

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: August 13-14, 2003

Reference No.: 2.8e.
Action Item

From: ROBERT L. GARCIA
Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by: Jay Norvell
Acting Division Chief
Mass Transportation

Ref: **REQUEST TO EXTEND THE PERIOD OF PROJECT COMPLETION, PER
RESOLUTION G-99-25, FOR LOCAL PROPOSITION 116 PROJECT, WAIVER-03-22**

ISSUE:

The California Transportation Commission (Commission) allocated construction funds totaling \$14,567,000 on February 18, 1998 under Resolution BFP-97-23 for the project shown in the attachment. The implementing agency awarded a contract, but was unable to complete the project by the completion deadline. The attachment shows the details of the project and the delay that has resulted in the extension request. The project sponsor requests an extension, and the planning agency concurs.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department of Transportation recommends approval of this post-fact time extension request.

BACKGROUND:

Resolution G-99-25, Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects, adopted by the Commission on August 18, 1999, stipulates that after a contract is awarded, the implementing agency has up to 36 months to complete the contract. The Commission applied these requirements to Proposition 116 Bond Program projects. The guidelines further stipulate that the Commission may approve waivers to the project completion deadline one time only for up to 20 months in accordance with Section 14529.8 of the Government Code.

Attachment

August 2003 Meeting Waiver/Time Extension Requests

Regional Agency	Extension Amount	Number of Months Requested
Local Agency	STIP Fund Type	Extended Deadline
	Component	CT Recommendation
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA)	\$14,567,000	12 Months
City of Carson	P116	08/31/03
Sepulveda Boulevard Grade Separation PPNO 07-9061H <u>Extension for Project Completion</u>	Construction	Support
Reason for Project Delay:		
<p>City of Carson was unable to complete the project due to the complexity and circumstances with relocation of utilities. Southern California Edison and California Water Services had to relocate their facilities in phases, before, during, and after the completion of the project. The time extension will allow the City to complete the project and seek reimbursement.</p>		