

Pavement Management Council (PMC)

Group Memories From This Meeting

GROUP MEMORY

From February 9, 2011 meeting –Members in attendance

Rosme Aguilar		Bill Farnbach		Earl Seaberg		Peter Vacura	
Amarjeet Benipal		Scott Jarvis		Jill Sewell		Hector Vergara	😊
Roy Bibbens		Roberto Lacalle		Dan Speer			
Nick Burmas		Tom Pyle		Phil Stolarski			
Paul Burdick		Shira Rajendra		Chuck Suszko			

😊 – Attended

🟢 – MIA

🔴 – 2 MIA

#	ACTION REQUIRED	ASSIGNED TO	DUE DATE	STATUS
1	Send Sub-Task Groups' (TG's) Calendar to Tom and Shira	Dan, Peter & Bill	2 Feb Feb 23	
2	Get the Sub-TG committee organizational list of members to Tom Send out pool of members that can participate	Dan, Peter & Bill	24 Jan 23Feb	
3	Update the Rock Products Committee (RPC) Workplan Status database	RPC	19 Jan	
4	Send question back to TG for answer: Who is responsible to accept mix design on Cement Treated soils?	Paul	2 Feb	
5	Send out request for Workplan and Scoping document templates for resolving format issues.	Peter	26 Jan 23 Feb	
6	Consolidate Workplan and recommend new format	Peter	26 Jan 23 Feb	
7	Send out list of research projects to set priorities to the PMC members	Nick	26 Jan	Done
8	Respond to Pavement Research on the list of projects for priorities	Everyone	By Feb 9 meeting	
9	UCPRC to attend next PMC meeting to provide information on research projects. Research Agenda item to be added	Nick & Tom	2 Feb	

10	Send out instructions on how to access to the (FTP site). Solicit group for Task groups from Districts.	Hector	23 Feb	
11	Set a meeting for "Format discussion" to the agenda, we think that one hour should be set aside. Dan Shira peter bill and tom and tool people, ray and nick	Hector	Feb 23	
12	Include Steve Price in the meetings for Fog Seal	Peter		
13	Send out updated Project List for review and prioritization (see item 46)	Nick	Feb 10	
14	Respond to DRI on prioritization of Project List	PMC	24 Feb	
15	Send out results of prioritization of Project List	Nick	3 Mar	
16	Report on the Project List prioritization results	Nick	Next PMC Meeting	
17	Send out detail descriptions of Project List to PMC	Shria	Feb 10	
18	Send internet links showing the abstracts of projects to PMC chairs for distribution	John/ Joe Holland	23 Feb	

These are the assignment made at the meeting. As new ones are added they will be appended to the list. As assignments are completed they will be lined out with a ~~strike through~~, but left on the list until the next meeting. This will provide a running record of assignments made at these meetings.

Next Meeting dates: Mar 9, 2011

Upshot

I = Information, D= Decisions, A= Actions	
Pavement Program Strategic Organization-	
	1. The goal of the binder is to be useful and that each member will bring it to the meetings.
A	2. Dan will also solicit group for Task groups from Districts.

	<p>3. The sub-task group names were sent to Shira. This list is still active; the Sub-TG still needs more members.</p> <p>4. Paul- we have all members identified but need more District participation.</p>
Task Group and Sub Task Group updates	
	<p>1. Dan has posted calendar and give the group instructions on how to access this calendar (FTP site).</p> <p>2. These groups still need more members.</p>
	<p>3. Peter has a pool of people that can participate in the Sub-TG depending on availability</p>
Asphalt Task Group Statusing	
	<p>4. Peter-2010-moved to #6</p>
	<p>5. HMA- there is a group that seems to be at odds with the Department, we are working with</p> <p>6. Moisture sensitivity working on smoothness and grinding.</p> <p>7. Section 39- part B dealing with industry on questions not addressed.</p> <p>8. Are the dates for Part A and Part B the same? No, the part A dates needs to be corrected.</p> <p>9. RHMA meeting has to be rescheduled.</p> <p>10. Safety edge-</p> <p>11. HMA leveling course not a priority, should not show active.</p> <p>12. Lone term Flexible same inactive.</p> <p>13. MPQT- not top priority but is active.</p>
Pavement Foundation TG	
	<p>14. Paul- we have all members identified but need more District participation.</p>
	<p>15.</p>
Concrete Task Group	

	<p>Dan</p> <p>16. Dan has a dispute on the format of statusing, he believes that this format does not summarize very well.</p> <p>17. Dan suggested that a work project status sheet that had the same information but different format. It briefs are more clear.</p> <p>18. Laguna project done.</p> <p>19. Plain language done</p> <p>20. Compressive strength</p> <p>21. Update construction manual on hold</p> <p>22. High Strength concrete working on scoping these issues better.</p> <p>23. Performance based working on document. We will use contractors documents for QC/QA we are moving to pilot test and selecting projects to evaluate the specifications.</p> <p>24. Review QPL/AML we are communicating.</p>
Asphalt Task Group	
	25.
Pavement Preservation Task Group	
	26.
Pavement Foundations	
	27.
Pavement Research Management	

A	<p>Pavement Research Priorities</p> <p>28. Nick—There is money for research for additional work. (3 million)</p> <p>29. Create an opening to create new projects in there are funds available.</p> <p>30. The Roadmap was not very marketable.</p> <p>31. Tab 9, the last 3 pages are the Project list that need to be reviewed and prioritized by PMC. Rank them with 1, 2, 3</p> <p>3– for high</p> <p>2– for medium</p> <p>1– for low</p> <p>32. Remember that once we have approval process, it does not need to be re-approved, only if the money needed changes.</p> <p>33. Nick will be updating the Project list to include the color coding, prioritization score column, number tracking and indicate if it is a HVS project—A</p>
	<p>34. Did the Sub–TG receive the test results from UCPRC? UCPRC will be sending links to the abstracts so TG and Sub–TG can access this information. This will also help with the prioritization.</p> <p>35. The Project roadmap detail table, the blue indicates updating of software or expanding database.</p>
	<p>36.</p>

End of Meeting Notes