

**Clarifications No. 3, October 17, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

RFC No.	Class	Document	Section	Clarification	Response
46	3	ITP	Appendix B & E	The Administrative Submittal Requirements (Appendix B) were not identified in the Evaluation Criteria and Weighting (Appendix E) of the ITP. Is the Administrative Submittal Requirements section evaluated? If so please provide the scoring matrix for that section.	The Administrative Submittal Requirements are not part of the scoring evaluation.
47	4	ITP, Appendix B, Appendix F,	3.4 pg. 33 and Appendix F, Form 5	Form 5 does <u>NOT</u> mention Key Personnel in regards to who must execute Form 5. Form 5: Equal Employment Opportunity Certification states: <i>[To be executed by the Proposer, <u>Key Personnel</u>, Principal/Major Participants, proposed Subconsultants and proposed Subcontractors]</i> . Question: Which is correct? Does the Department want the Proposer to submit a Form 5 for each of the 22 Key Personnel listed in Form 2?	No, Key Personnel do not need to execute Form. 5. The firms employing Key Personnel must execute Form 5.
48	3	Book 2	2.5.2.3	Co-Location - This section states that, "The Design-Builder shall establish a main Integrated Project Office (IPO)..." While the value of an IPO is understood and well-documented, the magnitude of this particular project does not appear to justify the expenses and logistical requirements of an IPO. Please confirm that the IPO is an absolute requirement for the entire design-build team.	There is no requirement for the entire design-build team to be located in the Integrated Project Office. Book 2, Section 2.5.2.2.2 provides requirements for Key Personnel and their required presence at the Project site.

**Clarifications No. 3, October 17, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

RFC No.	Class	Document	Section	Clarification	Response
49	3	Book 2	4.4.1.3	Contaminated Materials - This section states that, "The Design-Builder shall develop and implement a comprehensive environmental site assessment (ASTM E1527-05 Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) Process) for new parcels that are to be acquired for the project. The section continues, "The Design-Builder shall develop and implement a comprehensive Site Investigation (ASTM E1903-97 (2002) Standard Guide for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (SI) Process and/or Department Site Investigation Standard Protocol). Since the MND/FONSI and supporting environmental technical documents are complete and the right of way acquisition process is underway, Phase I and Phase II Site Assessments should already have been completed for the parcels currently identified for acquisitions. Please confirm that the requirement for Phase I and Phase II Site Assessments only applies if the Design-Builder intends to acquire new parcels that have not already been identified or additional areas of parcels that have been already identified.	Phase I and Phase II Site Assessments will only be required if the Design-Builder identifies the need for additional parcels beyond those identified by the Department as shown in Exhibit 7-A.
50	3	Book 2	6 & RFC 23	Please elaborate on RFC 23. Does the cost for the relocation of the LADWP high power lines get added to our bid price?	No, the costs referenced in RFP 23 are the costs charged by the electric power companies for providing power connections.
51	3	Book 2	6.1 (Pg 6-1)	According to the RFP documents, all utility design and construction needed for this project with exception of sewer and storm drain, will all of this be a part of "a separate work order"?	In the event that the utility owner cannot perform the design of the Utility Relocation Plan or perform the relocation work, the Department may request the Design-Builder to perform this activity under a specific Work Order. Work Orders would be a Change in Allocation of Responsibility as described in Book 1, Section 6.2.2.
52	3	Book 2	6.2.3.1	Please provide preliminary layout of LAW&P tower modifications?	Preliminary layouts are not yet available as LAW&P has not yet completed their relocation plans for the towers.

**Clarifications No. 3, October 17, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

<b>RFC No.</b>	<b>Class</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Clarification</b>	<b>Response</b>
53	4	Book 2	6.5	[Utility] Deliverables - Please confirm that in the first bullet, it is the Department's intention that the Design-Builder execute Master Utility Agreements (MUAs) with utility owners that do not have Master Agreements already in place with the Department. These are typically entered into between the utility owner and the sponsoring agency and/or the Department.	The Design-Builder will not be required to execute Master Utility Agreements on the Project. This requirement will be deleted in a future addendum.
54	3	Book 2	14	Besides the landscape areas listed in Exhibit 14, will the Contractor be required to replace any other impacted landscape such as the shoulder of SB 605 (palm trees)?	Design-Builder will be required to replace all impacted landscape areas.
55	4	Book 2	14	Please consider deletion of the landscape work from the design/build contract.	The Department has considered the issue presented by the Proposer and decided to not modify the position reflected in the RFP documents at this time.
56	3	Book 2	15.2.4	Will the Department consider a simpler aesthetic pattern to the one provided in Book 2, Exhibit 15A. The proposed design contains 3 forming patterns and 3 smooth bands. The proposed pattern will be very difficult to construct on MSE walls and sound walls.	The proposed aesthetic treatments shown in Book 2, Exhibit 15A for the MSE walls and soundwalls are Project requirements. Aesthetics is an area that the Department did indicate that it would entertain Alternative Technical Concepts.
57	3	Book 2	17.3.3.1,	Ramp Metering Systems (RMS) (Page 17-5) – The requirement refers to Section 26 entitled “Ramp Metering” for requirements. Section 26 does not exist. Please confirm whether it should state Section 17.4.2, Ramp Metering System or Section 23 Connector Metering.	This should refer to Section 23 Connector Metering. This will be corrected in a future addendum.
58	3	Book 2	18	General - Who will pay COZEEP costs for the project?	The Department will pay for COZEEP costs for the Project.
59	3	Book 2	18	Please clarify the number of mixed and HOV lanes required to remain open during periods labeled “N” on Lane Closure Charts 3 and 4 for I-605.	All existing lanes shall remain open during periods labeled “N” on Lane Closure Charts 3 and 4 for I-605
60	3	Book 2	18	On Lane Closure Charts 1 through 4, will work be permitted behind K-Rail during the times indicated with an “N”?	Yes, provided that there is no closure of any lanes.

**Clarifications No. 3, October 17, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

<b>RFC No.</b>	<b>Class</b>	<b>Document</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Clarification</b>	<b>Response</b>
61	3	Book 2	19.4.1	Maintenance - The first sentence of this section refers to maintenance activities that will continue to be provided by the Department as being contained in Section 19.4.2, which does not exist in Book 2. Please provide Section 19.4.2 of Book 2.	This section was inadvertently left out. Book 2, Section 19.4.2 will be provided in a future addendum.
62	3	Book 2	22.3	[Storm Water] Design Requirements - The second paragraph states that, "The Design-Builder shall provide stormwater treatment to a minimum of 76 percent of the Water Quality Volume (WQV). This WQV represents the runoff from a 0.75-inch rain event falling on all impervious surfaces within the Project limits. Please confirm that the Design-Builder is only required to treat 100% of the net new impervious surfaces constructed in this project and not some percentage of all the impervious surfaces in the project limits such as all of I-10 and I-605 mainline pavements that already exist.	Yes, this is confirmed.
63	3	Book 2	23	Connector Metering (Page 23-1) – Please confirm that connector metering is still required on the one lane flyover ramp from the SB I-605 to WB I-10. Also, please confirm that connector metering is not required for SB I-605 to WB I-10 and NB I-605 to WB I-10. Both connectors are impacted by this project.	It is confirmed that connector metering is required on the one-lane flyover ramp from the SB I-605 to WB I-10  It is confirmed that connector metering is NOT required for SB I-605 to WB I-10 and NB I-605 to WB I-10.
64	3	Book 2,	23.3.1.2,	Connector Metering Design Requirements (Page 23-3) – The requirement indicates "a Maintenance Vehicle Pullout (MVP) shall be installed adjacent to the connector metering and booster cabinet location." This requirement is also stated in Section 17.3.2 (Page 17-5). Please confirm that a maintenance vehicle pullout (MVP) is required for the one-lane flyover connector metering system. It appears there is insufficient space to provide an MVP without significantly impacting Dalewood Street or the EB I-10 mainline freeway or entrance ramps.	A Maintenance Vehicle Pullout will not be required for the one-lane flyover connector metering system due to insufficient space.
65	4	RID	General	Please provide the San Gabriel Valley Water District As-Built Plans for Water and Sewer within the project limits.	Refer to Utility Plans in the on-going I-10 Widening construction project Contract No. 117074. These plans are provided for reference only.

**Clarifications No. 3, October 17, 2011 – LA 10/605 Construct Connector Design-Build Project Contract No. 07-245404**

RFC No.	Class	Document	Section	Clarification	Response
66	3	RID	Project Report	COZEEP is mentioned as State-furnished material (\$840,000). Please confirm.	COZEEP will be provided and paid for by the Department.