

AGENDA

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**SEPTEMBER 15, 2004
Sacramento, California**

Wednesday, September 15, 2004

10:30 a.m.

Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)

**Department of Water Resources
Auditorium, First Floor
1416 9th Street
Sacramento, California**

CLOSED SESSIONS PERTAINING TO LITIGATION:

The Commission will meet in closed session, pursuant to Government Code section 11126(e)(2)(A) to confer with legal counsel with regard to the following litigation:

Flying J, Inc. v. California Transportation Commission, et al., Kern County Superior Court, Case No. 253208 SPC.

NOTE: The Commission will convene in open session and then move immediately into Closed Session. Following the Closed Session, the Commission will reconvene in Open Session.

A copy of this meeting notice and agenda will be posted 10 days prior to the meeting and related book items will be posted 5 days prior to the meeting on the California Transportation Commission Website:
www.catc.ca.gov

Questions or inquiries about this meeting may be directed to the Commission staff at (916) 654-4245, 1120 N Street (MS-52), Sacramento, CA 95814. If any special accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact Carol Peterson at (916) 654-4245. Requests for special accommodations should be made as soon as possible but at least five days prior to the scheduled meeting.

Persons attending the meeting who wish to address the California Transportation Commission on a subject to be considered at this meeting are asked to complete a Speaker Request Card and give it to the Executive Assistant prior to the discussion of the item. If you would like to present handouts/written material to the California Transportation Commission at the meeting, please provide a minimum of 25 copies.

NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED CTC MEETINGS (Subject to Change):

CTC Committee Meetings – To Be Determined

CTC Commission Meeting – October 27-28, 2004, Sacramento

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

FREQUENTLY USED TERMS: California Transportation Commission (Commission or CTC), California Department of Transportation (Department or Caltrans), Regional Improvement Program (RIP), Interregional Improvement Program (IIP), State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP), Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), Environmental Phase (PA&ED), Design Phase (PS&E), Right of Way (R/W), Fiscal Year (FY).

GENERAL BUSINESS

	1.		
• Roll Call	1.1	I	C
• Approval of Minutes for August 4-5, 2004 Meeting	1.2	A	C
• Commission Reports	1.3	A	C
• Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	1.4	A	C

BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY REPORT

• Report by Agency Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary	1.5	I	B
---	-----	---	---

CALTRANS REPORT

• Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director	1.6	I	D
---	-----	---	---

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT

• Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	1.7	I	R
• Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair	1.8	I	R

INFORMATION CALENDAR

	IC	I	C
--	----	---	---

- To Be Determined

CONSENT CALENDAR

	CC	A	C
--	----	---	---

- To Be Determined

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS</u>	2.		
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Action – No Items This Month</u>	2.1a.		
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-001 The City of Santa Cruz is requesting this amendment. The City of Santa Cruz requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement. The City of Santa Cruz will use local funds (City General funds) to replace \$325,000 in RIP funds for Construction in FY 2005-06 for the Front Street Rehabilitation project (PPNO 1168). The City of Santa Cruz proposes to advance the Front Street Rehabilitation project to FY 2004-05 and program a total of \$325,000 in RIP funds in FY 2005-06 for the Bay Drive Roadway Rehabilitation project (PPNO 1836) as the replacement project. The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) concurs with this request. This is a revenue neutral programming action. 	2.1b.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-002 The City of San Juan Bautista is requesting this amendment. The City of San Juan Bautistia requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement for two projects. The City of San Juan Bautistia will use local funds to replace \$883,000 in RIP funds in FY 2005-06 for Construction of the City-Wide Storm Drain Improvement (PPNO 0853) and 4th Street Rehabilitation (PPNO 1188) projects with later reimbursement (PPNO 1838 and 1837) in FY 2005-06. The local funds are in the form of a loan and must be paid back at a later date. The Council of San Benito County Governments concurs with this request. This is a revenue neutral programming action. 	2.1b.(2)		I D
	<u>Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments</u>	2.1c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approval – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.1c.(1)		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

• Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Six application amendments, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TAA-04-09, Amending Resolutions TA-00-01, TA-01-11, and TAA-04-05

2.1c.(2)

A D/C

-- Project #1.2 – BART to San Jose; extend BART from Fremont to Downtown San Jose in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties – Extension from Warm Springs to Downtown San Jose. The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority requests an amendment to update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-04-05. (Related item under 2.6e)

-- Project #49.1 – Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center; Intermodal facility at Highland Avenue and Hawthorn Avenue in the City of Los Angeles. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) requests an amendment to designate this sub-project as – ATCS in the Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center Service Area, revise the project scope, receive a transfer of \$350,000 in TCRF from TCR Sub-project #49.2, and update the schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-01-11. (Related item under 2.6e)

-- Project #49.2 – Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center; Intermodal facility at Highland Avenue and Hawthorn Avenue in the City of Los Angeles. The LADOT requests an amendment to designate this sub-project as – Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center, transfer \$350,000 of TCRF to TCR Sub-project #49.1, revise the project scope, and update the schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-01-11. (Related item under 2.6e)

-- Project #50 – Route 71; complete three miles of six-lane freeway through Pomona, from Route 10 to Route 60 in Los Angeles County. The Department requests an amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-00-01. (Related item under 2.6e)

-- Project #158.1 – Remodel the intersection of Olympic Boulevard/Mateo Street/Porter Street – Mateo Street widening. The LADOT requests an amendment to transfer (\$75,000) of savings in programmed TCR funds to TCRP Sub-Project #158.2, and update the overall project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-00-01. (Related item under 2.6e)

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. (CONTINUED) 	2.1c.(2)		A D/C
	<p>-- Project #158.2 – Remodel the intersection of Olympic Boulevard/Mateo Street/Porter Street – Olympic Blvd. widening. The LADOT requests an amendment to receive transfer of \$75,000 in TCR funds from TCRP Sub-Project #158.1, and update the overall project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TA-00-01. <i>(Related item under 2.6e)</i></p>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. One application amendment, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TAA-04-10, Amending Resolution TAA-03-08 	2.1c.(3)		A D/C
	<p>-- Project #44 – Route 47, Terminal Island Freeway; construct interchange at Ocean Boulevard Overpass in the City of Long Beach in Los Angeles County. The Port of Long Beach requests an amendment to update the project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-03-08.</p>			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (Letter) Approvals – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.1c.(4)		A D/C
	<p><u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.1d.		
	<p><u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.1e.		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters</u></p>	2.2		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.2a.		
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR)</u></p>	2.2b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Los Angeles to San Diego – Programmatic EIR to Construct Improvements along the Los Angeles to San Diego (LOSSAN) Rail Corridor (DEIR) 	2.2b.		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 101 in Humboldt County – Replace the southbound bridge near Fortuna (ND). Resolution E-04-18 	2.2c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 1 in Mendocino County – Realign Route 1 near Point Arena (ND). Resolution E-04-19 	2.2c.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 20 in Mendocino County – Widen shoulders and construct left turn lanes near Fort Bragg (ND). Resolution E-04-20 	2.2c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Routes 299 and 395 in Modoc County – Rehabilitate and restore the roadway in Alturas (ND). Resolution E-04-21 	2.2c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 99 in Tehama County – Replace Toomes Creek Bridge near Los Molinos (ND). Resolution E-04-22 	2.2c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding –Montgomery Way or Hess Road in Tehama County – Relocate the Red Bluff Maintenance Station in Red Bluff (ND). Resolution E-04-23 	2.2c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 80 in Placer County – Roadway rehabilitation near Kingvale (ND). Resolution E-04-24 	2.2c.(7)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 87 in Santa Clara County – Construct high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes in San Jose (ND). Resolution E-04-25 	2.2c.(8)		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 80 in Sacramento and Placer Counties – Add high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes in both directions in Roseville and Rocklin (FEIR). Resolution E-04-26 	2.2c.(9)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 905 in San Diego County – Construct six-lane freeway near San Diego (FEIR). Resolution E-04-27 	2.2c.(10)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 180 in Fresno County – Roadway rehabilitation near Mendota (ND). Resolution E-04-28 	2.2c.(11)		A D
	<u>Highway Route Matters</u>	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route Adoptions – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Public Road Connections – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.3b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Three Relinquishment Resolutions -- 5-SLO-101-PM 0.6/0.8 Route 101 in the County of San Luis Obispo Resolution R-3583 -- 5-SCr-152-KP T2.9/T3.7 Route 152 in the City of Watsonville Resolution R-3584 -- 12-Ora-5-PM 39.1/39.2 Route 5 in the City of Anaheim Resolution R-3585 	2.3c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Vacation Resolution -- 6-Ker-58, 14-KP 173.5/175.5, 29.9/30.6 Route 58, 14 in the County of Kern Resolution A-857 	2.3d.		A D
	<u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u>	2.4		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.4a.		

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	--	----------------

- | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|---|---|
| • 18 Resolutions of Necessity
Resolutions C-18929 through C-18946 | 2.4b. | | A | D |
|--|-------|--|---|---|

- | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|---|---|
| • Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – Airspace Option to Lease-Triangle Partners, LLC | 2.4c. | | A | D |
|--|-------|--|---|---|

- | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|---|---|
| • Director’s Deeds
Items #1 Through #9
Excess Lands – Return to State \$2,000,000
Return to Others: \$0 | 2.4d. | | A | D |
|--|-------|--|---|---|

Highway Financial Matters

\$	11,377,500	Total Funds Requested for Allocation
\$	412,000	Contributions from Other Sources
\$	11,789,500	Grand Total

- | | | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|
| <u>Financial Allocations for Minor Projects</u> | 2.5a | | | |
|--|------|--|--|--|

- | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|
| • Financial Allocation for Minor – <u>No Items This Month</u> | 2.5a.(1) | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|

- | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|
| • Financial Allocation for Minor Projects not proposed for allocation at this time – <u>No Items This Month</u> | 2.5a.(2) | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|

- | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|---|---|
| • Financial Allocation for Four Minor SHOPP Safety Projects totaling \$1,280,000.
Resolution FP-04-07 | 2.5a.(3) | | A | D |
|--|----------|--|---|---|

- | | | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|
| <u>Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects</u> | 2.5b. | | | |
|--|-------|--|--|--|

- | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|
| • Financial Allocation for SHOPP – <u>No Items This Month</u> | 2.5b.(1) | | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|

- | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|---|---|
| • Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Safety Project for \$1,850,000, Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action.
Resolution FP-04-08 | 2.5b.(2) | | A | D |
|--|----------|--|---|---|

- | | | | | |
|---|----------|--|---|---|
| • Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$1,000,000.
Resolution FP-04-09 | 2.5b.(3) | | A | D |
|---|----------|--|---|---|

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Financial Allocations/Amendments for STIP Projects</u>	2.5c.		
	• Financial Allocation for State Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(1)		
	• Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Projects on the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(2)		
	• Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(3)		
	• Financial Allocation for State Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(4)		
	• Financial Allocation for One Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Project for \$80,000 plus \$20,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-10	2.5c.(5)		A D
	• Financial Allocation for Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(6)		
	• Financial Allocation for Locally Administered Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(7)		
	• STIP Project Advancements – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(8)		
	• Financial Allocation for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of their Programmed Amount – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5d.		
	<u>Financial Allocations for Supplemental Funds</u>	2.5e.		
	• Financial allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Six Projects totaling \$4,860,000. Resolution FA-04-01	2.5e.(1)		A D
	-- 01-DN-169 – SHOPP Project in Del Norte County. Current allocation is \$3,300,000. This request for \$625,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 19% over the voted amount.			
	-- 01-Hum-101 – SHOPP Project in Humboldt County. Current allocation is \$2,540,000. This request for \$840,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 33% over the voted amount.			

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<p>Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds (CONTINUED)</p>	2.5e.(1)		A D
	<p>-- 04-Son-101 – SHOPP Project in Sonoma County. Current allocation is \$7,039,000. This request for \$2,638,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 37% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<p>-- 07-LA-5 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County. Current allocation is \$855,000. This request for \$280,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 33% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<p>-- 07-LA-101 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County. Current allocation is \$700,000. This request for \$357,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 51% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<p>-- 08-Riv-71 – STIP Project in Riverside County. Current cost is \$9,674,000. This request for \$120,000 to complete the construction contract results in an increase of 17% over the allocated amount.</p>			
	<p>• Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Four projects totaling \$2,052,000. Resolution FA-04-02</p>	2.5e.(2)		A D
	<p>-- 02-Sha-36 – SHOPP Project in Shasta County. Current allocation is \$1,385,000. This request for \$473,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 34% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<p>-- 05-SB-1 – SHOPP Project in Humboldt County. Current allocation is \$3,250,000. This request for \$190,000 to close out the construction contract results in an increase of 64% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<p>-- 07-LA-47 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County. Current allocation is \$3,205,000. This request for \$700,000 to complete the construction contract results in an increase of 43% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<p>-- 10-SJ-99 – SHOPP Project in San Joaquin County. Current allocation is \$2,314,000. This request for \$689,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 30% over the voted amount.</p>			
	<p><u>Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority</u></p>	2.5f.		
	<p>• Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Five projects totaling \$6,120,975.</p>	2.5f.(1)		I D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>	<u>Status*</u>
--	-------------------------	---------------	----------------

- Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Phase I): G-11 Allocations – **No Items This Month** 2.5f.(2)

- Informational Report on Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocations – **No Items This Month** 2.5f.(3)

- Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-01-10 Allocations – One project for \$1,711,000. 2.5f.(4) I D

Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$	0	Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)
\$	0	Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP) Funds Requested for Allocation
\$	0	Total Proposition 116 Program Funds
\$	0	Total Proposition 116 De-Allocation Amendment
\$	0	Total Allocation Amendments
\$	0	Grand Total State Allocation Funds Requested for Allocation

Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit 2.6a.

- Financial Allocation for Local Rail/Transit STIP projects – **No Items This Month** 2.6a.(1)

- Financial Allocation for Intercity Rail STIP projects – **No Items This Month** 2.6a.(2)

Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program – No Items This Month 2.6b.

Financial Allocation Amendment for Proposition 116 Program – No Items This Month 2.6c.

Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects – No Items This Month 2.6d.

Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations / Allocation Amendments 2.6e.

- Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment Approval. Eight allocation amendment requests, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TFP-04-06, Amending Resolutions TFP-00-02 and TFP-02-03 2.6e. A D/C

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>Aeronautics Financial Matters</u>	2.7		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allocation of \$98,300 for FY 2004-05 California Aid to Airports Program (CAA) funds for Three Safety Projects from the 2004 Aeronautics Program. FDOA-2004-4 	2.7		A D
	-- County of Del Norte – McBeth Field Airport in Del Norte County \$32,400 – Obstruction removal – Runway 11/29.			
	-- County of Del Norte – Ward Field Airport in Del Norte County \$45,900 – Obstruction removal – Runway 6/24			
	-- County of Alpine – Alpine County Airport in Alpine County \$20,000 – Relocate existing non-conforming segmented circle and windcone.			
	<u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u>	2.8		
	<u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – No Items This Month</u>	2.8a.		
	<u>Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award – No Items This Month</u>	2.8b.		
	<u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion – No Items This Month</u>	2.8c.		
	<u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure Deadline – No Items This Month</u>	2.8d.		
	<u>Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission’s Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – No Items This Month</u>	2.8e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technical Correction to Delegated Allocation #2003-92 approved September 13, 2002, for \$150,000 for Environmental studies on the Fowler Road Extension Project. Correction is needed to transfer Implementing Agency status from the City of Goleta to the County of Santa Barbara. 	2.9		A D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>PROGRAM STATUS</u>	3.		
	• Caltrans Monthly FY 2004-05 Finance Report and Revised Cash Flow Report	3.1	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded	3.2	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.3	I	D
	• Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded	3.4	I	D
	• Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service	3.5	I	C
	• Report on the Additional \$16.5 million the Department Spent Above the Budgeted \$31 million in the Post-Certification Fund Reservation Category of the FY 2003-04 Right-of-Way Allocation	3.6	A	D
	• Fifth Cycle AB 1012 Unobligated RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA "Use It or Lose It" Notification	3.7	I	D
	• Local Assistance Quarterly Lump Sum Allocation Status Report for 2004-05 Fiscal Year	3.8	I	D
	<u>POLICY MATTERS</u>	4.		
	• State and Federal Legislative Matters *	4.1	A	C
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.			
	• Report on Project Support Resources and Support Work Plan for FY 2004-05	4.2	I	D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	<u>List of Pending Allocations/Amendments/LONPs</u>	4.3		
	• Highway/Rail and Transit Pending Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u>	4.3a.		
	• Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Application Amendments – Ten application amendments, including one amendment to deprogram (\$2,078,000) of TCR funds, per Resolution G-03-14, Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Policy for Managing Cash Between TCR Projects	4.3b.(1)	I	C/D
	• Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Letters of No Prejudice (Letter), per Resolution G-03-12 – Seven Letter requests totaling \$569,325,000	4.3b.(2)	I	C/D
	• Pending Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations – 19 project allocations totaling \$188,502,000	4.3b.(3)	I	C/D
	• Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations – 17 Projects totaling \$2,672,000	4.3c.	I	D
	• Report on Estimated Cost to Retrofit the State’s Seven Toll Bridges and the Actual Cost Regardless of the Funding Source	4.4	I	D
	• Status Report on the New Bay Bridge East Span	4.5	I	D
	• Report Under What Legal Authority the Commission can Transfer State Real Property for Less than Appraised Value to the Transbay Joint Powers Authority and/or to the City of San Francisco	4.6	I	D
	• Status Report on the Transbay Terminal by Joint Powers Authority	4.7	I	R
	• Status Report on Previously Approved GARVEE Projects not yet Awarded	4.8	I	D
	• Potential for GARVEE Bond Project Candidates	4.9	I	C/D

	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>		<u>Status*</u>
	• Prioritization of SHOPP Project Allocations	4.10		I D
	• Adoption of FFY 2004-05 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Transit Program Resolution G-04-____	4.11		A C
	• 2004 Report of STIP Balances County and Interregional Shares	4.12		I C
	• North Coast Railroad Authority (NCRA) Proposition 116 Payback Plan	4.13		A R/C
	• Annual Seismic Safety Retrofit Report	4.14		A D
	• Outline for Commission's 2004 Annual Report to the Legislature	4.15		A C
	<u>MODAL ISSUES – No Items This Month</u>	5.		
	<u>OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT</u>	6.		