

Contract No: _____

Consultant: _____

Criteria	(a) Score (0-10.0)	(b) Weight	(c)=(a) x (b) Weighted Score
<p>1. TECHNICAL EVALUATION</p> <p>Part A: Example Take Home Task Order:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Demonstrated knowledge of the Scope of Work. ➤ Identified challenges associated with the Contract. ➤ Responded to Task Order and Contract needs and discussed approach ➤ Qualifications and availability of proposed ten (10) employees. ➤ Demonstrated ability to deliver the Example Task Order. 		2.0	
<p>Part B: Technical Scenario Questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consultant's breadth of technical knowledge and approach to address contract specific technical challenge questions. 2. Closing statement comments regarding Technical Questions 		5.5	
<p>2. INITIAL EVALUATION TOTAL (SOQ score ÷10)</p>		2.5	
TOTAL SCORE			

Signature of Evaluator: _____ Date _____

Printed Name of Evaluator: _____

Verified by: _____ Date _____

SUGGESTED SCORING SYSTEM
 for
 A&E CALTRANS CONSULTANT SELECTION COMMITTEES

<u>TIER</u>	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
Well Qualified	<p>Presentation: Exceptional understanding of the scope work and challenges associated with this contract. Outstanding comprehension of all key deliverables and exceptional ability to deliver the Contract deliverables.</p> <p>Technical Evaluation A (Example Task Order): Exceptional understanding of all the challenges associated with the Example Task Order. Personnel cover all or majority of the required services and secure adequate cross the board major classifications. Qualifications exceed Caltrans requirements and have more than adequate availability in the coming one year of the contract. Have direct and similar experience to the requested services. Solid methodological approach to deliver the Example Task Order.</p> <p>Technical Evaluation B (Technical Questions): Answered all technical challenge questions comprehensively and demonstrated technical expertise that exceeds Caltrans expectations</p>	8.5 – 10.0
Qualified	<p>Presentation: Acceptable understanding of the scope work and challenges associated with this contract. Covered the majority of key deliverables of the Contract and a good ability to deliver the Contract deliverables.</p> <p>Technical Evaluation A (Example Task Order): Complete understanding of all the challenges associated with the Example Task Order. Personnel just barely cover the majority of the required services and it includes barely adequate cross the board major classifications. Qualifications just met Caltrans requirements and just have adequate availability in the coming one year of the contract. The proposed individuals have similar experience to the requested services. Adequate methodological approach to deliver the Example Task Order.</p> <p>Technical Evaluation B (Technical Questions): Answered half to most technical challenge questions satisfactorily and demonstrated technical expertise that meets Caltrans expectations.</p>	5.0 – 8.4

**ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING (A&E) CONSULTANT EVALUATION FORM
PRESENTATION AND INTERVIEW**

ADM-2028a

Enhanced - Revised 6/1/16

Page 3 of 3

TIER	DESCRIPTION	SCORE
Not Qualified	<p>Presentation: Lack of an acceptable understanding of the scope work and challenges associated with this contract. Did not cover key deliverables of the Contract and poor ability to deliver the Contract deliverables.</p> <p>Technical Evaluation A (Example Task Order): Did not demonstrate understanding of key and or majority of the challenges associated with the Example Task Order. Personnel do not cover the majority of the required services and do not cover cross the board major classifications. Qualifications do not meet Caltrans requirements and have little to no availability in the coming one year of the contract. The proposed individuals do not have direct or similar experience to the requested services. Deficient methodological approach to deliver the Example Task Order.</p> <p>Technical Evaluation B (Technical Questions): Answered less than half of technical challenge questions satisfactorily and demonstrated lack technical expertise that meets Caltrans expectations.</p>	0.0 – 4.9

Note: Scores should only be rounded to the tenth.