

DRAFT MINUTES

CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**December 5-6, 2000
Riverside, California**

The meeting of the California Transportation Commission was called to order at 11:16 a.m. on December 5, 2000 at the University of California at Riverside in the Chancellor's Conference Room, 1201 University Avenue, Suite 207, Riverside, California.

The Commissioners' attendance was as follows:

Members Present: James Kellogg, Chairman
 Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chairman
 Roger Kozberg
 Allen Lawrence
 John Lawson
 R. K. Lindsey
 Dianne McKenna
 Esteban Torres
 Robert Wolf

Members Absent: Senator Betty Karnette, ex-officio
 Assemblyman John Dutra, ex-officio

The meeting recessed at 3:30 p.m. and reconvened at 8:33 a.m. on December 6, 2000. The Commissioners' attendance was as follows:

Members Present: James Kellogg, Chairman
 Jeremiah Hallisey, Vice Chairman
 Roger Kozberg
 Allen Lawrence
 John Lawson
 R. K. Lindsey
 Dianne McKenna
 Esteban Torres
 Robert Wolf

Members Absent: Senator Betty Karnette, ex-officio
 Assemblyman John Dutra, ex-officio

1. GENERAL MATTERS

1.(1) Approval of Minutes for November 1-2, 2000 Meeting

The November 1-2, 2000 minutes were presented for approval. Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the minutes. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 9-0.

1.(2) Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation

The List of Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation for November 2000 was presented for approval. Commissioner Torres moved to approve the List. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 9-0.

1.(3) Information Calendar

The following 7 agenda items were information reports deemed by staff not to raise any issue. The reports were included in the Commission Meeting Book mailed to each Commissioner for examination. These items were not presented or discussed at the meeting.

2.1b. STIP Amendments for Notice

2.5f. Information Reports on Allocations made by Caltrans Under CTC Delegated Authority

- 2.5f.(1) Four emergency condition allocations totaling \$1,705,000.
- Project #1, additional unstable material encountered while repairing slope.
 - Project #2, repair of fire suppression system and installation of monitoring instruments to determine cause of failure.
 - Project #3, Caltrans is seeking recovery of repair costs from the owners of the tractor-trailer rig that damaged the bridge.
 - Project #4, an alternative stabilization strategy using piles and underpinning was utilized on the project.
- 2.5f.(2) Two SHOPP pavement rehabilitation allocations totaling \$3,799,000.
- Project #1, rehabilitation cost is about \$1.6 million per centerline mile.
 - Project #2, rehabilitation cost is about \$1.1 million per centerline mile.
- 2.5f.(3) Three SHOPP safety allocations totaling \$3,720,000.
- 2.5f.(4) Three local storm damage and pavement rehabilitation allocations totaling \$2,855,000.

3.2 Monthly Report on Caltrans projects voted but not awarded

3.3 Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action

1.(4) Consent Calendar

Deputy Director Robert Chung presented the Consent Calendar and discussed the changes to the Consent Calendar. The changes to the Consent Calendar were as follows:

- Item 2.4b. Resolution of Necessity #C-18170 was withdrawn from the agenda was withdrawn by Caltrans prior to the meeting.
- Item 2.4d.(1) Director's Deed #11 was withdrawn by Caltrans prior to the meeting.
- Item 2.6b.(3) Local Proposition 116 Urban County Project Resolution BFP-00-07 allocation was revised from \$138,500 to \$106,469.
- Item 2.5e. Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds was added to the Consent Calendar.
- Item 2.8b.(1) Request to extend the Period of Contract Award was added to the Consent Calendar.
- Items 2.8e. (1 and 2) Requests to extend the Period of Contract Award were added to the Consent Calendar.

The revised Consent Calendar was as follows:

- 2.1a. Amend STIP to program \$685,000 in RIP funds for advance project development for Rte. 80 Sierra College Blvd. Interchange reconstruction.
- 2.2c(1) Approve Neg. Dec. for Rte. 99 Mill Creek Bridge replacement in Tehama Co.
- 2.2c.(2) Approve Neg. Dec. for Rtes 101 & 405 freeway interchange connector widening in LA Co.
- 2.2c.(3) Approve Neg. Dec. for Rte. 5 Western Ave. Interchange in Glendale in LA Co.
- 2.3a.(2) Adopt a section of traversable highway as part of State Hwy.59 in Merced.
- 2.3a.(3) Adopt a section of traversable highway as part of State Hwy.140 in Merced.
- 2.3b.(1) Approve New Public Road Connection, Rte 178 in Kern River Canyon in Kern Co.
- 2.3b.(2) Approve New Public Road Connection, Rte. 210 at Ayala Ave. in S. Bernardino Co.
- 2.3c. Approve relinquishment resolutions to turn over 4 sections of State Hwy. to local agencies.
- 2.4b Approve 17 resolutions of necessity toward condemning properties needed for state highway purposes.
- 2.4d.(1) Approve 12 directors deeds to sell excess properties.
- 2.4e. Rescind one resolution of necessity previously approved.
- 2.5a. Allocate \$4,085,000 in State Highway Account for 13 minor projects.
- 2.5b.(1) Allocate \$3,812,000 in State Highway Account for 2 STIP state hwy projects.
- 2.5b.(2) Allocate \$9,368,000 in State Highway Account for 5 SHOPP projects.
- 2.5c.(1) Allocate \$12,513,000 in State Highway Account for 4 Local STIP projects.
- 2.5c.(2) Allocate \$891,480 in State Highway Account for 4 local EE&M projects.
- 2.5e. Allocate \$1,863,000 for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects.
- 2.6a. Allocate \$13,774,000 in State Funds for 6 local STIP rail/transit projects.
- 2.6b.(3) Allocate \$106,649 in Prop 116 funds for one non-urban project in Butte Co.
- 2.6c.(1) Rescind allocation of \$34,469 in Prop 116 savings from a project in Butte Co.
- 2.6c.(2) Shift funding recipient from Laguna Niguel to OCTA for Mission Viejo Rail Station.
- 2.8b.(1) Extend the period of contract award for 1 STIP project in the City of Santa Cruz
- 2.8e.(1) Extend the period of project completion for the intercity rail Capitol Stations Platform project.
- 2.8e.(2) Extend the period of contract award for the intercity rail Oakland Maintenance Facility
- 2.9a. Change June 1999 allocation for 2 projects for \$44,867,000 from federal to state-only funds.
- 2.9b. Shift August 2000 allocation between right-of-way and construction for one project.

Commissioner McKenna moved to approve the Consent Calendar as amended. Commissioner Lawrence seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

1.(5) Commission Reports

The Commissioners welcomed the three new Commissioners: John Lawson, R. K. Lindsey, and Dianne McKenna.

The Commissioners also expressed their appreciation of Commissioner Wolf for his outstanding service on the Commission.

- Election of Officers

Vice Chairman Hallisey nominated Commissioner Kozberg as Chairman for 2001. Commissioner Wolf seconded the nomination which was approved 9-0.

Commissioner Wolf nominated Vice Chairman Hallisey as Vice Chairman for 2001. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the nomination which was approved 9-0.

1.(6) Business, Transportation and Housing Agency Report

John Ferrera, Business, Transportation and Housing Agency, discussed the importance of partnerships with local and regional agencies. He also expressed appreciation for Commissioner Wolf's service to the State of California and welcomed the 3 new Commissioners.

1.(7) Caltrans Report

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, discussed fast-tracking the Dumbarton and Hayward bridges and emergency work on the Interstate 5 bridge in Sacramento.

1.(8) Regional Agencies Report

Regional Agencies Moderator Debbie Hale discussed project delivery and the importance of flexibility.

1.(9) Presentation by Riverside County Transportation Commission and San Bernardino Associated Governments on Local Measure Program

The following individuals discussed the transportation program in Riverside County:

Tom Mullin, Riverside County Transportation Commission Chairman
Dennis Hansberger, Sanbag Chairman
Norm King, Sanbag Executive Director

The following action items occurred during the presentations:

Report back to the Commission to review the current restrictions on weight distribution for individual trucks and possible impact on pavement life.

ACTION: K. Nystrom

Provide Vice Chairman Hallisey with document of overview of Riverside's integrated project system.

ACTION: E. Haley

2. PROJECT BUSINESS MATTERS

2.1 Program Amendments/Project Approvals

2.1a. STIP Amendments for Action

STIP Amendment 98S-156 - Action
 Programs \$685,000 from Placer County’s Advance Project Development Element (APDE) for an interchange reconstruction project at Interstate 80 and Sierra College Boulevard. This project will complete the environmental and design phases for a project that will replace the overcrossing structure, widen the roadway, and reconstruct the interchange ramps. The Placer County Transportation Planning Agency is requesting this amendment.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.1b. STIP Amendment for Notice

STIP Amendment 98S-157 - Notice
 Transfers \$3,500,000 in Interregional Improvement Program (IIP) funds from the final phase (Phase III) to the interim phase (Phase II) of the Route 680 Sunol Grade Southbound High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane project in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties. The \$3,000,000 in Construction capital and the \$500,000 for Construction support will be advanced from FY 2002-03 to FY 2000-01. In addition, this amendment designates the Route 680 Sunol Grade Southbound HOV lane project as a corridor project. The Department is requesting this amendment.

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

**2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals
 13 Applications totaling \$79,445,000.
 Resolution TA-00-03**

<i><u>Project Number</u></i>	<i><u>Amount</u></i>	<i><u>Phase(s)</u></i>	<i><u>Description</u></i>
12.1	\$2,000	1	Bay Area Transit Connectivity-Route 4 Corridors
	<i>Lead Agency:</i>		<i>Contra Costa Transportation Authority / BART</i>
	<i>Implementing Agency:</i>		<i>Contra Costa Transportation Authority</i>
13	\$4,970	1,2	CalTrain Peninsula Corridor; acquire rolling stock, add passing tracks, and construct pedestrian access structure
	<i>Lead Agency:</i>		<i>Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board</i>
	<i>Implementing Agency:</i>		<i>Same</i>
16	\$39,000	All	Route 4; construct one or more phases of improvements to widen freeway to eight lanes
	<i>Lead Agency:</i>		<i>Contra Costa Transportation Authority</i>
	<i>Implementing Agency:</i>		<i>Same</i>

2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals (Continued)

<u>Project Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Phase(s)</u>	<u>Description</u>
24	\$5,000	All	Vallejo Baylink Ferry; acquire low-emission ferryboats <i>Lead Agency: City of Vallejo</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
30	\$7,700	1	Implementation of commuter rail passenger service from Cloverdale south to San Rafael and Larkspur in Marin and Sonoma Counties <i>Lead Agency: Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit Commission</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
59	\$11,000	All	Route 10; Live Oak Canyon Interchange <i>Lead Agency: San Bernardino Associated Governments</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
70	\$1,900	1	Route 22; add HOV lanes on Garden Grove Freeway <i>Lead Agency: Orange County Transportation Authority/Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Caltrans</i>
97	\$100	1	Operational improvements on streets and roads near CSU Fresno. <i>Lead Agency: California State University at Fresno</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
104	\$5,000	2,3	Route 99; build seven miles of new six-lane freeway <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
108	\$235	1	Route 5; add northbound lane to freeway through Mossdale "Y" <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
110	\$500	1	Route 132; build 3.5 miles of new four-lane expressway <i>Lead Agency: Caltrans</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
152	\$1,500	All	Pasadena Blue Line transit-oriented mixed-use development. <i>Lead Agency: City of South Pasadena</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>
153	\$550	4	Pasadena Blue Line utility relocation. <i>Lead Agency: City of South Pasadena</i> <i>Implementing Agency: Same</i>

2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals (Continued)

Debbie Mah, Caltrans, presented the TCR applications for approval by groups.

Group 1 projects were Projects #12.1, 13, 59, 97, 104, and 108 and were recommended without clarifications or conditions. Commissioner McKenna moved to approve the Category 1 applications. Commissioner Lindsey seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

Group 2 projects were Projects #24, 30, 70, 110 were recommended after staff clarified a specific issue or reported that a project should be approved with conditions. Ms. Mah stated that Project #30, the Sonoma-Marín Area Rapid Transit (SMART) Commuter Rail Project, was recommended for approval with the addition of a third condition. SMART must assess the impact of implementing the proposed commuter rail service and its effect, if any, in triggering the repayment of part or all of the \$12 million federal Q fund loan that was used, in part, to acquire the right-of-way between Willits and Schellville. Should the proposed commuter rail service trigger repayment of a portion or the entire Q fund loan, SMART shall work with NCRA to develop a funding plan to ensure that the loan can be repaid in full. Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the Group 2 applications. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

Group 3 projects were Projects #16, 152, and 153. After extensive discussion, the Commission took the following actions:

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve Project #16. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve Project #152 subject to the following conditions:

- Caltrans Legal shall review the proposed use of the funds by South Pasadena – passing the funds to developer who in turn would purchase right-of-way for development and agree to an easement with South Pasadena – is not a gift of public funds.
- South Pasadena must develop a parking management plan to ensure that the parking spaces are segregated and are for the benefit of the Pasadena Blue Line riders
- Prior to an allocation, South Pasadena must show that it has completed the environmental documentation necessary to receive an allocation.
- South Pasadena shall develop an agreement with the developer to ensure that the parking easement shall remain in perpetuity. Caltrans Legal shall review the easement agreement to ensure that it is acceptable from the State's perspective.
- South Pasadena and the developer, Creative Housing Associates, shall enter into a contract to ensure that if the developer fails to perform that South Pasadena and/or Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority gets the property back for use as a parking structure for the station. the State is protected in the delivery of the proposed parking structure.

Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

Commissioner McKenna moved to approve Project #153, as proposed by staff, subject to an additional condition:

- The Commission shall retain allocation approval rather than delegating it to Caltrans and allocation is contingent upon resolution of Project #152.

Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

2.1c. Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals (Continued)

The following action item occurred during the discussion of Project #152:

- South Pasadena City staff shall work with Robert Chung and Debbie Mah to respond to the five concerns expressed regarding Project #152 so the Commission may consider allocation of funds at the January CTC meeting.

ACTION: G. Forbes

2.1d. Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/Amendments

No Items this Month

2.2 Environmental Matters**2.2a. Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR)**

Route 101 in Sonoma County – Construct HOV lanes in the City of Santa Rosa.

Chief Deputy Director Pete Hathaway recommended that the Commission need not send comments on the Notice of Preparation of an EIR for HOV lanes on Route 101 in Sonoma County, and the Commission agreed.

2.2b. Comments on Documents in Circulation (Draft EIR)

Route 138 in Los Angeles County – Widen highway to four lanes in and near the communities of Little Rock, Pearblossom and Llano.

Chief Deputy Director Pete Hathaway discussed the Draft EIR for Route 138 in Los Angeles County and recommended that the Commission send comments that, given a funding shortfall of \$50 million or more, either Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) come up with regional funding to cover the shortfall or project scope and cost be trimmed back.

Commissioner Torres moved to prepare comments for submission to Caltrans with a copy to LACMTA about the shortfall in STIP funding for the Route 138 corridor, the necessity for LACMTA to bring RTIP funds to that corridor, and/or alternatively phase projects in the corridor against available funds. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

ACTION: P. Hathaway

2.2c. Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIRs)

**2.2c.(1) Route 99 in Tehama County – Replace the Mill Creek Bridge near Los Molinos (Negative Declaration)
Resolution E-01-9**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.2c.(2) Routes 101 and 405 in Los Angeles County – Gap closure and connector widening in the community of Sherman Oaks (Negative Declaration)
Resolution E-01-10**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.2c.(3) Route 5 in Los Angeles County – Modify the Western Avenue Interchange in the City of Glendale (Negative Declaration)
Resolution E-01-12**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.2d. Approval of TCR Projects for Future Consideration of Funding

No Items This Month

2.3 Highway Route Matters

2.3a. Three Route Adoptions

2.3a.(1) Adoption of a traversable highway as a state highway for that segment of Route 101 in the City and County of San Francisco from Kilometer Post R8.0 (Post Mile R5.0) at Erie Street to Kilometer Post 8.5 (Post Mile 5.3) at Golden Gate Avenue.
Resolution HRA 01-2

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, presented the proposed revised highway alignment of Route 101 required for seismic repairs.

Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the revised route alignment. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion which carried 9-0

**2.3a.(2) Adoption of a traversable highway as a state highway for that segment of Route 59 in the City and County of Merced from Kilometer Post X at R Street to Kilometer Post Y at V Street.
Resolution HRA 01-3**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.3a.(3) Adoption of a traversable highway as a state highway for that segment of Route 140 in the City and County of Merced from Kilometer Post L at R Street to Kilometer Post Q at V Street.
Resolution HRA 01-4**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.3b. Two New Public Road Connections

- 2.3b.(1) Approval of a New Public Road Connection to Route 178 at the Delonegha Boat Landing and River Access in the County of Kern, at Kilometer Post 51.0 (Post Mile 31.7).
Resolution S-714**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.3b.(2) Approval of a New Public Road Connection to Route 210 at Ayala Avenue in the County of San Bernardino, in the City of Rialto, at Kilometer Post 27.9 (PM 17.3)
Resolution S-715**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.3c. Four Relinquishment Resolutions

- 06-Fre-168,180-PM R0.0/R0.8,R58.4/R61.0 Route 168, 180 in the City of Fresno.
Resolution R-3468
- 06-Fre-168-PM 0.4/2.0 Route 168 in the City of Fresno.
Resolution R-3469
- 06-Ker-204-PM 6.5 Route 204 in the City of Bakersfield.
Resolution R-3470
- 07-LA-39-PM 11.6/13.1 Route 39 in the City of Covina.
Resolution R-3472

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.3d. Vacation Resolutions

No Items This Month

2.4 Highway Right of Way Matters**2.4a. Resolution of Necessity – Appearance**

Clocktower Loft Owners Association - Lessee
04-SF-80-PM 5.2
C-18167

Chief Deputy Director Pete Hathaway introduced the Resolution of Necessity hearing, citing the requirements for the hearing, describing the action to be taken, and summarizing the lessee's concerns.

Denis Mulligan, Caltrans, discussed the project design and explained the need for the property in question. He also discussed the steps that Caltrans had taken to mitigate the lessee's concerns, and noted Caltrans would continue for another month or so to try to achieve a negotiated agreement but ultimately needed to move forward to take the right of way and start project construction.

Tom Adams and Alice Beasley, attorneys representing the Clocktower Loft Owners Association, discussed their objections to the Resolution of Necessity.

After discussion, Vice Chairman Hallisey moved to approve the Resolution of Necessity. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion. Chairman Kellogg ordered a roll call vote. The motion carried 9-0 as follows:

AYES: Commissioners Hallisey, Kozberg, Lawrence, Lawson, Lindsey, McKenna, Torres, Wolf, and Kellogg (9)

NOES: (0)

**2.4b. 17 Resolutions of Necessity
C-18168, C-18169, and C-18171 through C-18185**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.4c. Airspace Lease Direct Negotiations

No Items This Month

2.4d. Director's Deeds

2.4d.(1) Items #1 through 10, 12, 13

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.4d.(2) Item #14
Excess Lands – Return to State: \$0
Return to Others: \$0**

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, reviewed the terms of the Director's Deed and recommended approval. Vice Chairman Hallisey moved to approve the Director's Deed. Commissioner Torres seconded the motion which carried 9-0.

2.4e. Rescinding Resolution

Sorrento Business Complex
11-SD-5-KP 53.7
CR-124, Rescinding Resolution C-18034

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.5 Highway Financial Matters

**2.5a. Financial Allocation for 13 Minor Projects totaling \$4,085,000 plus
\$370,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-00-46**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.5b. Financial Allocation for STIP/SHOPP/TEA Projects On the State Highway System

**2.5b.(1) Two STIP Projects for \$3,812,000.
Resolution FP-00-47**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.5b.(2) Five SHOPP Projects totaling \$9,368,000 plus \$6,287,000
from other sources.
Resolution FP-00-48**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.5b.(3) Seven SHOPP Projects totaling \$28,556,000 Amended
into the SHOPP by Department Action.
Resolution FP-00-49**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.5c. Financial Allocations for STIP/CL/EEM Projects Off the State Highway System

**2.5c.(1) Four Local Assistance STIP Projects totaling \$12,513,000 plus
\$598,000 from other sources.
Resolution FP-00-50**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.5c.(2) Four Local Assistance EEM Programs totaling \$891,480
plus \$509,728 from other sources.
Resolution FP-00-51**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.5d. Financial Allocation for Projects with Costs Greater than 120 Percent of
Program Amount**

No Items This Month

This area intentionally left blank

**2.5e. Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted Projects
Four Projects for \$1,863,000.
Resolution FA-00-05**

05-Mon-1 – SHOPP Project near Carmel at Carmel Valley Road. Original vote \$675,000. Current allocation \$675,000. This request of \$373,000 to award the project, for a grand total of \$1,048,000, a 55 percent increase over vote.

07-Ven-101 – STIP Project In San Buenaventura south of Seaward Avenue to north of Seaward Avenue. Original vote \$9,584,000. Current allocation \$10,530,000. This request of \$450,000 for an on-going project, for a grand total of \$10,980,000, a 15 percent increase over vote.

10-SJ-88 – SHOPP Project Near Clements at the Mokelumne River. Original vote \$1,329,000. Current allocation \$1,773,000. This request of \$350,000 for an on-going project, for a grand total of \$2,123,000, a 60 percent increase over vote.

11-SD-8 – SHOPP Project Near Alpine west of Peutz Valley Road and east of Viejas Creek Bridge. Original vote \$1,933,000. Current allocation \$1,933,000. This request of \$690,000 to award, for a grand total of \$2,623,000, a 36 percent increase over vote.

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.5f. Informational Reports on Allocations Under Delegated Authority

**2.5f.(1) Emergency: G-11 Allocations
Four Projects totaling \$1,705,000.**

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

**2.5f.(2) SHOPP Rehabilitation: G-00-10 Allocations
Two Projects totaling \$3,799,000.**

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

**2.5f.(3) SHOPP Safety: G-00-10 Allocations
Three Projects totaling \$3,720,000.**

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

**2.5f.(4) Local Assistance Allocation for Local Storm Damage and
Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-99-09 Allocations
Three Projects totaling \$2,855,000.**

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

2.6 Mass Transportation Financial Matters

**2.6a. Financial Allocation for Six Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects for \$13,774,000.
Resolution MFP-00-11**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.6b. Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Projects**2.6b.(1) Local Proposition 116 Rail Program Projects**

No Items This Month

2.6b.(2) State Proposition 116 Rail Program Projects

No Items This Month

**2.6b.(3) One Local Proposition 116 Non Urban County Project for \$138,500 in the City of Chico.
Resolution BFP-00-07**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.6b.(4) One Local Proposition 116 Competitive Bicycle Program Project

No Items This Month

2.6c. Project Allocation Amendments

- 2.6c.(1) Proposition 116 Non-Urban County (NUC) Program
**Butte County – Deallocate \$34,469 in Proposition 116 NUC funds cost savings from the completed Durham-Dayton Highway Bicycle project originally approved for \$166,052, for a revised total of \$131,583.
Resolution BFA-00-07, Amending Resolution BFA-97-08**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.6c.(2) **Transit Capital Improvement (TCI) Program
Orange County Transportation Authority, City of Laguna Niguel, City of Mission Viejo – Transfer the recipient status on \$2,981,679 in TCI Program funds allocated for the Laguna Niguel/Mission Viejo Commuter Rail Station Project from the City of Laguna Niguel to the Orange County Transportation Authority.
Resolution MFA-00-01, Amending Resolution MFA-97-02**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**2.6d. Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects, per Resolution G-00-23
13 Allocations totaling \$68,984,000.**

This information item was not presented at the CTC meeting due to time constraints. It was submitted as a book item only and there was no discussion on this item.

2.7 Aeronautics Financial Matters

No Items This Month

2.8 Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-00-20, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds / Proposition 116 Waiver Requests / Miscellaneous Requests

2.8a. Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation

No Items This Month

2.8b. Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award

2.8b.(1) Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for one (1) STIP Program project in the City of Santa Cruz for \$2,100,000. Waiver-00-61

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.8b.(2) Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for two (2) Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program projects totaling \$517,000. Waiver-00-62

This item was inadvertently left on the agenda from the November meeting by error.

2.8c. Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion

No Items This Month

2.8d. Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement

No Items This Month

2.8e. Request for Waiver to Resolution G-99-25, Commission's Guidelines for Allocating, Monitoring, and Auditing of Funds for Local Assistance Projects

2.8e.(1) Request to Extend the Period of Project Completion for the intercity rail Capitol Corridor Stations Platform project for \$3,000,000. Waiver-00-63

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.8e.(2) Request to Extend the Period of Contract Award for the intercity rail Oakland Maintenance Facility for \$30,141,195. Waiver-00-64

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

2.9 Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions

- 2.9a. Resolution FP-99-88, originally approved June 15, 1999, for \$44,867,000 in State and Federal funds for forty-three (43) Regional Improvement (RIP) projects. On Projects #30 (Valley Boulevard Widening - LACMTA - PPNO 07-7053), #37 (Intersection Reconstruction - LACMTA - PPNO 07-7052), and #38 (Grade Separation - LACMTA - PPNO 07-0437B), change the STIP allocations from a State/federal allocation to a State-Only funds allocation. This technical amendment will ensure that funding approved for this STIP project is allocated to the correct fund. No changes to the text of the resolution were necessary.**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.9b. Resolution FP-00-19, originally approved August 24, 2000, for \$36,055,000 in State and Federal funds for seven (7) Local Regional Transportation Improvement (RIP) projects. On Project #6 (Burbank Realignment and Widening Project - LACMTA - PPNO 07-2368), reduce the Programmed amount for Right of Way from \$5,799,000 to \$632,000 and increase Construction from \$0 to \$5,167,000. Also, correct allocation amount from \$5,799,000 in construction to \$632,000 in State-only funding for Right of Way and a partial allocation of \$3,500,000 in State/federal funding for Construction. The remaining \$1,667,000 in Construction will be allocated at a future date. This technical amendment will ensure that funding approved for this STIP project is allocated to the correct fund and phase. The allocation amount of the resolution will be reduced to \$34,388,000.**

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

- 2.9c. Resolution FP-97-54, originally approved January 15, 1998, in the amount of 34,208,000 for two (2) rehabilitation projects. Delete project #2 - Richmond-San Rafael Bridge for \$31,798,500 revising Resolution FP-97-54 by reducing the total allocation of State and Federal funds to \$2,410,000 for one (1) bridge rehabilitation project. Project #2 is moved to corrected Resolution FP-97-52 - "Allocations for New SHOPP Construction projects, project #14" - under agenda Item 2.9d. This technical amendment will ensure that funding approved for this project is allocated as programmed.**

This item was withdrawn by Caltrans prior to the meeting.

- 2.9d. Resolution FP-97-52, originally approved January 15, 1998, in the amount of \$40,866,000 for thirteen (13) construction projects. Add project #14 - Richmond-San Rafael Bridge project in Contra Costa and Marin Counties for \$31,798,500 revising Resolution FP-97-52 by increasing the total allocation of State and Federal funds to \$72,664,000 for fourteen (14) construction projects. Project #14 was moved from corrected Resolution FP-97-54, project #2 - "Allocations for New TOLL Construction projects" - under agenda Item 2.9c. This technical amendment will ensure that funding approved for this project is allocated as programmed.**

This item was withdrawn by Caltrans prior to the meeting.

3. PROGRAM STATUS**3.1 Caltrans Monthly FY 2000-2001 Finance Report**

Rick Terry, Caltrans, presented the Monthly Finance Report noting that the average daily cash balance in the State Highway Account was \$1.79 billion for September.

3.2 Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

3.3 Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action

This item was presented on the Information Calendar and noticed as required.

3.4 Caltrans Quarterly Report

Jack Boda, Warren Weber, and Rick Terry, Caltrans, reviewed the Caltrans Quarterly Report covering STIP and SHOPP program delivery, Right of Way, Seismic and Toll Bridge Retrofit, Contract Administration, Capital Support, and Intercity Rail. Mr. Boda noted that project delivery for the first quarter this year was similar to last year, and promised to begin monitoring projects with notably difficult environmental challenges and uncertain delivery individually starting next quarter. Commissioners McKenna and Lawrence made suggestions about the graphs and charts. Commissioner Lawson asked about passenger service across the Tehachapi route between the Central Valley and Los Angeles, and Mr. Weber said that Union Pacific runs too many freights on the line to allow room for passenger service.

3.5 Proposition 116 Bond Fund Program Status Report

Proposition 116 Program Manager Kathie Jacobs discussed the status of the Proposition 116 Bond Fund Program.

3.6 Account of Unobligated CMAQ and RSTP Funds per AB 1012's "Use It or Lose It" Provisions

Bob Buckley, Caltrans, reported that only \$25 million of Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality and Surface Transportation Program funds remained unobligated, down from \$330 million a year ago. Caltrans on December 5 sent out notice that \$225 million would fall under use-it-or-lose-it provisions in 2001. David Yale, LACMTA, stated that Los Angeles needed the opportunity to shift funding between Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality and Surface Transportation Programs, to balance shifting the state had done. Debbie Hale, regional agencies moderator, said the tracking process had helped regions to shift funds away from projects where delivery had stalled, but Caltrans still needed to work out accounting anomalies.

3.7 Status Report on Local Assistance Apportionments Spend-Down

Rick Terry, Caltrans, reported that this item would be presented at a subsequent meeting.

4. POLICY MATTERS**4.1 State Legislative Matters**

Summary of 2000 Election Results on Transportation Issues

Deputy Director Chuck Oldham presented a summary of the three measures (Proposition 35, Alameda County Measure B, and Santa Clara County Measure A) that were on the November 7, 2000 ballot and approved by the voters.

During discussion of the approved legislation, the Commission asked Caltrans to report back on the concept or proposal to apply Proposition 35 flexibility for Project Study Reports.

ACTION: B. Buckley

4.2 Native American Transportation Issues

Joan Sollenberger, Caltrans, and Bo Mazzetti, Executive Director of the Reservation Transportation Authority, summarized the transportation issues that had been identified during 3 previous CTC workshops then recommended actions to be pursued jointly by the Commission and the Tribes in the future.

4.3 Status Report – Special Study for Alameda Corridor East Projects Located in the Counties of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, and San Bernardino (Study Mandated by Provisions of AB 2928.)

Larry Magid, BT&H Deputy Secretary, discussed the importance of the Alameda Corridor to California's economy.

Chris Becker, Executive Director of On Trac Authority, Ken Ackbarali and Wally Baker, Vice Presidents of Economic Consulting for Los Angeles County Economic Development Corporation, Rick Richmond, CEO of Alameda Corridor East Construction Authority, and Lee Ward, Southern Regional Director of Korve Engineering presented information on the California Trade Forecast, and an overview of the Alameda corridor East Program and the status of the AB 2928 report.

4.4 2000 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)

4.4a. Commission Staff Recommendations

Deputy Director David Brewer reviewed the staff recommendations for the 2000 STIP, with \$1.5 billion available for new programming in the next four years, and presented an update of the staff recommendations and a letter from Caltrans modifying the ITIP. He reported that all 16 regions to which the Commission had sent notices of deficient RTIPs had responded, all missing project study reports had been completed, and unclear project scopes had been clarified. He noted that unmatched RTIP and ITIP funding shares had been reconciled in 5 out of 8 cases, and explained the other three situations in Marin, Merced, and Riverside Counties. Caltrans had asked for a \$130 million funding advance, nine regions asked for nearly \$100 million in advances, and about \$325 million would remain unprogrammed. Finally he presented a draft resolution to adopt the 2000 STIP.

Jim Nicholas, Caltrans Programming, asked the Commission to program funding for right-of-way support for the project in Merced County, even though no funding to buy any right of way would be programmed. After the Commission and staff discussed the advisability of programming this way, Commissioner Wolf recommended advancing a further \$24,6 million to Caltrans to program right of way for the project, and Mr. Nicholas agreed.

Mr. Nicholas then asked to program the ITIP funding for the project in Riverside, with intent to pin down a matching share from Riverside later. Eric Haley, Executive Director of Riverside County Transportation Commission, said Riverside needed to conserve STIP funds for cost overruns on projects in its sales tax program, and as a large urban county cannot advance funds. Executive Director Robert Remen suggested the Commission approve reserved funds targeted by the RTIP to specific projects, with amounts to be determined, and Mr. Nicholas agreed to that approach.

Commissioner McKenna asked if any issues remained, and Mr. Brewer said the policy for state-only funding for STIP projects would remain open for the next couple of months, pending staff analysis and Commission consideration..

Chairman Kellogg held adoption of the 2000 STIP over to the next day, to allow time to modify the adopting resolution.

**4.4b. Adoption of 2000 STIP
Resolution G-00-___**

Executive Director Robert Remen presented a resolution to adopt the 2000 STIP, revised per Commission discussion the day before. Vice Chairman Hallisey wanted to program full funding for the project in Riverside, and asked Caltrans and Riverside County to commit \$3.25 million each. Jim Nicholas, Caltrans, agreed to add the funds to the ITIP, and Eric Haley, Riverside County Transportation Commission, agreed to recommend his Commission approve the funding through the RTIP at its next meeting.

John Ferrera, Business, Transportation & Housing Agency, noted support for \$10 million for the Route 10 Etiwanda Avenue interchange project in San Bernardino, to stimulate redevelopment. Commissioner Wolf asked about Caltrans' support and progress on the Third Feather River Bridge project, and Mr. Nicholas assured the Commission that all parties were satisfied with current progress. Commissioner Lindsey asked Caltrans to simplify the process for rural counties to use federal funds for road rehabilitation projects.

Vice Chairman Hallisey moved adoption of the 2000 STIP, as modified, with temporary extension of the current policy for state-only funding to cover any projects delivered through April 1, 2001, pending Commission review and reconsideration of the policy. Commissioner Kozberg seconded the motion which passed 9-0.

4.5 Adoption of 2000 Annual Report to Legislature

Executive Director Robert Remen presented an overview of the draft of the 2000 Annual Report to the Legislature.

The Commissioners discussed the report and Commissioner Kozberg noted that it should be used in conjunction with efforts to work with new incoming legislators to provide a history of transportation funding issues to develop new transportation legislation.

Action: Commissioner Kozberg/R. Remen

Commissioner Wolf moved to approve the draft and authorize the Chairman to review and approve the final version of the Annual Report. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion which carried 9-0.

4.6 Rural Counties Task Force Annual Report

Charles Field, Rural Counties Task Force Chairman, presented the Rural Counties Annual Report and summarized the issues of concern to the rural counties. Staff was asked to provide the Commissioners a list of all counties participating in the Rural Counties Task Force along with an illustrative map.

ACTION: K. Jacobs

4.7 2000 Annual Airspace Report

Gene Mattocks, Caltrans, presented the 2000 Annual Airspace Report. He discussed the background and role of the Airspace Committee and discussed the changes in revenues from FY 98-99 to FY 99-00.

4.8 Leases of Airspace to Public Entities for Mass Transit Facilities

Gene Mattocks, Caltrans, discussed Caltrans' intent to pursue the lease of airspace to public entities for new mass transit facilities at less than market rates. After discussion, the Commission requested Caltrans to develop and present at the January or February Commission meeting an implementing policy resolution for the new program initiative to utilize airspace and surplus properties to encourage enhanced transit usage, and to present the program concept to the Airspace Advisory Committee followed by full presentation to the Commission.

ACTION: G. Mattocks

4.9 Status Report on Guidelines for Traffic Congestion Relief Act Exchange Program

This item was deferred to the January Commission meeting.

4.10 Presentation on Route Segments Specified in Statute for Possible Relinquishment

Karla Sutliff, Caltrans, presented information on relinquishments to cities and counties, noting the main issue typically centers on state-of-good-repair. Chancellor Henry Yang, University of California Santa Barbara, expressed the University's concerns with a proposal to convert State Route 217 to a county highway. Commissioner Kozberg moved that the Commission not consider relinquishment of this freeway unless and until we have agreement between the County and the University as to a solution that is acceptable to both. Commissioner Wolf seconded the motion which carried 9-0.

4.11 Six-Month Estimate of Loan Capacity Under AB 1012 Approval of Funding Level Resolution G-00-___, Amending Resolution G-00-16

Rick Terry, Caltrans, presented an estimate of loan capacity under AB 1012, which would be in place for the next six months, and noted that no loans had been requested to date. Commissioner Lawrence moved to approve the loan capacity. Commissioner McKenna seconded the motion which carried 8-0 (Vice Chairman Hallisey was absent).

4.12 Presentation on Accelerated Delivery of the I-680/Sunol Grade Project in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties. Caltrans District 4, Alameda Congestion Management Agency and the Solutions on Sunol Coalition

Bill Gray, a private consultant; Dianne Steinhauser, Caltrans; Dennis Fay, Alameda CMA; and Emily Landin-Lowe, Caltrans, presented a summary of the actions and efforts to accelerate delivery of the Route 680 Sunol Grade project in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties.

5. MODAL ISSUES**5.1 Rail**

No Items This Month

5.2 Highways

No Items This Month

5.3 Transit

No Items This Month

5.4 Aviation**5.4a. Status Report on Tijuana International Airport**

Guillermo Villalba Morales, Tijuana Airport, discussed the development plans for Tijuana Airport and proposed a partnership with California to capitalize on the opportunities to utilize the Tijuana Airport to help address the needs of the San Diego region.

5.4b. Los Angeles International Airport Modernization and Master Plan Program

Lydia Kennard, Los Angeles World Airports Executive Director, presented an overview of the Los Angeles International Airport Modernization and Master Plan Program.

6. OTHER MATTERS

There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 1:46 p.m.

Robert I. Remen, Executive Director

STATUS OF ACTION ITEMS
from
December 5-6, 2000 - CTC Meeting

- 1.(2) Jim Nicholas/Robert Remen – Develop alternative format for Quarterly Report toward goals of making the report more instructive and better at portraying the history of delivery, and present at next Quarterly Report. (*Quarterly Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done routinely.**
- 1.(9) Kim Nystrom – Report back to Commission to review current restriction on weight distribution for individual trucks and possible impact on pavement life.
- **Caltrans is preparing a response and will report back to the Commission.**
- 1.(9) Eric Haley (RCTC) – Provide Commissioner Hallisey with document of overview of Riverside’s integrated project system.
- **Staff's understanding is that this has been done.**
- 2.1c Gay Forbes (City of South Pasadena) – Work with Robert Chung and Debbie Mah to respond to 5 concerns expressed re: Project #152 so Commission may consider allocation of funds at January CTC meeting. (*January Agenda Item*)
- **This will be discussed under January Agenda Item 2.1c.(2) and related Item 2.6e.**
- 2.2b Pete Hathaway – Prepare letter to Caltrans, with copy to LACMTA, about STIP funding constraints for Route 138 corridor, necessity for LACMTA to bring RTIP funds to that corridor, and/or alternatively phase projects in corridor against available funds.
- **This has been done.**
- 3.7 Rick Terry – Return at January or February CTC meeting with presentation on draw-down of federal and State apportionments for local agencies. (*January or February 2001 Agenda Item*)
- **This will be done and reported on at February 2001 Commission meeting.**
- 4.1 Bob Buckley – Report back on concept or proposal to apply Proposition 35 flexibility for Project Study Reports (PSRs).
- **Caltrans staff has been working on this and will respond on this proposal.**
- 4.4b David Brewer/Rick Terry/Jim Nicholas/Debbie Hale (RTPA Moderator) – Review development and capacity for State-only funding in STIP toward updating current policy, for presentation at March 2001 CTC meeting. Also, include as part of review instances where using federal funds for projects is cost-effective as way of conserving State funds for other uses. (*March Agenda*)
- **This will be done and reported on at March 2001 Commission meeting.**

4.5 Chairman Roger Kozberg/Robert Remen – Make efforts to work with incoming Legislators to provide history of transportation funding issues not dealt with directly in 2000 Annual Report.

· **This will be done.**

4.5 Robert Remen – Work with Chairman James Kellogg and Chairman-Elect Roger Kozberg to finalize Annual Report.

· **This has been done.**

4.6 Kathie Jacobs – Provide to all Commissioners a list of all counties participating in the Rural Counties Task Force along with an illustrative map.

· **This has been done.**

4.6 Therese McMillan (MTC) – Provide all Commissioners with Moving Costs: A Transportation Guide for the San Francisco Bay Area.

· **Staff's understanding is that this has been done.**

4.8 Gene Mattocks – Develop and present at January or February CTC meeting implementing resolution for new program initiative to utilize airspace and surplus properties to encourage enhanced transit usage, and present program concept to Airspace Advisory Committee at its January meeting followed by presentation to full Commission. (~~January~~ or February Agenda)

· **This will be done and reported on at February 2001 Commission meeting.**

4.10 Robert W. Kuntz (U.C. Santa Barbara)/Michael F. Brown (Santa Barbara County) – Resolve common concerns regarding Route 217 corridor prior to seeking Commission consideration of relinquishment with intent of preserving free flow access to U.C. campus from Route 101.

· **Santa Barbara County is initiating at workshop effort to accomplish this.**