1.0 Ground Improvement

Ground improvement technologies are geotechnical construction methods used to improve poor ground conditions when removal and replacement, avoidance of such conditions, or the use of deep foundations is infeasible or too costly. Ground improvement may be used to:

- Mitigate liquefiable soils.
- Improve loose or soft soil in order to reduce settlement, increase bearing capacity, shear, or frictional strength as well as overall improvement of stability for embankment and structure foundation.
- Improve slope stability for mitigation of landslides.
- Increase density.
- Decrease imposed load.
- Form seepage cutoff or fill voids.
- Accelerate consolidation.
- Control deformation.
- Provide/increase lateral stability.
- Reduce earth pressures.

There are three strategies available to accomplish the above functions:

1. Increase shear strength, density, and/or decrease compressibility of foundation soil,
2. Reduce the applied load on the foundation soil by the use of lightweight fills,
3. Transfer the load to a more competent (deeper) foundation soil.

Ground Improvement Methods, Volumes I and II, FHWA NHI-06-019 and FHWA NHI-06-020, August 2006 (Ground Improvement Methods) are frequently referenced in this module. The geoprofessional should consult each volume for details concerning a specific ground improvement method. Also be aware of new and innovative ground improvement methods. If a new or innovative ground improvement method is to be considered on a Caltrans project, the method should be discussed with the Project Development Team including Construction. Sometimes it will become necessary to initiate a construction evaluation project to measure the effectiveness of a new or innovative ground improvement technique prior to its use.

A web-based information and guidance system, Geotechnical Solutions for Transportation Infrastructure (Geotech Tools), presents information on geoconstruction technologies and provides a tool to assist in deciding which technologies are potentially applicable to site-specific conditions. The following ground improvement techniques are addressed in Geotech Tools:
• Aggregate columns/Stone Columns/Rammed Aggregate Piers
• Blasting Densification
• Bulk-infill Grouting
• Chemical Grouting/Injection Systems
• Column Supported Embankments
• Combined Soil Stabilization with Vertical Columns (CSV)
• Compaction Grouting
• Continuous Flight Auger Piles
• Deep Dynamic Compaction
• Deep Mixing Methods
• Drilled/Grouted and Hollow Bar Soil Nailing
• Electro-Osmosis
• Geosynthetic Reinforced Construction Platforms
• Fill delay period with or without surcharge
• Geotextile Encased Columns
• Hydraulic Fill with Geocomposite and Vacuum Consolidation
• Injected Lightweight Foam Fill
• Jet Grouting
• Lightweight Fill, EPS Geofoam, Low Density Cementitious Fill
• Partial Encapsulation
• Prefabricated Vertical Drains and Fill Preloading
• Sand Compaction Piles
• Micropiles
• Vibrocompaction
• Vacuum Preloading with and without PVDs
• MSE Walls
• Geosynthetic Reinforced Embankment
• Fiber Reinforcement of Slopes
• Reinforced Soil Slopes
• Launched Soil Nails
• Helical Soil Nails
• Soil Nail Wall
• Bio-Treatment for Soil Stabilization

2.0 Selection Process

Selection of an appropriate ground improvement technology requires consideration of technologies and site-specific project goals and challenges. Use the steps in Table 1 to select the appropriate method.
Table 1: Selection Process (after Ground Improvement Methods)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Identify potential poor ground conditions, including extent and type of negative impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Assess remove and replace and avoidance options – if infeasible or too expensive consider ground improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Identify or establish performance requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Identify and assess any space or environmental constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Determine subsurface conditions – Type, depth, and extent of poor soil as well as groundwater table depth and assessment of shear strength and compressibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Make preliminary selection – take into account performance criteria, limitations imposed by subsurface conditions, schedule and environmental constraints, and the amount of improvement required (Table 2 should be used in this selection process)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Perform preliminary design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Compare and select – selection is based on performance, constructability, cost, and any other relevant project factors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ground improvement categories, functions, methods, and applications are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Ground Improvement Categories, Functions, Methods and Applications (after Ground Improvement Methods)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation</td>
<td>Accelerate consolidation and increase shear strength</td>
<td>1- Prefabricated vertical drains 2- Surcharge</td>
<td>Viable for normally consolidated clays. Can achieve up to 90% consolidation in a few months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load Reduction</td>
<td>Reduce load on foundation and reduce settlement</td>
<td>1- Geofoam (EPS) 2- Foamed (Cellular) Concrete 3- Lightweight fill</td>
<td>Density varies from 6-76 lb/ft³. Granular fills usage subject to local availability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Densification</td>
<td>Increase density, bearing capacity, and friction strength of granular soils. Decrease settlement and increase resistance to liquefaction</td>
<td>1- Vibro-Compaction 2- Dynamic Compaction by falling weight impact</td>
<td>Vibrocompaction viable for clean sands with up to 15% fines. Dynamic compaction limited to depth of about 33 feet, but is applicable for a wider range of soils. Both methods can densify granular soils up to 80% Relative Density. Dynamic Compaction generates vibrations for a considerable lateral distance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reinforcement         | In soft foundation soils, increases shear strength, resistance to liquefaction, and decreases compressibility. Internally reinforces fills and/or cuts. | 1- Stone Column  
2- Rammed Aggregate Piers  
3- MSE retaining walls  
4- Soil Nail walls | Soil Nailing may not be applicable in soft clays or loose fills. Stone columns applicable in soft clay profiles to increase global shear strength and reduce settlement. |
| Chemical Stabilization by Deep Soil Mixing | Physio-chemical alteration of foundation soils to increase their tensile, compressive, and shear strength; to decrease settlement; and/or provide lateral stability and/or confinement | 1- Wet mixing methods using primarily cement  
2- Dry mixing methods using lime-cement | Applicable to soft to medium stiff clays for excavation support where the groundwater table must be maintained or for foundation support where lateral restraint must be provided or to increase global stability and decrease settlement. Required significant QA/QC program for verification. |
| Chemical Stabilization by Grouting | To form fill voids, increase density, increase tensile, and compressive strength | 1- Permeation Grouting with particulate chemical grouts  
2- Compaction Grouting  
3- Jet Grouting  
4- Bulk filling  
3- Injected Lightweight Foam Fill | 1) Permeation grouting to increase shear strength or for seepage control.  
2) Compaction grouting for densification and  
3) Jet grouting to increase tensile and/or compressive strength of the foundations, and  
4) Bulk filling of any subsurface voids.  
5) Inject lightweight foam to fill voids and lift pavements and slabs w/o adding weight. |
| Load Transfer         | Transfer load to deeper bearing layers                                   | 5- Column Supported Embankment (CSE) on flexible geosynthetic mats | Applicable for deep soft soil profile or where a tight schedule must be maintained. A variety of stiff or semi-stiff piles can be used. |
**Geotech Tools** contains a technology selection assistance tool that provides solution options. The following information is available for each method:

- Technology Fact Sheets
- Photographs
- Case Histories
- Design Procedures
- Quality Control/Quality Assurance Procedures
- Cost Estimating Tools
- Specification Guidance
- Bibliography

### 3.0 Design Parameters for Ground Improvement Analyses

Specific geotechnical data that will need to be developed during the investigation depends upon the ground improvement technique chosen. Ground Improvement Methods should be referred to for specific geotechnical data needed for various types of ground improvement techniques.

### 4.0 Design Requirements

The following documents should be used for design:

- *Geotech Tools, Geo-Construction Information and Technology Selection Guidance for Geotechnical, Structural, and Pavement Engineers*, SHRP2, Transportation Research Board
5.0 Ground Improvement Methods used by Caltrans

Caltrans has used the following ground improvement methods (see Appendix 1):

- Prefabricated Vertical Drains (PVDs) and Fill Preloading
- Lightweight Fills (natural volcanic, cellular concrete, Expanded Polystyrene (EPS), Expanded Shale, Shredded Tires, and Saw Dust)
- Geosynthetic Reinforced Embankments
- MSE Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes
- Soil Nailing
- Stone Columns/Rammed Aggregate Piers
- Compaction Grouting
- Injected Lightweight Foam Fill
- Permeation Grouting
- Deep Soil Mixing
- Micropiles

Sections 5.1 through 5.3 provide details (Introduction, Investigation, Design Methods, Reporting, and Construction Considerations) on three ground improvement techniques commonly used by Caltrans: Prefabricated Vertical Drains, Lightweight Fill (Expanded Polystyrene and Cellular Concrete) and Stone Columns/Rammed Aggregate Columns.

5.1 Prefabricated Vertical Drains (PVD) and Surcharge

Prefabricated Vertical Drains (PVD) (formally “wick drains”) are band shaped (rectangular cross-section) geocomposite products consisting of a geotextile filter material surrounding a plastic drainage core. PVD are used to accelerate the settlement and shear strength gain of saturated, soft foundation soils by shortening the drainage path length. PVD are commonly coupled with surcharge fills to facilitate accelerated embankment construction with minimal post-construction settlement.

Advantages of PVD with surcharge are:

- Decreased construction time
- Low cost versus other ground improvement technologies
- No spoil
- High production rate
- Durable
- Relatively straightforward and simple QC/QA procedures

Projects that have used PVD are:

- ALA-80 SF0BB Oakland Touchdown (OTD) Geofill (EA 04-01205)
- SOL-37 Widening project (EA 04-0T141)
- ALA-880- 5th Avenue Bridge Seismic Bridge Replacement (EA 04-1706U)
• SJ-4 Widening (EA 10-0H04U)
• MEN-101 Willits Bypass (EA 01-26200)
• SJ-12 Bouldin Island (EA 10-0G800)
• SD-5 (EA 11-0301U)

Installation of PVD requires site preparation, construction of a drainage blanket and/or a working mat, and installation of the PVD. Site preparation includes removal of vegetation and surface debris, and obstacles that would impede installation of the PVD. It may be necessary to construct a working mat to support construction equipment, which can later serve as the drainage blanket. There are many different ways of installing PVD, but most methods employ a steel covering mandrel that protects the PVD material as it is installed. All methods employ some form of anchoring system to hold the drain in place while the mandrel is withdrawn following insertion to the desired depth. The mandrel is penetrated into the compressible soils using either static or vibratory force.

Design considerations include drain spacing (typically triangular from 3 to 8 ft spacing with 3 to 6 ft common), flow resistance, and installation disturbance. Quality control tests usually relate to the material properties of the drain and the measurement of settlement and dissipation of excess pore water pressures during consolidation.

5.1.1 Investigation
The investigation for PVD is similar to the investigation for embankment stability and settlement (see Embankment module). PVD do not require any special considerations during the field investigation.

5.1.2 Design Methods
The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has design documents for both of the preferred design procedures for this technology:

• Prefabricated Vertical Drains 1986 FHWA-RD-86-168
• Ground Improvement Methods, Volume 1 2006 FHWA NHI-06-019

Design parameters include the selection of the drain type, drain spacing, drain length, and the amount of preload needed to achieve a specified consolidation within an allotted time.

The design begins with traditional settlement analyses without PVD to determine the total magnitude and time rate of settlement under final project loads. Then the use of PVD is analyzed to reduce the time to reach the final consolidation settlement.

The first step of the design process is to establish project time requirements, anticipated service loads, and the acceptable amounts of post-construction settlement. A subsurface investigation and laboratory soil-testing program are then performed to provide information about the soil stratigraphy and engineering properties of the compressible soil. Based on this information, the amount of total settlement due to primary consolidation and secondary consolidation can be estimated as well as the time for this settlement to occur. If the time to reach 90 to 95 percent of the total project settlement is
too long, PVDs should be considered to reduce the time required for consolidation settlement.

PVD spacing should be determined using the Barron-Hansbo relationship that relates the time to achieve a desired average degree of consolidation to drain diameter, drain spacing, and coefficient of consolidation.

An example calculation of staged fill construction is provided in the Washington Department of Transportation (WASHDOT) Geotechnical Design Manual, Appendix 9A.

5.1.3 Reporting

The GDR should include sections that:

- Justify the use of PVD with surcharge fill if required. State predicted settlement and time to achieve both with and without PVD. Discuss foundation bearing capacity failure or slope instability during staged construction and associated staging requirements. (See Embankment Module)
- Justify the selection of PVD as the preferred treatment strategy, including such considerations as constructability, cost, and overall project specific effectiveness.
- Provide layout and cross sections of ground improvement area showing limits, PVD pattern, and depths of PVDs, stability berm height and location (if used), loading rates, and settlement period.
- Provide construction considerations and specifications.

5.1.4 Field Instrumentation and Construction Considerations Including QA/QC

Construction considerations typically include:

- Requirements for field splicing and connecting PVD to drainage pipes and/or drainage blankets/working platforms as required;
- Site accessibility issues for heavy equipment including working platform or ground pressure limitations for very soft surficial ground conditions;
- Difficult PVD installation due to presence of obstructions which may require pre-auguring;
- Confirming that PVD are installed to correct depth in field by appropriate field observations (both to ensure not too short or too long);
- Coordination with District Environmental on any site specific requirements for pore water discharge (if applicable); and
- A comprehensive geotechnical instrumentation program to confirm settlements and/or stability of embankment is achieved (whether by CT personnel or contractor provided) with clearly defined scope and reporting requirements and sufficient redundancy to handle potential for equipment malfunction/damage and adequately cover planned construction staging.

A key consideration of the geotechnical instrumentation program is to layout the required type, location and depth of monitoring taking into account the proposed construction staging with sufficient redundancy of monitoring points. This is particularly needed for
contractor supplied, installed, and monitored instrumentation as less control over data quality is exercised. If the project has stability concerns and controlled loading rates, more detailed and comprehensive instrumentation may be required.

5.1.5 Specifications
Refer to Geotech Tools to create a project-specific NSSP.

5.2 Lightweight Fills
Lightweight fill materials are used to reduce the magnitude of the applied loads to:

- Eliminate or significantly reduce embankment settlement.
- Reduce active pressure behind retaining walls and abutments.
- Reduce driving force in landslide repair.
- Increase an embankment’s resistance to seismic loads.

Lightweight fills have primarily been used at Caltrans for reducing embankment settlement at bridge approaches and to reduce the driving force of landslides.

In cases where a soft soil deposit is very thick, partial excavation of the native material directly below the embankment (and backfill with lightweight material) will help to balance the total imposed load. The amount of excavation depends on the unit weight of the material to be excavated and the unit weight of the lightweight fill to be used. The lighter the material the less excavation would be required. Sometimes it is not possible to use lightweight fill to completely offset an additional embankment load, however, it can reduce the additional load to a tolerable amount.

Common lightweight fill materials used by Caltrans are:

- Expanded Polystyrene (EPS) or Geofoam
- Cellular Concrete (Foamed Concrete)
- Natural (volcanic) lightweight materials

Expanded shale, wood fiber (saw dust), and shredded tires have been used by Caltrans. Expanded shale is seldom used in Caltrans for embankment construction due to its relatively high cost. Wood fiber (saw dust) is seldom used in Caltrans for embankment construction due to its lack of availability in large quantity. Shredded tires have been used in three Caltrans projects and its use is encouraged by the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery in their effort to reduce stockpiles of disposed tires. FHWA issued an Interim Guideline to limiting the maximum layer thickness for shredded tire fills to 10 feet.

Consider the following when selecting a lightweight fill:

- Availability of lightweight fill materials;
- The engineering properties of the lightweight fill material for use in both settlement and slope stability analysis. For example, for granular lightweight fill, the geoprofessional must evaluate the density, the angle of shearing resistance or
cohesion of the lightweight fill. Whereas, for EPS and cellular concrete, in addition to the density, compressive strength must be evaluated;

- The durability, water absorption potential, corrosion potential, and other unique characteristics;
- Design and Construction considerations;
- Costs for using lightweight fill versus conventional construction.

Table 3 provides a list of various lightweight materials with the range of densities, and specific gravities:

Table 3: Lightweight Fill Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lightweight Fill Type</th>
<th>Range of Density (pcf)</th>
<th>Range of Specific Gravity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural (Volcanic) Material</td>
<td>50 to 75</td>
<td>0.80 to 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Polystyrene (EPS)</td>
<td>0.8 to 2</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular (Foamed) Concrete</td>
<td>20 to 61</td>
<td>0.3 to 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood Fiber (Saw Dust)</td>
<td>34 to 60</td>
<td>0.6 to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shredded Tires</td>
<td>37 to 56</td>
<td>0.6 to 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded Shale</td>
<td>37 to 65</td>
<td>0.6 to 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fly Ash</td>
<td>70 to 90</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiler Slag</td>
<td>62 to 109</td>
<td>1.0 to 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-Cooled Slag</td>
<td>69 to 94</td>
<td>1.1 to 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information regarding design parameters (density, angle of shear resistance, permeability and compressibility), environmental considerations, design consideration and construction consideration of granular lightweight fill such as Wood Fiber, Air-Cooled blast Furnace, Fly Ash, Boiler Slag, Expanded Shale and Shredded Tires refer to August 2006 FHWA NHI-06-019, Tables 2 through 7.

5.2.1 Expanded Polystyrene (EPS)

The most comprehensive design, material, and construction guidelines on the use of Expanded Polystyrene (EPS) for highway construction have been summarized in NCHRP 24-11 for embankments and 24-11(02) for slope stability projects. Additional design information is summarized by Horvath (1995).

5.2.2 Cellular Concrete (Foamed Concrete)

Cellular concrete consists of cement, water, a foaming agent, and optional admixtures. Cellular concrete is self leveling and can be pumped up to 3300 feet, and will begin to harden between 2 to 6 hours after production. Cellular concrete can be pumped at 100 cubic yards per hour. The density of cellular concrete typically ranges from 25 pcf to as high as 65 pcf. Relative to soil the shear strength is much higher. If significant differential settlement is anticipated the designer should be aware that cellular concrete (due to its relatively brittle nature) could crack, losing much of its shear strength. The unit cost of cellular concrete can be high especially for small quantities.
Caltrans has used cellular concrete in several large and small projects to reduce embankment settlement, to reduce landslide driving forces, and to reduce active pressures behind retaining walls. Cellular concrete has also been used as backfill for tunnel, waterlines and sewers, to provide shock absorption in earthquake zones, and to fill voids in silos and abandoned mines.

The advantages of using cellular concrete compared to other types of lightweight materials are:

- Easily placed by pump or gravity for rapid installation
- Broad range of densities and compressive strengths
- Durable and noncorrosive
- High slump and self leveling
- Absorbs shock waves
- High freeze-thaw resistance
- Low water absorption and permeability
- No compaction is required
- Cost is comparable or even less than most granular lightweight materials

The disadvantages of using cellular concrete compared to other types of lightweight materials are:

- The cost of cellular concrete increases with cast density.
- The cost is relatively high for small jobs.
- Requires qualified cellular concrete contractors and their suppliers.

5.2.3 Investigations (EPS and Cellular Concrete)

The field exploration and laboratory testing should include:

- Determining the thickness of soft foundation soil by drilling or by CPT sounding.
- Performing in situ strength testing using Cone Penetration Test (CPT) or Vane Shear Test (VST).
- Determining the groundwater level (monitoring may be required).
- Obtaining undisturbed soil samples for laboratory testing using the modified California sampler, Shelby tubes, and pitcher barrel.
- Performing laboratory tests on samples of soft foundation soil to determine particle gradation, moisture contents, unit weight, void ratio, shear strength (Su) unconfined compressive strength ($q_u$), coefficient of consolidation ($C_v$), and permeability.
- Use of geophysical testing methods maybe considered for determining thickness of soft layers. PS Suspension logging maybe used for determination of in situ strength.
5.2.4 Design Method (EPS)

EPS is approximately 1/100th the weight of conventional fills and therefore is highly effective at reducing driving forces or settlement potential. EPS dissolves in gasoline and other organic fluids or vapors and therefore must be encapsulated in a gasoline resistant geomembrane where such organics could potentially reach the EPS. Other design considerations for EPS include creep, flammability, buoyancy, moisture absorption, photo-degradation, and differential icing of pavement constructed over EPS.

The EPS design process includes the following:

- Design for external (global) stability. This includes consideration for settlement, bearing capacity, and slope stability under the projected loading conditions.
- Design for internal stability within embankment mass. The designer must insure the EPS geofoam can support the overlaying pavement and traffic loads without immediate and time dependent creep compression.
- Design of the appropriate pavement system over the EPS.
- Design to protect the EPS to resist hazards like fire and gasoline leakage- This can be done by using gasoline resistant geomembrane.
- Design for uplift pressure. This is necessary if high groundwater exists and if the 100-year flood level creates high head in surrounding areas. In some cases where uplift is an issue, the use of a cutoff wall may be necessary.
- The foundation under the EPS must be prepared to create a smooth surface and dry condition. In cases where groundwater exists, dewatering may become necessary.

External stability analyses generally follow traditional geotechnical procedures, although stress distribution must consider a non-homogenous embankment. For shear strength, NCHRP-24-11 recommends to use only ¼ of EPS geofoam compressive strength.

Internal stability analyses are based on the properties of the EPS type selected to support the imposed loads from overlying pavement and traffic. The design approach for internal stability is a deformation-based methodology using the total stress from all loads on EPS blocks, elastic limit stress, and the initial tangent modulus to evaluate load-induced deformations. Refer to FHWA NHI-06-019, Table 8 for the minimum recommended values of elastic limit stress for various EPS densities.

NCHRP-24-11 provides detailed design methods, examples, typical construction details, and design charts for external, internal, and pavement design. FHWA NHI-06-019, Table 10 summarizes the range of design parameters and design considerations associated with the use of EPS.

Regarding environmental considerations, Table 10 of FHWA NHI-06-019 states that there are no known environmental concerns regarding EPS and no decay of the material occurs when placed in the ground.
5.2.5 Design Method (Cellular Concrete)

The design of cellular concrete must balance the need for load reduction with compressive strength requirements. Due to high air content in cellular concrete, it generally has much lower strength than conventional concrete. Applications that require high compressive strength, such as foundations, should use higher density cellular concrete. For many applications, such as flowable fill in trench lines or behind retaining walls, the compressive strength can be as low as 100 psi. For use as lightweight fill in embankment construction, a compressive strength ranging from 80 to 200 psi would be sufficient.

The design process for cellular concrete in embankments should include the following:

- Design for external (global) stability. This includes consideration for settlement, bearing capacity, and slope stability under the projected loading conditions.
- Design for internal stability within embankment mass. The designer must insure the cellular concrete can support the overlaying pavement and traffic loads without cracking and creep compression.
- Design of the appropriate pavement system over cellular concrete. Communicate with District Materials regarding the most appropriate pavement design.
- The lower compressive strength mixes are affected by freeze-thaw cycles. The product should be used below the zone of freezing or a higher compressive strength used. Densities greater than 37 pcf have reported excellent freeze-thaw resistance.
- Design for uplift pressure. Necessary if high groundwater exists and if the 100-year flood level creates a peizometric head in surrounding areas. In some cases where uplift is an issue, the use of a cutoff wall may be necessary.
- The foundation under the cellular concrete must be prepared and compacted to create a smooth surface and dry condition. In cases where groundwater exists, dewatering may become necessary. In addition, a layer of permeable material (8 to 12 inches) wrapped in filter fabric including a layer of geomembrane on top directly below cellular concrete may become necessary when excess groundwater is present.

Table 12 of FHWA NHI-06-019 states that there are no known environmental concerns regarding cellular concrete.

5.2.6 Reporting (EPS and Cellular Concrete)

The GDR should include sections that:

- Justify the use of a ground improvement method, such as excessive predicted settlement, foundation bearing capacity failure, or slope instability.
- Justify the selection of type of lightweight fill to be used, including such considerations as constructability, cost, and effectiveness.
• Provide detailed layout, profile and cross sections of ground improvement to be treated with lightweight fill. The profile and cross sections should show limits, depth of excavation to be backfilled with lightweight material, and height of the lightweight fill material and supporting engineering results.

• If lightweight material is to be used as backfill behind retaining walls, justify its use such as reduction in active pressure and elimination of settlement and provide detailed cross sections.

• Provide construction considerations, instrumentation and monitoring plans and specifications (either Caltrans approved SSP and/or NSSP).

5.2.7 Construction Considerations (EPS)

FHWA NHI-06-019, Table 10 summarizes a list of important construction considerations such as:

• Subgrade preparations before placement of EPS blocks;
• Placement and interlocking of EPS blocks when multiple layers are used;
• Mechanical connections between EPS blocks;
• Covering of EPS blocks to prevent exposure to sunlight

For monitoring and construction control for EPS blocks, field monitoring should include measurements of the density and compressive strength of the materials supplied. For EPS blocks, the density and compressive strength will be a function of the grade delivered with appropriate manufacturer QC documentation. Samples should be obtained for QA testing. Observations of the placements of the blocks should also be made to confirm that the blocks are placed without a continuous joint and that shear transfer plates are installed between successive lifts of the blocks. The gasoline resistant geomembrane covering the blocks should be measured to confirm thickness and complete enclosure of the blocks. The seams within the geomembrane should be sealed properly.

5.2.8 Construction Considerations (Cellular Concrete)

FHWA NHI-06-019, Table 12 summarizes a list of important construction considerations such as:

• Required a staging area for batching, mixing, and placing on site;
• Required forming for placement of cellular concrete in stages;
• The lift thickness of each pour should be measured to ensure that it does not exceed the maximum thickness specified in the specifications;
• Adequate time as specified in the specifications should be allowed for cellular concrete to harden sufficiently prior to placement of the next lift. The materials must support foot traffic prior to casting subsequent lifts.
• Samples of the freshly mixed fill should be obtained at the point of placement in a manner similar to concrete testing for performance of density and compressive strength tests.
5.2.9 Specifications (EPS and Cellular concrete)

Non-standard specifications from previous Caltrans projects are available on the Caltrans intranet at the DRS and/or OE advertised projects web page. In addition, typical and sample specifications are available in FHWA NHI-06-019 and Geotech Tools website.

5.3 Stone Columns and Rammed Aggregate Columns

Stone columns and rammed aggregate columns (RAC) use aggregate to create stiff columns to increase bearing capacity, shear strength, rate of consolidation, and liquefaction resistance, and to reduce settlement. Rammed aggregate columns can be designed to provide uplift capacity. Stone columns do not provide uplift capacity.

Example applications of these methods include:

- Support for roadway or bridge approach embankments over unstable soils. Examples: SON-101/Airport Blvd I/C project (EA 04-3A23U1) and MRN/SON-101 Marin Sonoma Narrows B-3 project (EA 04-264091),
- Support for structures, such as bridge approaches and retaining walls. Example: SON-101/Airport Blvd I/C project (EA 04-3A23U1, and ALA-92/880 Interchange project (EA 04-01611)
- Slope stabilization. Example: SF-1 Mt. Lake project (EA 04-1A9021) and ALA-580, Widening project (EA 04-4A0701),
- Liquefaction mitigation. Example: SF-1 Mt. Lake project (EA 04-44010), Seismic Retrofit project ALA-260 (EA 44010) and SD-5 (EA 11-0301U)

Stone columns are formed with gravel or crushed rock in a pattern to create a composite foundation of the columns and surrounding soil. The stiff columns carry a larger load than the surrounding soil resulting in increased bearing capacity and reduced settlement. Stone columns can be installed by either vibro-replacement (a water jetting, top feed method), or vibro-displacement (an air jetting, top or bottom feed method). However, due to environmental considerations, approval of the vibro-replacement method may be difficult to obtain in California. In both installation methods, cylindrical vibrating probes are jetted into the ground to form holes, which are backfilled with gravel or crushed rock. Pre-augering can be used to reduce the ground displacement and vibration during construction.

Rammed aggregate columns (RAC) consist of aggregate-filled drilled holes that form stiff, high density piers. However, unlike a stone column a high-energy beveled tamper typically mounted on an excavator is used to compact the aggregate. As the aggregate is rammed to form the columns, the aggregate is forced laterally into the sidewalls of the hole, partially densifying the surrounding soil. To provide uplift capacity, a metal frame anchored between the bottom lifts is included in the pier.

Both methods have the advantages of:

- Rapid installation
- Cost effectiveness compared to other foundations options
• Creating a shortened drainage path to accelerate consolidation
• Allowing for high level of compaction
• Efficient QC/QA procedures

Although both methods have similar ranges of applications, the vertical ramming force applied on RAC can develop much higher bearing capacity in the columns. RAC are more expensive, and may be subject to proprietary constraints.

Table 4 presents factors to consider when selecting either stone columns or rammed aggregate columns.

Table 4: Design Considerations for Stone Columns and Rammed Aggregate Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suitable materials for treatment</th>
<th>Stone Columns</th>
<th>Rammed Aggregate Columns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suitable materials for treatment</td>
<td>Clays, silts, and loose silty sands (shear strength c=300 to 2000 psf)</td>
<td>Soft organic clays, stiff to very stiff clays, loose silty sand, medium dense to dense sands, uncompacted fill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsuitable materials for treatment</td>
<td>Peat, organic soil, very soft clay (c&lt;200psf) with layer thickness greater than 1~2 column diameters</td>
<td>Very soft clays (c&lt;300 psf), very loose sands (SPT&lt;1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment depth</td>
<td>20-30 ft typical, up to 90 ft</td>
<td>7 – 30 ft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load bearing capacity</td>
<td>40-60 kips typical, 110 kips max.</td>
<td>50-150 kips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>Reduced by 30-50% of unimproved ground</td>
<td>Reduced to less than 1”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backfill material</td>
<td>Vibro-replacement: uniform, round to subangular gravel (1 to 2.5 inches) Vibro-displacement: well-graded gravel/cobble (3/8 to 4 inches)</td>
<td>Uniform gravel (2 to 3 inches)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alternatives to stone columns and rammed aggregate columns include site preloading, excavation and replacement, driven piles, deep-soil-mixing columns, jet grout columns, and drilled shafts.

There are other emerging alternatives to stone columns and rammed aggregate columns, including vibro-concrete columns, geotextile encased columns, gravel drains, sand compaction piles, and rammed stone columns. These alternatives may prove applicable where stone columns / rammed aggregate piers are not. For more details about these alternatives, refer to Ground Improvement Methods.
5.3.1 Investigations

Table 5 lists design parameters for stone columns / rammed aggregate columns that should typically be obtained from field exploration. Note that not all listed parameters may be needed for a particular project.

Table 5- Design Parameters for Stone Columns/Rammed Aggregate Columns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Field Exploration Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of layer to be treated</td>
<td>Boring (SPT, CPT, soil tube)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N1)_{60} of untreated and treated soil*</td>
<td>SPT boring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized tip resistance (q_c)_1 of untreated and treated soil</td>
<td>CPT boring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear Strength (S_u) of untreated and treated soil</td>
<td>• Pocket Penetrometer Test (PP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vane Shear Test (VS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Torvane (TV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear wave velocity (V_s) of untreated and treated soil</td>
<td>• Seismic CPT boring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Correlations with (N1)_{60} / (q_c)_1 / S_u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Geophysical methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of subgrade reaction (k)</td>
<td>Field plate load test</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Untreated soil: Soil that has not had ground improvement treatment; treated soil: soil that has had ground improvement, e.g. soil mass before and after stone column installation.

Design parameters and data that should be obtained from laboratory tests for untreated soil are:

- Particle gradation
- Unit weight
- Void ratio
- Shear strength (Su)
- Compressibility
- Coefficient of consolidation
- Permeability

Design parameters that are usually obtained from correlations with other parameters include friction angle, elastic modulus, and Poisson’s ratio (see EPRI, 1990).

5.3.2 Design Methods

Support of embankments and support of structures applications requires designs that provide adequate bearing capacity and/or uplift capacity, tolerable settlement, and reduced liquefaction potential. Slope stability applications may require a ground
improvement design that provides specified minimum shear strength and reduces the liquefaction potential.

In general, analysis and design approaches are similar for stone columns and rammed aggregate columns. For both methods, design procedures are available in *Ground Improvement Methods* and *Geotech Tools*. Additional discussion and design examples can be found in Stone Column Design Manual and the Geopier manual.

The main design parameters to be considered include:

- Limits of treatment area
- Depth of treatment
- Replacement ratio
- Pattern of column layout
- Column diameter
- Column spacing

The effectiveness of ground treatment design is verified by in-situ geotechnical testing and/or load tests. In-situ geotechnical testing, such as CPT and SPT, are more appropriate where densification of the matrix soil is anticipated. Geophysical methods such as PS Suspension Logging and Full-Waveform Sonic Logging have been successfully used for verification of densification. Load tests usually provide more reliable verification. For both ground improvement methods, verification load tests may include short-term test for ultimate bearing capacity, long-term test for consolidation settlement, and short-term horizontal shear test. Unique to rammed aggregate columns are modulus test and Bottom Stabilization Test (BST) (Fox and Cowell, 1998). The modulus test is essentially a plate load test to obtain the modulus of subgrade reaction of a test column. The BST is performed on top of the bottom bulb to verify that the column being installed has achieved general stabilization prior to the completion of installation. It is a method to determine whether a production column is comparable in quality to load test columns.

5.3.3 Reporting

The GDR should include sections that:

- Justify the use of a ground improvement method, such as excessive predicted settlement, foundation bearing capacity failure, suspected liquefaction hazard, or slope instability.
- Justify the selection of stone column or rammed aggregate column method as the treatment strategy, including such considerations as constructability, cost, and effectiveness.
- Provide layout and cross sections of the ground improvement area showing limits, pattern, spacing, and depths of the treatment columns, and supporting engineering calculations;
- Provide construction considerations, instrumentation and monitoring plans, and specifications (SSP and/or NSSP).
5.3.4 Construction Considerations

A partial list of situations that may be encountered during construction include:

- Site clearance of underground and overhead utilities.
- Site accessibility for heavy equipment.
- Potential impact of ground movement, vibration, and noise to neighboring properties. Monitoring of the neighboring properties before, during, and after construction may be required.
- Difficult installation due to presence of rubble, concrete, abutment foundations, utilities, and other buried materials.
- For rammed aggregate columns, the presence of high groundwater combined with loose sandy material may cause caving of the drilled hole. Temporary casing may be needed to keep the holes stable.

In case the column verification testing fails to meet required performance criteria, consider adding more columns, increasing column depth, or adjusting column spacing.

5.3.4 Specifications

Non-standard specifications from previous Caltrans projects are available for both stone columns and rammed aggregate columns on Caltrans intranet. Contract specifications are also discussed in Ground Improvement Methods. In general, the specifications should include provisions on:

- Method specification (Materials, equipment, and construction procedure)
- Performance specification and acceptance criteria
- Verification testing
- Ground movement, vibration, noise control, and monitoring
- Field Inspection
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Appendix
Ground Improvement Methods Used by Caltrans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Dist-Co- Rte</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>EA</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Information Available</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wick Drains</td>
<td>01-MEN-101</td>
<td>43/51</td>
<td>2010-2014</td>
<td>01-26200</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wick Drains</td>
<td>10-SJ-12</td>
<td>0.2/6.8</td>
<td>2007-2013</td>
<td>10-0A840</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wick Drains</td>
<td>11-SD-005</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>11-0301U</td>
<td></td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, Calculations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wick Drains</td>
<td>04-Sol-37</td>
<td>8.0/10.5</td>
<td>2004-2010</td>
<td>04-0T1411</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, Calcs, Spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Cell Concrete</td>
<td>04-CC-680</td>
<td>38/39</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>04-254504</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Cell Conc./EPS</td>
<td>04-CC-680</td>
<td>38/40</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>04-006054</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Cell Concrete</td>
<td>04-CC-680</td>
<td>38/39</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>04-0060A4</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill - EPS</td>
<td>01-Men-101</td>
<td>35.6/38.9</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>01-474001</td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, Spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill – TDA</td>
<td>01-Men-101</td>
<td>98.5/100.9</td>
<td>2005-2010</td>
<td>01-397511</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan Spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill – TDA</td>
<td>08-Riv-215</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Retaining Wall</td>
<td>Ret Wall backfill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Natural and expanded shale</td>
<td>04-Ala-80 Emeryville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Emb. &amp; MSE</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Geogrid</td>
<td>04- ALA-80 Frontage Rd</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Geogrid</td>
<td>04- Son-116</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Geogrid</td>
<td>04-SON-116</td>
<td>40/41</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>04-1S0601</td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Cell Concrete/MSE</td>
<td>SCL-87</td>
<td>11/13</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>04-4874R1</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Cell Concrete</td>
<td>04-Mrn-101</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>04-4S5501</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Cell Concrete</td>
<td>04-SF-101</td>
<td>8.0-9.8</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>04-163701</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Geogrid</td>
<td>04-SON-1</td>
<td>20.8-1.2</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>04-196461</td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Geogrid</td>
<td>04-SON-1</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Terramesh</td>
<td>04-SON-1</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Soldier Pile wall</td>
<td>04-SON-1</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>04-44010</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>Seismic retrofit report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill-Soldier Pile wall</td>
<td>04-NAP-128</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slide Repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightweight Fill - Geogrid</td>
<td>04-SON-116</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slide repair Temp Wall</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Column-Liquefaction</td>
<td>04-ALA-260</td>
<td>1.1-1.7(KP)</td>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>04-44010</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>Seismic retrofit report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Column-Slope</td>
<td>SF-001</td>
<td>6.0-9.7</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>04-1A9021</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Dist-Co- Rte</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Information Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stabilization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Column- Slope stabilization</td>
<td>04-ALA-580</td>
<td>4.9-8.9</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>04-4A0701</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plans, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Column- Liquifaction</td>
<td>11-SD-005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11-0301U</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammed Aggregate Piers- Embankment and RW</td>
<td>SON-101Airport Blvd</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>04-3A23U1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Appr. Emb Ret. wall</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rammed Aggregate Piers</td>
<td>MRN/SON-101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Grouting</td>
<td>SCL-87</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>04-930322</td>
<td>Sink hole/settlement</td>
<td>GDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Grouting</td>
<td>SCL-87</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>04-4396U4</td>
<td>Sink hole/settlement</td>
<td>GDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Grouting</td>
<td>SCL-880</td>
<td>2.0/2.1</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sink hole/settlement</td>
<td>Grouting Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Grouting</td>
<td>SON-1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>04-4S3604</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>GDR/FR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Grouting</td>
<td>07-LA-105</td>
<td></td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>07-18830</td>
<td></td>
<td>GDR, Plans, Calculations, Spec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction Grouting</td>
<td>07-LA-091</td>
<td></td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>07-18220</td>
<td></td>
<td>GDR, Plans, Calculations, Spec, QA results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeation Grouting</td>
<td>07-LA-213</td>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>07-4L020</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet Grouting</td>
<td>Oakland</td>
<td></td>
<td>2003</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pose/ Webster Tube</td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet Grouting</td>
<td>04-ALA-260</td>
<td>1.1-1.7 (KP)</td>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>04-44010</td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td>Seismic retrofit report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected Lightweight Foam Fill</td>
<td>04-ALA-580</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>04-1F3903</td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected Lightweight Foam Fill</td>
<td>04-ALA-680</td>
<td>0.9-1.6</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>04-4G7003</td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected Lightweight Foam Fill</td>
<td>04-CC-80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected Lightweight Foam Fill</td>
<td>CC-4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>04-2G6504</td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td>GRD/ Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected Lightweight Foam Fill</td>
<td>SCL-85</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>04-4S0601</td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td>GDR/Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected Lightweight Foam Fill</td>
<td>SON-128</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td>GDR/Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected Lightweight Foam Fill</td>
<td>SOL-680</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>04-1F6404</td>
<td>Fill Voids</td>
<td>GDR/Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Mixing</td>
<td>04-SF-101</td>
<td>8.0-9.8</td>
<td>2008/ present</td>
<td></td>
<td>Embankment</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep Mixing</td>
<td>04-SON-101</td>
<td>4.0-5.2</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td></td>
<td>Embankment.</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Dist-Co-Rte</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Information Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropiles</td>
<td>01-Hum-101</td>
<td>111.5</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>01-488303</td>
<td>Slide repair</td>
<td>Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropiles</td>
<td>Son-1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>04-193961</td>
<td>Slide repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropiles</td>
<td>Son-1</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>04-1S2801</td>
<td>Slide repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropiles</td>
<td>04-92/280</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>04-1A7701</td>
<td>Slide repair</td>
<td>GDR, Plan, SSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropiles</td>
<td>07-LA-027</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>07-45460</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GDR, Plans, Spec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>