

Memorandum

To: CHAIR AND COMMISSIONERS

CTC Meeting: July 13-14, 2005

Reference No.: 2.1d.(3)
Action Item

From: CINDY McKIM
Chief Financial Officer

Prepared by: Terry Abbott
Division Chief
Local Assistance

Ref: **PROPOSITION 116 – NON-URBAN COUNTY PROJECT APPROVAL AMENDMENTS – COUNTY OF NEVADA, CITY OF GRASS VALLEY, COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO, COUNTY OF ALPINE**
RESOLUTION PA-05-04, AMENDING RESOLUTIONS PA-93-10, PA-93-47, PA-94-06, PA-94-22, PA-98-12, AND PA-98-17

ISSUE:

In accordance with Resolution G-95-22, amending Resolution G-91-6, the Clean Air and Transportation Improvement Act Non-Urban County Program (Program) Guidelines, passed by the California Transportation Commission (Commission) on November 29, 1995 (originally approved April 10, 1992), the Commission will award grants to ensure that the program is implemented by 2010. In order to fully implement the Program, the Commission intends to program funds made available from projects that were canceled or withdrawn, and to reprogram savings from completed projects. The Commission is in the process of determining the possible future uses for the available funding.

Commission and the Department of Transportation (Department) staff have identified six non-urban projects, originally programmed and/or funded from Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99628, where local agencies have withdrawn the application or where the project has been completed with cost savings. In order to make the funds available for other agencies and projects eligible under PUC Section 99628, the Commission must first adjust the programmed amount for each completed or withdrawn project, to be consistent with the total amount expended (where applicable).

RECOMMENDATION:

The Department recommends the Commission approve the attached resolution to adjust the programmed amount for six completed or withdrawn Proposition 116 Non-Urban County (PUC 99628) projects, making the funds available for programming at a later date.

BACKGROUND:

PUC Section 99628(a) provides seventy three million dollars (\$73,000,000) for allocation by the commission on a per capita basis to various non-urban counties for railroad grade crossing improvements, acquisition of railroad rights-of-way for rail transportation purposes, rail passenger or other rail stations, railroad soundwalls, and other local rail improvements for safety; purchase of

paratransit vehicles; and other capital facilities for public transportation. Applications for grants were to be submitted to the Commission by the transportation planning agency having jurisdiction in the county.

PUC Section 99628(c) specifies that if the commission did not receive applications by December 31, 1992, for all of the funds available for allocation, it may reallocate the remaining funds on the basis of a competitive grants program to the non-urban counties specified under 99628(a).

The counties of Nevada and San Luis Obispo, as well as the City of Grass Valley, have completed various approved Proposition 116 Non-Urban County projects with identified cost savings. The county of Alpine has withdrawn an application for the purchase of a 9-15 passenger bus. The funds made available as a result of the identified cost savings and the withdrawn application can be made available for a competitive grants program identified under PUC Section 99628(c).

The attached resolution includes the date of project approval, the applicant and project title, the approved funding, the total project cost, and the savings from each project.

Attachment

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Project Approval Amendment Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program Applications for Bicycle, Pedestrian and Transit Facilities

Resolution PA-05-04 Amending Resolutions PA-93-10, PA-93-47, PA-94-06 PA-94-22, PA-98-12, and PA-98-17

- 1.1 WHEREAS, in June 1990, the voters approved Proposition 116, the Clean Air and Transportation Improvement Act (CATIA), including \$1.99 billion for bicycle, rail, and mass transportation purposes; and
- 1.2 WHEREAS, the California Transportation Commission is designated in Proposition 116 to oversee the five grant programs over the 20-year term of the Proposition; and
- 1.3 WHEREAS, Proposition 116 calls for the California Transportation Commission to establish an application process and to develop and adopt guidelines to implement those programs; and
- 1.4 WHEREAS, Proposition 116 requires applicants to specify full and complete capital plans, financial plans, and operating plans, including schedules and funding sources; and
- 1.5 WHEREAS, Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99628 specifies that \$73 million shall be allocated on a per capita basis to the transportation planning agencies having jurisdiction in the 28 non-urban counties for bicycle, pedestrian and transit projects; and
- 1.6 WHEREAS, in April 1992, the Commission adopted the Non-Urban County Transit Projects Manual, and in November 1995 adopted the amended policy and application guidelines (Resolution #G-91-6, as amended by Resolution #G-95-22) for the Non-Urban County Transit Projects; and
- 1.7 WHEREAS, the San Luis Obispo County Council Of Governments (SLOCOG), the Nevada County Transportation Commission (NCTC), and the Alpine County Local Transportation Commission (ACLTC) are the transportation planning agencies and eligible applicants for Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program funding in their respective counties; and

- 1.8 WHEREAS, SLOCOG, and NCTC transferred their eligible applicant status to the County of San Luis Obispo, the County of Nevada and the City of Grass Valley; and
- 1.9 WHEREAS, the County of San Luis Obispo, the County of Nevada and the City of Grass Valley accepted the transfer of eligible applicant status; and
- 1.10 WHEREAS, the Commission adopted the following Project Approval (PA) resolutions approving Proposition 116 Non-Urban County program applications; and
- 1.11 WHEREAS, the following projects have completed with cost savings:

<u>Resolution</u>	<u>Applicant / Project</u>	<u>Original Approved Funding</u>	<u>Final Project Cost</u>	<u>Savings/ Amount to Deprogram</u>
PA-93-47 12/15/93	City of Grass Valley Grass Valley Bike Racks	\$ 6,000	\$ 3,624	\$ 2,376
PA-94-06 03/31/94	County of San Luis Obispo Multi-modal Transit Station	\$1,016,205	\$1,006,968	\$ 9,237
PA-94-22 10/19/94	County of San Luis Obispo Avila Bikeway	\$ 350,000	\$ 347,633	\$ 2,367
PA-98-12 07/15/98	County of San Luis Obispo 1st Street Bike Lanes	\$ 69,000	\$ 56,704	\$12,296
PA-98-17 09/22/98	County of Nevada Penn Valley Bike Path	\$ 209,900	\$ 203,331	\$ 6,569
Sub-Total		\$1,651,105	\$1,618,260	\$32,845

- 1.12 WHEREAS, the Alpine Local Transportation Commission has withdrawn their application for a bus acquisition project approved under the PA resolution identified below:

PA-93-10 02/24/93	County of Alpine LTC 9-15 Passenger Bus	\$ 51,886	\$ 0	\$51,886
Grand Total		\$1,702,991	\$1,618,260	\$84,731

- 1.13 WHEREAS, a total of \$84,731 in Proposition 116 Non-urban County Program funds have been identified from the above project cost savings and project application withdrawal, making the funds available for a competitive grants program for eligible agencies.

- 2.1 NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Commission hereby amends the approved funding for the projects listed under Sections 1.11 and 1.12 to reflect the amounts listed under “Final Project Cost;” and
- 2.2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the amount of \$84,731 in Proposition 116 Non-Urban County Program funds identified above under “Savings/Amount to De-program” is to be made available for future programming by the Commission; and
- 2.2 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolutions PA-93-10, PA-93-47, PA-94-06, PA-94-22, PA-98-12, and PA-98-17 and are hereby amended.