**RECORD OF BLANKET PRIOR APPROVAL FOR MAJOR CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT NO.</th>
<th>CCO NO.</th>
<th>CONTRACT NO.</th>
<th>DIST-CO-RTE-PM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statewide</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Any Federally Funded Project</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REQUESTED BY**

Chuck Suszko

**DATE**

5/13/13

**PROPOSED CHANGE:**

A contractor requested change to use reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) as a substitute for up to 25 percent of the dry virgin aggregate weight in hot mix asphalt (HMA) Type A. The contractor shall provide the HMA mix design, RAP quality control and additional quality control testing during HMA production. The state revised the Section 39 specification by increasing the amount of RAP allowed in HMA Type A or Type B from up to 15 percent to up to 25 percent of dry virgin aggregate weight. The requirements for using up to 25 percent RAP were included in the 2010 revised *Standard Specifications* starting on February 22, 2013.

Contract time will not be extended as a result of this change.

There will be no credit or cost to the state as a result of this change. The credit will be based on the differences in the costs of HMA with 15 percent RAP versus HMA with up to 25 percent. Force account analysis for credits shall be filed in the project’s records. The credits will vary on a project by project basis.

**REASON FOR CHANGE:**

The 2010 revised *Standard Specifications* (RSS) contains new language that allows contractors to use reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) as a substitute for up to 25 percent of the dry virgin aggregate mass in the hot mix asphalt mix. This change is necessary in the interim in order to implement the revised specification ongoing construction projects.

The change is initiated by contractors to reduce hot mix asphalt production costs. The state benefits by recycling materials, thereby diverting solid waste from landfills and extending our existing aggregate reserves.

FHWA prior approval is being sought to avoid the need for processing numerous prior approvals for this change on a contract-by-contract basis.

**TIME EXTENSIONS**

- None
- Deferred

**ESTIMATE OF COST:**

- Increase
- Decrease

- $0.00

**CCO: METHOD OF PAYMENT**

- Contract
- Adjustement of Compensation
- Agreed Price
- Extra Work at Force Account

THE WORK COVERED BY THE PROPOSED REVISION AS DESCRIBED ABOVE IS APPROVED SUBJECT TO SUBMISSION OF SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION INCLUDING COST EVALUATION AND JUSTIFICATION OF TIME EXTENSIONS.

**OTHER CONDITIONS:**

PRIOR APPROVAL TO PROCEED GRANTED BY: __________________________

DATE OF AUTHORIZATION: __________________________