

AGENDA

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

<http://www.catc.ca.gov>

**July 17-18, 2002
Walnut Creek, California**

Wednesday, July 17, 2002

2:00 p.m.

**Roundtable with the California Transportation Commission
Hosted by Contra Costa Transportation Authority**

Contra Costa Transportation Authority
Hookston Square
3478 Buskirk Avenue, Suite 100
Pleasant Hill, California 94523

Thursday, July 18, 2002

8:00 a.m.

Commission Meeting (One-Day Business Meeting Only)

City of Walnut Creek
City Hall Council Chamber
1666 North Main Street
Walnut Creek, California 94596

NOTICE: Agenda items, other than those assigned a specific time, may be taken up at any time during the one-day Commission meeting.

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
---------------------	------------------	--------	------------------	---------

* "A" denotes an "Action" item; "I" denotes an "Information" item; "B" denotes a Business, Transportation and Housing (B,T & H) Agency item; "C" denotes a "Commission" item; "D" denotes a "Department" item; and "R" denotes a Regional Agency item.

GENERAL BUSINESS

- | | | | |
|---|-------|--|-----|
| | 1. | | |
| • Roll Call | 1.(1) | | I C |
| • Approval of Minutes for May 9, 2002 Meeting | 1.(2) | | A C |
| • Commission Reports | 1.(3) | | A C |
| • Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation | 1.(4) | | A C |

BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY REPORT

- | | | | |
|--|-------|--|-----|
| • Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary | 1.(5) | | I B |
|--|-------|--|-----|

CALTRANS REPORT

- | | | | |
|---|-------|--|-----|
| • Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director | 1.(6) | | I D |
|---|-------|--|-----|

REGIONAL AGENCIES AND RURAL COUNTIES REPORT

- | | | | |
|--|-------|--|-----|
| • Report by Regional Agencies Moderator | 1.(7) | | I R |
| • Report by Rural Counties Task Force Chair | 1.(8) | | I R |
| • Rural Counties Task Force Semi-Annual Report | 1.(9) | | I R |

INFORMATION CALENDAR

- | | | | |
|--------------------|----|--|-----|
| | IC | | I C |
| • To Be Determined | | | |

CONSENT CALENDAR

- | | | | |
|--------------------|----|--|-----|
| | CC | | A C |
| • To Be Determined | | | |

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MATTERS

- | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| • To Be Determined | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
---------------------	------------------	--------	------------------	---------

PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS

**Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP
Amendments for Action**

		2.		
		2.1a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-006 Programs \$90,000 of Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds in FYs 2002-03 and 2003-04 from Sierra County's Unprogrammed Share Balance for a project to replace an existing culvert with a bridge. The Sierra County Local Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment. 	2.1a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-008 This amendment deletes \$480,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from a local roadway rehabilitation project in FY 2003-04 and adds an additional \$24,000 in FY 2002-03 for a local bridge construction project. Tehama County plans to fund the remaining \$456,000 in programming capacity at a later date with supplemental allocations on two roadway rehabilitation projects and one bridge replacement project. 	2.1a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-011 Revises programming for various 2002 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects in Los Angeles County as follows: a net reduction of \$10,606,000 to the County Shares in FY 2002-03; a net reduction of \$8,051,000 in FY 2003-04; a net increase of \$18,657,000 in FY 2004-05; a net reduction of \$1,940,000 in FY 2005-06 and a net increase of \$1,940,000 in FY 2006-07. The amendment also revises the Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds as follows: a net increase of \$1,137,000 in FY 2002-03; a net reduction of \$10,922,000 in FY 2003-04; a net increase of \$5,521,000 in FY 2004-05; no change in FY 2005-06 and a net increase of \$4,264,000 in FY 2006-07. The amendment results in no change to the sum of County Shares programmed in the first three years or the sum of last two years of the STIP. Sum of Interregional Shares programmed in the first three years of the STIP is reduced by \$4,264,000 and added to the last year of the STIP. There is no change to the overall County or Interregional Shares. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is requesting this amendment. 	2.1a.(3)		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<u>Program Amendments/Project Approvals – STIP Amendments for Notice</u>	2.1b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-012 Programs \$500,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from Butte County’s Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2002-03 towards a \$20,128,000 road widening and reconstruction project. The Butte County Association of Governments (BCAG) is requesting this amendment. 	2.1b.(1)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-013 Programs \$2,978,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from Modoc County’s Unprogrammed Share Balance for two projects: \$130,000 in FY 2003-04 towards a \$788,000 bridge replacement project and \$2,848,000 in FY 2002-03 towards a \$3,453,000 widening project. The Modoc County Local Transportation Commission (MCLTC) is requesting this amendment. 	2.1b.(2)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-014 Programs \$947,000 for Advance Project Development Element (APDE) as an advance from Riverside County’s Unprogrammed Share Balance for two projects: 1) \$722,000 is programmed for the Community Environmental Transportation Acceptability Process (CETAP) work effort, which is Riverside County’s transportation component of their General Plan and Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan; 2) \$225,000 is programmed for the State Route 79 realignment study to develop preliminary alignments. The Riverside County Transportation Commission (RCTC) is requesting this amendment. 	2.1b.(3)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-015 Programs \$1,325,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds in FYs 2002-03 and 2005-06 from San Bernardino County’s Unprogrammed Share Balance for the I-15/Baseline Interchange. The San Bernardino County Transportation Commission is requesting this amendment. 	2.1b.(4)		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STIP Amendment 02S-016 Programs \$8,485,000 in Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from San Mateo County’s Unprogrammed Share Balance in FY 2005-06 towards a \$19,463,000 Grade Separation project at Tilton and Poplar Avenues as follows: \$1,000,000 for Plans, Specification & Estimate (PS&E); \$5,500,000 for Right of Way (R/W) acquisition; and \$1,985,000 for construction. The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is requesting this amendment. 	2.1b.(5)		I D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
---------------------	------------------	--------	------------------	---------

- STIP Amendment 02S-17
 Reduces the construction cost of Route 118 widening project (PPNO 1238J) in Ventura County programmed in FY 2003-04 with Regional Improvement Program (RIP) funds from \$42,910,000 to \$38,410,000 due to cost savings, creating \$4,500,000 in programming capacity in the first three years of the 2002 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The amendment utilizes \$2,583,000 of this programming capacity to advance the RIP funds on the following projects in Ventura County from FY 2006-07 to FY 2002-03: 1) \$425,000 programmed for Construction of Route 101 Soundwall project between Snow Avenue to Jardin Drive (PPNO 3138); 2) \$9,000 programmed for Right of Way and \$1,680,000 of the \$2,872,000 programmed for Construction of Route 101 Soundwall project between Lynn Road to Wendy Drive (PPNO 3141), and 3) \$469,000 of the \$907,000 programmed for construction of the Soundwall project on Route 126 between East Main Street and South Hill Road (PPNO 3140). The remaining \$438,000 of construction programmed on this project is reverted to Ventura County Shares. The amendment also deletes \$12,000 from PPNO 3138 and \$4,000 from PPNO 3140, both RIP funds programmed in FY 2006-07 for Right of Way, and reverts these funds to the Ventura County Shares. The Department of Transportation (Department) and the Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) request approval of this amendment.

2.1b.(6)

I D

- STIP Amendment 02S-018
 Programs \$1,975,000 in Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds in FY 2002-03 and \$1,625,000 in IIP funds in FY 2003-04 for Statewide Development of Carsharing for a total of \$3,600,000. This amendment also reduces programming on four IIP funded projects resulting in a total available programming capacity of \$1,700,000. The remaining \$1,900,000 in programming capacity needed to fund Statewide Development of Carsharing is made available to the Department through STIP Amendment 02S-017 under 2.1b.(6). The Department of Transportation (Department) is requesting this amendment.

2.1b.(7)

I D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
---------------------	------------------	--------	------------------	---------

Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments

2.1c.

- Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. Two applications totaling \$24,920,000. Resolution TA-02-10

2.1c.(1)

A C/D

- Project #28 – \$4,320,000 for Phase 4, for Parking Structure at Transit Village at Richmond BART Station in Contra Costa County.

Applicant Agency: City of Richmond

Implementing Agency: City of Richmond

Advance Requested: \$100,000 for previously approved Phase 2 and \$250,000 for Phase 4

- Project #40 – \$20,600,000 for Phase 3, for Route 10; add HOV lanes on San Bernardino Freeway over Kellogg Hill, near Pomona, Route 605 to Route 57 in Los Angeles County.

Applicant Agency: California Department of Transportation (Department)

Implementing Agency: Department

- Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval. Four application amendments totaling \$635,000 in new funding. Resolution TAA-02-07, Amending Resolutions TA-01-01, TA-01-11, TAA-02-02, and TA-01-09.

2.1c.(2)

A C/D

- Project #12.2 – Bay Area Transit Connectivity; complete studies of, and fund related improvements for, the I-580 Livermore Corridor; the Hercules Rail Station and related improvements, West Contra Costa County and Route 4 Corridors in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties – Hercules Rail Station. Amend application to update project schedule. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-01. The Contra Costa Transportation Authority and the City of Hercules are requesting this amendment.

- Project #14 – CalTrain; extension to Salinas in Monterey County. Amend application to increase TCRP funding for Phase 1- Environmental and Permits. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-11. The Transportation Agency for Monterey County is requesting this amendment.

- Project #83.1 – Route 15; add high-tech managed lane in San Diego County – Transit Elements. Amend application to redistribute TCRP funds between phases. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-00-02, as amended by Resolution TAA-02-02. The Department and the San Diego Metropolitan Transit Development Board (SDMTDB) is requesting this amendment.

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment Approval – CONTINUED <p>-- Project #97.2 – Operational improvements on Shaw Avenue, Chestnut Avenue, Willow Avenue, and Barstow Avenue near California State University at Fresno in Fresno County. Amend application to redistribute TCRP funds between phases. Original TCR application approved under Resolution TA-01-09. The California State University of Fresno and the City of Fresno, Public Works Department are requesting this amendment.</p>	2.1c.(2) CONTD.		A C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informational Report on Delegated Minor Amendments for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects per Resolution G-01-23. 28 minor amendments, no additional funding. 	2.1c.(3)		A C/D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. One application for \$2,900,000. Resolution TA-02-11 <p>-- Project #81 – Approve \$2,900,000 for Phase 4, for San Diego Ferry; low-emission high-speed ferryboat operations for off-coast service between San Diego and Oceanside in San Diego County. Applicant Agency: San Diego Unified Port District (SDUPD) Implementing Agency: SDUPD Advance Requested: \$1,600,000</p>	2.1c.(4)		A C/D
	<u>Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/ Amendments – No Items This Month</u>	2.1d.		
	<u>Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments– No Items This Month</u>	2.1e.		
	<u>Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR)</u>	2.2a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 299 in Shasta and Trinity Counties – Realign Buckhorn Grade. (NOP) 	2.2a.		A D
	<u>Environmental Matters – Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIS/EIR)</u>	2.2b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Route 101 in Mendocino County – Construct a four-lane freeway on new alignment near Willits. 	2.2b.(1)		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Route 70, 149, 99, and 191 in Butte County – Construct interchange and four-lane expressway. 	2.2b.(2)		A D
	<p><u>Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)</u></p>	2.2c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – City of South Pasadena Mission and Meridian Mixed-Use Transit-Oriented Development Project (Los Angeles County) to construct a parking structure within a mixed-use transit oriented development. (TCRP #152). (Related Item 2.1c.(3).) Resolution E-02-32 	2.2c.(1)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Parking Structure Project at Transit Village at Richmond BART Station (Contra Costa County) to construct a parking structure within a transit village. (TCRP #28). (Related Item 2.1c.(2).) Resolution E-02-33 	2.2c.(2)		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 29 in Lake County – Widen Route 29 and Coyote Creek Bridge near Middletown (ND). Resolution E-02-34 	2.2c.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 99 in Merced County – Convert Route 99 from a Four-Lane Expressway to a Six-Lane Freeway near Atwater (ND). Resolution E-02-35 	2.2c.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 89 in Plumas County – Realignment of Route 89 near Clio (ND). Resolution E-02-36 	2.2c.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding and Route Adoption – Route 32 in Glenn County – Realignment of State Route 32 in the City of Orland (ND). Resolution E-02-37 	2.2c.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 33 in Kern County – Rehabilitate pavement near the City of Maricopa (ND). Resolution E-02-38 	2.2c.(7)		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 219 in Stanislaus County – Widen two-lane conventional highway to four-lane conventional highway. (ND). Resolution E-02-39 	2.2c.(8)		A D
	Highway Route Matters	2.3		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Five Route Adoptions/Redesignations 	2.3a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Route Adoption of a Highway location for Route 299 along Lake Boulevard in the City of Redding and County of Shasta from Route 273 at Lake Boulevard to Interstate 5. Resolution HRA 02-03 	2.3a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Route Redesignation of Route 273 to Route 273/299 from Route 299 at Market Street to Route 273 at Lake Boulevard in the City of Redding and County of Shasta. And Redesignation of Route 299 to Route 44 from Route 299 at Market Street to Interstate 5. Resolution HRR 02-01 	2.3a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Adoption of a Highway location for Route 32 in the City of Orland and County of Glenn from 0.06 miles west of Eighth Street to Sixth Street. Resolution HRA 02-04 	2.3a.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Route Relocation – Route Adoption 08-Riv-79 PM 29.9/R33.9 State Route 79 in the City of San Jacinto and in Riverside County PM 29.9 to PM 13.2. Resolution HRA 02-05 	2.3a.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Approval of Notice to Consider Rescinding Freeway Adoption 05-SB-135 PM 10.0-13.2 State Route 135 in Santa Barbara County, Post Mile 10.0 to Post Mile 13.2. Resolution NIU 02-01 	2.3a.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New Public Road Connection – No Items This Month 	2.3b.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Three Relinquishment Resolutions 	2.3c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 06-Tul-198-PM R4.8/R8.8 Route 198 in the City of Visalia. Resolution R-3524 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 08-Riv-79-PM 29.9/30.9 Route 79 in the City of San Jacinto. Resolution R-3526 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 08-Riv-79-PM 30.5/33.4 Route 79 in the County of Riverside Resolution R-3527 			

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	• Vacation Resolutions – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.3d.		
	<u>Highway Right of Way Matters</u>	2.4		
	• Resolution of Necessity – Appearances – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4a.		
	• 13 Resolutions of Necessity Resolutions C-18588 Through C-18600	2.4b.(1)		A D
	• One Resolution of Necessity C-18536 - Los Angeles County Flood Control District	2.4b.(2)		A D
	• Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.4c.		
	• Director's Deeds	2.4d.		
	-- Six Director's Deeds Items #1 Through #6 Excess Lands – Return to State: \$3,916,345 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(1)		A D
	-- One Director's Deed for City of South Pasadena Item #2 Excess Lands – Return to State: \$221,000 Return to Others: \$0	2.4d.(2)		A D
	• Two Rescinding Resolutions Resolutions CR-125 through CR-126	2.4e.		A D
	<u>Highway Financial Matters</u>			
	\$ 159,599,827 Total State Funds for New Projects <u>394,645,355</u> Contributions from Other Sources for New Projects \$ 554,245,182 Grand Total – New Projects with State Participation			
	• Financial Allocations for 13 Minor Projects totaling \$4,233,000 plus \$72,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-01	2.5a.		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocations for STIP/SHOPP Projects On the State Highway System 	2.5b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Two STIP Projects On the State Highway System totaling \$16,219,000 plus \$5,710,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-02 	2.5b.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- 13 SHOPP Projects totaling \$24,045,000. Resolution FP-02-03 	2.5b.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Three SHOPP Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Departmental action totaling \$3,752,000. Resolution FP-02-04 	2.5b.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Two SHOPP Managed TEA Projects On the State Highway System totaling \$1,300,000 plus \$655,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-05 	2.5b.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Two Locally Administered STIP Projects On the State Highway System on Route 1 in Los Angeles County and on Route 237 in Santa Clara County totaling \$51,384,000 plus \$15,800,000 from other sources. (Concurrent allocation for Route 1 project in Los Angeles County under 2.6b.) Resolution FP-02-06 	2.5b.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- One STIP Project On the State Highway System in San Diego County for \$1,660,000. Resolution FP-02-07 	2.5b.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Adjustment for Pacific Coast Highway Grade Separation project for the Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority for \$19,069,000. Resolution FP-02-12 	2.5b.(7)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Adjustment authorized by Assembly Bill 608. Resolution FP-02-13 	2.5b.(8)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Financial Allocations for Local Assistance STIP Projects On and Off the State Highway System 	2.5c.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Twelve Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System totaling \$31,297,000 plus \$310,790,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-08 	2.5c.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- One Local Assistance Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE) Project in Nevada County for \$3,163,000. Resolution FP-02-09 	2.5c.(2)		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	-- Seven Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) projects totaling \$2,707,827 plus \$19,047,355 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-10	2.5c.(3)		A D
	-- Financial Allocation for Local Assistance Conservation Lands (CL) Program Projects. – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5c.(4)		
	-- Two Local Assistance 2002 <u>STIP Advancement</u> Projects totaling \$770,000 plus \$2,000 from other sources. Resolution FP-02-11	2.5c.(5)		A D
	• Financial Allocation for Projects that Exceed 20 Percent of Their Programmed Amount – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5d.		
	• Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects. Seven Projects totaling \$9,635,900. Resolution FA-02-01	2.5e.		A D
	-- 02-Plu-70 – SHOPP Project in Plumas County on Route 70. Original vote \$16,150,000. Current allocation \$20,500,000. This request of \$2,795,000 to allow award, for an increase of 44% over the original vote amount.			
	-- 04-SM-1 – SHOPP Project in San Mateo County on Route 1. Original vote and current allocation \$900,000. This request of \$480,000 to allow award, for an increase of 53% over the original vote amount.			
	-- 05-SCr-152 – Minor A Project in Santa Cruz County on Route 152. Original vote \$675,000. Current allocation \$825,000. This request of \$158,900 to allow project to be closed out, for an increase of 46% over the original vote amount.			
	-- 07-LA-103 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County on Route 103. Original vote \$868,000. Current allocation \$1,660,500. This request of \$630,000 to allow project to be closed out, for an increase of 186% over the award amount.			
	-- 07-LA-1 – SHOPP Project in Los Angeles County on Routes 1, 2, 5, 10, 101, 105 and 710. Original vote and current allocation \$2,193,000. This request of \$2,040,000 to allow award, for an increase of 93% over the original vote amount.			
	-- 08-SBd-40 – SHOPP Project in San Bernardino County on Route 40. Original Vote \$30,755,000. Current allocation \$34,030,000. This request of \$3,000,000 for ongoing project, for an increase of 20% over the original vote amount.			
	-- 09-Iny-395 – STIP Project in Inyo County on Route 395. Original vote \$16,750,000. Current allocation \$18,600,000. This request of \$532,000 for ongoing project, for an increase of 14% over the original vote amount.			

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
---------------------	------------------	--------	------------------	---------

• **Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority**

		2.5f.		
	-- Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Four projects totaling \$5,500,000.	2.5f.(1)		I D
	-- Seismic Retrofit: (Phase I): G-11 Allocations. – <u>No Items This Month</u>	2.5f.(2)		
	-- Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocations. Two projects totaling \$3,540,000.	2.5f.(3)		I D
	-- SHOPP Rehabilitation/Safety: G-01-10 Allocations. 13 projects totaling \$62,715,000.	2.5f.(4)		I D
	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations. 31 projects totaling \$10,967,000.	2.5f.(5)		I D
	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations. Seven projects totaling \$818,000.	2.5f.(6)		I D
	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Rideshare Projects in the STIP: G-01-07 Allocations. – <u>No Items This Month.</u>	2.5f.(7)		
	-- Local Assistance Allocations for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations. Two projects totaling \$15,000.	2.5f.(8)		I D
	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Planning, Programming and Monitoring Activities: G-01-06 Allocations. One project totaling \$100,000.	2.5f.(9)		I D
	• Allocation of Funds for State Highway Operation and Protection Program (SHOPP) Projects FY 2002-03 for \$5,000,000. Resolution FM-02-01	2.5g.		A D
	• Transfer of Capital Outlay to Local Assistance for Projects programmed in FY 2001-02 for \$29,000,000. Resolution FM-02-02	2.5h.		A D

Mass Transportation Financial Matters

\$ 0	Total Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP)
\$46,757,000	Total State Transp. Improvement Program (STIP)
\$17,100,000	Total Proposition 116 Program Funds
\$ 0	Total Allocation Amendments
\$63,857,000	Grand Total State Allocations

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Financial Allocation for STIP Rail/Transit Projects 	2.6a.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Allocation for One Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects in Sacramento County for the Folsom Corridor Light Rail Project for \$15,355,000. Resolution MFP-02-01 	2.6a.(1)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Allocation for Three Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects totaling \$14,570,000. Resolution MFP-02-02 	2.6a.(2)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Allocation for One STIP Intercity Rail Project for the Pacific Surfliner Route for \$246,000. Resolution MFP-02-03 	2.6a.(3)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Allocation for One <u>STIP Advancement</u> Rail/Transit Project for \$10,886,000 for Downtown Natomas Airport Light Rail Environmental portion in Sacramento County. (Request for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing Budget Act of 2002. Advancement request is for FY 2003-04.) Resolution MFP-02-04 	2.6a.(4)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Allocation for One <u>STIP Advancement</u> Rail/Transit Project for \$1,200,000 for Fare Vending Machines in Sacramento County. (Request for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing Budget Act of 2002. Advancement request is for FY 2005-06.) Resolution MFP-02-05 	2.6a.(5)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Allocation for One <u>STIP Advancement</u> Rail/Transit Project for \$2,500,000 for Florin Road Grade Separation in Sacramento County. (Request for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing of Budget Act of 2002. Advancement request is for FY 2005-06.) Resolution MFP-02-06 	2.6a.(6)		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Financial Allocation for One <u>STIP Advancement</u> Rail/Transit Project for \$1,500,000 for Metrolink Systemwide Infrastructure Replacement and Upgrade in Ventura County. (Request for FY 2002-03 funds, contingent upon passing of Budget Act of 2002. Advancement request is for FY 2003-04.) Resolution MFP-02-07 	2.6a.(7)		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Projects – <u>Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority (ACTA)</u> – Alameda Corridor Pacific Coast Highway Grade Separation. PPNO: 9061D. EA: R1126A. Full Allocation of \$17,100,000 in Proposition 116 funds to construct an extended overhead viaduct to grade separate the ACTA mainline track, the San Pedro Branch spur track and Alameda Street over the Pacific Coast Highway. (Concurrent Technical Correction under 2.9c. and Allocation for \$28,884,000 under 2.5b.(5).) Resolution BFP-02-01 	2.6b.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proposition 116 Allocation Amendment – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6c.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Financial Allocation for Multi-Program Funded Projects – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6d.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	2.6e.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-01-27. Four project allocations totaling \$4,998,000. 	2.6f.		I C/D

This space intentionally left blank.

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<u>Aeronautics Financial Matters</u>	2.7		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allocation of \$1,338,000 for FY 2002-03 California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) funds for 8 projects from the 2002 Aeronautics Program. Resolution FDOA-2002-7 	2.7a.		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- County of Riverside – French Valley Airport in Riverside County \$96,000 – Slurry tar seal apron. (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- County of Riverside – French Valley Airport in Riverside County \$74,000 – Slurry seal runway. (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- County of Riverside – French Valley Airport in Riverside County \$50,000 – Slurry seal taxiway. (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- County of San Luis Obispo – Oceano Airport in San Luis Obispo County \$130,000 – Pave taxiways. (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Truckee-Tahoe Airport District – Truckee-Tahoe Airport in Nevada County \$202,000 – Seal coat and stripe runways. (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Truckee-Tahoe Airport District – Truckee-Tahoe Airport in Nevada County \$168,000 – Seal coat and stripe taxiways. (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- City of Madera – Madera Municipal Airport in Madera County \$414,000 – Remove power lines near runway 12 (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- County of Los Angeles – Fox Field Airport in Los Angeles County \$204,000 – Slurry seal mid-field ramp. (Funding is contingent upon securing Budget Authority under the 2002-03 Budget Act.) 			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allocation of \$3,100,000 for FY 2002-03 in Aeronautics Funds included as a set-aside to match Federal Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grants in the 2002 Aeronautics Program. Resolution FDOA-2002-8 	2.7b.		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<p><u>Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests</u></p>	2.8		
	<p>• <u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation – No Items This Month.</u></p>	2.8a.		
	<p>• Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award</p>	2.8b.		
	<p>-- Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for 1 local STIP project in the City of Lancaster for \$1,291,000. Waiver-02-35</p>	2.8b.		A D
	<p>• <u>Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.8c.		
	<p>• Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement</p>	2.8d.		
	<p>-- Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement for 2 local STIP projects in the City of San Jose for \$108,000. Waiver-02-36</p>	2.8d.(1)		A D
	<p>-- Post Fact Request to Extend the Period of Reimbursement for 20 months for the Right of Way and Design elements of one local TCI project for the City of Indio – Downtown Intermodal Center (PPNO 08-5131), originally allocated \$381,000 in FY 1998-99. Waiver-02-32</p>	2.8d.(2)		A D
	<p>• <u>Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission’s Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring, and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects – No Items This Month</u></p>	2.8e.		
	<p>• Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions</p>	2.9		
	<p>-- Resolution MFP 01-23, originally approved on June 13, 2002, for the City of Baldwin Hills Bus Fleet Expansion project for \$1,142,000 (\$68,000 in Public Transportation Account Funds and \$1,074,000 in Federal Funds). Revise funding for this project to show 100% Federal Funds totaling \$1,142,000. No change to project scope or cost.</p>	2.9a.		A D

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	-- Resolution FP-01-49, originally approved on January 23, 2002, in the amount of \$34,000,000 for one (1) Special Federal Funding on State Highway System project. Revise program code from 20.20.800.010, 20.20.800.020, and 20.20.800.030, to 20.20.400.000.	2.9b.		A D
	-- Resolution PA-02-03, originally approved February 28, 2002. Change recipient agency on Proposition 116 application from the Department of Transportation to the Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority. (Related Item 2.6b. and 2.5b.(5).)	2.9c.		A C
	<u>PROGRAM STATUS</u>	3.		
	• Caltrans Monthly FY 2001-02 Finance Report	3.1		I D
	• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded	3.2		I D
	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action	3.3		I D
	• Bi-Annual Status Report on Proposition 116 Bond Programs	3.4		I D
	• Status of AB 1012 "Use It Or Lose It" RSTP, CMAQ and Regional TEA Obligation Plans – 2 nd and 3 rd Cycles	3.5		A D
	<u>POLICY MATTERS</u>	4.		
	• State Legislative Matters *	4.1		A C
	-- Status of Bills			
	*The Commission may consider bills or resolutions newly introduced, recently amended, or calendared for Legislative committee or floor action, subsequent to publication of this agenda. For further information, call Chuck Oldham, Deputy Director for Policy and Legislation, (916) 653-2068.			
	• Adoption of Technical Adjustment to the 2002 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Resolution G-02-____, Amending Resolution G-02-04	4.2		A C

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adoption of the FY 2002-03 Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) Program Resolution G-02-___ 	4.3		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Workshop on the Bridge Rehabilitation Element of the 2002 Ten-Year State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP) Plan 	4.4		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the Capital Improvement Plan Element of the California Aviation System Plan for Three Airports: Sonoma County, Bishop, and Westover Field 	4.5		A D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation of Draft FFY 2002-03 Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Section 5310 Elderly and Disabled Transit Program 	4.6		I C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation of Projects for SHOPP-Managed Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program – Third Round Share 	4.7		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restatement of G-12 Delegation of Authority to Adjust Project Allocations and Modify Project Descriptions Resolution G-02-12, Replacing Resolution G-98-12 	4.8		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation on Traffic Congestion Relief and Safe School Bus Initiative 	4.9		A C
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Status Report on Noise Abatement Pilot Study 	4.10		I D
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bay Area Transit Enhancement Study 	4.11		I D
	<u>MODAL ISSUES</u>	5.		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rail – <u>No Items This Month</u> 	5.1		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highways 	5.2		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Presentation on San Francisco Bay Crossing Study 	5.2a.		I R
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -- Status Report on Monterey Route 101 Prunedale Bypass 	5.2b.		A D/R

Tab # / Time TBD	Item Description	Ref. #	Presenter TBD	Status*
---------------------	------------------	--------	------------------	---------

- Transit – No Items This Month 5.3

PRESENTATIONS

- To Be Determined

- OTHER MATTERS / PUBLIC COMMENT** 6.