

Memorandum

TAB 20

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: June 10, 2009

Reference No.: 4.18
Action Item

From: CINDY McKIM
Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by: Rachel Falsetti
Division Chief
Transportation Programming

Subject: STATE TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED FROM THE AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Transportation (Department) recommends the California Transportation Commission (Commission) accept the selection of Transportation Enhancement (TE) projects to be funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act).

ISSUE:

The attached list identifies a group of TE projects the Department has found to be eligible for funding and consistent with provisions of the Recovery Act and Assembly Bill X3 20 (ABX3 20). The Department will request that the Commission allocation funds for the projects as they are delivered. (There is a concurrent allocation request for several of the projects under Tab 114.)

BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to the Recovery Act, three percent (approximately \$77 million) of federal funds made available to the state shall be used for TE activities. Assembly Bill X3 20 further directed that 62.5 percent of these funds be apportioned to the regional agencies based on the existing formula for federal regional Surface Transportation Program funds. The remaining 37.5 percent, or about \$28.9 million, shall be apportioned for expenditure by the state to be programmed by the Department and allocated by the Commission

Assembly Bill X3 20 also provides further direction to the state and regions in regards to project selection priorities for all Recovery Act TE projects. In general, first priority shall be given to projects where the state or region will employ the services of a community conservation corps or the California Conservation Corps. Second, priority shall be given to projects that are to provide facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists. Last priority shall be given to all other eligible projects.

The Recovery Act also contains certain requirements and goals. Priority is to be given to projects located within economically distressed areas and to projects that can create jobs quickly. The projects being proposed by the Department represent a fair balance between these sometimes conflicting requirements. In preparing this selection, the Department solicited recommendations

internally and externally then reviewed and considered a large number of candidates that greatly exceeded available budget. Project deliverability was a key consideration in the final selection of projects. The Department also prioritized on-system highway projects.

Of the projects selected by the Department, about \$13 million (or about 45 percent of the total) will be for projects in distressed areas of the state that will be completed using the services of a community conservation corps or the California Conservation Corps. About \$15 million is proposed for pedestrians and bicyclists facilities, nearly all of it to distressed areas of the state. The remaining \$1 million is proposed to be allocated to an Arts Council project for art enhancements along the state highway system.

Attachment

PROPOSED ARRA TE PROJECTS (\$1,000s)

County	Route	PPNO	Project Title and Location	Economically Distressed	Total	Con	Con Supt	Not ARRA Funded			
								PAED	PSE	RW	RW Supt
Conservation Corps Projects (AB3X-20 Priority #1)											
Sacramento	5	5827	Native Landscaping from Route 50 to Yolo County Line	X	\$ 1,000	\$ 750	\$ 250				
Sacramento	70, 99	8365	Native Landscaping from Route 5 to Sutter County Line	X	\$ 1,000	\$ 750	\$ 250				
San Joaquin	99	0254	Manteca Route 99/120 Landscaping	X	\$ 1,444	\$ 1,190	\$ 254	\$ 25			\$ 5
Calaveras	4	0253	Tree Planting on Angels Camp Bypass from Route 49 to 0.6 mile east of Roller Bypass Road	X	\$ 1,045	\$ 845	\$ 200	\$ 20			\$ 5
San Luis Obispo	101	2257	Fencing for Wildlife Crossings		\$ 450	\$ 450	\$ -	\$ 60	\$ 60	\$ 20	\$ 10
San Bernardino	15	0168N	Tree Planting from Route 60 to Route 10	X	\$ 510	\$ 425	\$ 85				
Riverside	15, 91	0016J	Tree Planting at Route 15/91 Interchange	X	\$ 840	\$ 700	\$ 140				
Riverside	15, 60	0016L	Tree Planting at Route 15/60 Interchange	X	\$ 1,380	\$ 1,150	\$ 230				
Riverside	15	0016K	Tree Planting from Route 91 to Route 60	X	\$ 390	\$ 325	\$ 65				
Riverside	71, 91	0049W	Tree Planting at Route 71/91 Interchange	X	\$ 600	\$ 500	\$ 100				
San Bernardino	15, 10	0168P	Tree Planting at Route 15/10 Interchange	X	\$ 1,620	\$ 1,350	\$ 270				
Marin	101	0343D	Vegetation Management Phase II from Golden Gate Bridge to Rodeo Avenue		\$ 485	\$ 465	\$ 20				
Del Norte	Local	TBD	Kelsey Historic Trail from Boulder Creek to Canthook Creek	X	\$ 300	\$ 300					
Orange	142	TBD	Native Landscaping from Valencia Boulevard to San Bernardino County Line		\$ 525	\$ 450	\$ 75	\$ 20	\$ 35	\$ 10	
Los Angeles	2, 134	TBD	Landscaping Enhancements on Routes 2 and 134	X	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,000	\$ 200				
San Diego	15	TBD	Tree Planting in Fallbrook	X	\$ 625	\$ 500	\$ 125				
					\$ 13,414						
Bicycle & Pedestrian Projects (AB3X-20 Priority #2)											
Marin	101	0342L	Route 101 HOV Lane Gap Closure		\$ 2,100	\$ 2,100					
El Dorado	50	3L19B	Missouri Flat Interchange Bike Lanes	X	\$ 5,000	\$ 5,000					
Shasta	299	2400	Route 299 Bike Lanes	X	\$ 448	\$ 403	\$ 45				
San Mateo	101	TBD	Belmont Bike/Pedestrian Bridge		\$ 2,493	\$ 2,493					
Humboldt	255	TBD	Samoa Blvd Ped/Bike Improvements	X	\$ 1,072	\$ 1,072		\$ 15	\$ 5		
Lake	20	TBD	Clearlake Oaks Ped/Bike Facilities	X	\$ 1,513	\$ 1,513					
Inyo	168	TBD	Route 168 Bike Lanes	X	\$ 1,137	\$ 1,009	\$ 128	\$ 73	\$116	\$ -	\$ 6
Trinity	299	2399B	West Weaverville Traffic Calming	X	\$ 305	\$ 250	\$ 55	\$ 60	\$ 50	\$ 20	\$ 15
					\$ 14,068						
All Other Projects (AB3X-20 Priority #3)											
Various	Var	TBD	Arts Council Murals at various locations	X	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ -				
					\$ 1,000						
					\$ 28,482						
					-426 Over (+)/Under (-) Budget						