283	2,021	325	1,696	201	1,495	130	195	2,021		2,021		90	70	87	82.3
0078	SAC	Folsom	Historic Powerhouse Canal Trail/Johnny Cash Trail Connector Project	3,001	895		895		895							63	52	83	66.0
0079	SAC	Galt	South Galt SRTS	2,150	1,800		1,800		1,800			1,800		1,800		78	88	81	82.3
0080	SAC	Rancho Cordova	SRTS Project	491	491	491			491			491		491	491	53	50	73	58.7
0081	SAC	Rancho Cordova	Anatolia Bike Trail	1,347	1,000	1,000			1,000					1,000		74	66	31	70.0
0082	SAC	Rancho Cordova	Mather Rails to Trails Project	2,654	1,467		1,467		1,467			1,467				73	47	79	66.3
0083	SAC	Rancho Cordova	Cordova Park SRTS	3,625	3,043		3,043		3,043			3,043		3,043		53	29	14	32.0
0084	SAC	SACOG	Infrastructure Planning & Education/Outreach	525	450		450		450			117	450			69	42	84	76.5
0085	SAC	Sacramento	Public Bike Parking	284	251		251		251							42	-	28	35.0
0086	SAC	Sacramento	Front St Bike Lanes	289	256	51	205		205	26	25					-	65	46	55.5
0087	SAC	Sacramento	North Natomas Bike Path Reconstruction	542	483	85	398		398	35	50					38	35	44	39.0
0088	SAC	Sacramento	North Laguna Creek Bike Path	637	568	92	476		476	50	42	568				39	54	50	47.7
0089	SAC	Sacramento	El Camino Ave Sidewalk Improvements	1,126	609		609		690			609				53	63	61	59.0
0090	SAC	Sacramento Co	Arcade Creek Ped and Bicycle Crossing	1,200	1,056	106	950		950		106					72	83	76	77.0
0091	SAC	Sacramento Co	Rosemont High School Branch Center Road Bike and Ped Improvements	1,800	1,800	300	1,500		1,500	120	180			1,800		69	75	46	63.3
0092	SAC	Sacramento Co	Howe Ave Sidewalk Infill and Bike Lane Improvements	1,853	1,853	320	1,533	40	1,533		280	1,853		1,853		76	98	88	87.3
0093	SAC	SJUSD	SRTS	250	250	250			250			250	250	250	250	-	90	62	76.0
0239	SB	Buellton	SRTS Improvements at Intersection of Hwy 246 and Sycamore Rd	780	750	70	680		680		70			750		49	59	69	59.0
0240	SB	Carpinteria	Carpinteria Ave Ped Safety Improvement Project at Elm Ave	304	269		269	2	228		39					27	36	8	23.7
0241	SB	Carpinteria	Carpinteria Ave Ped Safety Improvement Project - Dump Road to Bluffs -15070	338	299		299	2	255		42					66	30	44	46.7
0242	SB	Goleta	Hollister Class 1 Bike	1,780	1,644	1,644			1,644					1,644		82	69	74	75.0
0243	SB	Goleta	Fowler Rd & Ekwil St Extension	23,871	2,010		2,010		2,010							68	-	80	74.0
0244	SB	Guadalupe	Citywide Bicycle and Ped Improvements	1,991	1,991	200	1,791		1,791	10	190	1,991				62	57	57	58.7
0246	SB	Lompoc	Sidewalk Infill and Curb Ramp Project	442	442	39	403		403	4	35	442		442		88	92	83	87.7
0260	SB	Lompoc USD	Developing a Sustainable SRTS Program	411	411	411			411			411	411	411	411	79	39	78	78.5
0248	SB	Santa Barbara	La Cumbre Road Sidewalk & Ped Enhance	714	714	110	604		604	20	90			714		73	44	72	63.0
0249	SB	Santa Barbara	Lower Milpas Ped Improv.	1,097	1,097	125	972		972	20	105	1,097		1,097		72	89	62	74.3
0250	SB	Santa Barbara	Las Positas Rd Multiuse Path	10,387	1,372	354	1,018			354	1,018	1,372				65	-	86	75.5
0251	SB	Santa Barbara	Cacique & Soledad Ped/Bicycle Bridges & Corr Improv	3,703	2,703	150	2,553		2,153	150	400	2,703		2,703		97	76	95	89.3
0252	SB	Santa Barbara	Montecito St Bridge Replace & Ped Improv	3,875	3,442	597	2,845		2,845	147	450	3,442		3,442		80	52	76	78.0
0253	SB	Santa Barbara Co	San Jose Creek Bridge Bike Path	910	805	95	710		710		95					60	46	31	45.7
0254	SB	Santa Barbara Co	Old Town Orcutt Ped Improv	1,436	1,270	245	1,025		1,025	100	145					54	55	67	58.7
0255	SB	Santa Maria	North Preisker Ln and South Depot St Ped Improvements	414	414	70	344		324	70		414		414		35	53	63	50.3
0247	SB	SBCAG	California Coastal Trail Gap Closure: Rincon Segment	7,736	6,423	469	5,954	469	5,954							78	42	64	61.3
0256	SB	SBCAG	California Coastal Trail Connector - Cabrillo Blvd Safety Improvements	5,000	5,000		5,000		5,000							-	50	53	51.5
0257	SB	SBCAG	California Coastal Trail Gap Closure - Santa Claus Lane Segment	6,218	5,243	1,394	3,849	907	3,849		487					-	54	51	52.5
0258	SB	Solvang	Solvang School Sidewalk Infill Project PW053	555	444		444	2	392		50			444		68	-	16	42.0
0259	SB	UC Santa Barbara	UCSB north Campus Open Space Multi-Modal Trail	2,292	2,292	396	1,896		1,896		396	2,292		2,292	15	66	72	75	71.0
0538	SBD	Apple Valley	Apple Valley School District SRTS	150	150	150			150					150	150	70	-	31	50.5
0539	SBD	Apple Valley	Mojave Riverwalk South	963	923	923			923			923				92	74	76	80.7
0540	SBD	Apple Valley	Apple Valley SRTS	1,095	1,095	1,095			1,095			1,095		1,095		85	83	81	83.0
0541	SBD	Barstow	City of Barstow's Active Transportation Plan	300	300	300			300			300	200	100	100	60	91	83	78.0
0542	SBD	Barstow	North 1st Ave Ped and Bicycle Enhancements	44,306	6,700		6,700		6,700			6,700		6,700		48	70	70	62.7
0543	SBD	Big Bear Lake	Big Bear Blvd Ped and Bicycle Mobility Project	993	993	10	983	28	855	10	100	993		993		74	73	69	72.0
0544	SBD	Chino Hills	Citywide Bike Lane Improvement Project	426	376	25	351		351	25						73	71	74	72.7
0545	SBD	Chino Hills	Los Serranos SRTS	4,188	4,188	279	3,909		3,909		279			4,188		74	-	79	76.5
0546	SBD	Colton	Active transportation plan	265	265	265			265			265	265			94	86	88	89.3
0547	SBD	Fontana	City of Fontana SRTS	1,624	1,624	166	1,458	50	1,458		116	1,624		1,624		78	78	77	77.7

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0548	SBD	Highland	Palm Ave/Pacific St Bikeway and Pedestrian Improvements	1,662	118	118					118	118		118		61	63	69	64.3
0549	SBD	Highland	Boulder Ave/Orange St/Santa Ana River Trail Bikeway	6,462	3,493	194	3,299	3,299			194	3,493		3,493		75	84	70	76.3
0551	SBD	Needles	Safe Sidewalks to/around Schools	407	407	407			386		21	407		407		37	22	54	37.7
0552	SBD	Ontario	SRTS Active Transportation-Bon View, Corona, Euclid and Vineyard Elementary Schools	1,164	1,164	150	1,014	50	1,014		100	1,164		1,164	8	72	95	88	91.5
0553	SBD	Ontario	Mission Blvd Bike Route	1,600	1,600	215	1,385		1,385	15	200	1,600				68	-	81	74.5
0554	SBD	Rancho Cucamonga	Healthy RC SRTS	849	849	849			849			849		849	450	71	58	77	68.7
0555	SBD	Redlands	Redlands Blvd/OBT Connector	5,141	4,551	4,551			3,868	5	678					42	58	22	40.7
0556	SBD	Redlands	Redlands Bikeway Route System Implementation	6,341	5,614	842	4,772		4,772	5	837					45	83	79	69.0
0557	SBD	Rialto	SRTS Plan	1,450	1,450	1,450			1,450			1,450		1,450	1,450	90	91	47	90.5
0558	SBD	Rim of the World Recreation and Park	Rim of the World Active Transportation Program	285	285	285			285			285	285			63	63	66	64.0
0559	SBD	San Bernardino Co	Trona Road Class I Bikeway Searles Valley	1,257	1,257	339	918		918	219	120	1,257				37	44	26	35.7
0560	SBD	San Bernardino Co	Sunburst Street Class II Bicycle Lanes	1,118	1,118	357	761		761	266	91	1,118				56	58	88	57.0
0536	SBD	SANBAG	SANBAG Points of Interest Ped Plan	400	400	400			400			400	400			67	65	-	66.0
0537	SBD	SANBAG	SANBAG SRTS Plan	400	400	400			400			120	400	400	400	90	-	85	87.5
0561	SBD	SANBAG	Metrolink Station Accessibility Improvement	4,679	4,679	576	4,103		4,103		576	4,679				89	87	82	86.0
0562	SBD	Twentynine Palms	Baseline Rd Bike/Sidewalk	450	450	30	420		420		30	450		450		33	52	57	54.5
0563	SBD	Twentynine Palms	SR62 Improvement Project Phase 1	602	602	602			540		62	602				26	19	42	29.0
0564	SBD	Twentynine Palms	Sunyslope Drive Bike Path and Sidewalk Project (Mesquite Springs Dr to Encella Ave)	1,101	1,101		1,101		1,036		65		1,101	1,101		25	46	66	45.7
0565	SBD	Victorville	Interagency SRTS	4,097	4,097	505	3,592	30	3,592	100	375	4,097		4,097		72	91	43	81.5
0568	SBD	Victorville	Mojave Riverwalk Multi-Use Bike Facility	4,676	4,258	421	3,837		3,837		421	4,258				80	77	68	75.0
0566	SBD	Yucaipa	Safe Routes to Calimesa and Wildwood Elementary Schools	1,027	872		872		872			523		872		87	80	83	83.3
0567	SBD	Yucaipa	Safe Routes to Dunlap Elementary School	993	868		868		868					868	15	51	81	65	65.7
0245	SBT	Hollister	Activating Safe and Equitable Connections in West Hollister	4,207	1,200	1,200			1,200			1,200		1,200		70	95	65	67.5
0176	SCL	Cupertino	Tri-School Area SRTS Project	2,383	250		250			250				250		54	66	24	48.0
0177	SCL	Cupertino	McClellan Rd Sidewalk Project	5,040	5,040	1,294	3,746	919	3,746		375			5,040		62	48	-	55.0
0178	SCL	Los Altos	Covington Road Class I Pathway	405	330	330			330					330		58	50	57	55.0
0179	SCL	Los Altos Hills	West Fremont Rd Pathways	1,260	1,115	44	1,071		1,071	7	37			1,115		-	54	44	49.0
0180	SCL	Morgan Hill	Main Av Bike Lanes & Ped Improvements	200	177	33	144		144	7	26					35	30	25	30.0
0181	SCL	San Jose	Valle Vista SRTS Infrastructure and Education	364	320	52	268		268		52			420	26	77	53	70	73.5
0182	SCL	San Jose	Scott/Auzerais Bikeway to Schools, Trails, and Transit	882	782	309	473		473		309			782		83	76	57	79.5
0183	SCL	San Jose	Linda Vista Elementary SRTS Infrastructure and Education	1,370	1,205	208	997		997		208	1,205		1,205	26	89	77	75	80.3
0184	SCL	San Jose	McLaughlin Ave Ped/Bike Safety Enhancement	2,671	2,351	470	1,881		1,881	470		2,351				81	79	74	78.0
0185	SCL	San Jose	Coyote Creek Trail to Berryessa BART Regional Transit Station	4,508	3,607	402	3,205		3,205		402					43	51	24	39.3
0186	SCL	Santa Clara Co	Almaden Rd Traffic Calming and Ped/Bicycle Improvements	825	619	111	508		508		111					60	-	56	58.0
0187	SCL	Santa Clara Co	Ped Sensors at Various Santa Clara County Expressway Signalized Intersections	701	701	59	642		642		59			701		52	56	49	52.3
0188	SCL	Santa Clara Co	San Martin/Gwinn Elementary School Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,132	1,132	168	964		964		168			1,132		51	-	57	54.0
0189	SCL	Santa Clara Co	Gilroy Moves!	1,876	1,876	1,876			1,876			1,876		1,876	1,876	81	72	49	67.3
0190	SCL	Sunnyvale	Bishop Elementary SRTS	725	638	543	95		543		95					33	40	25	32.7
0191	SCL	Sunnyvale	Sunnyvale and Lawrence Caltrain Stations	695	610	610			530		80					15	-	40	27.5
0192	SCL	VTA	Central and South County Bicycle Corridor Plan	500	444	444			444			444	444			88	75	47	70.0
0193	SCL	VTA	Santa Clara Caltrain Station Ped/Bicycle Undercrossing	9,250	7,000	7,000			7,000							74	47	90	70.3
0261	SCR	Santa Cruz	Branciforte Creek Bicycle/Ped Bridge	2,353	1,400	1,400			1,400					1,400		62	70	35	55.7
0262	SCR	Santa Cruz	Vehicle Speed Feedback Signs	97	97		97		89		8	97		97		42	89	69	66.7
0263	SCR	Santa Cruz	Market Street at Goss Minor Widening	308	271		271	1	245		25			271		67	42	30	36.0
0264	SCR	Santa Cruz Co	Radar Speed Feedback Signs and Flashing Beacons	829	829	829			757		72	829		829		74	81	74	76.3
0265	SCR	Santa Cruz Co HSA	SRTS Education and Encouragement in Santa Cruz County	447	447	447			447					447	447	91	85	61	79.0
0266	SCR	Santa Cruz Metro	Santa Cruz Metro Safe Routes SLV	95	95	12	83		83	1	11			95		74	70	50	72.0
0267	SCR	SCCRTC	County-wide Bicycle Route Signage Program	334	300	55	245		245	55		150				53	76	60	63.0
0268	SCR	Scotts Valley	Glen Canyon Road Bike Lanes Project, Phase II	811	714	714			574		93			714		42	48	36	42.0

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0271	SCR	Scotts Valley	Missing Link to/from the Scotts Valley Middle School	787	693	693		16	586	8	83			693		38	36	42	38.7
0269	SCR	UC Santa Cruz	Great Meadow Bike Path Safety Improvements Project	433	383	71	312		312		71					86	69	87	80.7
0270	SCR	Watsonville	Struve Slough Trail to Walker Street	750	660	20	640	20	565	40	35	660				71	58	43	57.3
0650	SD	Chula Vista	Cook Elementary School Ped Improvements	407	407		407				407			407		51	67	50	56.0
0651	SD	Chula Vista	Lauderbach Elementary School Ped Improvements	291	291		291		212		79	291		291		51	58	55	54.7
0652	SD	Chula Vista	Industrial Blvd Ped and Bike Improvements	790	790		790		685		105	790				67	29	51	49.0
0653	SD	Chula Vista	F Street Promenade Streetscape master plan	518	491	491			491			491	491			80	91	100	90.3
0654	SD	Chula Vista Elementary School District	It's Cool 2 Walk to School NI Project	590	590	590			590			590		590	590	45	90	100	78.3
0655	SD	Coronado	SRTS Education	43	36	36			36					36	36	51	84	90	75.0
0656	SD	Del Mar	Camino del Mar Complete Streets Network: Ped, Bike, and Intersection Safety Improvements	1,075	362	362			362							62	82	51	56.5
0657	SD	Del Mar	Ped & Bike Facilities along Camino del Mar, Jimmy Durante, and Via de la Valle	2,249	812		812		812			812				84	62	78	74.7
0658	SD	El Cajon	Chase Ave Elementary SRTS	397	397	36	361		361	1	35	397		397		72	82	87	80.3
0659	SD	El Cajon	Cajon Valley Union School District SRTS Project	500	500	500			500			500		500	500	80	88	71	79.7
0660	SD	Encinitas	Leucadia Bl Roundabout	1,375	1,375	263	1,112	46	1,112	17	200			1,375	25	77	75	67	73.0
0661	SD	Encinitas	El Portal Ped and Bike Underpass	5,401	5,401	938	4,463	50	4,463	38	850		5,401	4,463	25	77	79	87	81.0
0662	SD	Encinitas	Montgomery Av Ped/Bike Underpass	6,641	6,641	1,194	5,447	104	5,447	89	1,001					-	71	73	72.0
0663	SD	Escondido	Escondido Creek Bike Path Missing Link	1,092	1,092	172	920		920		172	1,092				79	78	90	82.3
0664	SD	Escondido	Juniper Elementary SRTS	1,337	1,337	1,337			1,137		200	1,337		1,337	22	82	92	69	81.0
0665	SD	Escondido Union School District	Escondido SRTS Program	1,845	1,845	1,845			1,845			1,845	1,845	1,845	1,845	67	68	43	67.5
0666	SD	Imperial Beach	Elm Ave Traffic, Ped and Cycling Safety & Mobility Improvement	1,459	709	226	483	6	483		220	709		709	55	90	83	60	86.5
0667	SD	Imperial Beach	Complete Streets Plan for SRTS and Community	750	750	750			750			750	300	750	10	47	73	88	69.3
0668	SD	Imperial Beach	Bikeway Village Bayshore Bikeway Access Enhancement	4,751	1,800	1,000	800		1,750		50			1,800		-	77	77	77.0
0669	SD	La Jolla Band of Luiseno Indians	La Jolla Active Transportation Project 2014	4,110	4,110	1,230	2,880		3,019	350	591	4,110				88	-	88	88.0
0670	SD	La Mesa	King Street Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,050	940	130	810	15	810	35	80	940		940		86	86	41	86.0
0671	SD	La Mesa	Junior High Drive Ped and Bicycle Improvements	1,436	1,100	442	658	8	942	50	50			1,100	342	64	56	85	68.3
0672	SD	National City	El Toyon - Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor	1,865	375		375	75		50	250	300	375			82	81	89	84.0
0673	SD	National City	National City SRTS Ped Enhancements	1,690	350	275	75	75		50	225	350		350		91	91	61	91.0
0674	SD	National City	Euclid Ave Bike and Ped Enhancement	2,050	425	350	75	75		50	300	425				78	53	73	68.0
0675	SD	National City	Division St Road Diet	875	875	143	732	45	687	50	93	875				63	-	96	79.5
0676	SD	National City	18th St Bicycle and Ped Enhancements	1,225	1,225	200	1,025	50	975	50	150	1,225				80	78	96	84.7
0649	SD	Oceanside	Oceanside Elementary Bike/Walk Encouragement	239	239		239		239			239		239	239	61	38	67	55.3
0677	SD	Oceanside	Nichols Elementary SRTS	550	550	30	520		520		30			550		65	44	59	56.0
0678	SD	Poway	Midland Rd Improvements	537	437	100	337	20	337	20	60			437		52	52	-	52.0
0679	SD	Poway	Espola Rd Improvements	1,351	1,201	468	733	20	1,111		70			1,201		70	58	55	61.0
0680	SD	San Diego	Linda Vista SRTS	500	500	500			500					500	500	80	73	77	76.7
0681	SD	San Diego	Chollas Creek-Bayshore Bikeway Final Design	735	735		735	20	20		695	735				94	57	84	89.0
0682	SD	San Diego	Streamview Dr Improvements	2,011	1,592	1,592					1,592	1,592		1,592		83	65	55	67.7
0683	SD	San Diego Co	SRTS - Valley Vista ES	364	364	60	304	4	300		60			364	20	38	38	63	38.0
0684	SD	San Diego Co	Traffic Signal at Discovery St/San Pablo Dr	467	467	467			442		25	467		467		66	36	54	52.0
0685	SD	San Diego Co	Active Transportation Plan	650	500	330	170		500			500	500			73	-	81	77.0
0686	SD	San Diego Co	Chollas Creek, Oak Park Branch Trail	1,049	964	240	724	20	704	70	170	964				91	68	87	82.0
0687	SD	San Diego Co	SRTS - Tierra Del Sol MS/Lindo Park ES	1,313	1,313	397	916	58	858		397	1,313		1,313		47	58	57	54.0
0688	SD	San Diego Co	SRTS - Live Oak Elementary/Potter Junior High	2,760	2,760	694	2,066	166	1,900	360	334			2,760		85	51	86	85.5
0689	SD	San Marcos	Bike Detection Enhancement	600	531	47	484		484	47				531		63	81	96	80.0
0690	SD	San Marcos	CSUSM Bike and Ped Urban Trail at Twin Oaks Valley Rd	750	615	54	561		561		54					71	69	84	74.7
0691	SD	SANDAG	Bayshore Bikeway, Barrio Logan Segment	3,933	1,470		1,470				1,470	1,470				59	64	69	64.0
0692	SD	SANDAG	Coastal Rail Trail, Chesterfield Dr-G St	6,419	4,104		4,104		4,104							61	64	66	63.7
0693	SD	SANDAG	Coastal Rail Trail, Rose Creek Bikeway	19,881	8,604		8,604		8,604			8,604				59	68	83	63.5
0694	SD	SANDAG	SR 15 Commuter Bike Facility	11,055	9,720	9,720			9,720			9,720				76	79	82	79.0

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0695	SD	Santee	Riverwalk Dr Ped Crossing	217	217	36	181		181	1	35			217		58	67	77	67.3
0696	SD	Santee	San Diego River Bike Path	414	366	366				169	197					46	46	47	46.3
0697	SD	Santee	School Area ADA Ped Ramp Installation Project	980	980	105	875		875	105				980		-	55	35	45.0
0698	SD	Solana Beach	Construct bike/ped improvements	550	550	75	475		425		75			550	50	76	66	78	73.3
0699	SD	Steele Canyon HS	Pedestrian Safety Enhancement	1,680	1,680	240	1,440	15	1,440	25	200			1,680		61	-	65	63.0
0700	SD	Vista	Vista SRTS Master Plan	120	120	120			120			120	120	120	120	57	87	86	76.7
0701	SD	Vista	Bobier Elementary Ped Mobility Improv.	497	447	54	393		393		54	447		447		92	69	78	79.7
0702	SD	Vista	Maryland Elementary Ped Mobility Improvement	792	712	85	627		627		85	712		712		56	99	92	95.5
0772	SD	Vista	Pedestrian Master Plan	150	150	150			150				150			68	93	87	82.7
0194	SF	CA State Coastal Conservancy	SF Bay Trail at Battery East	806	710	710			710							55	-	53	54.0
0195	SF	San Francisco Co DPH	San Francisco SRTS to Non-Infrastructure Project	990	990		990		990			990		990	990	89	93	26	91.0
0197	SF	SF DPW	John Yehall Chin SRTS	2,241	514		514			21	493	514		514		88	81	46	84.5
0198	SF	SF DPW	Redding SRTS	3,419	784		784			32	752	784		784		83	84	80	82.3
0196	SF	SF Municipal Transportation Agency	Bicycle Wayfinding system	1,145	792		792		792			238				82	86	68	78.7
0199	SF	SFMTA	SF Safer Streets	2,000	2,000		2,000		2,000			2,000		2,000	2,000	90	57	86	77.7
0200	SF	SFMTA	Vision Zero Safety Investment	4,527	4,008	4,008			4,008			2,706				82	86	68	78.7
0201	SF	Transbay/JPA	Transbay Transit Center Ped and Bike Safety and Accessibility Improvements	8,922	2,922		2,922		2,922			2,922				65	55	55	58.3
0028	SHA	Anderson	Bruce St SRTS	900	825	80	745	170	610	20	25	825		825		62	63	86	62.5
0029	SHA	Redding	Sacramento River Trail Solar Lighting Project	395	350	53	297		297	50	3	350				64	79	56	66.3
0030	SHA	Redding	Browning St Complete Street Improvements	489	410		410		410			410				77	42	88	69.0
0031	SHA	Redding	Placer St Improvements	5,004	2,296		2,296		2,296			2,296				94	-	95	94.5
0032	SHA	Shasta Lake	City of Shasta Lake Complete Streets Plan	75	75	65	10						75			32	60	43	45.0
0033	SHA	SRTA	GoShasta Active Transportation Plan	308	250	250			250			250	250			81	-	60	70.5
0034	SHA	SRTA	Shasta SRTS	500	500		500		500			500		500	500	76	81	52	78.5
0035	SIS	Mt Shasta	Mt Shasta to Lake Siskiyou Trail	110	110	110			110			110	110			82	61	58	67.0
0036	SIS	Siskiyou Co	City of Tulelake-Sidewalk Replacement and Improvement Project	905	905		905		814	30	61	905		905		49	48	25	48.5
0037	SIS	Weed	Countywide Active Transportation Plan for Siskiyou County	250	250	250			250			250	250			67	48	35	50.0
0038	SIS	Yreka	City Active Transportation Plan	111	111	111			111			111	111			62	67	80	69.7
0039	SIS	Yreka	Greenhorn Rd Bicycle and Ped Improvements	637	637	50	587		587	25	25	637				59	-	69	64.0
0602	SJ	Lathrop	5th Street Sidewalk Improvements	640	640	75	565		565	30	45	640		640		41	46	42	43.0
0603	SJ	Lodi	Century Blvd/UPRR Ped and Bicycle Overcrossing	7,161	1,063	1,063				177	886	1,063		1,063		-	72	83	77.5
0604	SJ	Ripon	River Rd Sidewalk and Intersection Improvements	1,227	550		550		550					550		44	53	61	52.7
0605	SJ	San Joaquin Co	New Haven Elementary School LED Radar Speed Feedback Devices	93	93	15	78		78		15	93		93		23	64	9	32.0
0606	SJ	San Joaquin Co	Lincoln Elementary School LED Radar Speed Feedback Devices	93	93	15	78		78		15	93		93		29	37	24	30.0
0607	SJ	San Joaquin Co	New Hope Elementary School LED Radar Speed Feedback Devices	93	93	15	78		78		15	93		93		-	33	22	27.5
0608	SJ	San Joaquin Co	Colony Oak Elementary School LED Radar Speed Feedback Devices	93	93	15	78		78		15	93		93		28	33	41	34.0
0609	SJ	San Joaquin Co	Woodbridge School Access Improvements	266	266	48	218		218		48			266		55	52	33	46.7
0610	SJ	San Joaquin Co	Peltier Rd Bike/Wine Trail Project	1,677	1,483	247	1,236		1,236		247					-	31	8	19.5
0611	SJ	Stockton	SRTS Plan	350	350	350			350			350		350	350	49	77	86	70.7
0612	SJ	Stockton	Complete Streets Plan	396	396	396			396			316	396			63	75	70	69.3
0613	SJ	Stockton	Pacific Ave Sidewalk Gap Closure	400	400	400			340	10	50	400				58	89	62	60.0
0614	SJ	Stockton	McKinley Elementary SRTS	453	453	79	374		374	10	69	453		453		75	86	80	80.3
0615	SJ	Stockton	Installation of Bike Pushbutton Detection at Various Locations	456	456	80	376		376	14	66					43	35	32	36.7
0616	SJ	Stockton	Neighborhood Traffic Management Program and Raised Crosswalks	748	548	548			450		98	548				84	72	37	64.3
0617	SJ	Stockton	Bicycle Master Plan Update	550	550	550			550			440				85	88	89	87.3
0618	SJ	Stockton	Ryde Ped Bridge	629	599	110	489		489	110		599				-	31	42	36.5
0619	SJ	Stockton	Robinhood Drive and Claremonth Ave Bike Lane Installation	777	683		683		561		122	683				50	69	51	56.7
0620	SJ	Stockton	Calaveras River Bike Path Rehabilitation	720	720	720			591		129	684				-	68	81	74.5
0621	SJ	Stockton	Fremont Square Sidewalk Reconstruction	728	728	79	649		649		79	728		728		96	70	89	85.0

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0622	SJ	Stockton	Ped Master Plan/ADA Accessible Bridge Improvements	749	749	5	744		623	5	121	749	749			57	71	60	62.7
0623	SJ	Stockton	Ped Improvements at Five Downtown Crosswalks	914	914	163	751		751	10	153	914				52	91	71	71.3
0624	SJ	Stockton	Sidewalks to School Installation	1,368	1,368	203	1,165		1,165	33	170	1,368		1,368		76	80	92	82.7
0625	SJ	Stockton	San Joaquin Trail	1,479	1,394	1,394			1,205		189	1,394				82	79	91	84.0
0626	SJ	Stockton	March Lane/EBMUD Bicycle & Ped Path Rehabilitation	1,681	1,681	1,681			1,381		300	1,681		1,681		68	90	83	80.3
0627	SJ	Stockton	March Lane/EBMUD Bicycle & Ped Trail Greenscape Phase 2	2,380	2,288	70	2,218		2,218	10	60					64	54	85	59.0
0628	SJ	Stockton	Miner Ave Complete Streets Improvement	21,492	2,811	2,811				861	1,950	2,811				73	71	79	74.3
0629	SJ	Stockton	El Dorado Street Ped	6,240	3,240	270	2,970		2,970	100	170	3,240				67	57	80	62.0
0630	SJ	Tracy	Mount Diablo Ave/Mount Oso Ave/C St Sidewalk Improvements	966	966		966	126	760		80	966	966			52	42	42	45.3
0272	SLO	Arroyo Grande	Paulding Middle School Ped and Bike	483	483	70	413		413	10	60			483		26	26	68	26.0
0285	SLO	Atascadero	Downtown Ped Bridge	1,446	977		977		977							56	70	-	63.0
0273	SLO	Dana Adobe Nipomo Amigos	Rancho Nipomo Heritage Park Recreation Trails	4,069	932	65	867		867		65	65				-	66	41	53.5
0274	SLO	Morro Bay	Del Mar Elementary School SRTS Improvements - Greenwood Ave	208	80	80			61	9	10			80	80	55	32	24	37.0
0275	SLO	Morro Bay	Morro Creek Bicycle Ped Birdge and Multi-use Trail	1,792	480	480			480							62	53	64	59.7
0276	SLO	Paso Robes	Georgia Brown Elementary School	1,315	1,315	1,315			1,195		120	1,315		1,315		50	42	50	47.3
0277	SLO	Pismo Beach	Shell Beach Rd Streetscape Project - Phase 1	11,030	5,303	5,303			5,303					5,303		69	67	73	69.7
0278	SLO	San Luis Obispo	Prefumo Creek Parkway	1,305	1,056	255	801		801	83	172	1,056				65	70	64	66.3
0279	SLO	San Luis Obispo	Railroad Safety Trail to Pepper Segment	4,925	3,895		3,895		3,895			3,895				73	71	76	73.3
0280	SLO	San Luis Obispo Co	Dana Elementary School SRTS 2016	643	569	80	489	5	489	16	59			569		-	61	40	50.5
0281	SLO	San Luis Obispo Co	Oceano Elementary School SRTS 2016	633	633	114	519	5	519	14	95			633		-	73	53	63.0
0282	SLO	San Luis Obispo Co	San Juan Creek Ped Bridge	1,265	885	885			885							62	72	60	64.7
0283	SLO	San Luis Obispo Co	Orchard Ave Bike Lanes South of Nipomo	2,375	2,100	235	1,865	10	1,865	25	200					31	66	54	50.3
0284	SLO	SLO Reg'l Rideshare	SLO Regional Rideshare SRTS Program	522	522	522			522			522		522	522	52	79	70	67.0
0202	SM	Belmont	Old County Road Ped and Bicycle Improvement	1,350	900		900		900							56	33	47	45.3
0203	SM	Belmont	Ralston Ave Corridor Complete Streets Improvement	8,908	7,886	7,886		250	5,391		2,245			7,886		62	69	86	72.3
0205	SM	East Palo Alto	Hwy 101 Ped/Bike Overcrossing	9,400	8,600		8,600		8,600			8,600		8,600		89	89	88	88.7
0206	SM	Pacifica	Rockaway Beach to Pacifica State Beach Class I Multi-purpose Trail Improvement	275	250		250		250							27	20	37	28.0
0207	SM	San Carlos	Central Middle School and White Oaks Elementary SRTS Project	401	401	401			336	10	55			401		68	82	45	65.0
0208	SM	San Mateo	City of San Mateo SRTS Program	2,515	2,125	2,125			2,110		405	589		2,515	390	81	-	69	75.0
0209	SM	San Mateo Co	Resurfacing and Restriping of Sand Hill Road at Highway 280 in the Unincorporated San Mateo County	1,033	837		837		837							58	68	48	58.0
0210	SM	San Mateo Co	Midcoast SRTS and Commuter Trail	1,120	-											53	-	58	55.5
0204	SM	San Mateo Co Office of Ed	San Mateo County SRTS for Health and Wellness	4,036	900	900			900					900	900	59	76	85	80.5
0211	SM	South SF	Linden Ave and Spruce Ave Traffic Calming Improvements	975	863		863		863			863		863		65	63	75	67.7
0212	SM	South SF	Sunshine Gardens Traffic Calming Improvements	1,500	1,328		1,328		1,328					1,328		74	65	55	64.7
0213	SM	Town of Hillsborough	Eucalyptus Trail Project	700	619	619			575		44					23	-	48	35.5
0214	SM	Woodside	Woodside Elementary School Student Pathway Project	904	904	904			744		160			904		67	74	81	74.0
0215	SOL	Solano Co	Farm to Market Phase 1 Project	1,934	1,462		1,462		1,462							56	52	53	53.7
0216	SOL	Solano Co	Vaca-Dixon Bikeway, Ph 5B	2,970	2,628	2,628			2,628							46	49	40	45.0
0217	SOL	Solano Transportation Authority	Solano County SR2S - Ingraining Walking & Rolling into the School Culture	388	388	388			388			388		388	388	73	88	71	77.3
0218	SOL	Suisun City	Driftwood Dr SRTS Path	680	680	107	573		573		107			680		66	60	59	61.7
0219	SOL	Vallejo	SR2S North Hills Christian School Improvement	303	279	279			279					279		49	30	68	49.0
0220	SOL	Vallejo	SRTS - Cooper ES	316	286	286			286			286		286		38	77	64	59.7
0221	SOL	Vallejo	Maine St Ped Enhance	5,784	5,532	5,532			5,532			5,532				56	59	55	56.7
0222	SON	Cloverdale	Greenway & Ped Safety Improve	1,701	1,506	208	1,298		1,298		208			1,506		70	59	59	62.7
0223	SON	Petaluma	East Washington Park Multi-use Pathway	609	445	445			445							66	-	73	69.5
0224	SON	Santa Rosa	Jennings Av Bike/Ped RR Crossing	5,535	4,587	917	3,670		3,670		917		21			79	74	-	76.5
0225	SON	Sonoma Co	Bodega Bay Trail, Segment 1B	665	360	360			360							55	65	67	62.3
0226	SON	Sonoma Co	Willowside Rd SRTS	1,715	1,518	232	1,286		1,286	110	122	1,518		1,518	61	-	45	48	46.5
0227	SON	Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District	SMART Bike/Ped Pathway - McInnis Parkway to Smith Ranch Road	1,807	1,529		1,529		1,529							52	53	-	52.5

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0228	SON	Sonoma-Marín Area Rail Transit District	Payran Street to South Pont Blvd Project	2,692	1,930		1,930		1,930							70	66	60	65.3
0229	SON	Windsor	Old Redwood Highway and Starr Rd	320	278		278		278					278		73	-	78	75.5
0230	SON	Windsor	SRTS Improvements around Brooks Elementary and Windsor Middle School	367	306		306		306					306		54	71	63	62.7
0631	STA	Ceres	SRTS on Don Pedro Road and Nadine Ave - Multiple Schools	373	373	52	321		321	5	47	373		373		64	69	62	65.0
0632	STA	Ceres	SRTS on Whitmore Ave (Ceasar Chavez Jr High and La Rosa Elementary)	893	878	65	813		813		65	878		878		62	84	78	74.7
0633	STA	Hughson	Fox Rd Ped Improvements	409	409	409			409					409		-	81	77	79.0
0634	STA	Modesto	Modesto Jr College Class 1 Bike Path (Phase II)	1,500	1,500	1,500		50	1,250		200	1,500				68	83	40	63.7
0635	STA	Stanislaus Co	Parklawn Neighborhood Sidewalk and Drainage Project	1,100	550	550						550				67	78	56	67.0
0636	STA	Turlock	Christoffersen Parkway Class II Bicycle Facilities	192	192	16	176		176		16	192		192		65	68	67	66.7
0637	STA	Turlock	Crowell Elementary School Off-Site Improvements	455	455	36	419		419		36			455	10	61	77	80	72.7
0638	STA	Turlock	Cunningham Parkway Class II Bicycle Facilities	843	782	103	679	76	603		103	782		782	10	74	55	93	74.0
0094	SUT	Live Oak	Ped Improvement Plan	126	126	126			126			126	126			79	80	61	73.3
0095	SUT	Live Oak	Kola St SRTS	715	715	82	633		633	60	22	715		715		65	70	74	69.7
0096	SUT	Live Oak	Recreational Trail Phase 2	894	894	103	791		791	80	23	894		894		90	64	48	67.3
0097	SUT	Yuba City	Franklin Road Improvements	393	353	353			353			353		353		75	91	60	75.3
0040	TRI	Trinity Co	Lowden Park to Senior Center Bike/Ped Path	2,417	2,087		2,087		2,087			2,087		2,087		73	85	44	67.3
0337	TUL	Dinuba	Class II and III Bike Lanes	344	303	303			261	18	36			303	122	76	31	72	59.7
0338	TUL	Dinuba	SRTS - Dinuba Lighted Crosswalks	550	487	487		9	430	4	44			487		69	44	69	69.0
0339	TUL	Dinuba USD	The Dinuba SRTS Project	770	770	365	405		770					1,176	770	60	46	62	56.0
0340	TUL	Exeter	SRTS Improvements - Project 2	762	762	61	701		701		61	762		762		61	70	61	64.0
0341	TUL	Exeter	SRTS Improvements - Project 1	1,042	1,042	83	959		959	5	78	1,042		1,042		65	59	48	57.3
0342	TUL	Farmersville	Farmersville Comprehensive Active Transportation Initiative	2,313	2,038	105	1,933	540	1,393	50	55	2,038				62	94	-	78.0
0343	TUL	Porterville	Garden Ave Ped Access Corridor	642	568		568		568			568				62	57	47	55.3
0344	TUL	Porterville	Crosswalk Warning Lights System	913	808		808		728	7	73	808				-	35	30	32.5
0345	TUL	Porterville	Veteran's Park Trail Enhancement	3,323	3,323		3,323		3,323			3,323				47	24	44	45.5
0346	TUL	Porterville	Tule River Parkway Recreational Trail	6,498	6,498	2,023	4,475	1,540	4,475	70	413	6,498				61	28	50	46.3
0347	TUL	Tulare	Safety Improvements to Santa Fe Trail Arterial Crossings	255	255	255			215	5	35	255				48	63	39	50.0
0348	TUL	Tulare	Mooney Blvd-Cross Ave Signal Safety Improvements for Santa Fe Bike/Ped Trail	305	265	265			265			265				39	48	40	42.3
0349	TUL	Tulare Co	Oak Valley School Xing	29	29	29			25		4	29		29	29	52	-	-	52.0
0350	TUL	Tulare Co	Richgrove School Xing	39	39	39			33		6	39		39	11	56	74	72	67.3
0351	TUL	Tulare Co	Woodville School Xing	44	44	44			39		4	44		44	5	53	78	58	63.0
0352	TUL	Tulare Co	Earlimart Middle School Crossing	53	53	53			44		9	53		53	5	69	70	-	69.5
0353	TUL	Tulare Co	Sequoia School Crossing Improvements	55	55	55			46		9	55		55	5	52	58	62	57.3
0354	TUL	Tulare Co	Carl Smith Middle School Xing	29	29	29			25		4			29	5	49	84	55	52.0
0355	TUL	Tulare Co	Earlimart Alila School Xing	60	60	60			50		10	60		60	5	77	44	88	69.7
0356	TUL	Tulare Co	Earlimart Elementary School Crossing Improvements	66	66	66			55		11	66		66	5	74	58	87	73.0
0357	TUL	Tulare Co	SRTS Plan	110	110	110			110			110		110	110	69	54	92	71.7
0358	TUL	Tulare Co	Bartlett Park Recreation Trail	300	300	300			280		20	300				57	-	20	38.5
0359	TUL	Tulare Co	Tooleville Sidewalk Improvement	414	414	414			379		35	414		414	5	55	68	62	61.7
0360	TUL	Tulare Co	Terra Bella Sidewalk Improvements	417	417	417			397		20	417		417	5	63	58	71	64.0
0361	TUL	Tulare Co	Earlimart S.Side of Washington Sidewalk Imp	457	457	457			437		20	457		457	5	78	67	63	69.3
0362	TUL	Tulare Co	Earlimart Park Sidewalk Improvement	490	490	490			455		35	490		490	5	68	-	52	60.0
0363	TUL	Tulare Co	Balch Park Rec Trail	756	756	756			716		40	756				19	57	45	40.3
0364	TUL	Tulare Co	Alpaugh Sidewalk Improvement	787	787	787			730		57	787		787	5	89	41	80	70.0
0365	TUL	Tulare Co	Matheny Tract Sidewalks & Safe Routes to Bus Stops	4,800	4,800	380	4,420		4,320	96	384	4,800		4,800		69	80	33	74.5
0588	TUL	Tulare Co DPH	Tulare County Active Transportation Campaign	263	263	263			263			263		263	169	89	-	67	78.0
0366	TUL	Tulare Co Office of Ed	Friday Night Live - education	2,231	2,231	570	1,661		2,231			1,115		2,231	2,231	32	37	6	34.5
0367	TUL	Visalia	Green Acres Middle School Enhanced Crosswalk	81	81	12	69		69		12	81		81		-	70	65	67.5
0368	TUL	Visalia	Packwood Trail Bicycle and Ped Bridge Project	251	251	34	217		217		34	251				76	62	62	66.7

**2014 Active Transportation Program Applications**

(\$1000's)

ID	Co	Agency	Project Title	Total Project Cost	Total Fund Request	14-15	15-16	RW	CON	PAED	PSE	DAC	Plan	SRTS	SRTS-NI	E1	E2	E3	Score
0369	TUL	Visalia	Bus Stop Improvements	268	268	268						268				42	35	54	43.7
0370	TUL	Visalia	Evans Waterway Trail and Rotary Park Ped Connection Project	823	823	95	728		728		95	823				60	48	50	52.7
0371	TUL	Visalia	Mill Creek Trail Downtown Corridor	875	875	92	783		783		92	875				55	50	64	56.3
0372	TUL	Visalia	Visalia Greenway Belt North-South Connection Trail	1,118	1,118	95	1,023		1,023		95	1,118				65	50	50	55.0
0373	TUL	Woodlake	Recreational Trail	453	453	80	373	5	368	20	60	453				-	46	50	48.0
0374	TUL	Woodlake	SRTS improvements	1,166	1,166	115	1,051	32	1,019	20	95	1,166		1,166		77	50	85	81.0
0639	TUO	Sonora	Dragoon Gulch Trail Connectivity	1,092	993	93	900		900		93	993		993		-	55	73	64.0
0640	TUO	Tuolumne Co Trans Council	Tuolumne County SRTS and Family Fit	157	157	157			157					157	157	51	-	82	66.5
0773	VAR	Caltrans	State Technical Assistance Resource Center	1,875	1,875	625	1,250		1,875					1,875	1,875	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
0231	VAR	MTC	Bay Area Bike Share Expansion (VAR)	19,831	11,863	1,000	10,863		11,863				1,000			-	61	63	62.0
0569	VAR	Omnitrans	West Valley Connector Corridor	25,125	3,500	525	2,975		2,975		525	3,115				88	88	81	85.7
0494	VAR	SCAG	SCAG Active Transportation Safety & Encouragement Campaign	2,333	2,333	2,333			2,333			934				86	95	71	84.0
0570	VAR	State Coastal Conservancy	Santa Ana River Trail and Parkway	218	197	81	116		197			197				72	71	68	70.3
0098	VAR	Tahoe Transportation District	Lake Tahoe Bike and Ped Active Transportation Project	45,314	10,866	10,866			8,716		2,150	7,244				74	73	73	73.3
0495	VEN	Oxnard	Oxnard Blvd Bike Lanes	1,215	57		57				57					62	75	59	65.3
0496	VEN	Oxnard	Vineyard Ave Bike Lanes	746	57		57				57					45	43	47	45.0
0497	VEN	Santa Paula	10th St (SR 150) Bicycle and Ped Improvements	635	577	577			577			577				46	72	62	60.0
0498	VEN	Simi Valley	Arroyo Simi Greenway Bike Trail Phase 3	1,330	1,197	77	1,120		1,120	9	68	1,197		1,197		57	74	74	68.3
0499	VEN	Thousand Oaks	Rancho Rd Ped/Bike Improv	1,027	909	109	800		800		109					-	56	66	61.0
0500	VEN	Thousand Oaks	City Ped & Bicycling Improv	1,656	1,466	176	1,290		1,290		176					28	29	63	40.0
0501	VEN	Ventura	Bike Bath Crossing Safety Beacons	426	377	35	342		342		35					-	63	65	64.0
0502	VEN	Ventura	Westside Ped and Bicycle Facility Improvements	1,500	1,500	200	1,300		1,300	10	190	1,500		1,500		44	93	66	67.7
0503	VEN	Ventura Co	Rio Real Elementary School, Ped and street improv	400	365		365		365			365		365		35	29	45	36.3
0504	VEN	Ventura Co	Camarillo Heights and Somis Schools ped improv	625	578		578		578					578		39	58	45	47.3
0505	VEN	Ventura Co	Las Posas Road Bike Lanes (South), Phase II	690	610		610		610			610		610		45	-	81	63.0
0506	VEN	Ventura Co	Santa Ana Rd Widening and Bike Lanes (Central)	1,300	1,150		1,150		1,150					1,150		39	-	69	54.0
0099	YOL	Davis	SRTS Program	562	562	562			562					562	562	67	74	91	77.3
0100	YOL	Davis	N. H Street Bicycle and Ped Improvements	1,112	1,112	178	934		934		178			1,112		52	45	38	45.0
0101	YOL	UC Davis	Russell Corridor Active Transportation Improvements	4,617	3,879	144	3,735		3,528	144	207					73	72	46	72.5
0102	YOL	West Sacramento	Sycamore Trail Phase 3 Bicycle and Ped Trail	695	695	148	547	35	547	25	88	695		695		80	77	91	82.7
0103	YOL	West Sacramento	Sycamore Trail Phase 2 Bicycle and Ped Overpass	947	947	847	100	100		170	677	947		947		88	65	86	79.7
0104	YOL	West Sacramento	Clarksburg Branch Line Trail Extension	1,947	1,947	243	1,704	50	1,704	193		1,947		1,947		81	77	69	75.7
0105	YOL	Woodland	2014 SRTS	539	539	539			539			270		539	539	-	60	85	72.5
0106	YOL	Woodland	Bicycle and Pedestrian Enhancement Project	2,369	2,097	2,097			1,920		177	2,097				52	44	69	48.0
0109	YUB	Marysville	SRTS Project & Programs	489	489	489			448	41		489		489	16	84	92	79	85.0
0107	YUB	Yuba Co	Linda Elementary SRTS Project	865	865	130	735	15	720	40	90	865		865		-	63	47	55.0
0108	YUB	Yuba Co	Ella Elementary SRTS Project	1,350	1,350	160	1,190	20	1,170	60	100	1,350		1,350		-	78	82	80.0

RW: Right of Way Phase  
 CON: Construction Phase  
 PAED: Project Approval/Environmental Document Phase  
 PSE: Plans, Specifications & Estimate Phase  
 DAC: Benefit to Disadvantaged Communities  
 Plan: Active Transportation Plan  
 SRTS: Safe Routes to School  
 NI: Non-Infrastructure  
 E1: Evaluator 1  
 E2: Evaluator 2  
 E3: Evaluator 3

**CALTRANS**  
**RECOMMENDATION**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR  
P.O. BOX 942873, MS-49  
SACRAMENTO, CA 94273-0001  
PHONE (916) 654-6130  
FAX (916) 653-5776  
TTY 711  
www.dot.ca.gov



*Serious drought.  
Help save water!*

July 31, 2014

Mr. Andre Boutros  
Executive Director  
California Transportation Commission  
1120 N Street, Room 2221  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Boutros

I am excited to forward California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) recommendation for Cycle 1 Active Transportation Program and for consideration and adoption of the California Transportation Commission (CTC).

Caltrans conducted a project solicitation and selection process for Cycle 1 of the Active Transportation Program (ATP). The application submittal period closed on May 21, 2014. Caltrans received 771 applications requesting over \$1 billion in ATP funds. The applications were evaluated and scored by up to three independent evaluators, both Caltrans and external volunteers. The scores were averaged to determine a preliminary ranking of projects for funding. The projects were then evaluated on other considerations including project-type funding requirements, fiscal year requirements, district staff recommendation, and on-site project scoping for projects over \$5M.

In accordance with SB99, Caltrans is responsible for recommending ATP projects to utilize the statewide program (50% of total ATP funding) and the Small Urban and Rural program (10% of total ATP funding). The attached recommended project lists fill up these two ATP funding pots.

In accordance with AB101, the ATP has funding requirements by project type. The minimum funding requirements and proposed funding for three years of funds within two years of programming are shown in the table below:

Mr. Andre Boutros  
 July 31, 2014  
 Page 2

<u>PROGRAM</u>	<u>PROJECT TYPE</u>	<u>FUNDING REQUIREMENT/ LIMIT*</u>	<u>AMOUNT RECOMMENDED*</u>	<u>REQUIREMENT/ LIMIT MET?</u>	<u># OF PROJECTS***</u>
STATEWIDE		184,050	184,050	YES	125
	Non-Safe Routes to School Projects	NONE	97,587	N/A	56
	Safe Routes to School (SRTS) (min)	72,000	86,463	YES	69
	SRTS –Non-Infrastructure (min)	21,600	15,952	NO**	36
	Recreational Trails- (min)	5,700	6,144	YES	3
	PLANS (max)	5%	2.9%	YES	13
	Non-Infrastructure (Non-SRTS) (min)	NONE	5,852	NA	7
	Disadvantaged Community (min)	25%	94.5%	YES	117
SMALL URBAN & RURAL		36,810	36,810	YES	16
	Disadvantaged Community (min)	25%	83.9%	YES	11

*\*In 1000s*

*\*\*Not enough successful applications submitted to meet minimum requirement*

*\*\*\*Projects or elements of project type*

Caltrans takes on the responsibility of administering the ATP and recommending a two-year program of projects to utilize ATP funds and meet the goals of the program in accordance with SB99 and AB101.

The attached lists and maps will be posted on the Caltrans internet website immediately after the ATP program adoption by the CTC at the August 19-20, 2014 meeting.

Caltrans staff will conduct post-award training to ATP funding recipients following the ATP program adoption by the CTC at the August meeting.

Sincerely,



MALCOLM DOUGHERTY  
 Director

Enclosure

- (1) ATP Program of Project Lists
- (2) Caltrans ATP Project Selection and Method
- (3) Maps of Cycle 1 Recommended ATP Geographic Distribution

c: Brain P. Kelly, Secretary, California State Transportation Agency

Mr. Andre Boutros  
July 31, 2014  
Page 3

bc: Kome Ajise, Deputy Director, Planning and Modal Programs, Caltrans  
Ray Zhang, Chief, Division of Local Assistance, Caltrans  
April Nitsos, Office Chief, Active Transportation Program and Special Funds, Caltrans  
Teresa McWilliam, Senior Transportation Engineer, Active Transportation and Special  
Funds, Caltrans

# CALTRANS ATP PROJECT SELECTION AND METHOD

## CYCLE 1

---

### **PROJECT EVALUATION**

The California Transportation Commission (CTC) created eight evaluation teams that CALTRANS staff facilitated. It was the intention for each project to be reviewed by three evaluators, however, due to some unforeseen circumstances; some external reviewers were not able to review all applications assigned to them. Due to the very short time allowed to complete this cycle and recommend a list of projects, it was not possible for CALTRANS to reissue all of the unevaluated applications. Therefore, each project was evaluated by one CALTRANS evaluator and one or two external evaluators. The evaluator scores were averaged to begin the project ranking.

Each application evaluation took approximately 1-3 hours, depending on evaluator. Evaluators scored projects based on a scoring rubric created by CALTRANS. A debriefing with the application evaluators resulted in some suggestions for next cycle. The evaluators all agreed that they were able to score the best projects highly based on the scoring rubric provided by CALTRANS.

### **PROJECT SELECTION**

CALTRANS explored funding the projects with only the highest scores. This was not possible due to the project type minimum funding requirements of AB101 and SB99. CALTRANS next split the projects out that had SRTS elements and selected project to meet that minimum funding requirement for SRTS and SRTS-NI. CALTRANS staff revisited every project selected for potential recommendation to break out the funding types and to confirm project, schedule and funding information.

### **DISTRICT RECOMMENDATIONS**

All applications were reviewed by the district and given a recommendation: "Recommend", "Highly Recommend", or "Do Not Recommend". Projects that were in the top scoring and received a "Do Not Recommend" were further researched by CALTRANS staff for justification.

NO PROJECT WAS REMOVED SOLEY ON A DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION.

### **GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION**

See attached map.

### **ON-SITE PROJECT SCOPING FOR PROJECTS > \$5M**

To ensure the ATP requirements were being met, projects requesting ATP funds exceeding \$5M with an average evaluation score of 80.00 and above were identified for project scoping. Project scoping consisted of on-site visits by CALTRANS staff, applicant and other local agency representatives. Due to time and staffing constraints, only those projects that were identified in the short list of projects for possible funding were scoped.

The scoping focused on the merits of the proposed project and project specific issues:

- Project feasibility
- Actual benefits to non-motorized users (creating gaps, non-connectivity)
- Visible inventory of existing non-motorized facilities
- R/W need
- Existing utility, identification and relocation efforts
- Verification of schools and their proximity to the project (SRTS)
- Identification of possible design issues (omission, oversights)

Scoping information was used to verify merit of CALTRANS recommended ATP projects requesting ATP funds exceeding \$5M.

NO PROJECT WAS REMOVED SOLEY ON SCOPING RESULTS.

# CALTRANS ATP PROJECT SELECTION AND METHOD CYCLE 1

## STATEWIDE PROGRAM

### SUMMARY

AVAILABLE FUNDS=        \$184,050,000 [\$179,550,000 +\$ 4,500,000 (loan repayment 14/15)]  
 RECOMMENDED=         \$183,925,000

<u>PROJECT TYPE</u>	<u>FUNDING REQUIREMENT/LIMIT*</u>	<u>AMOUNT RECOMMENDED*</u>	<u>REQUIREMENT/LIMIT MET?</u>	<u># OF PROJECTS***</u>
STATEWIDE PROGRAM TOTAL	184,050	184,050	YES	125
Non-Safe Routes to School Projects	NONE	97,587	N/A	56
Safe Routes to School (SRTS) (min)	72,000	86,463	YES	69
SRTS –Non-Infrastructure (min)	21,600	15,952	NO**	36
Recreational Trails- (min)	5,700	6,144	YES	3
PLANS (max 5% of funds)	8970	5,410	YES	13
Non-Infrastructure (Non-SRTS)	NONE	5,852	N/A	7
Disadvantaged Community (min)	25%	94.5%	YES	117

\*In 1000s

\*\*Not enough successful applications were submitted to meet minimum requirement

\*\*\*Projects or elements of project type

CALTRANS is recommending that all projects with an average score of 81.50 and above be funded. Two projects with a score of 81.00 are recommended for funding, although there are four projects with this same score. These projects were selected in combination as to not exceed the remaining funds. Three of the four projects were requesting funds to fully fund their projects. One project would not have been fully funded with an award from ATP.

The two following projects are being recommended for partial award:

1. Coachella Valley Association of Governments- CV Link project. The agency requested a total of \$12,900,000 in the application, \$7,000,000 of which is for preliminary engineering (PE) and the remainder for construction (partial). CALTRANS noted that the Project Programming Request (PPR) showed multiple years of programming for PE (14/15 and 15/16) and multiple years for construction in 15/16 and future programming years as well as multiple allocations for construction. As each phase can only be allocated one time, CALTRANS decided to fund the PE only for 14/15. CALTRANS called the applicant and confirmed that this would be acceptable.
2. City of Baldwin Park- Maine Avenue Corridor Complete Streets-This project was partially funded to fully utilize the remaining funds available for award.

### CALTRANS STAFF RECOMMENDATION CONSIDERATIONS

CALTRANS staff has taken the following steps to provide a sound recommendation of projects:

1. Review of project eligibility based on comments from evaluators and districts
2. Review of SRTS projects for NI element to fill the minimum SRTS-NI requirement
3. Review of project evaluations and applications to identify those projects that have an actual benefit to disadvantaged communities
4. PPR mistakes such as programming same phase in multiple year (funds for one phase were all placed into one program year)
5. Additional review of projects comparing PPR with estimates with funds requested information
6. Multi-layered review of projects for duplicates or omissions

# CALTRANS ATP PROJECT SELECTION AND METHOD

## CYCLE 1

---

### **ELIGIBILITY/NUMEROUS INELIGIBLE ITEMS**

All projects were reviewed for eligibility by CALTRANS staff as well as the external evaluators through the evaluation process. CTC requested that the eligibility not be determined solely by CALTRANS. Evaluations were performed on all applications prior to making a final eligibility determination.

### **FUNDING POTS**

#### **Safe Routes to School (SRTS)/Safe Routes to School-Non Infrastructure (SRTS-NI)**

CALTRANS and CTC agreed to program a minimum of \$24M in SRTS projects in 14/15.

CTC requested that CALTRANS fund all eligible SRTS-NI projects in order to meet the minimum requirement. Therefore, the recommended list includes all SRTS-NI only projects with a score of 70.00 or greater (the lowest score for SRT-NI is a 72.00 as the next best score was under a 70.00). CALTRANS staff did not feel that projects that scored lower than a 70.00 should be recommended for funding.

Some NI projects requesting funds in 15/16 were programmed in 14/15 to meet the \$10.8M minimum requirement for 14/15. CALTRANS could not meet the SRTS-NI in Cycle 1 in 15/16 with the submitted projects, however, Cycle 2 will produce more NI projects that may be programmed in the 15/16, if there is capacity (possible delays of 15/16 projects).

#### **Recreation Trail Program (RTP)**

RTP project goals could not be met by solely funding best scored projects. Therefore, Trout Creek Trail-Phase2 from the Town of Truckee was moved ahead of other higher scoring, non-RTP projects in order to meet the minimum funding requirement.

#### **Plans**

Plans have a 5% maximum funding restriction and no minimums. No projects were moved in order to meet this restriction.

#### **Disadvantaged Communities (DAC)**

There were sufficient projects to meet this requirement. No projects were moved in order to meet this requirement.

### **ATP TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RESOURCE CENTER (TARC)**

CALTRANS is requesting \$1,875,000 for the ATP Technical Assistance Resource Center (\$625,000 in 14/15 and \$1,250,000 in 15/16). These funds will be allocated by the CTC to CALTRANS. CALTRANS is currently developing a plan for a TARC that addresses health, planning, non-infrastructure and infrastructure elements.

An existing agreement with the University of California, San Francisco provides technical assistance for Safe Routes to School non-infrastructure projects. This agreement has been extended to June 30, 2015.

### **RECOMMENDATION FOR FUNDING PROJECTS WITH STATE FUNDS ONLY**

Caltrans is not making a recommendation at this time as to which projects will be funded with state funds only. Caltrans intends to include a request in the award letter asking the successful applicants to send in requests for state funds only with a justification.

### **PROJECTS WITH SCORES OVER THE CUTOFF BUT NOT FUNDED**

The two projects below were ranked within the cutoff for funding but were not included in the recommended list of projects as both projects requested funds in only 16/17 which is outside of the programming cycle of 14/15 thru 15/16:

1. City of Perris-Murieta Road Pedestrian Improvements
2. City of Perris-Perris Valley Storm Drain Channel Trail

CALTRANS recommends that the applicant apply for the Cycle 2 call for projects which will be for program years 15/16 thru 18/19

# CALTRANS ATP PROJECT SELECTION AND METHOD

## CYCLE 1

### SMALL URBAN AND RURAL PROGRAM

**TOTAL PROGRAM**

AVAILABLE FUNDS =36,810,000 [35,910,000 + 900,000 (loan repayment 14/15)]

RECOMMENDED= =36,810,000

<u>PROJECT TYPE</u>	<u>FUNDING REQUIREMENT/LIMIT*</u>	<u>AMOUNT RECOMMENDED*</u>	<u>REQUIREMENT/LIMIT MET?</u>	<u># OF PROJECTS</u>
SMALL URBAN AND RURAL PROGRAM TOTAL	36,810	36,810	YES	16
Disadvantaged Community (min)	25%	83.9%	YES	11

*\*In 1000s*

CALTRANS is recommending that all projects with an average score of 73.33 and above be funded.

One project is being recommended for partial award. The Tahoe Transportation District- Lake Tahoe Bike and Ped Active Transportation requested a total of \$7,893,000 for the project; however, since it was the last project funded, it is recommended that the applicant be awarded a portion of their request, \$5,457,000 in order to stay within the funding constraints of the program. CALTRANS contacted the applicant and confirmed that this would be acceptable.

**CALTRANS STAFF RECOMMENDATION CONSIDERATIONS**

CALTRANS staff has taken the following steps to provide a sound recommendation of projects:

1. Review of project eligibility base on comments from evaluators and districts
2. Review of project evaluations and applications to identify those projects that have an actual benefit to disadvantaged communities
3. PPR mistakes such as programming same phase in multiple year (funds for one phase were all placed into one program year)
4. Additional review of projects comparing PPR with estimates with funds requested information.
5. Multi-layered review of projects for duplicates or omissions

**PROJECT EVALUATION**

The California Transportation Commission (CTC) created eight evaluation teams that CALTRANS staff facilitated. It was the intention for each project to be reviewed by three evaluators, however, due to some unforeseen circumstances, some external reviewers were not able complete their reviews. Due to the very short time allowed to complete this cycle and recommend a list of projects, it was not possible for CALTRANS to reissue all of the unevaluated applications. Therefore, each project was evaluated by one CALTRANS evaluation and one or two external evaluators. The evaluator scores were averaged to begin the project ranking.

Each application evaluation took approximately 1-3 hours, depending on evaluator. Evaluators scored projects based on a scoring rubrics created by CALTRANS. A debriefing with the application evaluators resulted in some suggestions for next cycle. The evaluators all agreed that they were able to score the best projects highly based on the scoring rubrics provided by CALTRANS.

**PROJECT SELECTION**

CALTRANS is recommending funding for the projects with only the highest scores remaining after the statewide selection and within the Small Urban and Rural areas not included in the nine large MPOs.

# CALTRANS ATP PROJECT SELECTION AND METHOD

## CYCLE 1

---

### **DISTRICT RECOMMENDATIONS**

All applications were reviewed by the district and given a recommendation: "Recommend", "Highly Recommend", "Do Not Recommend". Projects that were in the top scoring and received a "Do Not Recommend" were further researched by CALTRANS staff for justification.

NO PROJECT WAS REMOVED SOLEY ON A DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION.

### **GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION**

See attached map

### **ON-SITE PROJECT SCOPING FOR PROJECTS > \$5M**

To ensure the ATP requirements were being met, projects requesting ATP funds exceeding \$5M with average evaluation scores of 80.00 and above were identified for project scoping. Projects in the Small Urban and Rural list were not initially identified for scoping as their scores were under 80.00 and were identified for funding just before the recommended list of projects was due to CTC.

### **ELIGIBILITY/NUMEROUS INELIGIBLE ITEMS**

All projects were reviewed for eligibility by CALTRANS staff as well as the external evaluators through the evaluation process. CTC requested that the eligibility not be determined solely by CALTRANS. Evaluations were performed on all applications prior to making a final eligibility determination.

### **FUNDING POTS**

#### **SRTS/ SRTS-NI**

No requirement for the Small Urban and Rural Program.

#### **RTP**

No requirement for the Small Urban and Rural Program.

#### **Plans**

Plans have a 5% maximum funding restriction and no minimums. No projects were moved in order to meet this restriction.

#### **Disadvantaged Communities (DAC)**

There were sufficient projects to meet this requirement. No projects were moved in order to meet this requirement

### **RECOMMENDATION FOR FUND PROJECTS WITH STATE FUNDS ONLY**

Caltrans is not making a recommendation at this time as to which projects will be funded with state funds only. Caltrans intends to include a request in the award letter asking the successful applicants to send in requests for state funds only with a justification.

### **PROJECTS WITH SCORES OVER THE CUTOFF BUT NOT FUNDED**

*City of Hollister-Activating and Safe and Equitable Connections* was deemed ineligible by CALTRANS as staff could not determine location/quantities where the ATP elements would be completed, engineering plans did not match work described, and unrelated cost estimates were included with multiple ineligible items.

**STATEWIDE - ATP Non-SAFE ROUTES PROJECTS-CYCLE 1-CALTRANS RECOMMENDATIONS JULY 31, 2014**

\$184,050 Available-Total Program (SRTS and Non-SRTS lists)

1000s

CT Application ID	Evaluator Scores			Average Score	Project Title	Dist	Co	Agency	Total Project Cost (1000s)	Total Funds Requested (1000s)	14-15	15-16	DAC	NI	Plan	RTP
	1	2	CT													
1	City of Redding-1	94	-	95	94.50	Placer Street Improvement	2	SHA	City of Redding	5,003	2,295		2,295	2,295		
2	City of Los Angeles-23	92	91	95	92.67	Beverly Boulevard Active Transportation Improvements	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	1,374	992		992	992		
3	County of LA Department of PW-2	84	97	97	92.67	Eastside Lightrail Bike Interface	7	LA	LA County	1,861	1,305		1,305	1,305		
4	Co of Los Angeles DPW-3	87	98	92	92.33	Vermont Ave Bikelane	7	LA	LA County	1,317	676		676	676		
5	City of Glendale-1	96	91	88	91.67	Citywide Ped Plan	7	LA	City of Glendale	500	500	500		500		500
6	City of Pomona-1	88	97	88	91.00	Pomona Downtown Bike and Ped Improvments	7	LA	City of Pomona	2,270	2,270	228	2,042	2,270		
7	City of Los Angeles-24	-	89	92	90.50	Expo Line Bundy Station-First/Last Mile Improvements	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	3,450	3,053	287	2,766			
8	City of Bell-1	89	89	93	90.33	Florence Ave Ped Improvements	7	LA	City of Bell	2,405	1,924		1,924	1,924		
9	City of Chula Vista-2	80	91	100	90.33	F Street Promenade Streetscape Master Plan	11	LA	City of Chula Vista	518	491	491		491		491
10	City of Los Angeles-14	80	97	94	90.33	Eastside Active Transportation Linkages	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	3,651	2,237	382	1,855	2,237		
11	City of Los Angeles-12	94	-	86	90.00	Hollywood Western Ped Improvements	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	3,923	2,288	322	1,966	2,288		
12	City of Oakland-1	94	88	88	90.00	International Blvd Ped Lighting and Sidewalk Repair	4	ALA	City of Oakland	6,475	2,481	279	2,202	2,481		
13	County of LA DPW-5	94	86	89	89.67	Quarry Clasp Peck Road to Peck Park Bike Project	7	LA	Los Angeles County	2,574	1,546		1,546	1,546		
14	Los Angeles Co DPW-4	86	95	88	89.67	Florence Metro Blu Line Station Bikeway Access Improvements	7	LA	Los Angeles County	1,624	1,188		1,188	1,188		
15	City of Colton-1	94	86	88	89.33	Active transportation plan	8	SBD	City of Colton	265	265	265	0	265		265
16	City of Duarte-1	93	85	89	89.00	Duarte Gold Line Station Ped and Bicycle Improvements	7	LA	City of Duarte	1,646	1,303	147	1,156	1,303		
17	City of Palmdale-2	92	87	88	89.00	Active Transportation Program Plan	7	LA	City of Palmdale	595	595	595	0	595		595
18	City of East Palo Alto-1	89	89	88	88.67	Hwy 101 Ped/Byiccle Overcrossing	4	SM	City of East Palo Alto	9,400	8,800	200	8,600	8,800		
19	City of Riverside PWD-1	85	93	86	88.00	Downtown and Adjoining Areas Bicycle and Ped improvement	8	RIV	City of Riverside	997	877		877	877		
20	La Jolla Band of Luisno Indians-1	88	-	88	88.00	La Jolla Active Transportation Project	11	SD	La Jolla Band of Luisno Indians	4,855	4,855	1,235	3,620	4,855		
21	LA River Revitalization Corp-1	91	80	92	87.67	N. Atwater Non-Motorized Multimodal Bridge	7	LA	LARRC	9,038	3,660	3,660		3,660		3,660
22	City of Anaheim-2	83	87	93	87.67	South St Sidwalk Gap Closure	12	ORA	City of Anaheim	796	796	367	429	796	15	
23	City of Compton-1	81	89	93	87.67	Wilmington Ave Safe Streets Ped/Bicycle Improvements	7	LA	City of Compton <sup>1</sup>	995	995	46	949	995		
24	City of Oakland-4	95	91	77	87.67	LAMMPS/Laurel, Mills, Maxwell Park and Seminary Active Trnsportation Connection	4	ALA	City of Oakland	4,066	3,596	693	2,903	3,596		
25	SANBAG-2	90	-	85	87.50	Points of Interest Pedestrian Plan	8	SB	SANBAG	400	400	400		400		400
26	Napa Co Transp & Planning Agency-1	-	88	87	87.50	Napa Vine Trail Phase 2- Gap Closure	4	NAP	NCTPA	7,600	3,600	3,600		3,600		
27	City of Stockton-5	85	88	89	87.33	Bicycle Master Plan Update	10	SJ	City of Stockton	550	550	550	0	550		550
28	Coachella Valley AOG-1	85	88	88	87.00	CV Link	8	RIV	Coachella Valley Association of Governments	99,359	7,000	7,000	0	7,000		
29	City of Los Angeles-15	87	78	95	86.67	Yale St Ped Linkages - Phase 1	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	690	690	110	580	690		
30	City of Santa Ana-11	71	92	96	86.33	Newhope-Civic Ctr-Grand Class 11 Bike Lanes	12	ORA	City of Santa Ana	272	272	272		272		

**STATEWIDE - ATP Non-SAFE ROUTES PROJECTS-CYCLE 1-CALTRANS RECOMMENDATIONS JULY 31, 2014**

\$184,050 Available-Total Program (SRTS and Non-SRTS lists)

CT Application ID	Evaluator Scores	Average Score	Project Title	Dist	Co	Agency	1000s								
							Total Project Cost (1000s)	Total Funds Requested (1000s)	14-15	15-16	DAC	NI	Plan	RTP	
31	San Bernardino Assoc Gov'ts-1	89 87 82	86.00	Metrolink Station Accessibility Improvement	8	SBD	SANBAG	4,679	4,679	576	4,103	4,679			
32	Omnitrans-1	88 88 81	85.67	West Valley Connector Corridor	7 & 8	VAR	Omnitrans	25,125	3,500	525	2,975	3,500			
33	City of Carson-1	82 86 87	85.00	City of Carson Active Transportation Project	7	LA	City of Carson	1,481	1,481	1,481		1,481	500		
34	City of Elk Grove-3	84 85 86	85.00	Lower Laguna Creek Open Space Preserve Trail	3	SAC	City of Elk Grove	1,778	1,573	266	1,307	1,573			
35	City of Los Angeles-13	96 82 77	85.00	Cesar E Chavez Connections	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	1,957	1,565		1,565	1,565			
36	City of Los Angeles-19	91 82 81	84.67	Little Tokyo Ped Safety	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	4,439	3,316	663	2,653	3,316			
37	City of National City-1	80 78 96	84.67	18th St Bicycle and Ped Enhancements	11	SD	City of National City	1,225	1,225	200	1,025	1,225			
38	City of Stockton-2	82 79 91	84.00	San Joaquin Trail	10	SJ	City of Stockton	1,479	1,394	1,394		1,394			
39	City of National City-5	82 81 89	84.00	El Toyon - Las Palmas Bicycle Corridor	11	SD	City of National City	1,865	300		300	300			
40	SoCalAoG1	86 95 71	84.00	SCAG Active Transportation Safety & Encouragement Campaign	7	VAR	SCAG	2,333	2,333	2,333			2,333		
41	CSU, Fresno-1	71 95 84	83.33	Barstow Ave Bikeways	6	FRE	CSU Fresno	2,075	872	872		872			
42	San Gabriel Valley Council-2	91 77 82	83.33	SGV Regional Active Transportation Planning Initiative	7	LA	San Gabriel Valley COG <sup>1</sup>	643	643	485	158	643	158	485	
43	City of Tehachapi-4	74 93 82	83.00	Extend bike path	9	KER	City of Tehachapi	1,292	1,292	136	1,156	1,292	1,292		
44	City of Bell Gardens-1	- 71 95	83.00	City Wide Safety Enhancement Project	7	LA	City of Bell Gardens	997	802		802	802			
45	City of West Sacramento-3	80 77 91	82.67	Sycamore Trail Phase 3 Bicycle and Ped Trail	3	YOL	City of West Sacramento	695	695	148	547	695			
46	City of Vista-4	86 93 87	82.67	Ped Master Plan	11	SD	City of Vista	150	150	150		150		150	
47	City of Merced-2	85 88 75	82.67	State Highway 59 Sidewalk	10	MER	City of Merced	945	945		945	945			
48	City of Los Angeles-18	85 - 80	82.50	Expo Line Ped Improv, Crenshaw-City Lim.	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	2,890	2,311	178	2,133	2,311			
49	City of Escondido-1	79 78 90	82.33	Escondido Bike Path Missing Link	11	SD	City of Escondido	1,092	1,092	172	920	1,092	1,092		
50	LA Co Dept of Public Health-1	87 92 68	82.33	Unincorporated LA County Ped Plans and Programs	7	LA	Los Angeles County	1,445	1,445		1,445	1,445	462	982	
51	City of Santa Ana-2	75 - 89	82.00	Complete Streets	12	ORA	City of Santa Ana	300	300	300		300		300	
52	City of Coalinga-1	93 - 71	82.00	City Active Transportation Plan	6	FRE	City of Coalinga	240	240	240		240		240	
53	City of Santa Monica-1	92 - 72	82.00	4th Street Bike/Ped Upgrades	7	LA	City of Santa Monica	750	600	600		600			
54	City of Oakland-9	91 69 86	82.00	Harrison Street/27th St/24th St Improvements	4	ALA	City of Oakland	850	850	179	671	850			
55	Urban Corp of San Diego County-1	91 68 87	82.00	Chollas Creek, Oak Park Branch Trail	11	SD	Urban Corp of San Diego County	1,049	964	70	894	964			964
56	Town of Truckee-1	78 78 84	80.00	Trout Creek Trail, Ph 2**	3	NEV	Town of Truckee	2,300	1,520	1,520					1,520
							<b>TOTAL FUNDS</b>	<b>242,543</b>	<b>97,587</b>	<b>34,117</b>	<b>63,470</b>	<b>90,681</b>	<b>5,852</b>	<b>4,958</b>	<b>6,144</b>
							<b>% OF FUNDS AVAILABLE</b>			<b>34.96%</b>	<b>65.04%</b>	<b>92.92%</b>	<b>6.00%</b>		<b>6.30%</b>
							<b>MINIMUMS</b>				<b>25.00%</b>				<b>5,700</b>

\*\* Moved up to meet RTP minimum

(1) Cannot authorize funds at this time due to audit concerns

**STATEWIDE - ATP-SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL-CYCLE 1-CALTRANS RECOMMENDATIONS JULY 31, 2014**

\$184,050 Available-Total Program (SRTS and Non-SRTS lists)

CT Application ID	Evaluator Scores			Average Score	Project Title	Dist	Co	Agency	Total Project Cost	Total Funds Requested	1000s							
	1	2	CT								14-15	15-16	DAC	Plan	SRTS-NI	SRTS-I	SRTS-TOTAL	
0	TARC (Allocation to CT)			NA	TARC	all	all	TARC	1,875	1,875	625	1,250	1,875		1,875		1,875	
1	City of Inglewood-1	-	91	99	95.00	Active Transportation Plan and SRTS	7	LA	City of Inglewood	486	486	486		486	332	100	54	154
2	Riverside Co DPH-1	99	99	86	94.67	SRTS City of Jurupa Valley	8	RIV	Riverside Co DPH	500	500	500		500		500	0	500
3	Co of Los Angeles DPW-6	-	99	90	94.50	Florence-Firestone Community SRTS program	7	LA	Los Angeles County	1,092	960	105	855	960		105	855	960
4	Co of Los Angeles DPW-7	97	95	93	91.67	East Los Angeles Community SRTS Program	7	LA	Los Angeles County	925	810		810	810		190	620	810
5	City of Jurupa-1	-	85	98	91.50	SRTS/Troth St	8	RIV	City of Jurupa Valley	689	627	125	502	627			627	627
6	LA DOT-5	80	98	95	91.00	SRTS Sheridan St ES/Breed St ES	7	LA	Los Angeles County	5,092	5,092	1,018	4,074	5,092			5,092	5,092
7	Alameda County TC-1	90	92	90	90.67	East Bay Greenway	4	ALA	Alameda County	3,000	2,656	2,656		2,656			2,656	2,656
8	Health, Injury Prevention Services -2	99	75	97	90.33	SRTS City of Indio	8	RIV	Riverside County DPH	500	500	500		500		500	0	500
9	City of Anaheim-3	96	90	84	90.00	Western Ave Ped Signal	12	ORA	City of Anaheim	400	400	81	319	400		8	392	400
10	City of Santa Barbara-1	97	76	95	89.33	Cacique and Solidad Ped/Bike Bridge and Corridor Improvements	5	SB	City of Santa Barbara	2,703	2,703	550	2,153	2,703			2,703	2,703
11	City of Santa Ana-1	86	92	89	89.00	Develop, design, and construct Bishop-Pacific-Shelton Bike Boulevards	12	ORA	City of Santa Ana	950	950	70	880	950			950	950
12	City of Wasco-4	87	86	93	88.67	Palm Ave Elementary School Ped Infrastructure Improvements	6	KER	City of Wasco	458	458	48	410	458			458	458
13	City of Albany-1	85	90	90	88.33	Complete Streets for San Pablo Ave and	4	ALA	City of Albany	3,500	634	335	299	634			634	634
14	Mendocino Co Health and Human Services-1	94	84	87	88.33	SRTS	1	MEN	Mendocino Co HSSA	871	871	871		871		871		871
15	LA DOT-4	88	90	87	88.33	SRTS Delores Huerta ES/Quincy Jones ES	7	LA	Los Angeles County	4,292	4,292	858	3,434	4,292			4,292	4,292
16	Mendocino Council of Governments-1	-	92	84	88.00	Covelo SR 162-Multi Purpose Trail Phase 1	1	MEN	MCOG	904	847	663	184	847		233	614	847
17	City of LA Dept of Transp-1	92	88	84	88.00	SRTS Education and Enforcement Prog	7	LA	City of Los Angeles	2,829	2,829	2,829	0	2,829		2,829	0	2,829
18	City of Lompoc-1	88	92	83	87.67	Sidewalk Infill and Curb Ramp Project	5	SB	City of Lompoc	442	442	39	403	442			442	442
19	City of Anaheim-2	83	87	93	87.67	South St sidewalk gap closure	12	ORA	City of Anaheim	796	796	367	429	796		15	781	796
20	Sac DOT-1	76	98	88	87.33	Howe Ave sidewalk and Infill and bikelane	3	SAC	Sacramento County	1,853	1,853	320	1,533	1,853			1,853	1,853
21	City of Santa Ana-4	83	82	97	87.33	SRTS Enhancements for Heninger Elementary	12	ORA	City of Santa Ana	480	480	35	445	480		15	465	480
22	City of Anaheim-1	71	92	96	86.33	Cerritos Ave Sidewalk Gap Closure	12	ORA	City of Anaheim	1,209	1,209	320	889	1,209		8	1,201	1,209
23	Humboldt Co PW-3	91	87	80	86.00	Lafayette Elementary School Safe Routes Improv.	1	HUM	Humboldt Co	800	800	75	725	800		75	725	800
24	City of Lancaster-2	93	93	70	85.33	5th Street East Corridor Improvements	7	LA	City of Lancaster	1,438	1,438	85	1,353	1,438		30	1,408	1,438
25	El Monte City School	76	89	90	85.00	Durfee-Thompson Elementary Emerald Necklace Walking School Bus	7	LA	El Monte City School District	605	605	605		605		605	0	605
26	City of Stockton-7	96	70	89	85.00	Fremont Square Sidewalk Reconstruction	10	SJ	City of Stockton	728	728	79	649	728			728	728
27	City of Ontario-1	72	95	88	85.00	Safe Routes to School Active Transportation-Bon View, Corona, Euclid and Vineyard Elementary Schools	8	SBD	City of Ontario	1,167	1,167	1,167		1,167		8	1,159	1,167
28	City of Marysville-1	84	92	79	85.00	SRTS	3	YUB	City of Marysville	489	489	489		489		116	373	489
29	City of Palmdale-1	86	90	78	84.67	Ave R Complete Streets and Safe Routes	7	LA	City of Palmdale	6,669	5,332	810	4,522	5,332			5,332	5,332
30	City of Cudahy-1	95	93	66	84.67	Cudahy Citywide SRTS Improvement (Ped Crosswalks)	7	LA	City of Cudahy <sup>1</sup>	1,270	1,270	96	1,174	1,270			1,270	1,270
31	LA DOT-6	95	71	88	84.67	SRTS Menlo Ave ES/West Vernon ES	7	LA	Los Angeles County	4,742	4,742	948	3,794	4,742			4,742	4,742
32	City of Indio-1	80	94	80	84.67	Andrew Jackson Elementary Ped Improvements	8	RIV	City of Indio	2,580	2,580	207	2,373	2,580			2,580	2,580

**STATEWIDE - ATP-SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL-CYCLE 1-CALTRANS RECOMMENDATIONS JULY 31, 2014**

\$184,050 Available-Total Program (SRTS and Non-SRTS lists)

CT Application ID	Evaluator Scores	Average Score	Project Title	Dist	Co	Agency	Total Project Cost	Total Funds Requested	1000s							
									14-15	15-16	DAC	Plan	SRTS-NI	SRTS-I	SRTS-TOTAL	
33	- 74 95	84.50	Horace Mann Ped Improvements	6	KER	Kern County	372	310		310	310			310	310	
34	- 89 80	84.50	Pearson Rd ST2S Connectivity Project	3	BUT	City of Paradise	1,387	226	45	181	226			226	226	
35	82 96 75	84.33	Citywide SRTS Ped Facility Improvements	8	RIV	City of Moreno Valley	1,640	1,640	125	1,515	1,640		60	1,580	1,640	
36	90 82 81	84.33	SRTS Enhancements for Washington Elementary	12	ORA	City of Santa Ana	780	780	57	723			15	765	780	
37	85 74 91	83.33	Highland Elementary Ped Improvements	6	KER	Kern County	330	275		275	275			275	275	
38	72 84 94	83.33	Redwood Mobility Education Program Safe Routes to Calimesa and Wildwood Elementary Schools	1	HUM	Humboldt County	600	600	600		600		600	0	600	
39	87 80 83	83.33	Apple Valley SRTS	8	SBD	City of Yucaipa	1,027	872		872	872			872	872	
40	85 83 81	83.00	Hollywood HS & Selma Ave ES, SRTS	8	SBD	City of Apple Valley	2,190	1,095	1,095		1,095			1,095	1,095	
41	93 93 63	83.00	SRTS Improvements	7	LA	Los Angeles County	3,412	3,412	661	2,751	3,412			3,412	3,412	
42	80 82 87	83.00	SRTS Enhancements for King Elementary	7	LA	City of Glendale	1,642	1,642	126	1,516	1,642			1,642	1,642	
43	80 - 86	83.00	Foster Road Side Panel SRTS Improvement Project	12	ORA	City of Santa Ana	500	500	36	464	500		15	485	500	
44	79 86 83	82.67	Sidewalks to School Installation	7	LA	City of Norwalk	2,208	2,208	100	2,108	2,208		30	2,178	2,208	
45	76 80 92	82.67	Bikeways Master plan update	10	SJ	City of Stockton	1,368	1,368	203	1,165	1,368			1,368	1,368	
46	85 82 81	82.67	Maryland Elementary Ped Mobility improv	12	ORA	City of Santa Ana	500	500	500		500		500		500	
47	56 99 92	82.33	Laguna Creek Trail and Bruceville Rd SRTS	11	SD	City of Vista	792	712	85	627	712			712	712	
48	90 70 87	82.33	Redding SRTS	3	SAC	City of El Grove	2,283	2,021	325	1,696	2,021			2,021	2,021	
49	83 84 80	82.33	Sierra Hwy Bike Bridge and Street	4	SF	SF DPW	3,419	784		784	784			784	784	
50	75 79 93	82.33	South Galt SRTS	7	LA	City of Santa Clarita	3,229	1,402		1,402	1,402			1,402	1,402	
51	78 88 81	82.33	Maxwell Drive SR2S Project	3	SAC	City of Galt	2,150	1,800		1,800	1,800		1,800		1,800	
52	78 85 83	82.00	Safe & Active San Jacinto Safe Routes to SRTS Project	3	BUT	Town of Paradise	968	131	33	98	131			131	131	
53	79 80 87	82.00	SR 29 Bike and Ped Undercrossing	8	RIV	City of San Jacinto	989	989	989		989		150	839	989	
54	- 70 94	82.00	Riverside Ave Ped Crossing	3	BUT	City of Biggs	860	860	40	820	860			860	860	
55	70 79 96	81.66	Park Blvd Improvement SRTS	4	NAP	City of Napa	579	482		482	482			482	482	
56	77 86	81.50	Juniper Elementary Safe Routes To School	4	CC	Contra Costa Transportation Auth	2,000	2,000	2,000		2,000			2,000	2,000	
57	71 80 93	81.33	Maine Avenue Corridor Complete Streets Program, Perris*	4	ALA	City of Oakland	1,147	1,147	241	906				1,147	1,147	
58	82 92 69	81.00	Chula Vista Elem School Dist-1*	11	SD	City of Escondido	1,359	1,359	22	1,337	1,359		22	1,337	1,359	
61	92 70 81	81.00	City of Baldwin Park-1***	7	LA	City of Baldwin Park	2,201	1,110	95	1,015	1,110			1,110	1,359	
59	79 82 78	79.67	SRTS*	12	RIV	Riverside County DPH	350	350	350				350	0	350	
60	45 90 100	78.33	SRTS Plan*	11	SD	Chula Vista Elementary School	590	590	590				590	0	590	
62	67 74 91	77.33	SRTS Education*	3	YOL	City of Davis	562	562	562		562		542	20	562	
63	70 73 77	76.67	Linda Vista SRTS*	11	SD	City of San Diego	500	500	500	0	500		500	0	500	
64	57 87 86	76.67	Vista SRTS Master Plan*	11	SD	City of Vista	120	120	120			120		0	0	
65	- 90 62	76.00	San Juan Unified School District-1*	3	SAC	SJUSD	250	250	250				250	0	250	
66	90 91 47	76.00	SRTS*	8	SBD	City of Rialto	1,450	1,450	1,450		1,450		1,450	0	1,450	
67	51 84 90	75.00	SRTS*	11	SD	City of Coronado	42	35	35	0			35	0	35	
68	80 73 70	74.33	Encouragement*	1	DN	Del Norte LTC	134	60	60		60		60	0	60	
69	59 76 85	73.33	County SRTS*	4	SM	San Mateo County Office of Education	4,036	900	900		900		900	0	900	
							<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>104,300</b>	<b>86,463</b>	<b>30,157</b>	<b>56,306</b>	<b>83,191</b>	<b>452</b>	<b>15,952</b>	<b>70,059</b>	<b>86,260</b>
							<b>% OF TOTAL FUNDS REQUESTED</b>			<b>34.88%</b>	<b>65.12%</b>	<b>96.22%</b>	<b>0.52%</b>	<b>18.45%</b>	<b>81.03%</b>	<b>99.77%</b>
							<b>MINIMUMS</b>		<b>72,000</b>			<b>25.00%</b>		<b>21,600</b>		

\*Moved up to try to meet SRTS-NI minimum (per CTC)

\*\*\*Partially funded with remainder of program funds-OK per agency. Original request was \$2,201

(1) Cannot authorize funds at this time due to audit concerns

**ATP-SMALL URBAN AND RURAL-CYCLE ONE-CALTRANS RECOMMENDATIONS JULY 31, 2014**

\$36,810 Available

CT Application ID	Evaluator Scores			Average Score	Project Title	Dist	Co	Agency	1000s									
	1	2	CT						Total Project Cost	Total Funds Requested	14-15	15-16	DAC	NI	Plan	SRTS-NI	SRTS-I	RTP
	1	86	69						87	80.67	Great Meadow Bike Path Safety Improvements Project	5	SCR	Regents of UC Santa Cruz	433	383	71	312
2	76	77	89	80.67	Chestnut Street Multi-Use Facility and SRTS Program	1	MEN	City of Fort Bragg	1051	259	13	246	259			13	246	
3	86	59	94	79.67	Castroville Bike/Ped Path and RR Crossing	5	MON	Monterey County	8,931	913		913					913	
4	90	70	79	79.67	Sawmill Bike Trail Safe Access	3	ED (TMPO)	EDCTC	2,694	750	750		750					
5	83	72	82	79.00	Samoa Trail	1	HUM	Humboldt County	2,022	1,618	102	1,516	1,618					1,618
6	83	80	72	78.33	North Fremont Bike and Ped Access and Safety Improvements	5	MON	City of Monterey	7,318	6,480	735	5,745	6,480					
7	-	76	79	77.50	Humboldt Trail: Arcata Rail with Trail Project	1	HUM	City of Arcata	5,236	3,100	3,100		3,100					
8	74	81	74	76.33	Radar Speed Feedback Signs and Flashing Beacons	5	SCR	Santa Cruz County	829	829	829						829	
9	65	-	86	75.50	Las Positas Rd Multiuse Path	5	SB	City of Santa Barbara	10,387	1,372	354	1,018	1,372					
10	82	69	74	75.00	Hollister Class 1 Bike	5	SB	City of Goleta	1,780	1,644	1,644						1,644	
11	72	89	62	74.33	Lower Milpas Ped Improv.	5	SB	City of Santa Barbara	1,097	1,097	125	972	1,097				1,097	
12	68	-	80	74.00	Fowler Rd & Ekwil St Extension	5	SB	City of Goleta	23,871	2,010		2,010						
13	69	69	83	73.66	Eureka Waterfront Trail	1	HUM	City of Eureka	4,382	4,272	150	4,122	4,272					
14	70	62	88	73.33	Childs Ave ATP Project	10	MER	City of Merced	2,721	2,721		2,721	2,721			20	2,701	
15	73	71	76	73.33	Railroad Safety Trail to Pepper Segment	5	SLO	City of San Luis Obispo	4,925	3,895		3,895	3,895					
16	74	73	73	73.33	Lake Tahoe Bike and Ped Active Transportation Project***	3	ED/PLA	Tahoe Transportation District	45,314	5,467	2,150	3,317	5,313					
TOTAL									122,991	36,810	10,023	26,787	30,877	0	0	33	7,430	1,618
% OF FUNDS SRTS MIN POT MINIMUMS										36,810	27.23%	72.77%	83.88%	0.00%	0.00%	0.09%	20.18%	4.40%

\*\*\*Partially funded with remainder of program funds-OK per agency. Original request was \$7,893



# CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Caltrans ATP Cycle 1 - Statewide



### CALTRANS DISTRICTS AND REGIONS

- Headquarters
- Caltrans North Region
- Caltrans Central Region

### ATP AWARDS

North Region: 23 projects

District 4: 12 projects

Central Region: 23 projects

South Region: 82 projects

District 1:  
Applications: 25  
Awarded: 9

District 2:  
Applications: 15  
Awarded: 1

District 3:  
Applications: 69  
Awarded: 14

District 4:  
Applications: 122  
Awarded: 12

District 5:  
Applications: 54  
Awarded: 11

District 6:  
Applications: 91  
Awarded: 5

District 7:  
Applications: 132  
Awarded: 40

District 8:  
Applications: 67  
Awarded: 16

District 9:  
Applications: 16  
Awarded: 1

District 10:  
Applications: 52  
Awarded: 6

District 11:  
Applications: 65  
Awarded: 14

District 12:  
Applications: 63  
Awarded: 12





# CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## Caltrans ATP Cycle 1 - Small Urban & Rural



### CALTRANS DISTRICTS AND REGIONS

- Headquarters
- Caltrans North Region
- Caltrans Central Region

### ATP AWARDS

North Region: 6 projects  
 Central Region: 10 projects

- District 1:  
Applications: 25  
Awarded: 4
- District 2:  
Applications: 15  
Awarded: 0
- District 3:  
Applications: 12  
Awarded: 2
- District 5:  
Applications: 54  
Awarded: 9
- District 6:  
Applications: 4  
Awarded: 0
- District 9:  
Applications: 16  
Awarded: 0
- District 10:  
Applications: 15  
Awarded: 1

