

MINUTES

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**April 13-14, 2005
Stockton, California**

Wednesday, April 13, 2005

2:20 p.m.

**Commission Meeting
San Joaquin Council of Governments
Auditorium
555 East Weber Avenue
Stockton, California 95202**

GENERAL BUSINESS (#1-5)

- | | | |
|----|-------------|-----|
| | | 1. |
| 1. | • Roll Call | 1.1 |

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 2:20 p.m. on April 13, 2005, at the San Joaquin Council of Governments Auditorium, 555 East Weber Avenue, Stockton, California.

Roll call was conducted. The Commissioners attendance was as follows:

Members Present: Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chair
Bob Balgenorth
Marian Bergeson
James Ghielmetti
Allen Lawrence
R. Kirk Lindsey

Members Absent: Joseph Tavaglione, Chair
Esteban Torres
Assemblymember Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio
Senator Tom Torlakson, Ex-Officio

Vice Chair Hallisey made the following agenda announcements:

- Items #75, #80, #81, #82 and #101 have been withdrawn;
- Item #68 and #78 were added to the Consent Calendar; and
- Item #98 was added to the Information Calendar.

- | | | |
|----|---|-----|
| 2. | • Approval of Minutes for March 4, 2005 Meeting | 1.2 |
|----|---|-----|

Vice Chair Hallisey presented the March 4, 2005 Minutes for approval. Commissioner Balgenorth moved to approve the minutes. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioner's Tavaglione and Torres were absent.)

- | | | |
|----|----------------------|-----|
| 3. | • Commission Reports | 1.3 |
|----|----------------------|-----|

Commissioner Lindsey reported on about his 5-day trip to Washington D.C.

There were no other reports.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 4. • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation 1.4

The List of Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation was presented with a request for approval of the March meetings and also on addendum to the February meetings. Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the List with amendments. Commissioner Balgenorth seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioner's Tavaglione and Torres were absent.)

- 5. • Report by Agency Secretary and/or Deputy Secretary 1.5

No Report this month.

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT (#6-7)

- 6. • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator 1.8

Steve Vandenburg, Vice Moderator, said that he would comment during item #3.1 on the agenda.

- 7. • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair 1.9

Vice Chair, Jeff Schvien, reported for the rural counties and discussed the importance of reconstruction projects in rural areas, to illustrate he discussed the Plumas County project that is on the Commission's April agenda for a STIP amendment.

INFORMATION CALENDAR (#8-40) IC

Vice Chair Hallisey presented the Information Calendar Agenda Items #8 through #40 and noted that Item #98 was added to the Information Calendar, and that they were informational reports that did not raise any issues.

Deputy Director Robert Chung noted that Item # 33 listed on the Information Calendar could have an action; however staff has recommended that the Commission make no comments on the item.

- 8. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-024 2.1b.(1)

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA is requesting \$7,645,000 in previously approved AB 3090 Replacement project funding (PPNOs 3345, 3332, 3376, 3377, 3378, 3379 and 3380) in FY 2005-06 be designated for Construction (CON) and CON Support on the Route 60 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0482R) to backfill the reduction in non-STIP funding on the project. This amendment is a revenue neutral programming adjustment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

9. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-027 2.1b.(2)
 The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. LACMTA proposes to reprogram a total of \$4,777,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds from five existing TE projects to ten new TE projects. LACMTA is also proposing to adjust the costs and schedules for 22 additional TE projects. This amendment is a revenue neutral programming adjustment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

10. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-028 2.1b.(3)
 The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) is requesting this amendment. SANDAG proposes to reprogram \$5,000,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 7421) funds in Construction from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

11. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-029 2.1b.(4)
 The County of Sacramento is requesting this amendment. The County of Sacramento proposes to change the implementing agency for the U.S. Highway 50 at Mather Field Road Interchange Landscaping project (PPNO 2L66) from Sacramento County to the City of Rancho Cordova for both the PS&E and Construction phases of the project.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

12. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-030 2.1b.(5)
 The Mendocino Council of Governments (MCOG) is requesting this amendment. MCOG proposes to reprogram a total of \$2,000,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds programmed under the TE Reserve (PPNO 4112) to two existing projects and one new project as follows: \$384,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Coastal Access Scenic Bikeway project (PPNO 4060); \$91,000 in FY 2005-06 and \$280,000 in FY 2006-07 for the Ukiah and Talmage Bike and Pedestrian Facilities project (PPNO 4100P); and \$1,245,000 in FY 2007-08 for the new TE eligible Downtown Streetscape, Phase III project (PPNO 4112A). MCOG also proposes to make minor changes to two existing projects as follows: reprogram \$69,000 from PS&E in FY 2005-06 to Construction in FY 2005-06 and shorten project limits for the Franklin Street Reconstruction project (PPNO 4087P); and reprogram R/W from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 for the East Side Potter Valley Road Reconstruction Phase I project (PPNO 4073P).

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 13. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-031 2.1b.(6)

The City of Redding (City) and the Shasta County Regional Transportation Planning Agency (Shasta RTPA) are requesting this amendment. The City and Shasta RTPA propose to combine the Cypress A – Widen Bridge Over Sacramento River project (Cypress A) (PPNO 2037) and the Cypress B – Widen Bridge Approaches (Cypress B) project (PPNO 2038). This programming action will shift \$2,253,000 in RIP funds from Cypress B (PPNO 2038) to the Cypress A project (PPNO 2037) in FY 2005-06, and revise the scope of the Cypress A project to include “Widen Bridge Approaches.” This amendment is a revenue neutral programming action.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 14. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-032 2.1b.(7)

Trinity County Transportation Commission (Trinity CTC) is requesting this amendment. Trinity CTC proposes to reprogram \$3,950,000 in RIP Construction (CON) funds on the Hyampom Road Widening project (PPNO 2140) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. In addition, Trinity CTC proposes to reprogram \$729,000 of CON on the Hyampom Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2216) and \$175,000 of CON on the Browns Creek Bridge Replacement project (PPNO 2223), for a total of \$904,000 from FY2006-07 to FY 2005-06.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 15. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-033 2.1b.(8)

The Lake County/City Area Planning Council (LAPC) is requesting this amendment. LAPC proposes to reprogram \$48,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds from two existing TE projects, the South Main Street Rehabilitation project (PPNO 3032R)(\$22,000) and the Soda Bay Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 3033R) (\$26,000), to a new Main Street Kelseyville Walkway Landscaping and Lighting project (PPNO 3036A). To fully fund PPNO 3036A, LAPC is requesting to reprogram \$162,000 from the TE Reserve (PPNO 3036). LAPC also proposes to reprogram the remaining RIP TE Reserve funds of \$470,000 on a new Lake County Fairgrounds Sidewalks project (PPNO 3036B). This is a revenue neutral programming action.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 16. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-034 2.1b.(9)
 The Tehama County Transportation Commission (Tehama CTC) is requesting this amendment. Tehama CTC proposes to reprogram RIP funds between fiscal years and/or components for 11 local road projects. The programming changes are necessary to keep these projects consistent with the staffing levels and modified delivery plan for Tehama County.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 17. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-035 2.1b.(10)
 The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. BCAG proposes to reprogram \$353,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve funds (PPNO 3124) in FY 2005-06 and reprogram \$156,000 in RIP TE funds from FY 2006-07 to FY 2005-06, to fund two new FY 2005-06 TE projects: the Route 70 and Montgomery Street Beautification project (PPNO 3124B) for \$358,000 and the Skyway Lookout Point project (PPNO 3124D) for \$151,000.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 18. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-037 2.1b.(12)
 The Siskiyou County Transportation Commission (Siskiyou CTC) is requesting this amendment. Siskiyou CTC proposes to reprogram \$58,000 from the RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2398) in FY 2006-07 to a new Collier Interpretive Information Center project (PPNO 2398A) in FY 2005-06.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 19. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-038 2.1b.(13)
 The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. The City of Blythe proposes to reprogram \$84,000 of RIP funds from PA&ED and PS&E to Construction (CON) in FY 2007-08 on the Lovekin Boulevard Improvements project (PPNO 0005K). The City of Blythe also proposed to reprogram \$103,000 of RIP funds from PA&ED and PS&E to CON Support in FY 2007-08 on the Intake Boulevard Improvements project (PPNO 0096E), which is a Local Capital Outlay project. The City of Blythe will use local funds to complete the PA&ED and PS&E phases for both projects.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

20. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-039 2.1b.(14)
 The San Bernardino Associated Governments (SANBAG) is requesting this amendment. SANBAG proposes to reprogram \$12,473,000 in FY 2004-05 RIP funds from the Route 10 Widening project (PPNO 0159D) to the Route 215 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes project (PPNO 0247D) in FY 2006-07. To keep the Route 10 Widening project (PPNO 0159D) on schedule, SANBAG will use Local Measure I and Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) funds in FY 2004-05. In addition, SANBAG proposes to reschedule the Construction (CON) RIP funds on PPNO 0247D as follows: \$62,960,000 (\$56,210,000 in CON and \$6,750,000 in CON Support) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and \$63,133,000 (\$43,673,000 in CON and \$19,460,000 in CON Support) from FY 2006-07 to FY 2007-08.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

21. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-042 2.1b.(17)
 The Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) and the Department are requesting this amendment. SCTA and the Department propose to reprogram \$13,759,000 in RIP Construction funds in FY 2004-05 from the Steel Lane Interchange Improvements project (PPNO 0789B) to the Route 101 High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lanes – Route 12 to Steele Lane project (PPNO 0789A) in FY 2005-06 for Construction. In addition, SCTA proposes to reprogram \$1,988,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 5156A) funds in FY 2005-06 as follows: \$685,000 in FY 2006-07; \$639,000 in FY 2007-08; and \$664,000 in FY 2008-09. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission concurs with this request.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

22. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-043 2.1b.(18)
 The Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) is requesting this amendment. VTA proposes to reprogram a total of \$3,822,000 in STIP funds for the Route 152/Route 156 Interchange Improvements project (PPNO 0070) as follows: \$1,991,000 in RIP funds from R/W and Construction (CON) Support to CON, and \$1,831,000 in IIP funds from R/W and CON Support to CON. In addition, VTA proposes to reprogram \$3,700,000 in RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) CON funds for the Borregas Avenue Pedestrian and Bicycle Bridges project (PPNO 2015B) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission concurs with this request.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 23. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-044 2.1b.(19)
- The Solano Transportation Authority (STA) is requesting this amendment. STA proposes to reprogram \$1,500,000 of RIP funds in FY 2005-06 from the Route 80 Highway Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Westbound Gap Closure project (PPNO 0261F) in Contra Costa County to the Route 80 Reliever Route/Jepson Parkway project (PPNO 5301) in FY 2006-07 in Solano County. In addition, STA proposes to reprogram \$2,000,000 in RIP funds from PA&ED in FY 2005-06 to PS&E in FY 2006-07 on the Route 80/Route 680/Route 12 Interchange/Connector Phase 2 project (PPNO 5301K). Contra Costa Transportation Authority and Metropolitan Transportation Commission concur with this action.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 24. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-046 2.1b.(21)
- The County of Sierra is requesting this amendment. The County of Sierra proposes that the Sierra Valley Visitor's Center project (PPNO 3117) programmed for \$135,000 for Construction be split between the two locations within the project: \$40,000 for the Calpine location in FY 2005-06, and \$95,000 for the Sierraville location in FY 2006-07.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 25. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-047 2.1b.(22)
- The Santa Cruz County Regional Transportation Commission (SCCRTC) and the City of Santa Cruz are requesting this amendment. The City of Santa Cruz proposes to reprogram \$1,336,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds on the Broadway-Brommer Bicycle/Pedestrian Path project (PPNO 1822) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07. The SCCRTC proposes to reprogram \$40,000 of RIP TE PS&E funds on the Coastal Bike/Pedestrian Trail project (PPNO 1826) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

26. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-048 2.1b.(23)
- The City of Santa Barbara, the City of Goleta and the Santa Barbara County Association of Governments (SBCAG) are requesting this amendment. The City of Santa Barbara proposes to reprogram \$160,000 of RIP funds in PA&ED and PS&E from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and reprogram \$590,000 of RIP Construction (CON) from FY 2006-07 to FY 2007-08 on the Las Positas Road project (PPNO 0820). In addition, the City of Goleta proposes to reprogram \$200,000 of RIP PA&ED in FY 2005-06 on the San Jose Creek Class I Bikeway (Environmental) project (PPNO 1204) to PS&E in FY 2006-07 and modify the project title and description. The City of Goleta also proposes to reprogram \$4,561,000 of RIP funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 on the Fowler Road and Ekwil Street Extension project (PPNO 4611).

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

27. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-051 2.1b.(26)
- The Stanislaus Council of Governments (StanCOG) is requesting this amendment. StanCOG proposes to reprogram \$2,343,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 0019) funds to 12 new eligible projects in FY 2004-05 and FY 2006-07.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

28. IC • STIP Amendment 04S-053 2.1b.(28)
- The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. RCTC proposes to reprogram a total of \$7,191,000 in RIP Construction funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 on the following three local projects: \$1,967,000 on the Moreno Valley - Reche Vista Drive Realignment and Signal project (PPNO 9993); \$3,184,000 on the Moreno Valley - Perris Boulevard Improvements project (PPNO 1005); and \$2,040,000 on the City of Indio - Miles Avenue/Clinton Street Widening and Bridge Construction project (PPNO 1101).

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 29. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-056 2.1b.(31)

The Mono County Local Transportation Commission (LTC) and Mono County are requesting this amendment. Mono County proposes to reprogram \$2,480,000 of RIP funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08, and \$3,082,000 of RIP funds from FY 2006-07 to FY 2007-08 on the following four local road rehabilitation projects: \$1,333,000 on the Lundy Lake Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2009); \$1,031,000 on the Owens Gorge Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2012); \$1,396,000 on the Owens River Road Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2020); and \$1,802,000 on the Bridgeport Street Rehabilitation project (PPNO 2021).

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 30. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-057 2.1b.(32)

The Inyo County Local Transportation Commission, Inyo County, and the City of Bishop are requesting this amendment. Inyo County proposes to reprogram \$2,540,000 of RIP funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 through FY 2008-09 on 11 local road projects. The City of Bishop proposes to reprogram \$519,000 in FY 2005-06 RIP funds by deleting the Pavement Management Plan project (PPNO 1503), and reprogramming \$319,000 to the Home Street Reconstruction project (PPNO 0005) in FY 2005-06 and \$200,000 to the Maclver Street Extension project (PPNO 1502) in FY 2006-07.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 31. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-058 2.1b.(33)

The San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG) and San Luis Obispo County are requesting this amendment. San Luis Obispo County proposes to reprogram \$825,000 of RIP Construction funds on the Price Canyon Road Widening project (PPNO 2071) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

- 32. IC** • STIP Amendment 04S-060 2.1b.(35)

The Department, Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), and Transportation Authority of Marin (TAM) are requesting this amendment. The Department, MTC, and TAM propose to reprogram \$11,858,000 in RIP Construction funds from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 for the Route 101 HOV Lane Gap Closure project, Segment 3 (PPNO 0342L).

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 33. IC • Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Draft EIS for Fremont to Warm Springs Extension. 2.2b.(2)

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 34. IC • Informational Report on Emergency G-11 Allocations. 61 projects totaling \$50,270,000. 2.5f.(1)

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 35. IC • Informational Report on SHOPP Safety G-03-10 Allocations. Eight projects totaling \$24,769,000. 2.5f.(3)

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 36. IC • Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but Not Awarded 3.2

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 37. IC • Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action 3.3

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 38. IC • Monthly Report on Local Assistance STIP Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded 3.4

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 39. IC • Status of STIP Cash Commitments for AB 3090 Reimbursements and GARVEE Debt Service 3.5

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

- 40. IC • Aeronautics Account Pending Allocations -- 18 Projects totaling \$3,084,200. 4.3

This item was presented on the Information Calendar.

END OF INFORMATION CALENDAR ITEMS

CONSENT CALENDAR (#41-67) CC

Deputy Director Robert Chung recommended approving Consent Calendar Items #41 through #67 with the following notations or modifications: Items #51, #52, #58, and #59 were noted to correct errors; Item #53 was taken off the Consent Calendar and off the agenda at the request of Commissioner Lawrence to be returned at a subsequent Commission meeting; Items #68 and #78 were added. Items #51 and #68 require six yes votes to be approved.

Commissioner Balgenorth moved to approve the Consent Calendar, as modified by staff. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Torres were absent)

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

41. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-020 2.1a.(2)
 The City of Portola and Plumas County are requesting this amendment. The City of Portola and Plumas County propose to shift \$1,138,000 of RIP Construction funds programmed on the A-15 Reconstruction project (PPNO 2371) from FY 2005-06 to FY 2007-08 and to reprogram \$30,000 of R/W in FY 2005-06 to PA&ED in FY 2005-06. In addition, Plumas County also proposes to make minor programming and schedule changes to ten other existing local projects. These changes result in a net decrease of \$2,000 of RIP funds in FY 2007-08 to be returned to the county share.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

42. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-021 2.1a.(3)
 The Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA), City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County (C/CAG), and the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) are requesting this amendment. CCTA, C/CAG, and VTA propose to shift a total of \$3,531,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) programming in FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07 and FY 2007-08 on four projects (PPNOs 2011K, 2025C, 2140C and 2255B).

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

43. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-022 2.1a.(4)
 The City of Portola and Plumas County Transportation Commission (PCTC) are requesting this amendment. PCTC proposes to transfer a total of \$474,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Construction funds programmed under the TE Reserve (PPNO 3209) to a new Portola Pedestrian Improvement project (PPNO ~~2440~~ **3209A**) as follows: \$30,000 in FY 2005-06 for PS&E and \$444,000 in FY 2006-07 for Construction.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

44. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-025 2.1a.(6)
- The San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA) is requesting this amendment. SFCTA proposes to move \$1,251,000 of RIP Transportation Enhancement (TE) Reserve (PPNO 2007S) funds programmed in FY 2005-06 to four new eligible projects as follows: 1) \$368,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Bicycle Shared Lane Pavement Stencils project (PPNO 2007T); 2) \$283,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Merchant Road Improvement project (PPNO 2007U); 3) \$400,000 in FY 2005-06 for the Downtown Ferry Terminal Public Pier project (PPNO 2007V); and 4) \$200,000 in FY 2004-05 for the San Francisco Bikestation – 4th and King Caltrain Station project (PPNO 2007W). *(Concurrent item under 2.5c.(9).)*

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

45. CC • STIP Amendment 04S-026 2.1a.(7)
- The Department is requesting this amendment. The Department proposes to reprogram from FY 2004-05 to FY 2005-06 a total of \$71,263,000 (\$61,639,000 in RIP funds and \$9,624,000 in IIP funds) for various components on 37 projects not included in the priority categories list adopted at the January 2005 Commission meeting. An additional \$2,454,000 in RIP funds for subsequent components on three projects will require reprogramming from FY 2005-06 to FY 2006-07.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

46. CC • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Two application amendments, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TAA-05-03, amending Resolutions TAA-04-07 and TAA-04-09 2.1c.(2)
- Project #38.1 – Los Angeles-San Fernando Valley Transit Extension; (A) build an East-West Bus Rapid Transit system in the Burbank-Chandler corridor, from North Hollywood to Warner Center. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority requests amendment to update project schedule and funding plan previously approved under Resolution TAA-04-07. *(Related item under 2.6e.)*
- Project #49.1 – Hollywood Intermodal Transportation Center; Intermodal facility at Highland Avenue and Hawthorn Avenue in the City of Los Angeles. The City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation requests amendment to update project schedule previously approved under Resolution TAA-04-09.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 47. CC • Environmental Matters – Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 33 in Kern and Kings Counties – Pavement rehabilitation near Devil’s Den (ND). Resolution E-05-05 2.2c.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 48. CC • Environmental Matters – Approval of Project for Consideration of Funding – Route 10 in San Bernardino County – Mixed-flow lane widening project in Redlands (ND). Resolution E-05-06 2.2c.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 49. CC • One Relinquishment Resolution 8-SBd-58-PM 36.1/37.0 & 39.3/39.4 Route 58 in the City of Barstow Resolution R-3605 2.3c.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 50. CC • One Vacation Resolution 02-Teh-172 PM 5.6/6.3 Route 172 in the County of Tehama Resolution A-859 2.3d.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 51. CC • 48 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-19012 through C-19059 2.4b.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified by Mr. Chung to withdraw Resolution C-19036.

- 52. CC • Director’s Deeds Items #1 through #38 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$9,351,549 Return to Others: \$0 2.4d.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified by Mr. Chung to withdraw #35, correct an error on Project #26, and to note that #36 thru #38 were removed from the agenda.

- 53. CC • Director’s Deeds Items #1 through #3 Excess Lands - Return to State: \$10,450 Return to Others: \$0 2.4d.(2)

This item was taken off of Consent Calendar and off of the agenda at the request of Commissioner Lawrence and will return at a subsequent Commission meeting.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 54. CC • Financial Allocation for Six Minor Projects totaling \$2,474,000. Resolution FP-04-40 2.5a.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 55. CC • Financial Allocation for 14 Minor SHOPP Safety Projects totaling \$6,575,000 plus \$122,800 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-41 2.5a.(3)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 56. CC • Financial Allocation for 11 SHOPP Projects totaling \$83,514,000. Resolution FP-04-42 2.5b.(1)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 57. CC • Financial Allocation for Five SHOPP Projects totaling \$5,658,000 Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action. Resolution FP-04-43 2.5b.(2)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 58. CC • Financial Allocation for Five Locally Administered STIP Projects off the State Highway System totaling \$1,036,000 plus \$15,321,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-44 2.5c.(3)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified by Mr. Chung to reflect the correct cost.

- 59. CC • Financial Allocation for 11 Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects totaling \$3,066,000 plus \$2,455,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-04-45 2.5c.(5)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar, as modified by Mr. Chung to reflect the correct project identifiers.

- 60. CC • Financial Allocation for One Locally Administered STIP Planning, Programming and Monitoring projects for \$20,000. Resolution FP-04-46 2.5c.(6)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- 61. CC • Financial Allocation for One Locally Administered STIP Transportation Enhancement Projects for \$200,000 plus \$440,000 from other sources. (*Concurrent item under 2.1a.(6).*) Resolution FP-04-47 2.5c.(9)

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 62. CC • Financial Allocation for One Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project in Humboldt County for \$450,000. Resolution FP-04-48 2.5g.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 63. CC • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocation Amendment Approval. One allocation amendment request, no net change in TCR funding. Resolution TFP-05-03, amending Resolution TFP-04-04 (*Related item under 2.1c.(2)*) 2.6e.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 64. CC • Request to Extend the Period of Project Development Expenditure for three local STIP projects totaling \$181,000. Waiver-05-09 2.8d.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 65. CC • Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement for \$1,147,000 on one local STIP project for the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District. Waiver-05-10 2.8e.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 66. CC • Technical Change to Resolutions FP-01-101, FP-02-95, and FP-03-35, originally approved on June 13, 2002, June 26, 2003, and February 26, 2004, respectively, totaling \$1,955,000 for one Eureka Non-Freeway Alternative Project. A technical correction is needed to modify the State/federal funding split on each allocation. No change to the overall amount allocated. 2.9

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 67. CC • Adoption of the Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) Grants 4.2

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

END OF CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

Highway Right of Way Matters (#68)

- | | | |
|-----|---|-------|
| 68. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One Resolution of Necessity Appearance County of San Diego 11-SD-125-KP 18.1 Resolution C-19011 | 2.4a. |
|-----|---|-------|

This item was added to and approved on the Consent Calendar.

MODAL ISSUES – Rail (#69-71)

- | | | |
|-----|--|----------|
| 69. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Review of Draft Strategic Business Plans for Intercity Rail Corridors – Pacific Surfliner | 5.1a.(2) |
|-----|--|----------|

Bill Bronte, Caltrans, reviewed the annual plan for the Pacific Surfliner and stated that only the Northeast Corridor has more rail ridership than California. Mr. Bronte commented on recent storm damage to tracks, reviewed the marketing plan and the current and future construction projects for the line. This is an information item and no action was taken.

- | | | |
|-----|--|----------|
| 70. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Review of Draft Strategic Business Plans for Intercity Rail Corridors – San Joaquin | 5.1a.(3) |
|-----|--|----------|

Bill Bronte, Caltrans, reviewed the annual plan for the San Joaquins and discussed the marketing plan for 2005-06. Commissioner Lindsey ask a question regarding the double tracking at Escalon, and Commissioner Bergeson asked about access for air travelers from rail. Mr. Bronte said that there is access from rail to the airports via bus; however, there are some local jurisdictions that are considering extending their light rail system for the airport travelers. This is an information item and no action was taken.

- Include as part of the Department’s quarterly reports how the Department is doing in meeting Intercity Strategic Business Plans performance measures/goals for the three plans.

ACTION: Bill Bronte

- | | | |
|-----|---|----------|
| 71. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Annual Review of Draft Strategic Business Plans for Intercity Rail Corridors – Capitol Corridor | 5.1a.(1) |
|-----|---|----------|

David Kutrosky, Capitol Corridor, reviewed the annual business plan mentioning the steady increase in ridership. Commissioner Bergeson said she’s pleased to see the connectivity between rail and other modes of travel. Commissioner Lindsey said that typically business plans contain goals, objectives, and measurements. Does this plan have measurable goals? Mr. Kutrosky, said yes, in Sections 4, 5 & 6. There are three performance standards with goals to improve. This is an information item and no action was taken.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR) (#72)

- | | | |
|-----|--|----------|
| 72. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 65 in Tulare County – Widen to four-lane expressway near Terra Bella (DEIR). | 2.2b.(1) |
|-----|--|----------|

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed the Draft EIR. Commissioner Lindsey asked how the project could actually be built if it's not in the STIP. Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, said that Commissioner Lindsey's comments are being considered that if there's capacity in the 2006 STIP that the Department hopes to put the project in the STIP in incremental components. Mr. Chittenden did recognize that there still isn't a firm answer to the Commission's concern but it is being worked on. Commissioner Lindsey said that he struggles with spending funds on an EIR when there's no idea how to fund the project.

There was a brief discussion regarding what was being requested for approval. There was further discussion as some Commissioners thought that the approval was to approve the Draft EIR; other thought it was the project approval for future consideration of funds. Mr. Chung clarified that the vote would be to incorporate Commissioner and staff comments, not approve any funding.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to accept staff and Commissioner comments on the Draft EIR. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Torres were absent)

- As part of future discussions on programming strategies, look at those projects with no hope of funding and evaluate stopping work on environmental documents.

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

POLICY MATTERS (#73)

- | | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 73. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dissolution of the Commission's Airspace Advisory Committee. | 4.5 |
|-----|--|-----|

Deputy Director Robert Chung reviewed how the Airspace Advisory Committee functions and its past history. Mr. Chung said that over the years the purpose for the committee has changed and that the Commission would most likely be better served by an advisory panel that it can call upon for a broader base of knowledge besides real estate issues. Mr. Chung recommended dissolving the current Airspace Advisory Committee and returning at the May Commission meeting with suggestions for a new panel of experts.

Commissioner Ghielmetti asked if it is prudent to dissolve the AAC prior to the next panel being set up. Executive Director Diane Eidam, responded with yes, one panel should be dissolved prior to discussion of a new panel.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to dissolve the Airspace Advisory Committee and requested that the Commission provide the current AAC members with a plaque that is similar to those given to Commission members when they leave service. The members of the AAC have served for many, many years and have saved the State transportation funds over those years. Commissioner Ghelimetti seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Torres were absent)

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

- Return at the May Commission meeting with a mission statement for the new panel of experts to be created. Poll Commissioners for their input on suggested nominations and provide nominations of members for the chair to appoint.

ACTION: Robert Chung

- Prepare plaques/resolutions of recognition and appreciation, and present to all members of the former Airspace Advisory Committee.

ACTION: Robert Chung

RECESS – TO RECONVENE ON APRIL 14

The meeting recessed at 3:55 p.m.

Thursday, April 14, 2005

8:00 a.m.

**Commission Meeting
San Joaquin Council of Governments
Auditorium
555 East Weber Avenue
Stockton, California 95202**

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 8:00 a.m. on April 14, 2005, at the San Joaquin Council of Governments Auditorium, 555 East Weber Avenue, Stockton, California.

Members Present: Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chair
Marian Bergeson
James Ghielmetti
Allen Lawrence
R. Kirk Lindsey
Esteban Torres

Members Absent: Joseph Tavaglione, Chair
Bob Balgenorth
Assemblymember Jenny Oropeza, Ex-Officio
Senator Tom Torlakson, Ex-Officio

Vice Chair Hallisey made the following agenda announcements:

- Items #79 and #84 have been deferred to May;
- Items #80 and #81 are restored to the Calendar.

CALTRANS REPORTS (#74-77)

- 74.** • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director 1.6

Will Kempton, Caltrans, discussed the workers memorial to be held on April 14, 2005. There will be 161 cones representing the number of workers that died since 1924. Mr. Kempton announced that efforts continue to permanently fill vacant Caltrans positions, and announced that Jay Norvell has been selected as the new Chief, Division of Environmental, and that he expects to name the Chief, Division of Right of Way soon.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

Mr. Kempton discussed the storm damage. The State is still seeing some ground movement. As of April 8, 2005 \$128 million has been allocated and additional allocations are expected soon. Approximately \$160 million will be eligible for Federal reimbursement.

Mr. Kempton also reported on the Bay Bridge welding situation. No allegations have been made against Caltrans. Mr. Kempton is confident in the inspection process. Caltrans has quality control inspectors and a quality assurance person that will be doing an independent review. Caltrans is working cooperatively with FHWA. Commissioner Ghielmetti asked if the inspection can be done pier by pier so that work can continue. Director Kempton is hoping the inspection will be pier by pier, if not he is hoping they can get the go ahead on resuming work prior to a written report being released as the report will take time. Commissioner Lindsey asked with the storm damage estimates at \$160 million and that we only budgeted \$50, what will be the fallout/impact of the overage? Cindy McKim, Caltrans, answered that the existing expenditure patterns should provide enough to fund the damage until Federal reimbursement comes in.

- 75. • Transportation Systems Performance Measures Prototype Report. 1.7

This Item was withdrawn and will return in May.

- 76. • Caltrans Monthly FY 2004-05 Finance Report and Revised Cash Flow Report 3.1

Norma Ortega, Caltrans, gave a PowerPoint presentation for the Caltrans Monthly Finance Report, which updated the cash forecast with two scenarios, one taking an optimistic look and the other assumes no transfers or gaming funds. Commissioner Lindsey recognizes that expenditures are coming in slower than expected but he's not sure that it's real money since there are commitments against the funds. Ms. Ortega said that in her May report she will discuss more thoroughly the effects of the expenditures and the forecast. Director Kempton said that the Department isn't delivering like usual, that it looks like projects end up coming in the latter part of the year slowing down the expenditures. Ms. McKim said that they need to do an annual evaluation of expenditure patterns.

Commissioner Lawrence asked about the increase storm damage costs cutting into the road rehab funds. Mr. Chittenden said that there was some good news that they are being able to fund the storm damage repairs without getting into the road rehab funds that have been budgeted, and expects to be able to vote everything budgeted through May 2005. Ms. McKim said that they will be coming in the next couple of months and will report on award not just the allocation votes. Mr. Chittenden expects to vote pavement in July and August with approximately \$600 million in projects ready to go in July 2005. Mr. Chittenden went on to say that it looks like project farther down on the list may be able to get funded.

Commissioner Ghielmetti asked why the delay between vote and award. Mr. Chittenden said that it usually takes between 3 and 4 months, some due to legal requirements and then the bidding process.

Vice Moderator Steve Vandenburg said that the regions would recommend that any funds available for allocation be put toward RIP projects, and that the regions need to know the amounts by May to prepare. Executive Director Diane Eidam agreed that the information will be needed in May.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Lindsey asked how we really know what is available out there. Director Kempton said that by looking at the advertising pipeline and what's been opened and not awarded will assist in knowing how much should be available. Ms. McKim said that the long term objective is to be delivering throughout the year instead of the end of the year. Director Kempton said that the focus will be more on delivery in all districts.

- Change monthly finance report to include more detail on project voted and awarded.
ACTION: Norma Ortega

77.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Status Report on Previously Approved GARVEE Projects Not Yet Awarded 	3.6
-----	--	-----

This Item was heard on Wednesday, April 13, 2005.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, presented the status report on previously approved GARVEE projects not yet awarded, the interest earned and expended, and the principal payments made to date.

Commissioner Lindsey said that he has a problem with projects that were represented as ready to go and a year later they still aren't ready. Also, the San Diego project was represented as five units and now it appears that Unit 5 is in limbo. He's concerned that when the bonds were put together and sold San Diego said this is the project and people purchased the bonds thinking there would be 5 pieces and now they're only going to get 4 Units through the GARVEE.

- Develop project readiness criteria for all future GARVEE projects.
**ACTION: Ross Chittenden
 David Brewer
 Stephen Maller**

THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

Commissioner Bergeson asked what the impact is for design build and is 5% a standard escalation rate, and is it reasonable. Will Kempton said that the state can share in the benefits of design build. Regarding the 5% escalation rate it needs to be reviewed on a regular basis to make that determination.

Commissioner Lindsey moved to support AB 1358 and SB 335 as recommended by the TACA. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

Commissioner Lindsey moved to philosophically support design-build and design-sequencing, but to watch the bills. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

Commissioner Lindsey moved to oppose AB 267 if the author and OCTA do not work with staff and address staff's and the Commission's concerns. Staff is directed to return in May and report if more favorable language has been amended into the bill. Commissioner Ghielmetti seconded the motion, which passed 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

- Distribute to Commissioners and staff the California Reauthorization Consensus Principles document.

ACTION: Ken De Crescenzo

- Provide information on how other states deal with design-build, and information on lessons learned and savings

ACTION: Ross Chittenden/Will Kempton

Director's Deeds (#84-85)

- | | | |
|------------|--|----------|
| 84. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Director's Deed Item #1 (Newport Beach)
Excess Lands - Return to State: \$49,100
Return to Others: \$0 | 2.4d.(5) |
|------------|--|----------|

This Item was withdrawn.

- | | | |
|------------|---|----------|
| 85. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Director's Deeds Items #1 through #12 (Route 710)
Excess Lands - Return to State: \$3,268,212
Return to Others: \$0 | 2.4d.(3) |
|------------|---|----------|

Linda Wilford, Caltrans Property Manager, discussed the properties being for Director's Deeds in southern California, and asked the Commission to authorize execution of the Director's Deeds per the Roberti Bill. According to the Bill properties must be sold to those housing entities (public or private) that provide low and moderate income housing for rent or sale. Commissioner Lawrence asked who is responsible for paying for rehabilitation. Ms. Wilford said that the housing entity would pay for all rehabilitation. Ms. Wilford discussed the scoring criteria used to select the housing entity. Ms. Wilford notified the Commission that one property – Nicholson, property #3 – was currently in litigation. Commissioner Hallisey asked if the Department was asking for approval even with the property in litigation.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve Director's Deeds # 2, 4, and 12 as recommended by Commission staff. He also recommended that Item # 3 be approved as recommended by Commission staff and conveyed to the Beacon Housing or to the third party should that party prevail with its litigation. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

Deputy Director Robert Chung said that issues still remain for Director’s Deeds #1, and 5 through 11 in South Pasadena. The City of South Pasadena has filed suit against the Department. The Commission could go forward with approving the Director’s Deeds or deny approving the Director’s Deeds to convey the properties to Beacon Housing without prejudice to give Caltrans and South Pasadena time to resolve the issues raised by the City.

Mr. Watling, Attorney for South Pasadena, stated that the City would like time to hash out what the Department’s process is, which will determine how the city will act in the future. Mr. Watling does not think it is right to move forward without the opportunity for the City to review the bids.

Deputy Director Robert Chung suggested that the Commission had two choices: 1) approve the request from Caltrans; 2) deny the request and bring back Items 1 and 5-11; do this without prejudice. Alternatively, the Commission could give a conditional approval and have Caltrans and the City of South Pasadena meet and return in May for the Commission to act on the Director’s deeds. Commissioner Lawrence said that Caltran’s process is an open process, then the bids should be open for review prior to completing sale. Mr. Watling said that in his opinion some of the procedures are in conflict with the state law. He did not understand the urgency since the properties have been held for 30 years.

Will Kempton, Caltrans Director, disagreed with the assertions made by Mr. Watling, City of South Pasadena attorney, and suggested that the City and Caltrans sit down and work out the issues. Commissioner Lindsey said that if there is going to be another 700 or so properties coming before the Commission at different times in the future, the he would like to see the issues worked out so that the Commission does not have to go through this each time the Department proposes to transfer property. Commissioner Lawrence said he is inclined to agree with Commissioner Lindsey, but would like to hear from the representative from Beacon Housing and the Caltrans attorney before making a decision.

Karen Purse, Director Beacon Housing, is interested in working with the City, and would offer to let the City purchase one of the properties. Beacon Housing has met all their commitments, they have paid the deposits and they are not accruing interest on the funds now. They have not had an opportunity to talk with the City, because of the late filing, and questions why the City waited so long to file with the court.

Richard Williams, Caltrans Assistant Chief Counsel, said that the Department just received notice on April 11th. Commissioner Hallisey asked if the Department had received a public records request from the City. Mr. Williams responded yes, in November 2004; however, there are 3 separate parts 1) Caltrans already had the bids, 2) Caltrans position is that they do not have to reveal the bids – that issue is in superior court; and 3) South Pasadena contends the standards outlined in the Caltrans right-of-way manual are illegal. Caltrans position is that the standards are not regulatory and it is something to be addressed in superior court.

Commissioner Bergeson moved to approve Director’s Deeds #1, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion, which carried 6-0 (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

Commissioner Hallisey suggested that the City and Caltrans get together and report back to the Commission. Mr. Kempton said that he had just formally instructed Caltrans staff to meet with the City and they will report back to the Commission in May.

Tab # / Time	Item Description	Ref. #
--------------	------------------	--------

Financial Allocations/Time Extensions/Misc. (#86-90)

- 86. • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Two projects totaling \$1,320,000. Resolution FA-04-05 2.5e.
 - 07-LA-110 – STIP project in Los Angeles County. Current allocation is \$16,100,000. This request for \$1,000,000 to close out the construction contract results in an increase of 37% over the voted amount.
 - 12-Ora-73 – SHOPP project in Orange County. Current allocation is \$1,095,000. This request for \$320,000 to complete the construction contract results in an increase of 55% over the voted amount.

Ross Chittenden, Caltrans, reviewed the requests for supplemental funds and the reasons for the requests. Commissioner Lindsey asked why six years have gone and they now need another \$1 million. Mr. Chittenden explained that it has been in litigation for 2 ½ years for close out. Commissioner Lindsey then asked about the engineer estimates being wrong on their calculations and wouldn't the contractor provide its own estimates. Mr. Chittenden said that the Department puts the estimates in the bid documents and does not know if the contractor knew about the different cubic feet, he indicated that he does not think that they ask for verification. He said he would check the bid documents and see if that information is included.

Commissioner Torres moved to approve. Commissioner Bergeson seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

- Provide a letter to Commissioners and staff on the use of the engineer's estimate in the bidding process and the potential for requiring contractors to assume risk for errors in estimates.

ACTION: Ross Chittenden

- 87. • Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for six local STIP projects totaling \$1,416,000. Waiver-05-06 2.8a.

Assistant Deputy Director Annette Gilbertson reviewed the Item and recommended the Commission approve all except #5 – Butte County. Ms. Gilbertson recommended that Butte return in May.

Commissioner Ghielmetti moved to approve staff's recommendation. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

- 88. • Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for one local road STIP project for \$1,135,000. Waiver-05-07 2.8c.(1)

Assistant Deputy Director Annette Gilbertson reviewed the Item and recommended approval.

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve staff's recommendation. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion, which carried 6-0. (Commissioners Tavaglione and Balgenorth were absent)

STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS
From
April 13-14, 2005 Commission Meeting

- 70 (5.1a(3)) Bill Bronte – Include as part of the Department’s quarterly reports how the Department is doing in meeting Intercity Strategic Business Plans performance measures/goals for all three plans.
- **This will be done routinely.**
- 72 (2.2b(1)) Ross Chittenden – As part of future discussions on programming strategies, look at those projects with no hope of funding and evaluate stopping work on environmental documents.
- **This will be done routinely.**
- 73 (4.5) Robert Chung – Return at the May Commission meeting with a mission statement for the new panel of experts to be created. Poll Commissioners for their input on suggested nominations and provide nominations of members for the chair to appoint. (*May Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under Tab 107, Agenda Item 4.8.**
- 73 (4.5) Robert Chung – Prepare plaques/resolutions of recognition and appreciation, and present to all members of the former Airspace Advisory Committee.
- **This will be done.**
- 76 (3.1) Norma Ortega – Change monthly finance report to include more detail on projects voted and awarded.
- **This will be done routinely.**
- 77 (3.6) Ross Chittenden/David Brewer/Stephen Maller – Develop project readiness criteria for all future GARVEE projects. (*Future Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at a future Commission meeting.**
- 83 (4.1) Ken De Crescenzo – Distribute to Commissioners and staff the California Reauthorization Consensus Principles document.
- **This has been done.**
- 83 (4.1) Ross Chittenden/Will Kempton – Provide information on how other states deal with design-build, and information on lessons learned and savings.
- **This will be done.**

86 (2.5e) Ross Chittenden – Provide a letter to Commissioners and staff on the use of the engineer’s estimate in the bidding process and the potential for requiring contractors to assume risk for errors in estimate.

- **This has been done.**

90 (4.4) Ross Chittenden/Glenn Yee – At the May Commission meeting provide a complete draft of the Capital Facilities Plan for Commission. (*May Agenda Item*)

- **This will be discussed under Tab 96, Agenda Item 4.4.**

90 (4.4) Ross Chittenden/Glenn Yee – Report on the results of the Department of Finance recommendations on the 5-year Capital Facilities Plan and the impact on the Department.

- **This will be discussed under Tab 96, Agenda Item 4.4.**