

MINUTES

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**July 13-14, 2005
San Diego, California**

Wednesday, July 13, 2005

3:00 p.m.

Commission Meeting

San Diego Association of Governments
Board Room 7th Floor
401 B Street
San Diego, California 92101

GENERAL BUSINESS (#1 - 5)

1.

1. • Roll Call 1.1

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 3:10 p.m. on July 13, 2005, at the San Diego Association of Governments, Board Room 7th Floor, 401 B Street, San Diego, California.

Roll call was conducted. The Commissioners attendance was as follows:

Members Present: Joseph Tavaglione, Chair
Bob Balgenorth
Marian Bergeson
James Ghielmetti
Allen Lawrence
R. Kirk Lindsey

Members Absent: Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chair
Esteban Torres
Assemblymember Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio
Senator Tom Torlakson, Ex-Officio

Nicky Cafagna, SANDAG Chair, welcomed the Commission.

2. • Approval of Minutes for May 26, 2005 Meeting 1.2

Chair Tavaglione asked for approval of the May 26, 2005 Minutes.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the Minutes without changes. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Hallisey and Torres were absent)

3. • Commission Reports 1.3

Commissioner Lindsey made remarks regarding the meeting in Stanislaus County pertaining to the Main Street 99 project. It was well attended and brought light to the project.

No other Commissioners had reports.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

- 4. • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation 1.4

Commissioner Lindsey asked that May meetings for compensation be changed to reflect that the May 21, conference call was regarding Caltrans delegations and not a conference call with Mr. Roger Snoble of LACMTA.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve with changes requested by Commissioner Lindsey. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Hallisey and Torres were absent)

- 5. • Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary 1.5

See Thursday, July 14, 2005. This report was given on day two of the meeting.

CALTRANS REPORT (#6 – 10)

- 6. • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director 1.6

Director Kempton said that this was an historic meeting of the Commission, and expressed his appreciation to the Commission and other stakeholders. The Budget will bring \$4.1 billion to transportation in 2005-06 (assuming tribal gaming funds are received). The Department will be taking aggressive steps to deliver projects, and will be hiring additional staff and consultants. Now that the Budget is signed and there is funding the goal will be to bring projects to construction quickly. The Department is reaching out to the construction industry and inviting them back to California, as many left or changed focus during the funding crisis. Mr. Kempton reported that he's entered into contracts with each of the District Directors regarding the delivery of projects in a specific period of time. Director Kempton said that the Governor and Legislature have reached an agreement on the SFOBB, and that AB 144 approved in the Assembly and will move to the Governor shortly. Some Project Management reforms will be required. The intention is to advertise by July 25, 2005, have a 4-month advertisement period and award the contract by January 2006. The State will be providing an additional \$630 million including \$300 million from the SHOPP, \$125 million from PTA spillover and \$130 million in efficiency savings from the SHA.

- 7. • Caltrans Monthly FY 2004-05 Finance Report 3.1

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, provided an update on the State Budget that was signed on July 11, 2005, and highlighted that there are \$52 million in Caltrans efficiencies, \$1.3 billion in Proposition 42 (which was fully funded); The tribal gaming was reduced from \$1.2 billion to \$1.0 billion, there's a salary increase for Unit 9 members, the Governor vetoed the proposed authorization of \$10 million to the Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program, there's trailer bill language for the suspension of the 2006-07 PTA spill over. Ms. Ortega reviewed the cash balance saying that there is \$493 million and \$12 million in weight fees. GARVEE expenditure report has \$650 million awarded, all GARVEE projects have been awarded, \$92 million expended to date and \$137 million should be expended by the 4th quarter. There has been \$26 million in interest paid. There are still some uncertainties, waiting for the Reauthorization so that the State will know how much the earmarks will be.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

- 8. • Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded 3.2

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, discussed this Item. Chair Tavaglione said that the San Bernardino project is important and that it needs to be kept on track. Commissioner Lindsey asked how the Ventura project got on the list if it wasn't ready to go forward. Mr. Chittenden said that he wasn't sure but that everyone thought all the issues had been dealt with and that not all of the stakeholders were ready to go. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked about the cold foam issue and why it needs FHWA approval. Mr. Chittenden explained that the Department has used it before on two jobs, but that FHWA is concerned about the constructability. The Department believes that it can save 20% to 25%.

- **Prior to the August Commission meeting, schedule a meeting with Commissioners Tavaglione, Ghielmetti and Lindsey and Caltrans staff to discuss recurring problems with contracting and estimating.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

- 9. • Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded 3.4

Terry Abbott, Caltrans, discussed a listing of 37 projects and said that 2 projects needed to be award by June and only one made met the deadline. The project that missed the award deadline was due to issues with the railroad.

- 10. • Report on the Success of the Design-Sequence Pilot Program 4.6

Rick Land, Caltrans reviewed design sequencing and legislation that directed design sequencing, AB 405 and AB 2607. Mr. Land reviewed the current pilot program, its status, and said that the value of projects under construction is \$500 million. Mr. Land discussed the lessons learned; the need to educate staff and contractors on the design sequence process; document control; better project planning and selection; and resource availability. Commissioner Lawrence asked about the risk of using design build. Mr. Land responded that the contractor has a design team and that the assignment of risk is more on the contractor. Chair Tavaglione asked if design sequencing created problems with overall cost and whether Caltrans is finding that not having completed plans in place is costing more because they have to redo things. Mr. Land responded that they don't have enough information yet, but the design needs to be 100% complete for the segment to be worked on and that hasn't happened.

- **Report back in September on the design sequencing program and include a baseline costs comparison between design-sequencing and the regular process.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden/Rick Land

- 11. • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator 1.7

Steve VanDenburgh, RTPA Moderator, said that the regions had meet and had a lengthy discussion regarding the performance measures, and that basically they are opposed and think that they may be politically motivated, and also mentioned that the Rural Counties actually took a vote to oppose the performance measures at this time. The regions believe that the focus should be on funding stability. There was discussion that the Fund Estimate might be delayed and asked the Commission if that's the case can the RTIP submittals be delayed. Mr. VanDenburgh reported that there are concerns about the 4-6 month requirement for award.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

unprogrammed, there are additional funds that have been programmed and/or allocate that remain for expenditure.

- 17. • Commission Appoint Members for Real Estate Advisory Panel 4.8

Deputy Director Robert Chung briefly presented the Commission’s previous actions, the charter and mission of the advisory panel, and the actions to be taken by the Chair. Chair Tavaglione appointed Commissioner Ghielmetti to be the Commission’s Liaison with the Real Estate Advisory Panel. While the intent was to appoint members to the Panel, the Chair and Commissioner Ghielmetti asked for additional time to review the nominees and Chair Tavaglione will return in at the September meeting with his appointments.

Chair Tavaglione applauded Commissioner Lawrence for his work and dedication to the Airspace Advisory Committee (AAC), which the Commission dissolved.

Commissioner Lawrence thanked the Chair and said he enjoyed working on the Committee. Commissioner Lawrence congratulated Commissioner Ghielmetti saying that he’s perfect for this new panel and that his background makes him imminently qualified.

Commissioner Ghielmetti thanked Chair Tavaglione and Commissioner Lawrence for their confidence; he also mentioned that he added up the amounts on the Caltrans list of excess properties and thinks that if the properties can be sold, it would provide some funding for the state transportation coffers.

Adjourn

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission adjourned at 5:15 p.m.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

amendment being proposed to Section 19 of the STIP guidelines, and project level/specificity to data collection. Rather than collection data, the regions believe the focus should be on funding stability rather than spending staff time on data collection. There needs to be a period of stability, and now is not the best time to collect data since the only funds available may be in 2005-06 with none in 2006-07. Secretary McPeak underscored that allocations and expenditures will better support economic growth/stimulation. Ms. McPeak said that the performance standards are not intended to put a burden on the regions, but what they're trying to achieve is some outcomes.

Eric Haley, Riverside County Transportation Commission said that he's seen a divide on the issue based on the size of the agency. A county with a population of 750,000 and up seems to be a good size agency that can handle the performance measures now. An agency that has this size of a community population can follow through and influence the 2006-07 funding. He sees the performance measures a legitimate concern for smaller counties. Secretary McPeak said that it sounded like they were on the same track and said that they can be flexible if the larger MPOs begin with the performance measures now and phase them in for smaller counties.

Kathy Mathews, Chair RCTF, said that she appreciates Secretary McPeak's comments and that rural members were part of the group that worked on the Performance Measures. Ms. Mathews said that the rurals don't have the ability to collect the data and that many if not most of the counties wouldn't be able to get the data from Caltrans because they don't have it either. There will be three rural members that will participate in a study to be conducted by Caltrans, and they look forward to providing information in the 2008 RTP submittal, but that they just aren't prepared at this time, however they are committed to making it happen. Ms. McPeak said that she knows that they'll be able to work with the rurals and phase them into the performance measure process.

Commissioner Balgenorth thanked Secretary McPeak for the assistance she and her office gave to the passage of Proposition 42.

Chair Tavaglione also thanked Secretary McPeak and said that the Commission is right there with Agency, and that he has appointed Commissioner Lindsey to be on the Hwy 99 Committee.

- **Serve as the Commission's liaison to the Highway 99/San Joaquin Valley Partnership Group.**

ACTION: Commissioner Kirk Lindsey

19. • Department's Draft Five-Year Capital Facilities Plan 4.4

Glenn Yee, Caltrans, presented and discussed a PowerPoint for this Item. Mr. Yee reviewed the budget changes made by the Legislature to add special facilities as a line item, the 2006-07 5-Year Capital Outlay Infrastructure Plan and Proposed Office Facilities Projects, and the chart showing actual projects. Commissioner Lindsey asked where the Department of Finance fits into the whole picture. Mr. Yee said that Caltrans submits a Budget Change Proposal to the Department of Finance (DOF) and if DOF thinks the proposal meets the capital facilities requirements, Caltrans' proposal will get submitted along with the proposed budget for funding.

- **Return at the August Commission meeting with more complete information on the 5-Year Capital Facilities Plan, including review of other solutions such as possibly leasing office space, and provide an updated version.**

ACTION: Glenn Yee

INFORMATION CALENDAR (#20 – 24)

IC

Deputy Director Robert Chung presented the Information Calendar agenda Items #20 through #24 and noted that there were changes to Item #22. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the changes to Item #22 to amend a safety project on Route 86 into the SHOPP.

Commissioner Lindsey then asked about emergency projects. Why are projects more than 1 year old still considered emergency projects? Mr. Chittenden said that there were problems with the construction on the

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

project. Director Kempton added that the Department will get more information back to the Commissioner. Director Kempton noted that if a project was originally noted as an emergency and if the changes are related to the emergency work, then it remains in the emergency category. Commissioner Lindsey commented that an emergency doesn't last a year, that maybe it's a definitional issue, but it doesn't make sense and Caltrans should not be using G-11 authority when it's not appropriate. Commissioner Lindsey suggested that Caltrans should separate out emergency actions from corrective actions. Director Kempton said that Caltrans needs to look at the G-11 Process and Policies and come back in August to report on how it works, and then the Commission can give directions. Commissioner Bergeson asked how the Federal Government defines "emergency", and how does it impact reimbursement. Mr. Chittenden explained that the project in question was not declared a Federal emergency. Commissioner Bergeson then asked where Caltrans was on reimbursements of the Federal designated emergency funds. Mr. Chittenden said that he'd be sure that the information would be included in the September finance report, but that it can take up to 6 months to get Federal emergency reimbursement.

Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority 2.5f.

- 20. IC • Informational Report on Emergency: G-11 Allocations. 2.5f.(1)
18 Projects totaling \$17,551,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- **Explain how a retaining wall that suffered movement and deformation in 2004 is an emergency allocation. Provide a memo for the August Commission meeting that defines and explains "emergency" and Caltrans' process for dealing with emergency projects.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

- 21. IC • Informational Report on SHOPP Safety: G-03-10 Allocations. Four Projects totaling \$4,656,000. 2.5f.(3)

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 22. IC • Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action 3.3

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 23. IC • Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service 3.5

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 24. IC • Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations -- 18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200 4.2

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

END INFORMATION CALENDAR

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

CONSENT CALENDAR (#25 – 45)

CC

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended approving Consent Calendar Items #25 through #45 with modifications as noted on the pink change list to Consent Calendar Items 40 and 41.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the Consent Calendar, as modified by staff. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Deputy Director Robert Chung added Item #72 to the Consent Calendar.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve Item 72. Commissioner Balgenorth seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

**Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP
Amendments for Action**

2.1a.

25. CC

• STIP Amendment 04S-061
The Siskiyou County Local Transportation Commission (Siskiyou LTC) is requesting this amendment. Siskiyou LTC proposes to reprogram \$114,000 from the North Old Stage Road project (PPNO 2300) to fund cost increases on two existing HBRR projects, the Shackelford Creek Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 2304) and the Cottonwood Creek Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 2301), and program PA&ED on one new HBRR project, the Jenny Creek Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 2411) in FY 2005-06. In addition, Siskiyou LTC proposes to reprogram \$10,000 of PA&ED funds programmed for the Siskiyou Avenue project (PPNO 2299) to fund PS&E on the Jenny Creek Bridge Replacement project in FY 2006-07. Also, Siskiyou LTC proposes to reprogram a total of \$152,000 in FY 2006-07 RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2398) funds to the Lake Siskiyou Trail Phase II project (PPNO 2405B) as follows: \$52,000 in FY 2005-06 for PA&ED and \$100,000 in FY 2006-07 for Construction.

2.1a.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

26. CC

• STIP Amendment 04S-062
The Tehama County Transportation Commission (Tehama CTC) is requesting this amendment. Tehama CTC proposes to reprogram all \$361,000 in FY 2005-06 RIP Construction funds from the Roadway Rehabilitation of Various Roads project (PPNO 2189) to fund cost increases on three Federal Highway Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation (HBRR) projects in FY 2005-06. The RIP funds will be used to match HBRR program funds on the Rawson Road Bridge project (PPNO 2149), the Lake California Drive Bridge project (PPNO 2183), and the Tehama Avenue Bridge project (PPNO 2142). This amendment is a revenue neutral programming action.

2.1a.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

- | | | | |
|-----|-----------|--|----------|
| 27. | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-064 <p>The Madera County Transportation Commission (Madera CTC) and Madera County are requesting this amendment. Madera County proposes to change the implementing agency from Madera County to the Department and to reprogram \$133,000 of RIP funds on the Route 41 Oakhurst Sidewalks project (PPNO A006) as follows: \$18,000 in PS&E from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06; \$27,000 in R/W (\$24,000 for R/W and \$3,000 for R/W Support) from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06; and \$88,000 in Construction (\$79,000 for Construction and \$9,000 for Construction Support) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. The Department concurs with the request.</p> | 2.1a.(4) |
|-----|-----------|--|----------|

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- | | | | |
|-----|-----------|--|----------|
| 28. | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-065 <p>The Department and the Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) are requesting this amendment. The Department and OCTA propose to delete \$5,000,000 of Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds programmed on the Yorba Linda Train Station project (PPNO 9655) and transfer those funds to the Fullerton Transportation Center Parking Structure project (PPNO 2026) in the City of Fullerton.</p> | 2.1a.(5) |
|-----|-----------|--|----------|

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- | | | | |
|-----|-----------|---|----------|
| 29. | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 04S-066 <p>The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC requests the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Replacement. RCTC proposes to use local Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) funds to replace \$13,951,000 in FY 2007-08 STIP Construction funds on the Green River Road Interchange project (PPNO 0076B) (\$3,889,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) and \$10,062,000 in Interregional Improvement Program (IIP)). RCTC proposes to reprogram \$3,889,000 in RIP funds and \$10,062,000 in IIP funds in FY 2007-08 for a replacement project (PPNO 0072H) to be identified at a later date.</p> | 2.1a.(6) |
|-----|-----------|---|----------|

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments 2.1d.

34. CC • Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval Amendment for Tehama County to deprogram \$52,112 from a bus shelter project and \$17,999 in cost savings from the completed Transit Vehicle purchase project. (Concurrent Allocation Amendment under 2.6c.) Resolution PA-05-02, Amending Resolution PA-00-17 2.1d(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

35. CC • Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval Amendment for Siskiyou County to reprogram \$13,255 in cost savings from the completed Pedestrian Walkway Project to a new vehicle purchase project. (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6b and Allocation Amendment under 2.6c.) Resolution PA-05-03, Amending Resolution PA-99-17 2.1d(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

36. CC • Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Project Approval Amendment to deprogram \$75,494 in savings from six Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program projects. (Concurrent Allocation Amendment under 2.6c.) Resolution PA-05-04, Amending Resolutions PA-93-10, PA-93-47, PA-94-06, PA-94-22, PA-98-12, and PA-98-17 2.1d(3)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

37. CC • Statewide Transportation Enhancement (STE) Program Amendment to transfer applicant status of the Harbor Boulevard Wildlife Underpass project approved on April 4, 2002, from the California Department of Parks and Recreation to the County of Los Angeles. Resolution G-05-___, amending Resolution G-02-03 2.1f.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

Highway Route Matters

- | | | | |
|-----|----|---|-------|
| 38. | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eight Relinquishment Resolutions -- 12-Ora-1-PM 0.96/4.63
Route 1 in the City of Dana Point
Resolution R-3597 -- 12-Ora-73-PM 4.8/5.6
Route 73 in the City of Newport Beach
Resolution R-3599 -- 12-Ora-73-PM 5.6/5.9
Route 73 in the County of Orange
Resolution R-3600 -- 3-Sac-275-KP 0.11/1.13
Route 275 in the City of Sacramento
Resolution R-3601 -- 4-SCI-9-KP 11.3/11.9
Route 9 in the City of Saratoga
Resolution R-3602 -- 7-LA-72-PM 6.8/6.9 & 8.0/8.5
Route 72 in the City of Pico Rivera
Resolution R-3603 -- 11-SD-15-PM 3.4/6.0
Route 15 in the City of San Diego
Resolution R-3610 -- 12-Ora-5-PM 38.7/39.5
Route 5 in the City of Anaheim
Resolution R-3611 | 2.3c. |
|-----|----|---|-------|

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- | | | | |
|-----|----|---|-------|
| 39. | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Vacation Resolution -- 6-Kin-198-PM 3.03
Route 198 in the County of Kings
Resolution A-860 | 2.3d. |
|-----|----|---|-------|

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- | | | | |
|-----|----|--|-------|
| 40. | CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 21 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19103 through C-19123 | 2.4b. |
|-----|----|--|-------|

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as amended by staff to withdraw resolutions C-19104 and C-19123.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

• Director's Deeds 2.4d.

- 41. CC -- Items #1 through #22 2.4d.(1)
 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$1,145,810
 Return to Others: \$0

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as amended by staff to withdraw project #7.

- 42. CC -- Item #1 2.4d.(3)
 Excess Lands – Perpetual Conservation Easement
 Grant to the Feather River Resource Conservation
 District - Return to State: \$0
 Return to Others: \$0

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 43. CC • Financial Allocation for One Local Proposition 116 Non- 2.6b.
 Urban County Program Project in Siskiyou County for
 \$13,255. (Related item under 2.1d(2).)
 Resolution BFP-05-01

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 44. CC • Financial De-Allocation of \$62,599 in Cost Savings from 2.6c.
 Seven Proposition 116 Non-Urban Counties Program
 projects. (Related item under 2.1d(3).)
 Resolution BFA-05-01, amending Resolutions
 BFP-93-34, BFP-98-07, BFP-99-15, BFP-99-16,
 BFP-98-30, BFP-00-02, and Delegated
 Resolution G9-95-09.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 45. CC • Six-month Estimate of Loan Capacity under AB 1012 4.5
 Approval of Funding Level
 Resolution G-05-_____

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

END OF CONSENT CALENDAR

- 46. • Approval of Delegated Authority to Sub-Allocate Minor 4.11
 Construction Program Plan Projects
 Resolution G-05-_____

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans reviewed the Item and provided an explanation on Minor projects not programmed in the SHOPP and that they are currently limited to projects of \$750,000 and that the Department would like to increase this amount to \$1 million with no change to the size of the program just what can be in the program. Minor B is projects under \$120,000 and can use an informal bid process. Any projects that are \$120,000 to \$750,000 must use the regular bid and procurement process. The Minor A list of projects should be public and Director Kempton wants the Minor A projects tracked for delivery this year. A Commissioner expressed concern regarding the different levels of ability throughout the state to estimate projects. Executive Director Diane Eidam said that there has been a great deal of staff discussion on this issue and that this is a good step to improve the

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

estimates and have accountability. Commissioner Lindsey said that he'd like to be educated about support costs. How can support costs exceed construction on simple projects? Mr. Chittenden said that some jobs are originally considered SHOPP, then scaled back.. They can be high on a support to capital ratio, and reduce the cost of the overall Minor program. Director Kempton said that he's also concerned about increasing support costs and wants to understand what drives support. What is the appropriate ratio of support to capital by types of projects? Thirty-eight percent is about the current cost of support. Can it be reduced to 35% or so? Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the request. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- **Report at the August Commission meeting how support costs can exceed capital costs and review consistency among districts.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

47. • FY 2005-06 Annual Minor Construction Program Lump 2.5h.
Sum Allocation for \$97,141,000.
Resolution FM-05-03

Ross Chittenden requested the lump sum allocation of approximately \$71,150,000 for 154 Minor A projects.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the allocation. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

48. • Re-Suspension of Delegated Allocation Authority, and 4.10
Delegation to Commission Executive Director Authority to
Adjust Construction Contract Award Allocations.
Resolution G-05-____, replacing Resolution G-04-08

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the history of past delegations, indicated that the Commission suspended all delegations except emergency/safety and seismic in 2003, and that this item would continue the suspension of various delegations of allocation authority to the Department for particular types of projects in 2005-06. This request would also delegate to the Commission's Executive Director in consultation with the Chair and 2 additional Commissioners the authority to approve adjustments to Caltrans construction allocation to allow contract awards in excess of the standard G-12 limits.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Allocation Plans and Criteria (#49-50)

49. • FY 2005-06 STIP/SHOPP Allocation Plan and Criteria 4.13

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer discussed the item and added a provision that upon the allocation of funds a contract award must occur within 6 months. A report is to be provided 4 months after the allocation on the status of award. If a contract has not been awarded the Commission must be notified. Commissioner Lindsey asked about the letters that were submitted regarding I-205 in San Joaquin. Executive Director Diane Eidam said that this project appears to be a strong candidate for GARVEE. Commissioner Lindsey then asked how much in the current STIP is for local streets and roads. Mr. Brewer responded that about \$95 million with \$80 to \$85 million programmed for local road rehab. George Dondero, Executive Director Calaveras County and former Rural Counties Task Force Chair said that capacity is not an issue in the rural counties, it's getting what roads are there back into a useable state and he doesn't see any duplication of effort. The rural's would support the current policy. Kathy Mathews, Rural Counties Task Force, said that if you look across the board that there aren't nearly as many rural's using STIP for road rehab as there was in the past but that it should remain an option. Commissioner Lindsey asked if there was a choice given to the rural's. Mr. Brewer stated that it's not a question of local road rehab programmed in the STIP, it's what kind of priorities are being set.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Torres moved approval. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 50. • FY 2005-06 TCRP Allocation Plan and Criteria 4.14

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed this item saying that the information in the Commission's Book is identical to the information provided at the workshop on June 16, 2005 with the addition of the project list as requested by the Commission. Mr. Chittenden gave some background information on the TCR Program, and state that \$678 million was received through the 2005-06 Budget and if the gaming bond funds come through he will be back with a revised allocation plan. Mr. Norm King, San Bernardino, said that he hopes that any project list that's provided would go through an additional review. Executive Director Diane Eidam suggested that an ad hoc committee be formed to discuss project funding options. Mr. King volunteered to work with Commission staff on the ad hoc committee. Mr. Doug Ito, San Joaquin Council of Governments mentioned the I-205 project and urged the Commission to keep it in mind. Commissioner Lindsey asked what the cost of the project was and Mr. Ito responded that the total project cost is \$98 million.

Commissioner Bergeson moved approval of the item. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- **Form an ad hoc group to reassess projects to maximize TCRP funding, and report back a the September Commission meeting.**

ACTION: Diane Eidam

Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments (#51 – 55) 2.1c.

- 51. • The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority is requesting that the Commission approve a TCRP Application Approval for Project #7.2, to program \$33,000,000 in new TCR funding for all phases of the project. TCRP Project #7.2 will improve parking, stations, and platforms along UPRR line in Santa Clara County. *(Related item under 2.1c.(6).)* Resolution TA-05-02 2.1c.(1)

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, presented and described the request.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the request. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

- 52. • The San Mateo County Transportation Authority is requesting that the Commission approve a TCRP Application Amendment for Project #23 – CalTrain Peninsula Corridor, to program \$3,000,000 in new TCR funding for PS&E, and update the project schedule and funding plan. TCRP Project #23 will complete grade separations at Poplar Avenue (San Mateo), 25th Avenue (San Mateo), and Linden Avenue (South San Francisco) in San Mateo County. *(Related item under 2.1c.(6).)* Resolution TAA-05-08, amending Resolution TA-02-02 2.1c.(2)

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, presented and described the request.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the request. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

- 53. • The Department is requesting that the Commission approve a TCRP Application Amendment for Project #90 – Route 99; widen freeway to six lanes, Kingsburg to Selma in Fresno County, to program \$16,000,000 in new TCR funding for Construction and update the project funding plan. TCRP Project #90 will construct a new northbound and southbound lane within the existing median from Route 201 in Kingsburg to north of Floral Avenue in Selma. *(Related item under 2.6e.(1).)*
Resolution TAA-05-09, amending Resolution TAA-04-11

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, presented and described the request.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the request. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

- 54. • The Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District is requesting that the Commission approve a TCRP Application Amendment for Project #150 – Renovation or Rehabilitation of Santa Cruz Metro Center, to program \$800,000 in new TCR funding for R/W, redistribute \$84,400 in programmed funds from PA&ED to R/W, and update the project schedule and funding plan. TCRP Project #150 will expand and renovate the central Santa Cruz Transit Center.
(Related item under 2.6e.(3).)
Resolution TAA-05-10, amending Resolution TA-01-13

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans presented and described the request.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the request. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

- 55. • The Department and the Southern California Regional Rail Authority are requesting that the Commission approve three TCRP Application Amendments to transfer previously programmed TCR funds between sub-projects and program a net total of \$66,110,000 in new TCR funding for Project #35.1 – Pacific Surfliner: construct run-through-tracks through Los Angeles Union Station to mainline track; Project # 35.2 – Pacific Surfliner: construct a triple track BNSF line; and Project #35.3 – Pacific Surfliner: add a new fifth lead track at Los Angeles Union Station. In addition, this approval will update the project schedule and funding plan for TCRP #35.1 and TCRP #35.3.
Resolution TAA-05-11, amending Resolution TA-01-06, TAA-04-03, TAA-04-11

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans presented and described the request.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the request. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice Approvals (#56)

- | | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 56. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Letter of No Prejudice (Letter) Approvals. Two Letter Requests totaling \$8,270,000. Resolution TL-05-03 – Project #7.2 – \$5,270,000 for Phases 1, 2 and 3, for CalTrain; expand service to Gilroy; improve parking, stations, and platforms along UPRR line in Santa Clara County (Lick to Gilroy Track Improvements and Gilroy Yard Revisions and Storage Facility). Applicant Agency: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority
<i>(Related item under 2.1c(1).)</i> – Project #23 – \$3,000,000 for Phase 2, for CalTrain Peninsula Corridor; complete grade separations at Poplar Avenue (San Mateo), 25th Avenue (San Mateo), and Linden Avenue (South San Francisco) in San Mateo County. Lead Agency: San Mateo County Transportation Authority
<i>(Related item under 2.1c(2).)</i> | 2.1c.(6) |
|-----|---|----------|

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans presented and described the request. Mr. Chittenden said proposed legislation on repayment of letters of no prejudice as been introduced and is expected by the end of the first half of the Legislative session and asked the Commission to defer this item until September 2005 meeting.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to defer this item until the September 2005 meeting. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Balgenorth and Hallisey were absent)

Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations / Allocation Amendments (#57 – 60)

- | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|
| 57. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Approval. Six allocation requests totaling \$242,415,000 in new TCR funding for Phase 4 – Construction starts. Resolution TFP-05-06 | 2.6e.
2.6e.(1) |
|-----|--|-------------------|

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, presented and described the request noting that project #35.2 was pulled prior to the Commission meeting and will return at the Commission’s August 2005 meeting.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the request expect for project #35.2. Commissioner Balgenorth seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- **Provide information to the Commission on when projects are awarded.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

- 58. • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation 2.6e.(2)
Approval. Three allocation requests totaling \$2,222,000
in new TCR funding to reimburse previously approved
AB 1335 Letters of No Prejudice.
Resolution TFP-05-07

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans presented and described the request. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked how soon the agencies would receive their funds. Mr. Chittenden responded that it usually takes between 30 and 60 days .

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the request. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 59. • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation 2.6e.(3)
Amendment Approval. One allocation amendment
request, no net change in TCR funding. (*Concurrent
item under 2.1c.(4).*)
Resolution TFP-05-08

Ross Chittenden presented and discussed this request to move funds from environmental to right of way.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the request. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 60. • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation 2.6e.(4)
Amendment Approval. One allocation amendment
request to de-allocate \$6,238,000 in TCR funding.
(*Concurrent item under 2.1c.(5).*)
Resolution TFP-05-09

Ross Chittenden presented and discussed the request.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve the request. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 61. • **Financial Allocations for Minor Projects (#61 – 62)** 2.5a
• Financial Allocation for Five Minor SHOPP Projects 2.5a.(2)
totaling \$2,165,000.
Resolution FP-05-01

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer presented and discussed the requests in Items #61 through #65 noting that project #1 in Item #61 has been withdrawn, that there were changes noted on the Pink for projects #8 and #9 in Item #63. Mr. Brewer recommended approval of Items #61 through #65 with noted changes.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the requests in Items #61 through #63 with noted changes. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 62. • Financial Allocation for Eight Minor SHOPP Safety 2.5a.(3)
Projects totaling \$2,658,000, plus \$192,000 from other
sources.
Resolution FP-05-02

See Item #61, this Item was heard above.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

63. **Financial Allocations for SHOPP Projects (#63 – 65)** 2.5b.
 • Financial Allocation for 51 SHOPP Projects totaling 2.5b.(1)
 \$422,990,500, plus \$580,000 from other sources.
 Resolution FP-05-03

See Item #61, this Item was heard above.

64. • Financial Allocation for One Project for \$8,000,000 2.5b.(2)
 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action.
 Resolution FP-05-04

See Item #61, this Item was heard above.

65. • Financial Allocation for One SHOPP Transportation 2.5b.(3)
 Enhancement Project for \$268,000.
 Resolution FP-05-05

See Item #61, this Item was heard above.

- Financial Allocations/Amendments for STIP Projects** 2.5c.
(#66 – 72)
 66. • Financial Allocation for 13 State-Administered STIP 2.5c.(1)
 Projects on the State Highway System totaling
 \$308,698,000, plus \$19,392,000 from other sources.
 Resolution FP-05-06

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects noting that this is the first time the Commission has been able to allocate STIP funds since 2003. Mr. Brewer recommended approval of all project allocations.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the requests. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

67. • Financial Allocation for Two Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(2)
 Projects on the State Highway System totaling
 \$2,414,000, plus \$400,000 from other sources.
 Resolution FP-05-07

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects and recommended approval of the project allocations.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the requests. Commissioner Balgenorth seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

68. • Financial Allocation for 15 Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(3)
 Projects off the State Highway System totaling
 \$5,280,000, plus \$912,000 from other sources.
 Resolution FP-05-08

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects and recommended approval of Projects eleven through fourteen on the list, but recommended that Projects one through ten and fifteen be tabled as they are not consistent with the Commission’s approved Allocation Criteria.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the staff recommendation. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

- 69. • Financial Allocation for Three State-Administered STIP 2.5c.(4)
Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$2,445,000.
Resolution FP-05-09

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects and recommended approval of the project allocations.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the requests. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 70. • Financial Allocation for 16 Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(5)
Transportation Enhancement (TE) Projects totaling
\$6,158,000, plus \$3,693,050 from other sources.
Resolution FP-05-10

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects and recommended approval of the project allocations.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the requests. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 71. • Financial Allocation for Ten Locally-Administered STIP 2.5c.(6)
Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects totaling
\$2,029,000.
Resolution FP-05-11

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects and recommended approval of the project allocations.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the requests. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 72. • Financial Allocation for One Locally-Administered 2.5c.(8)
Statewide Transportation Enhancement (STE) Project for
\$337,000, plus \$1,061,095 from other sources.
Resolution FP-05-12

This item was added and approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 73. • **Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit (#73 – 75)** 2.6a.
• Financial Allocation for Four Local STIP Rail/Transit 2.6a.(1)
projects totaling \$31,112,000.
Resolution MFP-05-01

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects noting that there is a bit of a technical problem in that the Budget doesn't provide an appropriation of PTA funds. The Department has suggested that the projects be funded with TIF and then submit a technical correction in a few months to change the funds to PTA when they get the appropriation. Mr. Brewer said that it's important to get it on the record that the Commission intends that the projects are to be funded from the PTA and the technical correction should occur at the earliest possible time. Mr. Brewer recommended approval of the project allocations.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the requests noting that the Commission expects the final funding to be PTA. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

- 74. • Financial Allocation for One Intercity Rail STIP project 2.6a.(2)
 on the San Diego Corridor for \$1,081,000.
 Resolution MFP-05-02

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects noting that there is a bit of a technical problem in that the Budget doesn't provide an appropriation of PTA funds. The Department has suggested that the projects be funded with TIF and then submit a technical correction in a few months to change the funds to PTA when they get the appropriation. Mr. Brewer said that it's important to get it on the record that the Commission intends that the project is to be funded from the PTA and the technical correction should occur at the earliest possible time. Mr. Brewer recommended approval of the project allocations.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to approve the requests noting that the Commission expects the final funding to be PTA. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- 75. • Financial Allocation for AB 3090 Cash 2.6a.(3)
 Reimbursement to the Los Angeles County
 Metropolitan Transportation Authority for One Local
 STIP Rail/Transit project for \$43,600,000.
 Resolution MFP-05-03

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the projects noting that there is a bit of a technical problem in that the Budget doesn't provide an appropriation of PTA funds. The Department has suggested that the projects be funded with TIF and then submit a technical correction in a few months to change the funds to PTA when they get the appropriation. Mr. Brewer said that it's important to get it on the record that the Commission intends that the project is to be funded from the PTA and the technical correction should occur at the earliest possible time. Mr. Brewer recommended approval of the project allocations.

Commissioner Torres asked how soon the Department can write a check for this project. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans said in a matter of a month or two.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve the requests noting that the Commission expects the final funding to be PTA. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

HIGHWAY MATTERS (#76 – 78)

- 76. • FY 2004-05 Right of Way Lump Sum Allocation 2.5g.(1)
 Adjustment and Preliminary Close Out Report.
 Resolution FM-05-01, amending Resolution FM-03-11

Bimla Rhinehart, Caltrans, reviewed the item and discussed the 2004-05 adjustment and the preliminary close-out report. Only \$160 million of the \$180 million was expended, the remaining \$20 million was redirected to SHOPP emergency projects with no impact to Right-of-Way acquisitions.

- 77. • FY 2005-06 Annual Right of Way Lump Sum Allocation 2.5g.(2)
 for \$171,000,000.
 Resolution FM-05-02

Bimla Rhinehart, Caltrans, reviewed the item and requested the Commission approve \$171 million for Right of Way capital in the STIP and SHOPP. This amount is expected to include damaged property claims of a significant amount.

Commissioner Lindsey asked Ms. Rhinehart to define significant claim. Ms. Rhinehart said that it would be a claim in the \$20 to \$100 million range. There is a claim right now for 20 homes in Santa Barbara due to slope

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

slippage. There's another claim that has yet to settle in San Luis Obispo that is not part of this request, and the Department will come back at a future date and request a supplemental vote if necessary.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve the request. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- | | | |
|-----|--|-------|
| 78. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Four projects totaling \$9,496,000.
Resolution FA-05-01 -- 01-DN-101 – SHOPP project in Del Norte County. Current allocation is \$3,600,000. This request for \$1,350,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 38% over the voted amount. -- 02-Las-395 – SHOPP project in Lassen County. Current allocation is \$8,300,000. This request for \$1,400,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 17% over the voted amount. -- 02-Sha-89 – SHOPP project in Shasta County. Current allocation is \$18,200,000. This request for \$4,110,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 23% over the voted amount. ject in Yolo and Sacramento counties. Current allocation is \$9,364,000. This request for \$2,636,000 to award the construction contract results in an increase of 28% over the voted amount. | 2.5e. |
|-----|--|-------|

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, presented and described the projects and the problems that created the cost increase saying that there are two general themes; 1) continued decline of bidders; and 2) they're in a second wave of rapid cost increases to oil and asphalt concrete. District Director Brian Crane talked about what would happen if the time were lengthened, and that it could cause additional problems. Mr. Chittenden did say that with Project #4, there could be an option to take it back and look at reducing the scope, but that the Department would like to move forward with it. Commissioner Ghielmetti expressed concern about projects #2 and #4, and asked what if they changed the work windows and handle the projects like District 8 handled theirs. Mr. Chittenden said that he expects the projects to continue relatively routinely.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve projects #1 and 3 and bring back projects #2 and 4. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion. No vote was taken. Additional discussion between the Commissioners and Caltrans staff regarding the continued decline in bidders, the possibility of changing work windows, and the estimating process.

Commissioner Balgenorth amended the motion to include all 4 projects for approval. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- **Provide more information and analysis on what Caltrans is experiencing in the bidding arena. Look into possibility of the Department having portable batch plants to reduce costs.**

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-03-19, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests (#79 – 80) 2.8

79. • Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for Two SHOPP projects totaling \$22,956,000. Waiver-05-18 2.8b.

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed the projects and recommended a 6 month extension for the first project and an 8 month extension for the second project.

Commissioner Balgenorth moved to approve the staff recommendation. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

80. • Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for One Rural Transit System Grant Program project for the City of Red Bluff for \$32,000. Waiver-05-19 2.8c.

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed the request and recommended a 20 month extension. Commissioner Lindsey asked if the STIP funds are coming to the agency in August why do they need a 20 month time extension. Mr. Chung explained that the funds actually expired in June 2004. Assistant Deputy Director Kathie Jacobs said that the Agency received approval from the Department of Finance for the funding extension; however due to the lack of STIP funds they never received a time extension for them. The agency expects to procure the bus in October and the 20-month extension would give them until February 2006 for acceptance and close-out of the project.

Commissioner Balgenorth moved to approve the request. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) (#81 – 83) 2.2a.

81. • Route 50 in Sacramento County – Add High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes and community enhancements in Sacramento and Sacramento County (NOP). 2.2a.(1)

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed Items #81 through #83 saying that none of the environmentals indicate that they are fully funded and that the Commission should provide their standard request that the agencies should find the sources to fully fund the projects and include them in future documents. Commissioner Lindsey asked about the life of an environmental document and why they are being submitted so early. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, said that putting funds into the environmental gets the project moving. Director Kempton said that an environmental document has about a 3-year life span, anything beyond that would require the document to be re-done, updated or a supplemental environmental document must be issued.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to comment regarding identifying the funding sources to fully fund the projects in Items #81 through 83. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

- As part of the Quarterly Delivery Report at the August Commission meeting, review the issue of when environmental assessment should begin, taking into account funding availability, land use planning, corridor issues and other factors.

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

87. **POLICY MATTERS (#87 – 91)** 4.
 • State and Federal Legislative Matters * 4.1

*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Executive Director Diane Eidam at (916) 654-4245.

Executive Director Diane Eidam said that in the interest of time that the Commissioners would be sent updates on the Legislation and the information will be posted to the Commission’s web site.

88. • Draft Amendment to Guidelines for the 2006 STIP 4.3

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer discussed that this Item covers STIP procedures generally, and that the Statutes permit amending the STIP guidelines after conducting at least one public hearing. Item #88 lays out both changes to the permanent guidelines and some special guidelines specific to the 2006 STIP. The Guidelines were last amended in December 2003, at the beginning of the 2004 STIP cycle. Statutes call for the Commission to make a reasonable effort to adopt guideline amendments prior to the adoption of the fund estimate. In no case may the Commission change the STIP guidelines during the period between 30 days after the fund estimate adoption expected in August this year, and the STIP Adoption is to occur in March 2006.

89. • Draft Amendment to STIP Guidelines for Transportation Systems Performance Measures 4.7

Debbie Mah, Caltrans, said that this would be an Information Item Only. The Department is still working on possible changes to Section 19 of the STIP Guidelines. The Department is looking to identifying outcomes, that the intent is not to be just a process but something that will be beneficial and used. Ms. Mah recognized that there may not be complete data throughout the state for Table A. The set of criteria are the same as what was identified at the June 16, 2005 workshop and can be used as a means to quantitative measure projects, although the regions can still use the current process. If a region uses measures different from the set provided they should provide and describe the areas in which different measures were used. The regions should demonstrate a linkage between the RTIP and RTP.

90. • Presentation of Draft 2006 Fund Estimate 4.9

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, provided a PowerPoint Presentation and a discussion of the Draft 2006 Fund Estimate by talking about the TIF transfers, the PTA spillover and the revenue uncertainties. Ms. Ortega recommended a delay in the adoption of the 2006 Fund Estimate until September 2005 to allow for an analysis of the Federal reauthorization and the toll bridge impact. Ms. Ortega reminded the Commission that statutes allow for a 90-day delay.

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer said that he thinks the delay is appropriate as the State is facing a very different STIP. Specifically, funds may only be available for new transit projects.

Commissioner Torres moved to adopt the staff recommendation.

Executive Director Diane Eidam clarified that with the delay in the Fund Estimate the RTIPs and STIP would be delayed by the same amount of time. Ms. Eidam said that she wants to make it clear that the State will not have a 2-teir STIP as had been discussed but it will be a STIP and a No-STIP.

Commissioner Bergeson asked what was being voted on. Ms. Eidam said that Item #90 is an information item but that staff needs concurrence on the delay but a formal vote is not necessary.

Commissioner Torres withdrew his motion.

Tab # /	Item Description	Ref. #
---------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Ghielmetti agreed with the 2-month delay but wonders if there's a way to use the PTA funds on highway projects since PTA appears to be available. Mr. Brewer said that highway and road projects would need to be moved to the end of the STIP, but that he'd research to see what flexibility would be available.

Commissioner Lindsey asked if the RTPAs and Rurals were okay with the delay. Both replied that they're fine with it as long as the RTIP submittals get the same amount of delay.

The Commission concurred on a 2-month delay for the 2006 Fund Estimate. The expected approval will be at the Commission's September 2005 meeting.

- **Review and research possibility of providing maximum flexibility in the use of PTA revenues for more STIP projects.**

ACTION: Diane Eidam/John Barna /

Will Kempton

Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP 2.1b.
Amendments (#91 – 94)

91. • STIP Amendment 04S-071 2.1a.(3)
 The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCRTC) and Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District (SCMTD) are requesting this amendment. SCRTC and SCMTD request the Commission approve this amendment for AB 3090 Reimbursement. SCMTD proposes to use local funds to replace \$6,363,000 in FY 2006-07 RIP funds for Construction on the MetroBase Consolidated Bus Operations Facility project (PPNO 0924), with later reimbursement (PPNO 0924B) in FY 2008-09.

Chief Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the proposed STIP amendment that requests approval of an AB 3090 cash reimbursement arrangement under which the Santa Cruz Metropolitan Transit District would advance \$6.4 million in local funds to construct its Metrobase bus operations facility and receive an AB 3090 cash reimbursement in 2008-09 rather than 2007-08.

Mr. Mike Keogh, Chair Santa Cruz Metro, spoke in support of the request.

Mr. Les White, General Manager, Santa Cruz Metro, spoke in support of the request.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve the request and have the reimbursement come from the PTA in FY 2008-09. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 7-0. (Commissioner Hallisey was absent)

<u>Tab # /</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Ref. #</u>
----------------	-------------------------	---------------

OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT

6.

There were no other matters or public comment.

ADJOURN

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission adjourned at 2:20 p.m.

Diane C. Eidam, Executive Director

STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS
From
July 13-14, 2005 Commission Meeting

- 5 (1.5) Commissioner Kirk Lindsey – Serve as the Commission’s liaison to the Highway 99 / San Joaquin Valley Partnership Group.
- **This will be done.**
- 8 (3.2) Ross Chittenden – Prior to the August Commission meeting, schedule a meeting with Commissioners Tavaglione, Ghielmetti and Lindsey and Caltrans staff to discuss recurring problems with contracting and estimating.
- **This has been scheduled for August 16.**
- 10 (4.6) Ross Chittenden/Rick Land – Report back in September on the design sequencing program and include a baseline costs comparison between design-sequencing and the regular process. (*September Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at the September 2005 Commission meeting.**
- 19 (4.4) Glenn Yee – Return at the August Commission meeting with more complete information on the 5-Year Capital Facilities Plan, including review of other solutions such as possibly leasing office space, and provide an updated version. (~~*August Agenda Item*~~)
- **Caltrans is preparing a response in memo form addressing these issues to be distributed to Commissioners and staff.**
- 20 (2.5f(1)) Ross Chittenden – Explain how a retaining wall that suffered movement and deformation in 2004 is an emergency allocation. Provide a memo for the August Commission meeting that defines and explains “emergency” and Caltrans’ process for dealing with emergency projects. (*August Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under August Agenda Tab #52, Item 4.6. Also a follow-up memo will be provided to Commissioner Lindsey.**
- 46 (4.11) Ross Chittenden – Report at the August Commission meeting how support costs can exceed capital costs and review consistency among districts. (~~*August*~~ ***Future*** *Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at a future Commission meeting.**
- 50 (4.14) Diane Eidam – Form an ad hoc group to reassess projects to maximize TCRP funding, and report back at the September Commission meeting. (*September Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at the September 2005 Commission meeting.**
- 57 (2.6e(1)) Ross Chittenden – Provide information to Commission on when projects are awarded.
- **This will be done routinely.**

78 (2.5e) Ross Chittenden – Provide more information and analysis on what Caltrans is experiencing in the bidding arena. Look into possibility of the Department having portable batch plants to reduce costs.

- **A meeting has been scheduled for August 16 with Commissioners Tavaglione, Ghielmetti and Lindsey to review this issue.**

81 (2.2a(1)) Ross Chittenden – As part of the Quarterly Delivery Report at the August Commission meeting, review the issue of when environmental assessment should begin, taking into account funding availability, land use planning, corridor issues and other factors. (*August Agenda Item*)

- **This will be discussed under Agenda Agenda Tab # 10, Item 3.6.**

90 (4.9) Diane Eidam/John Barna/Will Kempton – Review and research possibility of providing maximum flexibility in the use of PTA revenues for more STIP projects.

- **This issue is in process.**

92 (2.1b(1)) Ross Chittenden – Beginning with the August Commission meeting defer STIP Amendments, unless absolutely necessary, until after the 2006 STIP is adopted.

- **This will be done.**