

# Memorandum

**To:** CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS  
CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**CTC Meeting:** September 15, 2011

**Reference No.:** 3.12  
Information Item

**From:** NORMA ORTEGA  
Chief Financial Officer

**Prepared by:** Karla Sutliff  
Division Chief  
Project Management


**Subject:** QUARTERLY NON-TOLL SEISMIC SAFETY RETROFIT REPORT

Per Section 188.5(g) of the Streets and Highways Code, attached is the California Department of Transportation's Quarterly Non-Toll Seismic Safety Retrofit Report.

Attachment

# CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## SECOND QUARTER 2011 NON-TOLL SEISMIC RETROFIT PROGRAM QUARTERLY REPORT



Source: Caltrans rev. 1/95

AP/Karl Gude, Dawn Desilets

Reporting Period Ending June 30, 2011

---

## Report Overview

---

This report provides information on the status and progress in delivering the California Department of Transportation's (Department) non-toll seismic retrofit programs. Other seismic retrofit programs under development by the Department included the following:

- The Phase 1 Seismic Retrofit Program is complete and is no longer reported.
- The Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Report is prepared and submitted separately by the Toll Bridge Program Oversight Committee as outlined in Section 30952.2 (b) (1) of the Streets and Highways Code.

This report fulfills the Department's statutory reporting requirement outlined in Assembly Bill (AB) 144 (Chapter 71, Statutes of 2005), which amended Section 188.5 (g) of the Streets and Highways Code as follows:

“(1) Commencing on January 1, 2004, and quarterly thereafter until completion of all applicable projects, the Department shall provide quarterly seismic reports to the transportation committees of both houses of the Legislature and to the commission for other seismic retrofit programs.

- (2) The reports shall include all of the following:
- (A) A progress report for each program.
  - (B) The program baseline budget for support and capital outlay construction costs.
  - (C) The current or projected program budget for support and capital outlay construction costs.
  - (D) Expenditures to date for support and capital outlay construction costs.

(E) A comparison of the current or projected schedule and the baseline schedule.

(F) A summary of milestones achieved during the quarterly period and any issues identified and actions taken to address those issues.”

The Department currently has two active non-toll seismic retrofit programs as outlined below.

### **Phase 2 Seismic Retrofit Program:**

The program consists of additional (beyond Phase 1) State-owned bridges that were determined to need seismic retrofit based on additional screening.

### **Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program:**

The program consists of seismic retrofit of locally owned and Department of Water (DWR) bridges. This program is funded and implemented by the agencies having jurisdiction over the bridges.

### **Background**

California has more than 12,000 State-owned bridges on its State Highway System, plus an additional 11,500 city and county-owned bridges not on the State Highway System. Each bridge is inspected at least once every two years.

After the 1994 Northridge earthquake, the Department identified 1,155 State-owned bridges that became the Phase 2 program consisting of

mostly multicolumn bridges. Funding for this \$1.35 billion program came from a \$2 billion Proposition 192 bond, which was passed in 1996.

### **Seismic Evaluation**

The Seismic Retrofit Program involves strengthening the columns of existing bridges by encircling certain columns with a steel casing or, in a few instances, an advanced woven fiber casing. In addition to the column casing, some bridge footings are made bigger and given more support by placing additional pilings in the ground, or by using steel tie-down rods to better anchor the footings to the ground.

In a few projects, bridge abutments are made larger and the existing restrainer units are made stronger, because encasing the columns makes them stiffer and can change the way forces are transmitted within the bridge. Many seismic retrofits involve “hinge seat extensions” which enlarge the size of the hinges that connect sections of bridge decks and help prevent them from separating during severe ground movement. The design of each bridge to be retrofitted is “site specific” based on the maximum credible earth movement expected at that location. The design details depend on many factors, including the nearest active earthquake fault, type of geology beneath the bridge, and the original bridge design.

## Phase 2 Seismic Retrofit Program

### Progress Report

The Phase 2 Seismic Retrofit Program is 99 percent complete. To date 1,151 State-owned bridges, of 1,155 planned bridges, have been retrofitted under the Phase 2 program. The remaining four bridges, are under construction (three contracts).

### Milestones Achieved This Quarter

The last remaining bridge in the program, LA 47 Schuyler Heim, was awarded for construction on June 30, 2011.


The program has now been completely delivered. What remains to be done in the program is to complete construction of projects underway.

### Program Budget and Expenditures

The total budget for Phase 2 is \$1.35 billion. A total of \$889 million has been allocated for construction and right-of-way, and an additional \$435 million has been expended for support. The total of \$1.324 billion committed to date uses approximately 98 percent of the available program funds.

Of the remaining \$26 million, \$17 million is to be allocated for construction and right-of-way, and \$1 million is planned for support, leaving a reserve of \$8 million. This reserve is intended to cover cost changes, higher-than-anticipated bid results, any potential supplemental funds that may be needed, and arbitration settlements.

No program cost overruns are anticipated. All remaining funds will be used to complete the Phase 2 program.



## Program Funds

Funding for the Phase 2 Seismic Retrofit Program comes from three sources. Proposition 192, which the voters approved in March of 1996, provides bonds for \$1.21 billion. As shown in the table below, an additional \$140 million was expended from a combination of State (\$99.8 million) and federal (\$40.2 million) funds prior to the passage of Proposition 192. The total budget for Phase 2 is \$1.35 billion.

### Seismic Retrofit Funds

Funds	Budgeted \$ (millions)	Allocated \$ (millions)
State	\$ 99.8	\$ 99.8
Federal	\$ 40.2	\$ 40.2
Bond	\$ 1,210.0	\$ 1,184.0
<b>Total</b>	\$ 1,350.0	\$ 1,324.0
<b>Available</b>		\$ 26.0

As bridges were evaluated for seismic retrofit design strategies, it was determined that for some bridges it would be more cost effective to replace the bridge than to retrofit. This is particularly true when the existing bridge needed nonseismic improvements for bridge repair or rehabilitation.

The additional cost for replacement is beyond the scope of funds available for the retrofit program. Consequently, bridge replacement costs were programmed in the State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP).

## Additional Bridge Replacement Funds Active Projects Funded from the SHOPP

Replacement Bridges	Program Year	Const \$ (million)	R/W \$ (million)
5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	2006-07	\$ 126.0	\$ 19.8
High Street Separation	2008-09	\$ 73.2	\$ 20.1
Schuyler Heim	20010-11	\$ 210.4	\$ 37.0
<b>Projects Allocated in SHOPP - \$486.5 million</b>			

### Program Delivery by Region/District


Bridges By Region	#	Percent of Total	\$ (million)	Percent of Total
North Coast	81	7	\$ 154	11
Bay Area	151	13	\$ 527	39
Central Valley	267	23	\$ 184	14
Southern California	656	57	\$ 485	36
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,155</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 1,350</b>	<b>100</b>

Bridges By District Office	#	Percent of Total	\$ (million)	Percent of Total
1 (Eureka)	69	6	\$ 139	11
2 (Redding)	12	1	\$ 15	1
3 (Marysville)	36	3	\$ 40	3
4 (Oakland)	151	13	\$ 527	39
5 (San Luis Obispo)	107	9	\$ 82	6
6 (Fresno)	77	7	\$ 18	1
7 (Los Angeles)	292	25	\$ 301	22
8 (San Bernardino)	131	11	\$ 86	6
9 (Bishop)	7	1	\$ 2	1
10 (Stockton)	40	4	\$ 42	3
11 (San Diego)	172	15	\$ 82	6
12 (Irvine)	61	6	\$ 16	1
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,155</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>\$ 1,350</b>	<b>100</b>

### Comparison of Current and Baseline Schedule

While the program is 99 percent complete, the few remaining bridges (1 percent) are taking substantially longer than originally planned, because they are total bridge replacement projects. The bridge replacement contracts face delivery

challenges, including environmental constraints, construction under heavy traffic conditions, and securing public and external agency input and acceptance for project approval.



Baseline date is planned schedule as of November 2001 (AB1171 approved)




<b>Fifth Avenue Overhead</b>			
In Alameda County on Interstate 880 in Oakland.			
<b>Retrofit Strategy:</b> Replace Bridge.			
	<b>End Design</b>	<b>End Constr</b>	<b>Budget (millions)</b>
Baseline Schedule	Mid 04	Early 10	
Current Schedule	Mid 07	Mid 13	
<b>Funding:</b>	SHOPP	Seismic	Total
Construction	\$126.0	\$ 0.0	\$126.0
Right-of-Way	\$ 19.8	\$22.5	\$ 42.3
Mitigation	\$ 0.0	\$14.0	\$ 14.0
Support	\$ 15.3	\$ 7.0	\$ 22.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$161.1</b>	<b>\$43.5</b>	<b>\$204.6</b>
Number of Bridges to be Retrofitted – 1			
33 0027 5th Avenue Overhead			



The construction contract is 66 percent complete.

<b>High Street Separation</b>			
In Alameda County on Interstate 880 in Oakland.			
<b>Retrofit Strategy:</b> Replace Bridges.			
	<b>End Design</b>	<b>End Constr</b>	<b>Budget (millions)</b>
Baseline Schedule	Mid 04	Mid 08	
Current Schedule	Mid 08	Early 14	
<b>Funding:</b>	SHOPP	Seismic	Total
Construction	\$73.2	\$ 0.0	\$73.2
Right-of-Way	\$20.1	\$20.0	\$40.1
Support	\$32.4	\$19.0	\$51.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$125.7</b>	<b>\$39.0</b>	<b>\$164.7</b>
Number of Bridges to be Retrofitted – 2			
33 0040L High Street Separation Overhead			
33 0040R High Street Separation Overhead			



The construction contract is 47 percent complete.

**Schuyler Heim Bridge Replacement and  
Truck Expressway**

In Los Angeles County on State Route 47 in Long Beach.

**Retrofit Strategy:** Replace Bridge.

Project includes elevated truck expressway to bypass at grade intersections.

Project was awarded on June 30, 2011.

	<b>End Design</b>	<b>End Constr</b>	<b>Budget (millions)</b>
Baseline Schedule	Late 05	Late 08	
Current Schedule	Late 10	Late 13	

**Funding:**

	<b>SHOPP</b>	<b>Seismic</b>	<b>Total</b>
Construction	\$210.3	\$0.0	\$210.3
Right-of-Way	\$ 37.0	\$0.0	\$ 37.0
Support	\$ 32.5	\$4.0	\$ 36.5
Totals	\$279.8	\$4.0	\$283.8

Number of Bridges to be Retrofitted – 1

53 2618 Schuyler Heim Bridge



**Seismic Retrofit Program Budget, Expenditures and Current Estimates  
(Phase 2 Funds Only)**

<b>Bridges</b>	<b>Projects</b>	<b>Baseline Budget*</b>	<b>Current Budget*</b>	<b>Expenditures To Date*</b>
<b>1,151</b>	<b>Completed Projects</b>			
	Capital Outlay Support		\$ 405.0	\$ 404.5
	Capital Outlay	\$ 865.0	\$ 846.3	\$ 828.3
	Pending Capital Outlay Mitigation		\$ 4.2	\$ 0.0
	Total		\$ 1,255.5	\$ 1,232.3
<b>4</b>	<b>Active Projects</b>			
<b>1</b>	<b>5th Avenue Overhead</b>			
	Capital Outlay Support		\$ 7.0	\$ 6.5
	Capital Outlay (R/W Only)	\$ 0.0	\$ 22.5	\$ 21.5
	Mitigation measures		\$ 14.0	\$ 0.0
	Total		\$ 43.5	\$ 28.0
<b>2</b>	<b>High Street Separations</b>			
	Capital Outlay Support		\$ 19.0	\$ 19.0
	Capital Outlay (R/W Only)	\$ 0.0	\$ 20.0	\$ 14.3
	Total		\$ 39.0	\$ 33.3
<b>1</b>	<b>Schuyler Heim Bridge replacement</b>			
	Capital Outlay Support		\$ 4.0	\$ 4.0
	Capital Outlay	\$ 66.0	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0
	Total		\$ 4.0	\$ 4.0
<b>1,155</b>	<b>Program Totals</b>			
	Capital Outlay Support	\$ 419.0	\$ 435.0	\$ 434.0
	Capital Outlay	\$ 931.0	\$ 907.0	\$ 864.1
	Total	\$1,350.0	\$1,342.0	\$1,298.1

\* Note: All costs shown are in millions and include only the seismic retrofit program's portions of costs and expenditures.

---

## Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Status

---

The purpose of this report is to provide information on program delivery status of the Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program (LBSRP) for the 1,242 bridges which includes the 479 bridges adopted by the California Transportation Commission (Commission) on May 28, 2008. The 479 bridges adopted by the Commission, were identified to receive bond funds to match federal Highway Bridge Program (HBP) funds for their right of way and construction phases.

In previous quarterly reports, we have reported changes that have reduced the number of bond bridges to 430. Therefore, this report will reflect the program delivery of 1,242 bridges under LBSRP which includes 430 bond bridges.

The Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 provides \$125 million of State matching funds to complete the LBSRP with bond funds. The Bond program budget of \$125 million is to be allocated to provide the 11.47 percent required local match for right of way and construction phases of remaining seismic retrofit work on local bridges, ramps, and overpasses and includes \$2.5 million set aside for bond administrative costs. An additional \$32.9 million state match through annual exchange of a portion of local share of funds received from federal HBP fund is also available to accommodate the current remaining required local match needs. The Commission has allocated \$13.5 million, \$21 million, and \$12.2 million bond funds for FY 2007-08, FY 2008-09, and FY 2009-10 respectively. Allocation of the bond funds by the Commission is available for sub-allocation in one fiscal year. Therefore, bond

funds that were not sub-allocated from FY 2007/08, FY 2009/10 and FY 2010/11 will be reallocated in future years. Consistent with the Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Guidelines, the Department has exchanged \$24.3 million of local share of funds received through the federal HBP for state funds to accommodate local match needs for BART and other bond shortfalls. To date, \$29.9 million of seismic bond funds and \$17 million of state funds have been sub-allocated to seismic retrofit projects.

The Department did not request a bond allocation from the Commission for FY 2010-11. The match needs for FY 2010-11 will be covered by \$8.4 million State funds remaining from the exchange mentioned above. These funds will expire by June 31, 2014 if not expended.

This report fulfills the Department's statutory reporting requirement outlined in Assembly Bill (AB) 144 (Chapter 71, Statutes of 2005), which amended Section 188.5 (g) of the Streets and Highways Code as follows:

*“(1) Commencing on January 1, 2004, and quarterly thereafter until completion of all applicable projects, the Department shall provide quarterly seismic reports to the transportation committees of both houses of the Legislature and to the commission for other seismic retrofit programs.”*

# Local Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program Progress Report

The LBSRP is currently 66 percent complete. To date, 819 local bridges, out of total of 1,242 planned bridges, have been retrofitted under the LBSRP. Currently, there are 239 bridges under construction, 170 bridges under design, and 14 bridges in a pre-strategy phase.

## LBSRP Milestones Achieved This Quarter

The status as of June 30, 2011 of local bridges by phases is as follows:

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>Complete</b>	709	724	747	810	819
<b>Construction</b>	66	124	161	239	239
<b>Design</b>	333	349	320	178	170
<b>Pre-Strategy</b>	127	38	7	15	14
<b>Total</b>	1,235	1,235	1,235	1,242	1,242

Please see previous reports for explanation of changes in number of bridges.

## Milestones Achieved This Quarter for Bond Funded Bridges

The status as of June 30, 2011 of local bridges by phases is as follows:

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
<b>Complete</b>	0	4	25	47	54
<b>Construction</b>	15	99	117	236	237
<b>Design</b>	271	327	277	133	125
<b>Pre-Strategy</b>	193	38	7	15	14
<b>Sub-Total</b>	479	468	426	431	430
<b>Removed</b>	0	11	53	56	*57
<b>Grand Total</b>	479	479	479	487	487

Please see previous reports for explanation of changes in number of bridges.

\*One bridge was removed from the bond list in 2011.

## LBSRP Program Budget and Expenditures

The estimated budget for the overall LBSRP is \$2,068.5 million. This estimate does not include cost of other scopes of work that may be combined with the seismic retrofit project. A total of \$976.4 million has been encumbered (spent) to date.

Funds (millions)	Spent*	Plan	Total
<b>State</b>	\$76.4	\$16.5	\$92.9
<b>Bond</b>	\$29.9	\$92.6	\$122.5
<b>Federal</b>	\$870.1	**\$983.0	\$1,853.1
<b>Total</b>	\$976.4	\$1,092.1	\$2,068.5

\* Expenditure + Unliquidated Encumbrance

\*\*Includes 15% of total estimated construction cost for Preliminary Engineering

**Overall Program Delivery by Agency Group (Includes all the bridges in the LBSRP)**

Bridges By Agency Group	Number Of Agencies	Pre Strategy	In Design		In Construction		Complete or No Retrofit		Total # Bridges	Percent Program
		Bond	Bond	Non-Bond	Bond	Non-Bond	Bond	Non-Bond		
All Other Agencies	59	6	90	0	35	2	24	639	796	64%
Los Angeles Region (City and County)	2	0	11	0	23	0	28	123	185	15%
San Francisco (YBI Structures)*	0	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	1%
Department of Water Resources	1	0	23	0	0	0	0	2	25	2%
BART	1	0	0	45	179	0	2	1	227	18%
<b>Total</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>237</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>765</b>	<b>1,242</b>	<b>100%</b>

Projects in the pre-strategy and design phase will qualify for bond match when they advance to right of way and construction phase.

\*YBI bridges are tracked separately since these bridges were added to the program in April 2010.

- One agency, Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) is responsible for 227 bridges (18 percent of the entire program). All of the bond funded BART bridges have advanced to construction. The remaining BART bridges in the design phase will be fully funded by BART.