Superpave Meeting Notes – San Diego, Ca.

Group Memory

Superpave Sub Task Group

November 14, 2013

Next Meeting dates
December 19, 2013

Desired outcome for next meeting:

Turn everything blue.

Collect data

Bin List & Great Ideas

(8-21-2013): CT will look at QCQA specs when the specification goes live – July 1, 2014

Group Decisions

All decisions made will be double underlined in the body of the notes below.

(Date)

Document Register

Upshot

These are the assignments made at the first two meetings. **ADDITIONAL ISSUE-SPECIFIC ACTION ITEMS BEGINNING WITH APRIL MEETING ARE SHOWN IN THE WORKING LIST, AND INDICATED BY BEING BOLD, UNDERLINED.**

Ref. #	Who	What	When
1	Joe	Provide data for RAP specific gravity and binder content to Tony and Tim on the two projects where we have data.	~~2/22/2013~~ ~~4/11/2013~~ ~~6/18/2013~~ On-going
2	Joe	will get data for industry on the mixes Caltrans has, and will look at 12.5 and 13.5.	~~2/22/2013~~ ~~3/12/2013~~ ~~6/18/2013~~ On-going
3	Tony	Establish a focus group New mix design when RAP Specific Gravity changes by > ± 0.06	~~6/1/2013~~ ~~7/25/2013~~ ~~8/21/2013~~ ~~9/26/2013~~ hold

Superpave Meeting Notes – San Diego, Ca.

Ref. #	Who	What	When
~~4~~	~~Pascal Tim~~	~~Establish a focus group VMA for ¾ and ½ inch mixes (13.5/14.5) - work with Tony and look for volunteers.~~	~~6/1/2013~~ ~~7/25/2013~~
~~5~~	~~Joe~~	~~Work with Construction and report back to the group at the next meeting. "Item 19: To resolve dispute both QC and QA data should be reviewed. Initially by Engineer and Contractor and then ITP, if needed."~~	~~3/12/2013~~ ~~4/11/2013~~ ~~6/18/2013~~ ~~7/25/2013~~ ~~8/21/2013~~
6	Joe	Joe needs to share data with industry on item 6: Minimum binder content of 7.5 may be a problem. Does Caltrans have data to support this change?	~~3/12/2013~~ ~~4/11/2013~~ ~~6/18/2013~~ On-going
~~7~~	~~Tony and Tim~~	~~Item 21: Industry needs to show data with gyratory compactor, if they have it.~~	~~6/1/2013~~ ~~On-going~~
~~8~~	~~Joe~~	~~Joe agrees with this item, and will provide language. "Item 30. Section 39-1.01C(1): "Submit quality control test results within 2 days of request"~~	~~3/1/2013~~ ~~4/11/2013~~
9	All-	REMIND YOUR COLLEAGUES OF THIS STG, share the information.	On-going

From 3/12/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
~~10~~	~~Jim StMartin~~	~~Send Joe comments for the Superpave project list.~~	~~3/15/2013~~
~~11~~	~~Tony~~	~~Create a focus group to come up with a project data collection template.~~	~~4/11/2013~~
~~12~~	~~Joe~~	~~Provide language for spec related to issue 1 asking for contractors to take action, modify their JMF. CT is trying to make sure contractors manage their stockpiles (log # 1). CT will define one-point verification.~~	~~4/11/2013~~
~~13~~	~~Joe~~	~~Modify the time frame for submittal of QC tests, specifidcally T283.(issue 8)~~	~~4/11/2013~~
~~14~~	~~Tony L~~	~~Truncate issue 10, bring forward atnext meeting. (issue 10)~~	
~~15~~	~~Tony~~	~~Set up meeting with Joe to discus issue 17, 18 and 19~~	

From 4/11/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
~~16~~	~~Tony~~	~~Develop a plan for generic issue Superpave issues and problems. (CT) (see discussion notes # - 1.7)~~	~~6/18/2013~~
~~17~~	~~Joe~~	~~Take section 39 issue process to the next ATG Co-Chair meeting. (see discussion notes # - 1)~~	~~4/30/2013~~

Superpave Meeting Notes – San Diego, Ca.

From 5/15/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
~~18~~	~~Tony~~	~~Advise Caltrans as to how industry wants to disseminate the data. (see discussion notes # - 2)~~	~~6/18/2013~~

From 6/18/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
~~19~~	~~Joe~~	~~Dispute resolution – third party testing: Check hidden text section 39 and Superpave for base stock. (see discussion notes # - 2) This relates to sharing data between industry and Caltrans. There is nothing in the spec that requires this to happen.~~	~~7/25~~

From (7/25/2013) Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
~~20~~	~~Joe~~	~~Add a column to indicate which version of the spec is in place for each project, from this day forward.~~	~~8/21~~

From 8-21-2013 meeting:

Ref. #	Who	What	When
~~21~~	~~Tony~~	~~Edit the comments on the working document.~~	~~Sept.~~

From 9-27-2013 meeting:

Ref. #	Who	What	When
22	Joe	modify 304 to require paper removal and clarification of sample height. . (see agenda item 6)	~~10/17~~ ~~10/30/2013~~ Hold
23	Joe	query the DME's throughout the state to find out curing time practices for lab-produced samples (see agenda item 6)	~~10/17~~ ~~11/14/2013~~ 12/19/2013
~~24~~	~~Jim~~	~~Send Kevin's comments to Pacal, Joe to see if there is anything else that needs action.~~	
~~25~~	~~Joe~~	~~Set up a separate meeting to discuss the inclusion of SSP into the Superpave NSSP, after industry has had a chance to review it. Work with Pascal on the date.~~	~~10/1/2013~~

From 10-17-2013 meeting:

~~26~~	~~Joe~~	~~Forward comments on Section 39/SSP/NSSP to members. send out another marked up copy of the new version of the Superpave spec, identifying the changes that were not cut and paste. Joe will highlight any changes that are contractual (working days) and technical.~~	~~10/22/2013~~
27	Pascal	Industry will comment on Joe's clarification on changes to the new version of the Superpave spec.	~~10/30/2013~~ 12/2/2013

Superpave Meeting Notes – San Diego, Ca.

From 11-14-2013 meeting:

28	Joe	Provide a VMA table for design, verification/production	11/18/2013
29	Joe	Issue a DIB on 4 and 5% air voids, with a map.	2/15/2014
~~30~~	~~Jim STM~~	~~Send Tony and Pascal the spec for comments on the JMF single point verification (issue 73)~~	
31	Pascal and Tony	Get comments to Joe P on JMF modification	12/2/2013
32	Joe	Send out Chapter 6 to co-chairs of this STG as a reference document. NOT FOR COMMENT. Information only. This will inform you of how often Caltrans samples and tests.	11/18/2013
33	Joe	Write up the change in set point indicating that +/-.2.	12/19/2013
34	Tony	Provide Joe feedback on the SSP	12/2/2013

Evaluation This meeting:

What went well	What Needs Improvement
Spirited discussion Mikes hat	

Evaluation for Last meeting:

What went well	What Needs Improvement
Finished early Active discussion. Turned a few blue. Good utilization of the buzzer.	Missed Tony and his coffee.

#	Time	Topic and Presenter	Purpose and Process
1	0925	Arrival and Networking	
2	0930	Opening and Introductions, sign-in sheet– Joe Peterson	
3	0935	Meeting set up & housekeeping details: Agenda Review, Facilitator role, recorder role, ground rules, upshot and bin list Halverson	Establish roles, process and meeting schedule for Sub-task group.
5	0940	Review upshot list	Status the assignments

1. Upshot review

1. 1. Pascal: Industry comment on Joe's clarification on changes to the new version of the Superpave spec.

4	0955	CT 304	

2. CT 304

2. 1. On hold. PIA request taking precedence.

3. SSP for Superpave

3. 1. Districts are developing their SSP's for superpave based on prior agreements on Section 39.

3. 2. Type C Mix

3. 2. 1. D8 is dropping the Type C Mix. The testing requirements for 1" Type A mix under superpave mimics Type C for the most part. Additionally the crush count is a little different and FAE is "report only."

3. 2. 2. Type C mix will disappear. CT is trying to get uniformity in application of HMA throughout the state. Previously Type C was unique to D8 and D11. D11 uses Superpave Type A now.

4. Still waiting for the submittal from District 8

4. 1.

9	1400	Review action items Next meeting date and desired outcome Halverson/all	
10	1427	Meeting Evaluation: What went well (WWW) and What Needs Improvement (WNI)	
11	1430	Adjourn	