

Pavement Management Council (PMC)

Group Memories From This Meeting GROUP MEMORY

From March, 09, 2011 meeting –Members in attendance

Amarjeet Benipal		Robert James		Steve Price	😊	Dan Speer	😊
Roy Bibbens		Scott Jarvis		Tom Pyle	😊	Phil Stolarski	
Nick Burmas	😊	Roberto Lacalle		Shira Rajendra	😊	Chuck Suszko	😊
Paul Burdick		Ed Lamkin	😊	Earl Seaberg	😊	Peter Vacura	😊
Bill Farnbach	😊	Bijan Parhizgar		Jill Sewell	😊	Hector Vergara	😊
😊 – Attended		😊 – MIA		☹ – 2 or more MIA			

#	ACTION REQUIRED	ASSIGNED TO	DUE DATE	STATUS
2	Send the organizational list of Sub-TG committee members to Tom (see upshot 2 below) Or send out pool of members that can participate	Dan, Peter & Bill	24 Jan 23 Feb 6 Apr	
18	Send internet links showing the abstracts of projects to PMC chairs for distribution.	John/ Joe Holland	6 Apr 23 Feb	
19	Follow up on Action Item #18 (did the link showing abstracts of projects sent?)	Nick	1 week	
20	Work with Ray to develop the summary sheet for the work plan document	Tom	1 weeks	Done
21	Nick will update the Research Project Priority List and send out electronically to the PMC so the members can add number ranking to the list.	Nick	By next meeting	
22	PMC group to evaluate and designate a priority number to all the projects in the Research Project Priority List	PMC	2 week	
23	Set up a meeting for PMC the meeting at 8:00 am to 9:30 am to discuss Research Priority Project List	Shira	End of week	Done
24	Send meeting minutes and TG and sub TG meeting dates to Shira to post on RPC web calendar	Dan, Peter and Bill	Before next meeting	
25	Post the PMC group memories in the PMC web-page	Hector	1 week	
26	Set a meeting to discuss the distribution process of the work plan document, Tom, and Hector	Shira	1 week	Done

27	Ensures that the sub-TG leads update the work plan document. To include dates of completion.	PMC	ongoing	
28	Set up a phone bridge for next meeting and notify PMC of it availability.	Hector	2 weeks	

These are the assignment made at the meeting. As new ones are added they will be appended to the list. As assignments are completed they will be lined out with a ~~strike through~~, but left on the list until the next meeting. This will provide a running record of assignments made at these meetings.

Next Meeting dates: [April 4, 2011](#)

Upshot

I = Information, D= Decisions, A= Actions, Q = Question	
Review rules for future meetings	
I	1. Meeting is now two hours, when doing your statusing, be brief.
I	2. Goal is to start and end on time.
D	3. Cell phone- let's make it a rule to put your phones on stun and if you have to take call go outside.
Summary Sheet for Work Plan Status Document	
I	4. Are we satisfied with this Format?
I	5. For the Rock Products meetings, Shira's version of the summary sheet is not sufficient.
Q	6. Are you OK with Tom working with Ray to develop the summary work plan sheet?
I	7. Ray has added the Sub-TG to the work plan and you can produce priority sheet from that tab.
RPC Sub-Task Group (TG) Organization Finalization Status Update	
Asphalt	
I	8. Warm Mix Asphalt- Material test method discussion is still ongoing.
I	9. Moisture sensitivity TG met last week, action items were generated.
I	10. Section 39 Part A, MacTec developed a report and is being reviewed. Note that the MacTec contract has ended.
I	11. Long Term smoothness TG is reviewing specs that were developed.
I	Safety edge 12. This is important to the Feds. There is work done to get a standard specification to start implementing safety edge.
I	13. We have design drawing for asphalt safety edge.

I	14. Steve Price encourages project managers to just start doing it. Currently it can be done with the nSSP. There is question where to place the safety edge. When you have this kind of geometry add it, when you have this kind of geometry don't add it. By October 2011 it will be part of the Standard Specs.
I	15. Where are we with Warm mix? There is a nSSP on this subject.
Concrete	
I	16. State wide Aggregate Source Approval—have the TG talked to Dan Chapman? Dan Speer will make sure Dan Chapman is included.
I	17. Precast— concrete being worked on.
I	18. Smoothness— we have a spec, they don't want to use IRI, Caltrans will continue to use the profileograph until industry feels confident about smoothness.
Q	19. There is a request from Dan to talk to Amarjeet about smoothness. As to who is going to take the lead?
A	20. Full Pervious Concrete—this is a good idea in the lab, but it is not very practical. This task is developing spec and to issue where and where not to use this. For parking lots and sidewalks this is OK, but roads it is not practical. Add pavement preservation and maintenance in the group to give the sub-TG guidance.
I	21. CRCP—transverse joint detail done—waiting after March 25 for distribution.
D	22. Joint sealing—not on track; suggest that this task be moved to the pavement preservation group.
Foundation	
I	23. Full depth TG met and went through spec, took a long time, going slowly.
I	24. 2010 Specifications— update, done sections 37, 41, and 42.
I	25. Fog Seal, regrouping because work stalled. New group to revisit specification.
I	26. Cold in place recycling— modifying specifications.
I	27. Rubberized developing a report on the success or failure of this product.
I	28. Scrub seal— we are talking to D8 to see if they still want to do this.
D	29. Quiet flexible pavement— one more year of work to do. Reevaluate OG mixes. This item will move to the asphalt TG.
D	30. Quiet rigid— testing continuing, projects that are out there to incorporate new grinding method, the will move to concrete TG
D	31. Tining spec for PCC will move to concrete TG.

I	32. Polymer modified chip seal working on nSSP.
I	33. Warm mix asphalt rubber chip seal- we are working on nSSP and monitoring work done.
I	34. Chip Seal- Just in time training- make training interactive on these strategies.
I	Pavement Preservation 35. Had first meeting. No other meeting set yet.
Rock Product Committee Update	
I	36. Co-chairs got together last Monday-
I	37. All minutes and calendars of all sub-TG will be added to the RPC internet page.
Asphalt Task Group Statusing	
I	38. Sensitivity Sub-TG met on Monday, working on getting new work plan together.
I	39. HMA side- going through laundry list of items that industry feels the group needs to work on.
I	40. Smoothness Sub-TG has set up a meeting.
I	41. Other groups are not meeting yet.
Pavement Foundation TG	
I	42. Foundation meeting April 15 and will go quarterly.
Pavement Research Priorities	
I	Pavement Research Management Nick reporting on Priorities of Projects. 43. On the priorities sheet, the green is high priority, yellow medium and red low.
I	44. Nick is requesting for continuous priority by adding numbers to each color.
I	45. The top ten contracts will be funded when there is extra money.
I	46. Next fiscal year DRI gets around 4 million to fund the rest of the projects starting from the top.
I	47. If there someone in the group that did not turn in a ranking, they will have another opportunity to give their two cents, in our next meeting.
Q	48. There some projects that are tied to each other, are they funded together? No, the projects are done by ranking and funding available.
Q	49. Are the costs in the list the lump sum cost? No, they are an estimate of what the Department thinks will take to do that project.

End of Meeting Notes