

# California Transportation Plan 2040

## Key Public Workshop Themes & Findings

- Prepared by the Center for Collaborative Policy -

### 1) Multimodal Connectivity

#### What was heard regarding: intermodal planning; messaging; land use coordination

- *Plan needs to focus more on transportation methods other than driving/highways more often and more prominently*
- *Messaging to residents through the Plan and ongoing communication should stress other modes stronger*
- *Many other local, regional and state agency plans—confusing; need ‘translation’ on how they all coordinate*
- *Benefits of efficient movement of people/goods and reducing congestion should be stressed*

### 2) Equity and Accessibility

#### What was heard regarding: fairness, clarity, need for accessibility focus

- *Plan should stress more directly a focus on ‘fairness’ towards under represented communities including people with disabilities, people of color, etc. Vision statement calls it out but the linkages inside the Plan are weak*
- *Accommodation and active consideration of disability communities is mentioned by real ‘actions’ are lacking*
- *There are more than one type of disability or cultural viewpoint—Tribes, urban vs. rural, etc., clarity needed*

### 3) Safety and Reliability

#### What was heard regarding: repair vs. build new; trends via personal patterns; messaging

- *Safety should be understood from a cultural viewpoint—visually impaired; cars vs. bus use; bike access, etc.*
- *‘Safety first’ is a key message that needs to be a guiding principle overlay to creation of Plan options*
- *New technology could assist—driverless and new generation electric cars, traffic mitigation—stress more*

### 4) Environmental Protection and Health Benefits

#### What was heard regarding: greenhouse gas emission reduction; clean air; stress ‘green’

- *Need to link specific individual actions that help; problem can be too big and overwhelming to grasp*
- *Examples, best practices are needed in the Plan—in understandable ‘bites’, some is there but too complex*
- *People do support creative technology and system design approaches as a way forward to address issues*

### 5) Funding

#### What was heard regarding: allocation, priorities, sources of funding

- *Plan focus does not stress enough the need to allocate funding and programs beyond ‘big’ projects towards paratransit, low income, Tribal and other under-represented communities*
- *California population is aging and shifting to urban centers and coastal areas—what funding criteria changes?*
- *Quality of life is affected in many ways—low income can’t afford special/private road fees*
- *The huge money needed to fix CA’s transportation problems is confusing and complicated—hard to grasp*