State of California
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

California State Transportation Agency

Memorandum

Making Conservation a California Way of Life.

To: DISTRICT RIGHT OF WAY DEPUTY DIRECTORS
REGIONAL RIGHT OF WAY MANAGERS

Date: January 4, 2019

File: RELOCATION ASSISTANCE
Reference File 2019-01

From: JEFFREY PURDIE
Chief
Office of Right of Way Project Delivery

Subject: **EXTENSION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF TEMPORARY PROGRAMMATIC WAIVER: WAIVER OF 49 CFR 24.401(b)(1) – CALCULATION OF REPLACEMENT HOUSING PAYMENT FOR NEGATIVE EQUITY**

On April 7, 2009, the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) issued a Memorandum entitled, "Temporary Programmatic Waiver: Waiver of 49 CFR 24.401(b)(1) – Calculation of Replacement Housing Payment for Negative Equity". The California Department of Transportation (Department) requested and received a programmatic waiver for calculating maximum replacement housing payments based upon the initial written offer of just compensation rather than the administrative settlement amount. Refer to Relocation Assistance Reference File 2009-01 for additional information on particulars of the waiver. The original waiver expired on January 1, 2011 and was subsequently extended through December 31, 2018. It has now been extended through December 31, 2019.

Attachment

c: Jennifer Lowden, Chief, Division of Right of Way and Land Surveys
René Fletcher, Assistant Division Chief, Right of Way and Land Surveys
Kimberly Erickson, Chief, Office of Real Property Services
Linda Tong, Chief, Office of Appraisals and Local Programs
Tiaira Moering, Chief, Office of Railroads and Utility Relocations
Ben Martin, Chief, Office of Strategic Innovation
Lori Brownell, Chief, Office of Planning and Management
Eric Blankenburg, Senior Right of Way Agent, Headquarters Right of Way
Thomas O'Neil, Senior Right of Way Agent, Headquarters Right of Way
Mark Zgombic, Senior Right of Way Agent, Headquarters Right of Way
Shalvin Singh, Senior Right of Way Agent, Headquarters Right of Way
Mark Rowan, Senior Right of Way Agent, Headquarters Right of Way

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Memorandum

Subject: **Information:** Temporary Waiver of Methodology for Calculating a Replacement Housing Payment for Negative Equity

Date: January 2, 2019

From: Mary Ridgeway, Director
Office of Real Estate Services

In Reply Refer To:
HEPR-1

To: Division Administrators
Attn: Division Realty Professionals

Purpose

This temporary waiver of the Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 24.401(b)(1) methodology for calculating Replacement Housing Payments (RHP) for displaced homeowner-occupants supersedes the waiver issued December 27, 2016, and shall remain in effect through December 31, 2019, unless otherwise extended or rescinded in writing by FHWA Office of Real Estate Services. All other requirements of 49 CFR part 24 continue to apply.

Background

Since its implementation in 2009, the temporary waiver has been extended for 2 year periods. The FHWA has continued to monitor the indicators of whether the national residential real estate market has recovered to an extent that this temporary waiver is no longer necessary. Recent U.S. housing sales price data from years 2006-2017 in a CoreLogic analysis entitled "U.S. Home Prices Have Returned to 2006 Peak"[1] show that overall national home sale prices returned to the April 2006 peak sale prices in August of 2017. However, the CoreLogic report also notes that while several States have exceeded peak 2006 sale prices, several others continue to have sale prices below their 2006 peak.

Additionally, the Office of Real Estate Services has conducted outreach with its State and Federal partners and stakeholders for insight about local residential real estate market conditions. More than 50% of the FHWA's Division offices confirm that the temporary waiver is still necessary in their State, and 75% of responding Federal Agencies concurred the need still exists. During 2019, FHWA is planning a more data intensive examination of nationwide residential real estate sale prices, and of individual State residential real estate markets, to determine if the waiver continues to be necessary. The FHWA expects this examination to be complete by the Fall of 2019, and will use the results of the examination to make a determination of the need for the temporary waiver beyond 2019.

[1] https://www.corelogic.com/blog/2017/10/home-price-index-highlights-august-2017.aspx.

Under the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended (Uniform Act), persons displaced by a Federal financially-assisted project are entitled to specified benefits designed to minimize the hardship caused when they are forced to relocate to accommodate a public improvement project. One such benefit is the RHP provided to homeowner-occupants to help bridge the gap between the just compensation they are constitutionally entitled to receive for the acquisition of their property and the additional costs they may incur to obtain a comparable replacement property. In describing one of the fundamental objectives of the Uniform Act, the legislative history makes clear that a displaced homeowner should not be left worse off economically than he or she was before displacement and should be able to relocate to a comparable dwelling that is decent, safe, and sanitary -- that sentiment is codified in Title 42 of the United States Code (U.S.C.) § 4621.

In today's real estate market, State agencies are faced with the prospect of displacing homeowner-occupants who hold negative equity in what is commonly referred to as "upside-down" mortgages where the fair market value of the property (or just compensation) is less than the outstanding debt (the mortgage). In these circumstances, an administrative settlement negotiated to acquire the property for a federally-assisted project may eliminate the RHP otherwise available to the homeowner-occupant to assist in relocating to a replacement dwelling. Since the need to satisfy the mortgage balance arises as a direct result of the acquisition by the State DOT of the property for Title 23 U.S.C. eligible projects, the displaced homeowner-occupant should not be deprived of a RHP, making his or her situation worse than it was before displacement. In other words, but for the displacement caused by the transportation project, the homeowner-occupant could continue to remain in the property, pay the periodic mortgage payments until real property values recover to eliminate the negative equity, and avoid the disruption caused by relocation.

The current and historically unique negative equity housing situation has created circumstances that justify a waiver of the methodology to calculate a RHP under 49 CFR 24.401(b)(1), to permit State DOTs to use modified procedures when determined necessary to ensure that homeowner-occupants receive the assistance needed to relocate to a comparable replacement dwelling. Section 24.7 of the Uniform Act regulations allows waivers of any requirement in 49 CFR part 24 not required by law if the funding agency determines that the waiver "does not reduce any assistance or protection provided to an owner or displaced person." For the above reasons, the Office of Real Estate Services is issuing this temporary waiver to be implemented by FHWA as set forth below.

Implementation of Waiver

This waiver is applicable only to those situations where displaced homeowner-occupants have negative equity in the property being acquired. Negative equity is a situation in which real property is encumbered by a mortgage (or other qualified liens) that exceeds the current fair market value of the property. Where applicable, this waiver eliminates the normal requirement that the RHP calculation use the amount finally determined and paid as just compensation for the property as required in 49 CFR 24.401(b)(1). Instead, the RHP calculation uses the appraisal-based just compensation amount in the original offer to acquire, even though the final just

compensation amount may have been increased through an administrative settlement that takes into account the amount by which the mortgage/lien balance exceeds the fair market value of the property acquired.

In other words, pursuant to 49 CFR 24.7, in a negative equity situation this waiver defines "acquisition cost" as used in 49 CFR 24.401(b)(1) to mean the initial written offer of just compensation when calculating the amount by which the cost of the replacement dwelling exceeds the acquisition cost to determine the RHP amount.

This allows a State DOT, when appropriately justified (see Attachment A), to enter into an administrative settlement for the acquisition of a property with negative equity without affecting the calculation and reimbursement of a RHP. Examples of this calculation are shown in Attachment B to this memorandum.

The use of the waiver is voluntary on the part of the State DOT and is subject to FHWA approval on a programmatic basis. Should a State DOT elect to use this waiver, it should:

1. Ensure use of the waiver will not reduce any assistance or protection to the homeowner (49 CFR 24.7).

2. Make a public interest finding that clearly demonstrates that the proposed process for use of the waiver is fair and equitable. This should include a finding that the homeowner-occupants bought their homes during the times of rapidly increasing home values; and while they are in negative equity situations, they are not in default and continue to meet their monthly payment obligations in a timely manner. Except for the compulsory acquisition of their property for a public transportation project, these homeowner-occupants could continue to make their payments and wait for the market to stabilize and home values to increase before disposing of, or otherwise selling, their property.

3. Ensure the State DOT's procedures to justify administrative settlements and obtain adequate title, in accordance with its FHWA approved Right-of-Way Manual, will apply to the use of the waiver.

4. Ensure that a homeowner-occupant with negative equity has not received and has not applied to receive mortgage debt relief or mortgage reduction to ensure that a windfall is not realized as a result of a negative equity waiver negotiated settlement. If debt relief or mortgage reduction has been received or will be received the State must have a process in place which would ensure that the State receives reimbursement for any amount paid to the homeowner-occupant as part of the negative equity waiver negotiated settlement that is no longer owed by the homeowner-occupant for the mortgage/s on the acquired property.

The State DOT's proposal for implementation of the waiver should be submitted in writing to the Division Administrator for review and approval. The Division Administrator will review the proposal and issue either an implementation approval or, where warranted, the Division Administrator may temporarily withhold approval and recommend revisions to the State DOT's

proposal to ensure the waiver is being properly implemented. and request that the State DOT resubmit its request.

Implementation is subject to Division Administrator oversight. It is anticipated that the Division office will review the use and implementation of this waiver at six month intervals to evaluate its effectiveness and ensure that it is implemented in a manner consistent with this memorandum. Division office approval may be rescinded upon a determination that the waiver is not being properly implemented.

This waiver is intended to provide an additional tool to assist State DOTs in the relocation of homeowner-occupants impacted by negative equity in the acquired property, and is not intended to eliminate the need to comply with other requirements of the Uniform Act and implementing regulations, including but not limited to the requirements for relocation planning, advisory services, and coordination pursuant to 49 CFR 24.205. Moreover, it is intended to supplement other available tools (Attachment A) to assist State DOTs in addressing all impacts associated with the acquisition of property and relocation of the homeowner.

Questions concerning this waiver may be directed to Melissa Corder at (202) 366-5853 or at melissa.corder@dot.gov, or by contacting your Office of Real Estate Point of Contact.

Attachments:
Attachment A: Other Tools
Attachment B: Example Calculation

Attachment A: Other Tools

Other available tools, unaffected by this waiver, include Administrative Settlements as authorized by 49 CFR 24.102(i)[2] and Housing of Last Resort as authorized by 49 CFR 24.404. While extensive discussion of these other tools is beyond the scope of this Memorandum, they are addressed within the documents referenced below. However, the following comments should be considered in evaluating how best to take into account specific facts of a particular situation.

Administrative Settlement

The State DOT may, when appropriate and justified, utilize an "Administrative Settlement" as indicated in 49 CFR 24.102(i):

The purchase price for the property may exceed the amount offered as just compensation when reasonable efforts to negotiate an agreement at that amount have failed and an authorized Agency official approves such Administrative Settlement as being reasonable, prudent, and in the public interest. When Federal funds pay for, or participate in, acquisition costs, a written justification shall be prepared, which states what available information, including trial risks, supports such a settlement.

Accordingly, the amount paid for an Administrative Settlement must be documented and justified, and based upon the value of the acquired property, taking into account such factors as valuation information, trial risks, and litigation costs. It is not intended to be a substitute for relocation benefits. Under this waiver, the amount of the Administrative Settlement will not be taken into consideration in determining a RHP, which would otherwise offset funds available to the homeowner to acquire a comparable replacement home.

Housing of Last Resort

The State DOT may, when appropriate and justified, utilize "Replacement Housing of Last Resort". The purpose of Housing of Last Resort is to provide the assistance necessary to ensure that a comparable replacement dwelling is available when no comparable replacement housing is available within the limits specified in 49 CFR 24.401. However, Housing of Last Resort is not intended to be used as a method to provide financial relief to those with negative equity. A comparable replacement dwelling may be "housing of last resort" if there are **no** comparables available within the specified limits in 49 CFR 24.401. The additional tools under Housing of Last Resort are only available in specific instances where use of Housing of Last Resort is otherwise required for the reasons described at 49 CFR 24.404. These additional tools are incidental to providing an RHP and are not meant to augment the RHP.

---

[2] The use of administrative settlements in the Federal-aid Highway Program is also subject to provisions in 23 CFR 710.105 and 710.203.

Attachment B: Example Calculation

Example 1:

An owner owes $200,000 on the mortgage for a home purchased several years ago.[3] The real estate market has rapidly declined since the purchase and not recovered. The State DOT needs the property for a project, appraises the property and presents the owner a written offer in the amount of $150,000. The State DOT finds a comparable replacement dwelling on the market for $170,000 because of the market decline. The price differential payment eligibility is $20,000. The owner and State DOT agree to a settlement of $200,000 for the purchase of the property. Normally the $20,000 price differential eligibility is zeroed, per the regulations, because the appropriately justified $50,000 administrative settlement exceeds the price differential eligibility. However, this waiver allows the State DOT to pay $200,000 to the owner to acquire the property **and** allows the owner to retain the $20,000 RHP as a down payment on the comparable, provided the remaining requirements of 49 CFR part 24 are met.

$170,000 (Comparable Replacement Dwelling)	$200,000 (Agreement Amount)
- $150,000 (Just Compensation Offer)	*- $150,000 (Just Compensation Offer)*
$ 20,000 (RHP Eligibility)	$ 50,000 (Increase-Administrative Settlement)
Normal RHP Payment Determination	**Waiver RHP Payment Determination**
$50,000 (Increase-Administrative Settlement) is greater than the $20,000 (RHP Eligibility) resulting in a $0 RHP Payment.	$50,000 (Increase-Administrative Settlement) is greater than the $20,000 (RHP Eligibility) so the waiver allows a $20,000 RHP Payment.

[3] The original purchase price is not a factor in these calculations.

Example 2:

An owner owes $300,000 on the mortgage for a home purchased several years ago.[4] The real estate market has rapidly declined since the purchase. The State DOT needs the property for a project, appraises the property and presents the owner a written offer in the amount of $225,000. The State DOT finds a comparable replacement dwelling on the market for $260,000 because of the market decline. The price differential payment eligibility is $35,000. Because a comparable replacement dwelling is not available within the specified limits of 49 CFR 24.401, the comparable is made available under Housing of Last Resort. The owner and State DOT agree to a settlement of $300,000 for the purchase of the property. Normally the $35,000 price differential eligibility is zeroed, per the regulations, because the appropriately justified $75,000 administrative settlement exceeds the price differential eligibility. However, this waiver allows the State DOT to pay $300,000 to the owner to acquire the property **and** allows the owner to retain the $35,000 RHP as a down payment on the comparable, provided the remaining requirements of 49 CFR part 24 are met.

$260,000 (Comparable Replacement Dwelling)	$300,000 (Agreement Amount)
-$225,000 (Just Compensation Offer)	*-$225,000 (Just Compensation Offer)*
$ 35,000 (RHP Eligibility)	$ 75,000 (Increase-Administrative Settlement)
Normal RHP Payment Determination	**Waiver RHP Payment Determination**
$75,000 (Increase-Administrative Settlement) is greater than the $35,000 (RHP Eligibility) resulting in a $0 RHP Payment.	$75,000 (Increase-Administrative Settlement) is greater than the $35,000 (RHP Eligibility) so the waiver allows a $35,000 RHP Payment.

[4]The original purchase price is not a factor in these calculations.