

Superpave Meeting Notes - Sacramento, Ca.

Group Memory
Superpave Sub Task Group
October 17, 2013

Next Meeting dates

November 14 South----9:30 – 2:30 District 11 office

Desired outcome for next meeting:

Turn everything blue.

Collect data

Bin List & Great Ideas

(8-21-2013): CT will look at QCQA specs when the specification goes live – July 1, 2014

Group Decisions

All decisions made will be double underlined in the body of the notes below.

(Date)

Document Register

Upshot

These are the assignments made at the first two meetings. **ADDITIONAL ISSUE-SPECIFIC ACTION ITEMS BEGINNING WITH APRIL MEETING ARE SHOWN IN THE WORKING LIST, AND INDICATED BY BEING BOLD, UNDERLINED.**

Ref. #	Who	What	When
1	Joe	Provide data for RAP specific gravity and binder content to Tony and Tim on the two projects where we have data.	2/22/2013 4/11/2013 6/18/2013 On-going
2	Joe	will get data for industry on the mixes Caltrans has, and will look at 12.5 and 13.5.	2/22/2013 3/12/2013 6/18/2013 On-going
3	Tony	Establish a focus group New mix design when RAP Specific Gravity changes by $> \pm 0.06$	6/4/2013 7/25/2013 8/21/2013 9/26/2013

Superpave Meeting Notes - Sacramento, Ca.

Ref. #	Who	What	When
4	Pascal Tim	Establish a focus group VMA for ¾ and ½ inch mixes (13.5/14.5) work with Tony and look for volunteers.	6/1/2013 7/25/2013
5	Joe	Work with Construction and report back to the group at the next meeting. "Item 19: To resolve dispute both QC and QA data should be reviewed. Initially by Engineer and Contractor and then ITP, if needed."	3/12/2013 4/11/2013 6/18/2013 7/25/2013 8/21/2013
6	Joe	Joe needs to share data with industry on item 6: Minimum binder content of 7.5 may be a problem. Does Caltrans have data to support this change?	3/12/2013 4/11/2013 6/18/2013 On-going
7	Tony and Tim	Item 21: Industry needs to show data with gyratory compactor, if they have it.	6/1/2013 On-going
8	Joe	Joe agrees with this item, and will provide language. "Item 30. Section 30-1.01C(1): "Submit quality control test results within 2 days of request"	3/1/2013 4/11/2013
9	All-	REMIND YOUR COLLEAGUES OF THIS STG, share the information.	On-going

From 3/12/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
10	Jim StMartin	Send Joe comments for the Superpave project list.	3/15/2013
11	Tony	Create a focus group to come up with a project data collection template.	4/11/2013
12	Joe	Provide language for spec related to issue 1 asking for contractors to take action, modify their JMF. CT is trying to make sure contractors manage their stockpiles (log # 1) CT will define one point verification.	4/11/2013
13	Joe	Modify the time frame for submittal of QC tests, specifically T283.(issue 8)	4/11/2013
14	Tony L	Truncate issue 10, bring forward at next meeting. (issue 10)	
15	Tony	Set up meeting with Joe to discuss issue 17, 18 and 19	

From 4/11/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
16	Tony	Develop a plan for generic issue Superpave issues and problems. (CT) (see discussion notes # 1.7)	6/18/2013
17	Joe	Take section 30 issue process to the next ATG Co-Chair meeting. (see discussion notes # 1)	4/30/2013

Superpave Meeting Notes - Sacramento, Ca.

From 5/15/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
18	Tony	Advise Caltrans as to how industry wants to disseminate the data. (see discussion notes # 2)	6/18/2013

From 6/18/2013 Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
19	Joe	Dispute resolution — third party testing: Check hidden text section 39 and Superpave for base stock. (see discussion notes # 2) This relates to sharing data between industry and Caltrans. There is nothing in the spec that requires this to happen.	7/25

From (7/25/2013) Meeting

Ref. #	Who	What	When
20	Joe	Add a column to indicate which version of the spec is in place for each project, from this day forward.	8/21

From 8-21-2013 meeting:

Ref. #	Who	What	When
21	Tony	Edit the comments on the working document.	Sept.

From 9-27-2013 meeting:

Ref. #	Who	What	When
22	Joe	modify 304 to require paper removal and clarification of sample height. . (see agenda item 6)	10/17 10/30/2013
23	Joe	query the DME's throughout the state to find out curing time practices for lab-produced samples (see agenda item 6)	10/17 11/14/2013
24	Jim	Send Kevin's comments to Pascal, Joe to see if there is anything else that needs action.	
25	Joe	Set up a separate meeting to discuss the inclusion of SSP into the Superpave NSSP, after industry has had a chance to review it. Work with Pascal on the date.	10/1/2013

From 10-17-2013 meeting:

26	Joe	Forward comments on Section 39/SSP/NSSP to members. send out another marked up copy of the new version of the Superpave spec, identifying the changes that were not cut and paste. Joe will highlight any changes that are contractual (working days) and technical.	10/22/2013
27	Pascal	Industry will comment on Joe's clarification on changes to the new version of the Superpave spec.	10/30/2013

Superpave Meeting Notes - Sacramento, Ca.

Evaluation THIS meeting:

What went well	What Needs Improvement
Ended early COFFEE Al here	Too far

Evaluation for This meeting:

What went well	What Needs Improvement
Finished early Active discussion. Turned a few blue. Good utilization of the buzzer.	Missed Tony and his coffee.

#	Time	Topic and Presenter	Purpose and Process
1	0925	Arrival and Networking	
2	0930	Opening and Introductions, sign-in sheet– Joe Peterson	
3	0935	Meeting set up & housekeeping details: Agenda Review, Facilitator role, recorder role, ground rules, upshot and bin list Halverson	Establish roles, process and meeting schedule for Sub-task group.
5	0940	Review upshot list	Status the assignments

1. Agenda Item 5
1. 1. Upshot # 26:
 1. 1. 1. Industry does not know what changes were made, since track changes was not used.
 1. 1. 2. CT reports no wholesale changes made. Industry does not agree. CT says there was only working days changed to Calendar days. CT interest is to make sure the DME's have enough time to deal with the mix designs submitted.
 1. 1. 3. The document was put together with Word, and should probably have been done in a way that would CT makes changes based on what the districts request.
 1. 1. 4. Existing language put into Superpave specs from Section 39 are not part of the scope of the Superpave discussion.
 1. 1. 5. Outcome: CT (Joe) will send out another marked up copy of the new version of the Superpave spec, identifying the changes that were not cut and paste. Joe will highlight any changes that are contractual (working days) and technical. Industry will identify the changes in the new version of Superpave.

Superpave Meeting Notes - Sacramento, Ca.

4	0955	CT 304	
---	------	--------	--

2. Agenda Item 4: CT 304
2. 1. Modified version is coming out on 10/29/2013.

6	1015	Go through the comment list work on the issues. Halverson/all	
7	1115	Lunch on your own	
8	1230	Go through the comment list work on the issues. Halverson/all	

3. Minor AC –
3. 1. This is jobs with 1,000 tons or less, geared toward cities and counties. This does not require as many tests and approvals. Joe will be sending it out to everyone for comments.

9	1450	Review action items Next meeting date and desired outcome Halverson/all	
10	1457	Meeting Evaluation: What went well (WWW) and What Needs Improvement (WNI)	
11	1500	Adjourn	