

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

Date: July 31, 2009

From: BIMLA G. RHINEHART
Executive Director

File: Agenda Item 33
ACTION

Ref: **Draft Policy Guidance for Implementation of Senate Bill No. 4 – Design-Build Demonstration Program and Public Private Partnerships**

ISSUE

Should the Commission adopt the attached draft policy guidance for the implementation of the design-build demonstration program and the public private partnerships agreements authorized through the approval of Senate Bill No. 4 (Cogdill, 2009)?

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Commission discuss, but defer adoption of, the attached draft policy guidance until the Commission's September meeting.

BACKGROUND

Senate Bill No. 4 (SB 4) was signed by Governor Schwarzenegger on February 20, 2009, and has since become effective as amended sections of the Public Contract Code and the Streets and Highways Code.

Design Build Demonstration Program. SB 4 allows the California Department of Transportation (Department) and local transportation entities, if authorized by the California Transportation Commission, to use the design-build procurement method for the delivery of a limited number of projects as a demonstration program until January 1, 2014. This demonstration program is to explore whether the potential exists for reduced project costs, expedited project completion, or design features that are not achievable through the traditional design-bid-build method, and to allow for a careful examination of the benefits and challenges of design-build contracting.

In addition to project selection and authorization, SB 4 requires the Commission to: develop guidelines for standard conflict of interest policy, develop a standard form of payment and performance bond, and establish a peer review committee to conduct an evaluation of the 15 projects authorized by the Commission. Staff intends to address these additional responsibilities separately at a future meeting.

Public Private Partnerships. SB 4 also allows the Department, in cooperation with regional transportation agencies, and regional transportation agencies, until January 1, 2017, to enter into an unlimited number of comprehensive development lease agreements with public and private entities, or consortia of those entities, for certain transportation

projects that may charge certain users of those projects tolls and user fees. SB 4 requires that these projects to be primarily designed to achieve improved mobility, improved operations or safety, and quantifiable air quality benefits.

SB 4 defines eligible transportation projects to include one or more of the following: planning, design, development, finance, construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, improvement, acquisition, lease, operation, or maintenance of highway, public street, rail, or related facilities supplemental to existing facilities currently owned and operated by the Department or regional transportation agencies.

A major issue for P3 projects that neither the statutes nor this draft guidance addresses is the instability and inadequacy of state transportation funding. We have addressed the issue indirectly in the guidance with the proposed criterion that the Commission would approve a P3 project and its financial plan only if the Commission finds that the approval would not create an undue risk to state transportation revenues for other projects.

This would not be an issue if P3 projects were to rely entirely on toll revenues or public funds from other non-state sources. However, we anticipate that many P3 proposals may rely on “availability payments,” payments made over time by a public agency to the private operating entity to supplement or replace the collection of tolls. While this may be an appropriate means of project implementation, it does require the availability of the public funds.

Availability payments are pre-determined, periodic payments made to a private sector partner in exchange for delivering and maintaining the “availability” of an asset. The public agency sets the standards and conditions for the road or asset that must be met in order for the private entity to receive the full availability payment. To the extent that the road is not made available or the performance is not up to the contractual standard, there can be deductions applied to the annual payment made to the private sector party.

Under current law, availability payments made over time would be an operating expense of the Department, subject to annual appropriation by the Legislature and not subject to programming and allocation by the Commission. A Department commitment to additional future operating expense would further reduce the availability of already inadequate capital funding for State highway maintenance and rehabilitation. Availability payments that are in effect the reimbursement of a contracting entity for its project capital expenditures would also have the effect of distorting the distribution of state capital program funding. Because availability payments would not be programmed by the Commission and would not be subject to STIP county distribution formulas, they could also lead directly to the loss of funding for other counties, especially in the absence of stable and adequate state transportation funding.

Chair and Commissioners

July 31, 2009

Agenda Item 33

Page 3 of 3

The Legislature could amend current law to make P3 availability payments subject to county shares, much as the debt service on GARVEE bonds now is. While this might improve long-term equity, it would also introduce a higher level of complexity and instability in the STIP process, especially for payments that might extend over a lengthy or indefinite period. The major equity issue would remain as long as overall state funding levels are inadequate and unstable.

Attachments:

1. Draft Policy Guidance for Project Authorization under the Design-Build Demonstration Program
2. Draft Policy Guidance for approval of Public Private Partnerships
3. Public Private Partnerships Project Approval flow chart
4. Relevant Sections of Senate Bill No. 4 (Cogdill, 2009)

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**DRAFT POLICY GUIDANCE
PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS UNDER THE
DESIGN-BUILD DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM**

The Design-Build Demonstration Program was established in Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 6800) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code, as added by Chapter 2 of the Statutes of 2009 (Senate Bill 4, Second Extraordinary Session). The purpose of the program is described in Section 6800: “The design-build method of procurement authorized under this chapter should be evaluated for the purposes of exploring whether the potential exists for reduced project costs, expedited project completion, or design features that are not achievable through the traditional design-bid-build method. A demonstration program will allow for a careful examination of the benefits and challenges of design-build contracting on a limited number of projects. This chapter shall not be deemed to provide a preference for the design-build method over other procurement methodologies.”

The Design-Build Demonstration Program authorizes use of the design-build method of procurement by local transportation entities for up to five projects and by the Department of Transportation for up to ten projects, subject to project authorization by the California Transportation Commission. The design-build projects authorized by the Commission for the demonstration program shall vary in size, type, and geographical location. The Commission shall also determine whether a transportation entity may award a design-build contract based on lowest responsible bid or best value, balancing the number awarded according to each method to enable the Commission to determine the costs and benefits of using each method.

Eligibility for the demonstration program is limited to projects that the Commission has programmed for funding from the state transportation improvement program (STIP), from the state highway operation and protection program (SHOPP), from the Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP), or from one of the programs designated under Proposition 1B of 2006.

The purpose of this guidance is to set forth the Commission’s policy and expectations for the selection of projects for the Design-Build Demonstration Program. This guidance does not address other responsibilities under the program that the Commission will address separately:

- The Commission shall establish a peer review committee to conduct an evaluation of the 15 projects selected for design-build procurement.
- The Commission shall develop guidelines for a standard organizational conflict of interest policy for entities entering into design-build contracts authorized under the demonstration program.
- The Commission shall develop a standard form of payment and performance bond.

- The Commission shall submit an annual report to the Legislature that includes the information provided by the Department and local transportation entities in the annual design-build project progress reports submitted pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 6811.

This guidance does not address the statutory responsibilities of the Department and local transportation entity under the Design-Build Demonstration Program that are not within the purview of the Commission.

Project Authorization. The Commission will select and authorize each project for the Design-Build Demonstration Program through the adoption of a resolution at a regularly scheduled Commission meeting. The Commission will authorize each project with reference to a project authorization request submitted by the Department or a local transportation entity.

The Commission's design-build authorization will include:

- The project scope described in the design-build project authorization request.
- Whether the Department or local transportation entity may award the contract based on lowest responsible bid or best value.
- An expiration date by which the Department or local transportation entity must execute a design-build contract.

Criteria for Commission Approval. The Commission will authorize a project under the Design-Build Demonstration Program if it finds that the project:

- meets the statutory programming requirement for eligibility;
- is fully funded and a design-build contract will be awarded before January 1, 2014.
- contributes to the statutory mandate for a balance of the number of transportation entities that may use the low bid and best value selection methods;
- contributes to the statutory mandate that selected projects shall vary in size; and
- contributes to the statutory mandate that selected projects shall vary in geographical location.

Commission Expectations. The selection of projects will depend in large part on the project requests the Commission receives from the Department and local transportation entities and when the Commission receives them. The Commission expects that it will select the first projects on a first-come first-served basis, and that the mandates for balance will become ever-larger factors as the number of projects authorized approaches the maximums authorized in statute. The Commission's expectations for project balance include the following:

- Transportation Entity. This is firmly mandated. There will be a maximum of 5 projects for local transportation entities and 10 projects for the Department. The Commission may approve a project for a local transportation entity that is on the state highway system if the Department approves the local entity's implementation of the project.

- Selection method. The Commission expects that it will approve 7-8 projects for selection by low bid and 7-8 projects for selection by best value.
- Geographical location. The Commission expects that it will approve 8-10 projects in the South and 5-7 projects in the North, with South and North defined as for the STIP.
- Project size. The Commission expects that will approve 3-5 projects with a total design-build cost estimate under \$20 million and 3-5 projects with a total design-build cost estimate over \$200 million.

The Commission's expectations for geographic location and project size are subject to change, particularly if adhering to these expectations would preclude the Commission from authorizing up to 15 projects that can be constructed by the expiration date of the demonstration program.

Project Authorization Requests. The Commission will consider approval of a project for the Design-Build Demonstration Program only after the Department or a local transportation entity has submitted a project design-build authorization request to the Commission. The Department or local transportation entity may submit a project design-build authorization request at any time, either in conjunction with a project allocation request or separately from it. The Commission will usually place a design-build authorization request on its agenda at a meeting at least 60 days after the Commission office receives the design-build authorization request.

The design-build project authorization request will describe the scope of the project, the total estimate of project cost, the estimated schedule for project completion, the full funding plan for the project, including both state and local funding, and whether the Department or local transportation entity proposes to select the contractor using a low bid or best value selection method.

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DRAFT POLICY GUIDANCE APPROVAL OF PUBLIC PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP PROJECTS

1. Section 143 of the California Streets and Highways Code, as amended by Chapter 2 of the Statutes of 2009 (Senate Bill 4, Second Extraordinary Session), authorizes the California Department of Transportation and regional transportation agencies to enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public or private entities for transportation projects, commonly known as public private partnership (P3) agreements. Section 143 further provides that P3 projects and associated lease agreements proposed by the Department or a regional transportation agency shall be submitted to the California Transportation Commission, and that the Commission shall approve the projects before the Department or regional transportation agency begins a public review process leading to the execution of the final lease agreement. For Department projects, the Commission shall also certify the Department's determination of the useful life of the project in establishing the lease agreement terms. Where the Department or a regional transportation agency uses a final evaluation of proposals based on qualifications and best value to select a contracting private entity, Section 143 mandates that the Commission adopt the criteria for making that evaluation.

The purpose of this guidance is to set forth the Commission's policy for carrying out its role in implementing P3 projects in order to assist and advise the Department, regional transportation entities, and private entities that may be contemplating the development of P3 agreements. This guidance does not address Department and regional transportation agency P3 project procedures that are not within the purview of the Commission. Consistent with statute, the Commission may make exceptions to this policy for a particular project where it finds it appropriate and in the public interest to do so.

2. Preliminary and Full Approval. The Commission will approve P3 projects in two stages, a preliminary approval and a full approval. A preliminary approval will include approval of the criteria that the Department or regional agency will use for a final evaluation of proposals based on qualifications and best value. The full approval will include and apply to:
 - The description of the scope of the transportation project and its boundaries, including construction work and the performance of maintenance and operations.
 - For Department projects, a certification of the determination of the useful life of the project in establishing the lease agreement terms.
 - The project financial plan, including the allocation of financial risk between public and private entities, as described in the project proposal report.

The Commission will grant both preliminary and full approvals to each P3 project through the adoption of a resolution at a regularly scheduled Commission meeting. Before granting either preliminary or full approval to a project, the Commission will conduct a public hearing as a scheduled meeting agenda item. The Commission will grant the preliminary or full approval

with reference to a P3 project proposal report submitted by the Department or regional transportation agency.

3. Criteria for Commission Approval. The Commission will grant preliminary approval to a project if it finds that the procedures and criteria to be used to select a contracting entity or lessee as described in the project proposal report are clear, consistent with statute, and fair to all parties concerned. The Commission may also make one or more of the other findings below in conjunction with a preliminary project approval. In making a preliminary approval, the Commission may also make preliminary findings that are subject to review and updating as the P3 project is further developed.

The Commission will grant full approval to a P3 project if it finds all of the following:

- That the scope of the project as described in the project proposal report is clear and consistent with the requirements of statute.
 - That the project is appropriate for development through a public private partnership, consistent with the P3 authorization in statute, and consistent with the public interest.
 - That the Commission's approval of the project and its financial plan will not create a new commitment of state transportation revenues or create an undue risk to state transportation revenues committed to other projects. This does not preclude the commitment of state funds as a separate, even simultaneous, action. For example, the Commission could approve an amendment of the state transportation improvement program (STIP) to commit new funds to a P3 project, subject to the constraints of STIP funding.
 - That the project, consistent with Section 143(h)(3), is primarily designed to achieve the following performance objectives, as evidenced in the project proposal report:
 - Improve mobility by improving travel times or reducing the number of vehicle hours of delay in the affected corridor.
 - Improve the operation or safety of the affected corridor.
 - Provide quantifiable air quality benefits for the region in which the project is located.
 - That the project, consistent with Section 143(h)(4), addresses a known forecast demand, as determined by the Department or regional transportation agency and evidenced in the project proposal report.
4. Preliminary Project Proposal Report. The Commission will consider preliminary approval of a P3 project only when the Department or regional transportation agency has prepared and submitted a preliminary project proposal report to the Commission. At a minimum, the preliminary project proposal report should include:
 - The description of the scope of the transportation project and its boundaries, including construction work and the performance of maintenance and operations.
 - The basis of the Department or regional transportation agency for finding that it would be in the public interest to implement the project through a public private partnership agreement.

- The project procurement documentation (e.g., request for proposal) that the Department or regional transportation agency may use in the solicitation or selection of the contracting entity or lessee. Where the Department or regional transportation agency will make a final evaluation of proposals based on qualifications and best value, the project proposal report will include the criteria for making that evaluation.

The report may also include any of the elements described in section 5 for the full project proposal report in either draft or final form, as the Department or agency finds appropriate to the stage of the development of the project. The Commission will usually place a project on its agenda for preliminary approval when the Commission office receives the preliminary project proposal report at least 30 days prior to the meeting.


5. Project Proposal Report for Full Project Approval. The Commission will consider full approval of a P3 project only when the Department or regional transportation agency has negotiated and developed the terms of a draft lease agreement and has prepared and submitted a full project proposal report to the Commission. After the Commission has granted this full approval, the Department or agency may conduct its public hearing to receive comment on the lease agreement and execute the lease final agreement. The Commission will usually place a project on its agenda for full approval when the Commission office receives the project proposal report at least 60 days prior to the meeting.

The project proposal report requesting full P3 project approval will include or refer to the following:

- The description of the scope of the transportation project and its boundaries, including construction work and the performance of maintenance and operations.
- The basis of the Department or regional transportation entity for finding that it would be in the public interest to implement the project through a public private partnership agreement.
- The proposed lease agreement associated with the project.
- For a Department project, the Department's determination of the useful life of the project in establishing the lease agreement terms, including the basis used in making that determination.
- The project financial plan, including the allocation of risk between public and private entities. The financial plan will include:
 - forecasts of revenue from tolls and user fees, as determined by the Department or regional transportation agency;
 - commitments of state or local revenues to the project (including capital, operating, maintenance, and debt service) or to any neighboring or ancillary projects necessary or desirable for full implementation of the project;
 - the alternative source of project revenue should revenues from tolls and user fees fail to meet projections or otherwise be insufficient to meet project costs; and
 - public financial responsibility for meeting project costs (including costs for operations, maintenance, and debt service) in case of default by the contracting entity or lessee.

- The Department or regional agency’s estimate, with supporting documentation, of the extent to which the project will be designed to achieve each of the following performance objectives:
 - improve mobility by improving travel times or reducing the number of vehicle hours of delay in the affected corridor;
 - improve the operation or safety of the affected corridor; and
 - provide quantifiable air quality benefits for the region in which the project is located.
 - The forecast travel demand, as determined by the Department or regional transportation agency, with supporting documentation.
6. Project Changes after Commission Approval. The lease agreement executed by the Department or regional transportation agency is to be consistent with the project approved by the Commission. Where there is a material change in a project after the Commission project approval and prior to the execution of the lease agreement, the Department or agency will submit to the Commission a supplement to the project proposal report, setting forth a description of the change and the reasons for it. The Commission will approve the supplement if it finds that the project remains consistent with the findings set forth in section 3 of this guidance. The Commission will place a proposed project supplement on its agenda when the Commission office receives the supplement report at least 30 days prior to the meeting.

Caltrans and/or regional transportation agencies (RTA) may solicit proposals, accept unsolicited proposals, negotiate, and enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public or private entities, or consortia thereof, for transportation projects



Senate Bill No. 4

CHAPTER 2

An act to add Sections 14661.1 and 70391.7 to the Government Code, to add and repeal Section 20688.6 of, and to add and repeal Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 6800) of Part 1 of Division 2 of, the Public Contract Code, and to amend Section 143 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to public contracts.

[Approved by Governor February 20, 2009. Filed with
Secretary of State February 20, 2009.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 4, Cogdill. Public contract: design-build: public private partnerships.

(1) Existing law designates the Judicial Council as the entity having full responsibility, jurisdiction, control, and authority over trial court facilities for which title is held by the state, including the acquisition and development of facilities.

Existing law requires the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to design, construct, or renovate prison housing units, prison support buildings, and programming space as specified.

Existing law authorizes the Director of General Services, when authorized by the Legislature, to use the design-build procurement process for a specific project to contract and procure state office facilities, other buildings, structures, and related facilities. Existing law requires a bidder participating in the process to provide written declarations, subject to misdemeanor penalties.

This bill would also authorize the Director of General Services or the Secretary of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, as appropriate, to use the design-build procurement process in contracting and procuring a state office facility or prison facility, and would authorize the Judicial Council to use that same process in contracting and procuring a court facility, but would limit this authorization to 5 total projects, to be approved by the Department of Finance, as specified. The bill would require the Department of General Services, the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, and the Judicial Council to submit to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, before January 1, 2014, a report containing a description of each public works project procured through the design-build process, as specified. The bill would require a bidder participating in the process to provide written declarations, subject to misdemeanor penalties, and would thereby impose a state-mandated local program.

(2) Existing law sets forth requirements for the solicitation and evaluation of bids and the awarding of contracts by public entities for the erection, construction, alteration, repair, or improvement of any public structure,

building, road, or other public improvement. Existing law also authorizes specified state agencies, cities, and counties to implement alternative procedures for the awarding of contracts on a design-build basis. Existing law, until January 1, 2011, authorizes transit operators to enter into a design-build contract, as defined, according to specified procedures.

This bill would, until January 1, 2014, allow certain state and local transportation entities, if authorized by the California Transportation Commission, to use a design-build process for contracting on transportation projects, as specified. The bill would require a transportation entity to implement, or contract with a third-party to implement, a labor compliance program for design-build projects, except as specified. The bill would also require these transportation entities to report to the commission, and the commission to submit a mid-term and a final report to the Legislature, regarding the design-build process as specified. The bill would establish a procedure for submitting bids that includes a requirement that design-build entities provide a statement of qualifications submitted to the transportation entity that is verified under oath. Because a verification under oath is made under penalty of perjury, the bill would, by requiring a verification, create a new crime and thereby impose a state-mandated local program.

(3) Under existing law, any work of grading, clearing, demolition, or construction undertaken by a redevelopment agency is required to be done by contract after competitive bidding if the cost of that work exceeds a specified amount.

This bill would, until January 1, 2016, authorize a redevelopment agency, with the approval of its duly constituted board in a public hearing, to enter into design-build contracts for projects, as defined, in excess of \$1,000,000, in accordance with specified provisions. This bill would authorize up to 10 design-build contracts, would require an agency to apply to the State Public Works Board for authorization to enter a design-build contract, as provided, and would require the State Public Works Board to notify the Legislative Analyst's Office when 10 projects have been approved. This bill would also require an agency using the design-build method to submit a report to the Legislative Analyst's Office, as provided, and for the Legislative Analyst to report to the Legislature before January 1, 2015, on the agency's use of the design-build method, as provided.

This bill would require specified information to be verified under oath, thus imposing a state-mandated local program by expanding the scope of existing crime.

(4) Existing law authorizes the Department of Transportation and regional transportation agencies, as defined, until January 1, 2012, to enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public and private entities, or consortia of those entities, for certain transportation projects that may charge certain users of those projects tolls and user fees, subject to various terms and requirements. Existing law limits the number of projects authorized pursuant to these provisions to 2 in northern California and 2 in southern California.

This bill would extend the authorization for these agreements to January 1, 2017, and would delete the restriction on the number of projects that may be undertaken pursuant to these provisions. The bill would require the projects to be primarily designed to achieve improved mobility, improved operations or safety, and quantifiable air quality benefits.

(5) Existing law requires that the negotiated lease agreements be submitted to the Legislature for approval or rejection. Under existing law, the Legislature has 60 legislative days to act after submittal of the agreement and the agreement is deemed approved unless both houses of the Legislature concur in the passage of a resolution rejecting the agreement. Existing law prohibits the Legislature from amending these lease agreements.

The bill would eliminate that prohibition and the provision requiring approval or rejection by the Legislature. The bill would require that all lease agreements first be submitted to the California Transportation Commission for approval, then to the Legislature and the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission, as defined, for review, as specified. The bill would also require the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission to perform specified acts and would authorize that commission to charge the department and regional transportation agencies a fee for specified services.

(6) Existing law authorizes the department and regional transportation agencies to utilize various procurement approaches, including, among other things, acceptance of unsolicited proposals, as specified.

This bill would prohibit the department or a regional transportation agency from awarding a contract to an unsolicited bidder without receiving at least one other responsible bid.

(7) Under existing law, for these projects, tolls and user fees may not be charged to noncommercial vehicles with 3 or fewer axles.

This bill would eliminate that prohibition.

(8) Existing law imposes various contract requirements for these projects, including permitting compensation for a leaseholder for losses in toll or fee revenues in certain instances if caused by the construction of supplemental transportation projects, but prohibits the compensation to exceed the reduction in revenues.

This bill would prohibit that compensation from exceeding the difference between the reduction in revenues and the amount necessary to cover the costs of debt service, as specified. The bill would additionally require the agreements to include an indemnity agreement, as specified, and to authorize the contracting entity or lessee to utilize the design-build method of procurement for transportation projects, subject to specified conditions. The bill would also require contracting entities or lessees to have specified qualifications.

The bill would authorize the department or the regional transportation agency, when evaluating a proposal submitted by a contracting entity or lessee, to award a contract on the basis of the lowest bid or best value, as defined.

The bill would provide that the Department of Transportation is the responsible agency for the performance of certain tasks and the preparation

of certain documents, relative to projects on the state highway system, where a regional transportation agency is otherwise the sponsor of the project. The bill would state that the department may perform those functions with department employees or with consultants contracted by the department.

(9) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

CHAPTER 6.5. THE DESIGN-BUILD DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM

6800. The Legislature hereby finds and declares all of the following:

The design-build method of procurement authorized under this chapter should be evaluated for the purposes of exploring whether the potential exists for reduced project costs, expedited project completion, or design features that are not achievable through the traditional design-bid-build method. A demonstration program will allow for a careful examination of the benefits and challenges of design-build contracting on a limited number of projects. This chapter shall not be deemed to provide a preference for the design-build method over other procurement methodologies.

6801. For purposes of this chapter, the following definitions apply:

(a) “Best value” means a value determined by objective criteria, including, but not limited to, price, features, functions, life cycle costs, and other criteria deemed appropriate by the transportation entity.

(b) “Commission” means the California Transportation Commission.

(c) “Design-build” means a procurement process in which both the design and construction of a project are procured from a single entity.

(d) “Design-build entity” means a partnership, corporation, or other legal entity that is able to provide appropriately licensed contracting, architectural, and engineering services as needed pursuant to a design-build contract.

(e) “Design-build team” means the design-build entity itself and the individuals and other entities identified by the design-build entity as members of its team.

(f) “Department” means the Department of Transportation as established under Part 5 (commencing with Section 14000) of Division 3 of the Government Code.

(g) “Local transportation entity” means a transportation authority designated pursuant to Division 19 (commencing with Section 180000) of the Public Utilities Code, any consolidated agency created pursuant to Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 132350) of Division 12.7 of the Public Utilities Code, the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority established under Part 12 (commencing with Section 100000) of Division 10 of the Public Utilities Code, and any other local or regional transportation entity that is designated by statute as a regional transportation agency.

(h) “Transportation entity” means the department or a local transportation entity.

6802. (a) Subject to the limitations of this chapter, a local transportation entity, if authorized by the commission, may utilize the design-build method of procurement for up to five projects that may be for local street or road, bridge, tunnel, or public transit projects within the jurisdiction of the entity.

(b) Subject to the limitations of this chapter, the department, if authorized by the commission, may utilize the design-build method of procurement for up to 10 state highway, bridge, or tunnel projects.

6803. (a) Only 15 design-build projects shall be authorized under this chapter. The projects selected shall vary in size, type, and geographical location.

(b) The commission shall determine whether a transportation entity may award a design-build contract based on lowest responsible bid or best value. The commission shall balance the number of transportation entities that may use the low bid and best value selection methods in order to ensure that the number of design-build contracts awarded will enable the commission to determine the costs and benefits of using each method.

(c) In order to be eligible for consideration as one of the 15 design-build projects authorized under this chapter, the proposed project shall be subject to the existing process under the state transportation improvement program (Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 14520) of Part 5.3 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code), the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 (Chapter 12.49 (commencing with Section 8879.20) of Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government Code), the traffic congestion relief program (Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 14556) of Part 5.3 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code), or the state highway operations and protection program established pursuant to Section 14526.5 of the Government Code.

(d) The commission shall establish a peer review committee to conduct an evaluation of the 15 projects selected to utilize the design-build method of procurement.

(e) The commission shall develop guidelines for a standard organizational conflict-of-interest policy, consistent with applicable law, regarding the ability of a person or entity, that performs services for the transportation entity relating to the solicitation of a design-build project, to submit a

proposal as a design-build entity, or to join a design-build team. This conflict-of-interest policy shall apply to each transportation entity entering into design-build contracts authorized under this chapter.

6804. (a) For contracts awarded prior to the effective date of either the regulations adopted by the Department of Industrial Relations pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 1771.55 of the Labor Code or the fees established by the department pursuant to subdivision (b), a transportation entity authorized to use the design-build method of procurement shall implement a labor compliance program, as described in Section 1771.5 of the Labor Code, or it shall contract with a third party to implement, on the transportation entity's behalf, a labor compliance program subject to that statute. This requirement does not apply to a project where the transportation entity or design-build entity has entered into any collective bargaining agreement or agreements that bind all of the contractors performing work on the projects.

(b) For contracts awarded on or after the effective date of both the regulations adopted by the Department of Industrial Relations pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 1771.55 of the Labor Code and the fees established by the department pursuant to this subdivision, the transportation entity shall pay a fee to the department, in an amount that the department shall establish, and as it may from time to time amend, sufficient to support the department's costs in ensuring compliance with and enforcing prevailing wage requirements on the project, and labor compliance enforcement as set forth in subdivision (b) of Section 1771.55 of the Labor Code. All fees collected pursuant to this subdivision shall be deposited in the State Public Works Enforcement Fund, created by 1771.3 of the Labor Code, and shall be used only for enforcement of prevailing wage requirements on those projects.

(c) The Department of Industrial Relations may waive the fee set forth in subdivision (b) for a transportation entity that has previously been granted approval by the director to initiate and operate a labor compliance program on its projects, and that requests to continue to operate the labor compliance program on its projects in lieu of labor compliance by the department pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 1771.55 of the Labor Code. This fee shall not be waived for a transportation entity that contracts with a third party to initiate and enforce labor compliance programs on the transportation entity's projects.

6805. The procurement process for the design-build projects shall progress as follows:

(a) The transportation entity shall prepare a set of documents setting forth the scope and estimated price of the project. The documents may include, but need not be limited to, the size, type, and desired design character of the project, performance specifications covering the quality of materials, equipment, workmanship, preliminary plans, and any other information deemed necessary to describe adequately the transportation entity's needs. The performance specifications and any plans shall be prepared by a design professional who is duly licensed and registered in California.

(b) Based on the documents prepared as described in subdivision (a), the transportation entity shall prepare a request for proposals that invites interested parties to submit competitive sealed proposals in the manner prescribed by the transportation entity. The request for proposals shall include, but need not be limited to, the following elements:

(1) Identification of the basic scope and needs of the project or contract, the estimated cost of the project, the methodology that will be used by the transportation entity to evaluate proposals, whether the contract will be awarded on the basis of the lowest responsible bid or on best value, and any other information deemed necessary by the transportation entity to inform interested parties of the contracting opportunity.

(2) Significant factors that the transportation entity reasonably expects to consider in evaluating proposals, including, but not limited to, cost or price and all nonprice-related factors.

(3) The relative importance or the weight assigned to each of the factors identified in the request for proposals.

(4) For transportation entities authorized to utilize best value as a selection method, the transportation entity reserves the right to request proposal revisions and hold discussions and negotiations with responsive bidders and shall so specify in the request for proposals and shall publish separately or incorporate into the request for proposals applicable rules and procedures to be observed by the transportation entity to ensure that any discussions or negotiations are conducted in good faith.

(c) Based on the documents prepared under subdivision (a), the transportation entity shall prepare and issue a request for qualifications in order to prequalify the design-build entities whose proposals shall be evaluated for final selection. The request for qualifications shall include, but need not be limited to, the following elements:

(1) Identification of the basic scope and needs of the project or contract, the expected cost range, the methodology that will be used by the transportation entity to evaluate proposals, the procedure for final selection of the design-build entity, and any other information deemed necessary by the transportation entity to inform interested parties of the contracting opportunity.

(2) (A) Significant factors that the transportation entity reasonably expects to consider in evaluating qualifications, including technical design and construction expertise, skilled labor force availability, and all other nonprice-related factors.

(B) For purposes of subparagraph (A), skilled labor force availability shall be determined by the existence of an agreement with a registered apprenticeship program, approved by the California Apprenticeship Council, that has graduated at least one apprentice in each of the preceding five years. This graduation requirement shall not apply to programs providing apprenticeship training for any craft that was first deemed by the Department of Labor and the Department of Industrial Relations to be an apprenticeable craft within the five years prior to the effective date of this article.

(3) A standard form request for statements of qualifications prepared by the transportation entity. In preparing the standard form, the transportation entity may consult with the construction industry, the building trades and surety industry, and other public agencies interested in using the authorization provided by this chapter. The standard form shall require information including, but not limited to, all of the following:

(A) If the design-build entity is a partnership, limited partnership, joint venture, or other association, a listing of all of the partners, general partners, or association members known at the time of statement of qualification submission who will participate in the design-build contract.

(B) Evidence that the members of the design-build entity have completed, or demonstrated the experience, competency, capability, and capacity to complete projects of similar size, scope, or complexity, and that proposed key personnel have sufficient experience and training to competently manage and complete the design and construction of the project, and a financial statement that assures the transportation entity that the design-build entity has the capacity to complete the project.

(C) The licenses, registration, and credentials required to design and construct the project, including, but not limited to, information on the revocation or suspension of any license, credential, or registration.

(D) Evidence that establishes that the design-build entity has the capacity to obtain all required payment and performance bonding, liability insurance, and errors and omissions insurance.

(E) Information concerning workers' compensation experience history and a worker safety program.

(F) A full disclosure regarding all of the following that are applicable:

(i) Any serious or willful violation of Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code or the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-596), settled against any member of the design-build entity.

(ii) Any debarment, disqualification, or removal from a federal, state, or local government public works project.

(iii) Any instance where the design-build entity, or its owners, officers, or managing employees submitted a bid on a public works project and were found to be nonresponsive or were found by an awarding body not to be a responsible bidder.

(iv) Any instance where the design-build entity, or its owners, officers, or managing employees defaulted on a construction contract.

(v) Any violations of the Contractors' State License Law, as described in Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code, including alleged violations of federal or state law regarding the payment of wages, benefits, apprenticeship requirements, or personal income tax withholding, or Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) withholding requirements settled against any member of the design-build entity.

(vi) Any bankruptcy or receivership of any member of the design-build entity, including, but not limited to, information concerning any work completed by a surety.

(vii) Any settled adverse claims, disputes, or lawsuits between the owner of a public works project and any member of the design-build entity during the five years preceding submission of a bid under this article, in which the claim, settlement, or judgment exceeds fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). Information shall also be provided concerning any work completed by a surety during this five-year period.

(G) If the proposed design-build entity is a partnership, limited partnership, joint-venture, or other association, a copy of the organizational documents or agreement committing to form the organization, and a statement that all general partners, joint venture members, or other association members agree to be fully liable for the performance under the design-build contract.

(H) An acceptable safety record. A bidder's safety record shall be deemed acceptable if its experience modification rate for the most recent three-year period is an average of 1.00 or less, and its average total recordable injury/illness rate and average lost work rate for the most recent three-year period does not exceed the applicable statistical standards for its business category or if the bidder is a party to an alternative dispute resolution system as provided for in Section 3201.5 of the Labor Code.

(4) The information required under this subdivision shall be verified under oath by the design-build entity and its members in the manner in which civil pleadings in civil actions are verified. Information required under this subdivision that is not a public record under the California Public Records Act, as described in Chapter 3.5 (commencing with Section 6250) of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code, shall not be open to public inspection.

(d) For those projects utilizing low bid as the final selection method, the competitive bidding process shall result in lump-sum bids by the prequalified design-build entities. Awards shall be made to the lowest responsible bidder.

(e) For those projects utilizing best value as a selection method, the design-build competition shall progress as follows:

(1) Competitive proposals shall be evaluated by using only the criteria and selection procedures specifically identified in the request for proposals. However, the following minimum factors shall be weighted as deemed appropriate by the contracting transportation entity:

(A) Price.

(B) Technical design and construction expertise.

(C) Life-cycle costs over 15 years or more.

(2) Pursuant to subdivision (b), the transportation entity may hold discussions or negotiations with responsive bidders using the process articulated in the transportation entity's request for proposals.

(3) When the evaluation is complete, the top three responsive bidders shall be ranked sequentially based on a determination of value provided.

(4) The award of the contract shall be made to the responsible bidder whose proposal is determined by the transportation entity to have offered the best value to the public.

(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of this code, upon issuance of a contract award, the transportation entity shall publicly announce its award, identifying the contractor to whom the award is made, along with a written decision supporting its contract award and stating the basis of the award. The notice of award shall also include the transportation entity's second- and third-ranked design-build entities.

(6) The written decision supporting the transportation entity's contract award, described in paragraph (5), and the contract file shall provide sufficient information to satisfy an external audit.

6806. (a) The design-build entity shall provide payment and performance bonds for the project in the form and in the amount required by the transportation entity, and issued by a California admitted surety. In no case shall the amount of the payment bond be less than the amount of the performance bond.

(b) The design-build contract shall require errors and omissions insurance coverage for the design elements of the project.

(c) The commission shall develop a standard form of payment and performance bond. In developing the bond form, the commission shall consult with entities authorized to use the design-build procurement method under this chapter and with representatives of the surety industry to achieve a bond form that is consistent with surety industry standards and practices, while protecting the public interest.

6807. (a) The transportation entity, in each design-build request for proposals, may identify specific types of subcontractors that must be included in the design-build entity statement of qualifications and proposal. All construction subcontractors that are identified in the proposal shall be afforded all the protections of Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 4100) of Part 1 of Division 2.

(b) In awarding subcontracts not listed in the request for proposals, the design-build entity shall do all of the following:

(1) Provide public notice of availability of work to be subcontracted in accordance with the publication requirements applicable to the competitive bidding process of the transportation entity.

(2) Provide a fixed date and time on which the subcontracted work will be awarded.

(3) Establish reasonable qualification criteria and standards.

(4) Provide that the subcontracted construction work shall be awarded either on a best value basis or to the lowest responsible bidder. For construction work awarded on a best value basis, the design-build entity shall evaluate all bids utilizing the factors described in paragraph (1) of subdivision (e) of Section 6805, and shall award the contract to the bidder determined by the design-build entity to have offered the best value.

(c) Subcontractors awarded subcontracts under this chapter shall be afforded all the protections of Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 4100) of Part 1 of Division 2.

6808. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, for a project authorized under subdivision (b) of Section 6802, the department is the responsible agency for the performance of project development services, including performance specifications, preliminary engineering, prebid services, the preparation of project reports and environmental documents, and construction inspection services. The department is also the responsible agency for the preparation of documents that may include, but need not be limited to, the size, type, and desired design character of the project, performance specifications covering quality of materials, equipment, and workmanship, preliminary plans, and any other information deemed necessary to described adequately the needs of the transportation entity.

(b) The department may use department employees or consultants to perform the services described in subdivision (a), consistent with Article XXII of the California Constitution. Department resources, including personnel requirements, necessary for the performance of those services shall be included in the department's capital outlay support program for workload purposes in the annual Budget Act.

6809. Nothing in this chapter affects, expands, alters, or limits any rights or remedies otherwise available at law.

6811. (a) Not later than June 30 of each year after the design-build contract is awarded, the awarding transportation entity shall submit a progress report to the commission. The progress report shall include, but shall not be limited to, all of the following information:

(1) A description of the project.

(2) The design-build entity that was awarded the project.

(3) The estimated and actual costs of the project.

(4) The estimated and actual schedule for project completion.

(5) A description of any written protests concerning any aspect of the solicitation, bid, proposal, or award of the design-build project, including, but not limited to, the resolution of the protests.

(6) An assessment of the prequalification process and criteria utilized under this chapter.

(7) A description of the labor compliance program required under Section 6804 and an assessment of the impact of this requirement on a project.

(8) A description of the method used to evaluate the bid, including the weighting of each factor and an assessment of the impact of this requirement on a project.

(9) A description of any challenges or unexpected problems that arose during the construction of the project and a description of the solutions that were considered and ultimately implemented to address those challenges and problems.

(10) Recommendations to improve the design-build process of construction procurement authorized under this chapter.

(b) The commission shall submit an annual report to the Legislature that includes the information provided pursuant to subdivision (a).

6812. The provisions of this chapter are severable. If any provision of this chapter or its application is held invalid, that invalidity shall not affect other provisions or applications that can be given effect without the invalid provision or application.

6813. This chapter shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2014, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2014, deletes or extends that date.

SEC. 5. Section 143 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

143. (a) (1) “Best value” means a value determined by objective criteria, including, but not limited to, price, features, functions, life-cycle costs, and other criteria deemed appropriate by the department or the regional transportation agency.

(2) “Contracting entity or lessee” means a public or private entity, or consortia thereof, that has entered into a comprehensive development lease agreement with the department or a regional transportation agency for a transportation project pursuant to this section.

(3) “Design-build” means a procurement process in which both the design and construction of a project are procured from a single entity.

(4) “Regional transportation agency” means any of the following:

(A) A transportation planning agency as defined in Section 29532 or 29532.1 of the Government Code.

(B) A county transportation commission as defined in Section 130050, 130050.1, or 130050.2 of the Public Utilities Code.

(C) Any other local or regional transportation entity that is designated by statute as a regional transportation agency.

(D) A joint exercise of powers authority as defined in Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 6500) of Division 7 of Title 1 of the Government Code, with the consent of a transportation planning agency or a county transportation commission for the jurisdiction in which the transportation project will be developed.

(5) “Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission” means a unit or auxiliary organization established by the Business, Transportation and Housing Agency that advises the department and regional transportation agencies in developing transportation projects through performance-based infrastructure partnerships.

(6) “Transportation project” means one or more of the following: planning, design, development, finance, construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, improvement, acquisition, lease, operation, or maintenance of highway, public street, rail, or related facilities supplemental to existing facilities currently owned and operated by the department or regional transportation agencies that is consistent with the requirements of subdivision (c).

(b) (1) The Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission shall do all of the following:

(A) Identify transportation project opportunities throughout the state.

(B) Research and document similar transportation projects throughout the state, nationally, and internationally, and further identify and evaluate lessons learned from these projects.

(C) Assemble and make available to the department or regional transportation agencies a library of information, precedent, research, and

analysis concerning infrastructure partnerships and related types of public-private transactions for public infrastructure.

(D) Advise the department and regional transportation agencies, upon request, regarding infrastructure partnership suitability and best practices.

(E) Provide, upon request, procurement-related services to the department and regional transportation agencies for infrastructure partnership.

(2) The Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission may charge a fee to the department and regional transportation agencies for the services described in subparagraphs (D) and (E) of paragraph (1), the details of which shall be articulated in an agreement entered into between the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission and the department or the regional transportation agency.

(c) (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, only the department, in cooperation with regional transportation agencies, and regional transportation agencies, may solicit proposals, accept unsolicited proposals, negotiate, and enter into comprehensive development lease agreements with public or private entities, or consortia thereof, for transportation projects.

(2) Projects proposed pursuant to this section and associated lease agreements shall be submitted to the California Transportation Commission. The commission, at a regularly scheduled public hearing, shall select the candidate projects from projects nominated by the department or a regional transportation agency after reviewing the nominations for consistency with paragraphs (3) and (4). Approved projects may proceed with the process described in paragraph (5).

(3) The projects authorized pursuant to this section shall be primarily designed to achieve the following performance objectives:

(A) Improve mobility by improving travel times or reducing the number of vehicle hours of delay in the affected corridor.

(B) Improve the operation or safety of the affected corridor.

(C) Provide quantifiable air quality benefits for the region in which the project is located.

(4) In addition to meeting the requirements of paragraph (3), the projects authorized pursuant to this section shall address a known forecast demand, as determined by the department or regional transportation agency.

(5) At least 60 days prior to executing a final lease agreement authorized pursuant to this section, the department or regional transportation agency shall submit the agreement to the Legislature and the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission for review. Prior to submitting a lease agreement to the Legislature and the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission, the department or regional transportation agency shall conduct at least one public hearing at a location at or near the proposed facility for purposes of receiving public comment on the lease agreement. Public comments made during this hearing shall be submitted to the Legislature and the Public Infrastructure Advisory Commission with the lease agreement. The Secretary of Business, Transportation and Housing or the Chairperson of the Senate or Assembly fiscal committees or policy committees with jurisdiction over transportation matters may, by written notification to the department or

regional transportation agency, provide any comments about the proposed agreement within the 60-day period prior to the execution of the final agreement. The department or regional transportation agency shall consider those comments prior to executing a final agreement and shall retain the discretion for executing the final lease agreement.

(d) For the purpose of facilitating those projects, the agreements between the parties may include provisions for the lease of rights-of-way in, and airspace over or under, highways, public streets, rail, or related facilities for the granting of necessary easements, and for the issuance of permits or other authorizations to enable the construction of transportation projects. Facilities subject to an agreement under this section shall, at all times, be owned by the department or the regional transportation agency, as appropriate. For department projects, the commission shall certify the department's determination of the useful life of the project in establishing the lease agreement terms. In consideration therefor, the agreement shall provide for complete reversion of the leased facility, together with the right to collect tolls and user fees, to the department or regional transportation agency, at the expiration of the lease at no charge to the department or regional transportation agency. At time of the reversion, the facility shall be delivered to the department or regional transportation agency, as applicable, in a condition that meets the performance and maintenance standards established by the department or regional transportation agency and that is free of any encumbrance, lien, or other claims.

(e) Agreements between the department or regional transportation agency and the contracting entity or lessee shall authorize the contracting entity or lessee to use a design-build method of procurement for transportation projects, subject to the requirements for utilizing such a method contained in Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 6800) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code, other than Sections 6802, 6803, and 6813 of that code, if those provisions are enacted by the Legislature during the 2009–10 Regular Session, or a 2009–10 extraordinary session.

(f) (1) (A) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, for projects on the state highway system, the department is the responsible agency for the performance of project development services, including performance specifications, preliminary engineering, prebid services, the preparation of project reports and environmental documents, and construction inspection services. The department is also the responsible agency for the preparation of documents that may include, but need not be limited to, the size, type, and desired design character of the project, performance specifications covering the quality of materials, equipment, and workmanship, preliminary plans, and any other information deemed necessary to describe adequately the needs of the department or regional transportation agency.

(B) The department may use department employees or consultants to perform the services described in subparagraph (A), consistent with Article XXII of the California Constitution. Department resources, including personnel requirements, necessary for the performance of those services

shall be included in the department's capital outlay support program for workload purposes in the annual Budget Act.

(2) The department or a regional transportation agency may exercise any power possessed by it with respect to transportation projects to facilitate the transportation projects pursuant to this section. The department, regional transportation agency, and other state or local agencies may provide services to the contracting entity or lessee for which the public entity is reimbursed, including, but not limited to, planning, environmental planning, environmental certification, environmental review, preliminary design, design, right-of-way acquisition, construction, maintenance, and policing of these transportation projects. The department or regional transportation agency, as applicable, shall regularly inspect the facility and require the contracting entity or lessee to maintain and operate the facility according to adopted standards. Except as may otherwise be set forth in the lease agreement, the contracting entity or lessee shall be responsible for all costs due to development, maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, and reconstruction, and operating costs.

(g) (1) In selecting private entities with which to enter into these agreements, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the department and regional transportation agencies may utilize, but are not limited to utilizing, one or more of the following procurement approaches:

(A) Solicitations of proposals for defined projects and calls for project proposals within defined parameters.

(B) Prequalification and short-listing of proposers prior to final evaluation of proposals.

(C) Final evaluation of proposals based on qualifications and best value. The California Transportation Commission shall develop and adopt criteria for making that evaluation prior to evaluation of a proposal.

(D) Negotiations with proposers prior to award.

(E) Acceptance of unsolicited proposals, with issuance of requests for competing proposals. Neither the department nor a regional transportation agency may award a contract to an unsolicited bidder without receiving at least one other responsible bid.

(2) When evaluating a proposal submitted by the contracting entity or lessee, the department or the regional transportation agency may award a contract on the basis of the lowest bid or best value.

(h) The contracting entity or lessee shall have the following qualifications:

(1) Evidence that the members of the contracting entity or lessee have completed, or have demonstrated the experience, competency, capability, and capacity to complete, a project of similar size, scope, or complexity, and that proposed key personnel have sufficient experience and training to competently manage and complete the design and construction of the project, and a financial statement that ensures that the contracting entity or lessee has the capacity to complete the project.

(2) The licenses, registration, and credentials required to design and construct the project, including, but not limited to, information on the revocation or suspension of any license, credential, or registration.

(3) Evidence that establishes that members of the contracting entity or lessee have the capacity to obtain all required payment and performance bonding, liability insurance, and errors and omissions insurance.

(4) Evidence that the contracting entity or lessee has workers' compensation experience, history, and a worker safety program of members of the contracting entity or lessee that is acceptable to the department or regional transportation agency.

(5) A full disclosure regarding all of the following with respect to each member of the contracting entity or lessee during the past five years:

(A) Any serious or willful violation of Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code or the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-596).

(B) Any instance where members of the contracting entity or lessee were debarred, disqualified, or removed from a federal, state, or local government public works project.

(C) Any instance where members of the contracting entity or lessee, or its owners, officers, or managing employees submitted a bid on a public works project and were found to be nonresponsive or were found by an awarding body not to be a responsible bidder.

(D) Any instance where members of the contracting entity or lessee, or its owners, officers, or managing employees defaulted on a construction contract.

(E) Any violations of the Contractors' State License Law (Chapter 9 (commencing with Section 7000) of Division 3 of the Business and Professions Code), including, but not limited to, alleged violations of federal or state law regarding the payment of wages, benefits, apprenticeship requirements, or personal income tax withholding, or Federal Insurance Contribution Act (FICA) withholding requirements.

(F) Any bankruptcy or receivership of any member of the contracting entity or lessee, including, but not limited to, information concerning any work completed by a surety.

(G) Any settled adverse claims, disputes, or lawsuits between the owner of a public works project and any member of the contracting entity or lessee during the five years preceding submission of a bid under this article, in which the claim, settlement, or judgment exceeds fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000). Information shall also be provided concerning any work completed by a surety during this five-year period.

(H) If the contracting entity or lessee is a partnership, joint venture, or an association that is not a legal entity, a copy of the agreement creating the partnership or association that specifies that all general partners, joint venturers, or association members agree to be fully liable for the performance under the agreement.

(i) No agreement entered into pursuant to this section shall infringe on the authority of the department or a regional transportation agency to develop, maintain, repair, rehabilitate, operate, or lease any transportation project. Lease agreements may provide for reasonable compensation to the contracting entity or lessee for the adverse effects on toll revenue or user

fee revenue due to the development, operation, or lease of supplemental transportation projects with the exception of any of the following:

- (1) Projects identified in regional transportation plans prepared pursuant to Section 65080 of the Government Code.
- (2) Safety projects.
- (3) Improvement projects that will result in incidental capacity increases.
- (4) Additional high-occupancy vehicle lanes or the conversion of existing lanes to high-occupancy vehicle lanes.
- (5) Projects located outside the boundaries of a public-private partnership project, to be defined by the lease agreement.

However, compensation to a contracting entity or lessee shall only be made after a demonstrable reduction in use of the facility resulting in reduced toll or user fee revenues, and may not exceed the difference between the reduction in those revenues and the amount necessary to cover the costs of debt service, including principal and interest on any debt incurred for the development, operation, maintenance, or rehabilitation of the facility.

(j) (1) Agreements entered into pursuant to this section shall authorize the contracting entity or lessee to impose tolls and user fees for use of a facility constructed by it, and shall require that over the term of the lease the toll revenues and user fees be applied to payment of the capital outlay costs for the project, the costs associated with operations, toll and user fee collection, administration of the facility, reimbursement to the department or other governmental entity for the costs of services to develop and maintain the project, police services, and a reasonable return on investment. The agreement shall require that, notwithstanding Sections 164, 188, and 188.1, any excess toll or user fee revenue either be applied to any indebtedness incurred by the contracting entity or lessee with respect to the project, improvements to the project, or be paid into the State Highway Account, or for all three purposes, except that any excess toll revenue under a lease agreement with a regional transportation agency may be paid to the regional transportation agency for use in improving public transportation in and near the project boundaries.

(2) Lease agreements shall establish specific toll or user fee rates. Any proposed increase in those rates not otherwise established or identified in the lease agreement during the term of the agreement shall first be approved by the department or regional transportation agency, as appropriate, after at least one public hearing conducted at a location near the proposed or existing facility.

(3) The collection of tolls and user fees for the use of these facilities may be extended by the commission or regional transportation agency at the expiration of the lease agreement. However, those tolls or user fees shall not be used for any purpose other than for the improvement, continued operation, or maintenance of the facility.

(k) Agreements entered into pursuant to this section shall include indemnity, defense, and hold harmless provisions agreed to by the department or regional transportation agency and the contracting entity or lessee, including provisions for indemnifying the State of California or the

regional transportation agency against any claims or losses resulting or accruing from the performance of the contracting entity or lessee.

(l) The plans and specifications for each transportation project on the state highway system developed, maintained, repaired, rehabilitated, reconstructed, or operated pursuant to this section shall comply with the department's standards for state transportation projects. The lease agreement shall include performance standards, including, but not limited to, levels of service. The agreement shall require facilities on the state highway system to meet all requirements for noise mitigation, landscaping, pollution control, and safety that otherwise would apply if the department were designing, building, and operating the facility. If a facility is on the state highway system, the facility leased pursuant to this section shall, during the term of the lease, be deemed to be a part of the state highway system for purposes of identification, maintenance, enforcement of traffic laws, and for the purposes of Division 3.6 (commencing with Section 810) of Title 1 of the Government Code.

(m) Failure to comply with the lease agreement in any significant manner shall constitute a default under the agreement and the department or the regional transportation agency, as appropriate, shall have the option to initiate processes to revert the facility to the public agency.

(n) The assignment authorized by subdivision (c) of Section 130240 of the Public Utilities Code is consistent with this section.

(o) A lease to a private entity pursuant to this section is deemed to be public property for a public purpose and exempt from leasehold, real property, and ad valorem taxation, except for the use, if any, of that property for ancillary commercial purposes.

(p) Nothing in this section is intended to infringe on the authority to develop high-occupancy toll lanes pursuant to Section 149.4, 149.5, or 149.6.

(q) Nothing in this section shall be construed to allow the conversion of any existing nontoll or nonuser-fee lanes into tolled or user fee lanes with the exception of a high-occupancy vehicle lane that may be operated as a high-occupancy toll lane for vehicles not otherwise meeting the requirements for use of that lane.

(r) The lease agreement shall require the contracting entity or lessee to provide any information or data requested by the California Transportation Commission or the Legislative Analyst. The commission, in cooperation with the Legislative Analyst, shall annually prepare a report on the progress of each project and ultimately on the operation of the resulting facility. The report shall include, but not be limited to, a review of the performance standards, a financial analysis, and any concerns or recommendations for changes in the program authorized by this section.

(s) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, no lease agreement may be entered into pursuant to the section that affects, alters, or supersedes the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), dated November 26, 2008, entered into by the Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, and

the San Francisco County Transportation Authority, relating to the financing of the U.S. Highway 101/Doyle Drive reconstruction project located in the City and County of San Francisco.

(t) No lease agreements may be entered into under this section on or after January 1, 2017.

SEC. 6. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the peer review committee established pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 6803 of the Public Contract Code shall continue to operate until it has fulfilled the reporting requirements of this section.

(b) The committee shall conduct an evaluation of all transportation projects using the design-build method of construction procurement authorized under Chapter 6.5 (commencing with Section 6800) of Part 1 of Division 2 of the Public Contract Code.

(c) The evaluation pursuant to subdivision (b) shall examine the procurement method, comparing those projects using low bid and best value, and shall consider whether the projects were on time and on budget. The evaluation shall also compare the design-build projects to similar transportation projects that used the design-bid-build method of construction procurement.

(d) (1) The California Transportation Commission shall submit a midterm report of its findings to the Legislature no later than June 30, 2012.

(2) The California Transportation Commission shall submit a final report of its findings to the Legislature no later than June 30, 2015.

SEC. 7. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because the only costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district will be incurred because this act creates a new crime or infraction, eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

O