LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) <u>v1.0</u>

### **ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM**

**IMPLEMENTING AGENCY:** Auto-populated with data from Part A1: Applicant Information

**PROJECT TYPE:** Infrastructure - Medium



PROJECT APPLICATION NO.: Auto-populated with data from Part A1 and A2

Auto-populated with data from Part A2: General Project Information PROJECT NAME:

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Auto-populated with data from Part A2: General Project Information

**PROJECT LOCATION:** Auto-populated with data from Part A2: General Project Information

	ATP FUNDED COMPONENTS										
	Infrastructure										
	PA&ED		PS&E		R/W		CON	Non-l	nfrastructure		Plan
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
FY	-	FY	-	FY	-	FY	-	FY	-	FY	-

PROJECT FUNDING INFORMATION (1,000s)						
Total Project \$	Total ATP \$	Total Non-ATP \$	Past ATP \$	Leveraging \$	Non-Participating \$	Future Local \$
-	-	-	-	-	-	-

v1.0



# **APPLICATION INDEX PAGE**

Part A: General Application Questions	3
Part A1: Applicant Information	3
Part A2: General Project Information	4
Part A3: Project Type	5
Part A4: Project Details	7
Part A5: Project Schedule	11
Part A6: Project Funding	12
Project Program Request (PPR)	15
Part A7: Screening Criteria	18
Part B: Narrative Questions	19
Part C: Application Attachments	33

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# **Part A1: Applicant Information**

Implementing Agency: This agency must enter into a Master Agreement with Caltrans and will be financially and contractually responsible for the delivery of the project within all pertinent Federal and State funding requirements, including being responsible and accountable for the use and expenditure of program funds. This agency is responsible for the accuracy of the technical information provided in the application and is required to sign the application.

IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S NAME:		
IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S ADDRESS	CITY	ZIP CODE
		CA
IMPLEMENTING AGENCY'S CONTACT PERSON:	CONTACT PERSON'S TITLE:	
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:	CONTACT PERSON'S EMAIL ADDRES	S:
Applicants have the opportunity to insert a project picture, agency seal, or other image on the cover page. If you would like to do this, attach the image (*.jpg, *.bmp, *.png, etc.) by clicking in the box.		
MASTER AGREEMENTS (MAs):		
Does the Implementing Agency currently have a MA with C	altrans? Yes No	
Implementing Agency's Federal Caltrans MA number		
Implementing Agency's State Caltrans MA number		
* Implementing Agencies that do not currently have a MA with Caltrans, Caltrans prior to funds allocation. The MA approval process can take 6 meet the requirements necessary for the State to enter into a MA with the Allocation timeline requirements and the loss of ATP funding.	to 12 months to complete and there is no	guarantee the agency will
Project Partnering Agency: The "Project Partnering Agency" is defined as an agency, other the ongoing operations and maintenance of the improved facil Agency agrees to assume responsibility for the ongoing operation documentation of the agreement (e.g., letter of intent) as part of the of Understanding or Interagency Agreement between the parties projects, the Project Partnering Agency's information shall be pro-	ity. The Implementing Agency must: ns and maintenance of the improved fa he project application, and 3) ensure a is submitted with the first request for a	1) ensure the Partnering acility, 2) provide a copy of the Memorandum
Based on the definition above, does this project have a partnering a	agency? Yes No	
PROJECT PARTNERING AGENCY'S NAME:		
PROJECT PARTNERING AGENCY'S CONTACT PERSON:	CONTACT PERSON'S TITLE:	
CONTACT PERSON'S PHONE NUMBER:	CONTACT PERSON'S EMAIL ADDRES	S:
Attach a "letter of intent" or other documentation.		

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part A2: General Project Information

PRO	OJECT NAME: (Max	of 10 Words) (7	To be used in the C	CTC project list)		Words Remaining:	
PRO	DJECT / APPLICAT	ION NUMBER:					
	MMARY OF PROJE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
(Su	mmary of the Existin	g Condition, Pro	ject Scope, the Exp	pected Benefits)		Words Remaining:	
FTII	P PROJECT DESC	RIPTION: (May (	of 180 Characters)			Characters Remaining:	
	T ROOLOT BLOOK	tii Tiott. (Max t	<u> </u>			onaracters remaining.	
PRO	DJECT LOCATION:	(Max of 180 cha	aracters)			Characters Remaining:	
In a	ddition to the Location	on Description p	rovided, attach a lo	cation map to the	application. The loc	ation map needs to show the project	
bou	ndaries in relation to	the Implementing	ng Agency's bound	aries.			
_							
Pro	ject Coordinates: (	atitude/longitude	e in decimal format	) Lat	N /long	W	
	Congression	nal District(s):					
	_				( - A   b - District	(a)	
	State Senate	e District(s):		Sta	te Assembly District	(s):	
	Caltrans Dist	rict:					
	County:						
	County.						
	MPO:						
	RTPA:						
	Urbanized Zo (UZA) Popula						
Pasi	=		has there been an	v previous State o	or Federal ATP_SRTS	S, SR2S, BTA or other ped/bike funding	
for a	project(s) that are a	adjacent to or ov	erlap the limits of p	roject scope of th	is application?	, ortzo, birtor other pearante fariants	, awaras
		If was been no		4-0			
	Yes No	ii yes, now m	nany previous award	us?			
		Past	t Project	Funded	Project	Type of overlap/connection	
	Project Number		ınding	Amount \$	Туре	with past projects (select only one which matches the	e hest)
			_			Color only one which matches the	Desig

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part A3: Project Type

ROJECT TYPE: (Use the drop down me	enu to select.) Infrastructure - Medium
ndicate any of the following plans that	your agency currently has: (Check all that apply)
Bicycle Plan Pedestrian Plan	Safe Routes to School Plan Active Transportation Plan None
PROJECT SUB-TYPE (check all Proje	ect Sub-Types that apply):
☐ Bicycle Transportation	% of Project 0 %
Pedestrian Transportation	% of Project 0 %
Safe Routes to School (Al	so fill out Bicycle and Pedestrian Sub-Type information above)
school students to walk and/public school or within the vi Other than traffic education and Projects with Safe Routes to As a condition of receiving fur after student surveys as defined the wany schools does the school of the	afe Routes to School designation, the project must directly increase safety and convenience for public for bike to school. Safe Routes to Schools infrastructure projects must be located within two miles of a cinity of a public school bus stop and the students must be the intended beneficiaries of the project. and enforcement activities, non-infrastructure projects do not have a location restriction.  School elements must fill out "School and Student Details" later in this application. unding, projects with Safe Routes to School Elements must commit to completing additional before and med in the Caltrans Active Transportation Guidelines (LAPG Chapter 22).  The project impact/serve:  The project impact/serve i
School Name: School Address: District Name: District Address: CoDistSchool Code: School Type:	to
Project improvements maxin	num distance from school0.0 mile
Projected # of students that Percentage of students eligit	ently walk or bike to school:  ving along route proposed for improvement:  will walk/bike to school after the project:  ole for free or reduced meal programs**  partment of Education website: <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sd/sd/filessp.asp">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/sd/sd/filessp.asp</a>

Application Instructions for details)

#### ATP CYCLE 4 APPLICATION FORM

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



Trails (Multi-use and Recreational):	:	(Also fill out Bio	cycle and	l Pedestrian	Sub-Tv	vpe information	n above
Trans (Main asc and Necreational).		(Miso IIII out Dit	yoro arra	i i cacsinan	OUD IY	pe illioilliadoi	I above,

Trails Projects constructing multi-purpose trails are generally eligible in the Active Transportation Program. If the applicant believes all or part of their project meets the federal requirements of the Recreational Trails Program they are encouraged to seek a determination from the California Department of Parks and Recreation on the eligibility of their project to complete for this funding. This is optional but recommended because some trails projects may compete better under this funding program. See section 22.7 Recreational Trails Program (RTP) of the Caltrans ATP Chapter for Elements that are only eligible for funding with Recreational Trail Funds.

For all trails projects:		
Do you feel a portion of your project is eligible for federal Recreational Trail funding?	☐ Yes	☐ No
If yes, estimate the total project costs that are eligible for the Recreational Trail funding:		
If yes, estimate the % of the total project costs that serve "transportation" uses?		
Applicants intending to pursue "Recreational Trails Program funding" must submit the rather California Department of Parks and Recreation prior to the ATP application submissions.		

<sup>\*</sup>Recreational Trail funding can only fund work outside of the roadway Right-of-way.

Non-Infrastructure Components

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part A4: Project Details

Indicate the project details included in the project/program/plan.

<u>Note</u>		ount of Active Transportation improvements proposed by the fit both Bicyclists and Pedestrians (i.e. new RRFB/Signal s	
<u>B</u>	icycle Improvements		
W	hat % of the BICYCLE relate	ed project cost are going towards closing a "Gap" in infrast	tructure? 0 %
(A	s opposed to cost going tow	ards "improving" existing bicycle infrastructure: i.e. Class 2	2 to Class 4)
Ne	ew Bike Lanes/Routes:	Class 1:         0         Linear Feet         Class 3:         Class 3:         0         Linear Feet         Class 3:	ass 2:0 Linear Feet ass 4:0 Linear Feet
Ui M Lig Bi	gnalized Intersections: n-Signalized Intersections: id-Block Crossing: ghting: ke Share Program: ke Racks/Lockers:	New Bike Boxes:         0         Number         Tir           New RRFB/Signal:         0         Number         Crown           New RRFB/Signal:         0         Number         Crown           Intersection:         0         Number         Rown           New Station:         0         Number         New	ming Improvements: 0 Number rossing-Surface Improvements: 0 Number rossing-Surface Improvements: 0 Number roadway Segments: 0 Linear Feet row Bikes: 0 Number row Secured Lockers: 0 Number
		#1: #: 0 #2:	
<u>P</u> € W	edestrian Improvements hat % of the PEDESTRIAN I		
Si	dewalks:		ew (over 8' wide):0Linear Feet econstruct/Enhance Existing:0Linear Feet er, etc.): 0Linear Feet
	DA Ramp Improvements: gnalized Intersections:	New Crosswalk: 0 Number En	econstruct Ramp to Standard: 0 Number whance Existing Crosswalk: 0 Number worten Crossing: 0 Number
	n-Signalized Intersections:	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ew Roundabout:
	d-Block Crossing:		ossing-Surface Improvements: $\underline{}$ Number
	ghting:		padway Segments:0 Linear Feet
Pe	edestrian Amenities:		ash Cans:0 Number
	. 5		nade Tree Type:
O.	ther Ped Improvements:	#1:#:0#2	#:
<u>M</u>	ulti-use Trail Improveme		
CI	ass 1 Trails:	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ew (over 8' wide):0 Linear Feet
	on-Class 1 Trails: her Trail Improvements:		iden/Reconstruct Existing:0 Linear Feet ::#:0
□ V	ehicular-Roadway Traffi	c-Calming Improvements	
	oad Diets:		emove Right-Turn Pocket: 0 Number
Sı	peed Feedback Signs:	Speed Feedback Signs:0 Number	
-	gnalized Intersections:	_	ew Roundabout: 0 Number
	n-Signalized Intersections:	Tilling improvements Number Ne	ew Roundabout: 0 Number
	ther Traffic-Calming iprovements:		#: 0

<u>v1.0</u>



Auto-populated with data from Part A1 and A2 LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018)

NI Program Type:	Indicate the NI program type. If more than one, inc	licate the percentage split based on cost.	
	Regional Initiative 0 %	First Last Mile0 %	
	Community Initiative 0 %	Other:	,0 %
	Safe Routes to School 0 %		
Program Activities:	Insert the number of each type of activity included	I in the program. Do not double count.	
	Regional Community Initiatives:		
	Number of walk or bike audits		
	Number of bicycle skills/safety class		
	Number of pedestrian skills/safety c		
		n projects/pop-ups/open street events	
	Trainbor or community encourageme	• •	
		s (i.e. progressive ticketing, deploying speed trailer	e oto)
	0 Number of community workshops/st		s, etc.)
	Safe Routes to School (SRTS):	ancholder meetings	
		ceiving pedestrian/bicycle safety instruction/educat	ion
		ring pedestrian/bicycle safety instruction/education	
		eiving pedestrian/bicycle safety instruction/education	on
	0 Number of bike rodeos		
	Number of pedestrian 'mock city' sa	fety skills events	
	Number of schools with walking sch timetable and a schedule of trained	ool bus program (defined as planned route with me volunteers)	eting points, a
		program (defined as a planned route with meeting	points, a
	timetable and a schedule of trained	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o V number of
	0 school months X number of school i	ays (i.e. designated monthly bike/walk to school da nvolved)	ys A number of
		nethods (i.e. progressive ticketing, deploying speed	l trailers, etc.)
	Number of training sessions to imple	ement the SRTS program (i.e training for volunteer	
	bus leaders, crossing guards, etc.)		
	Other:		
	Number of		
	Number of		
Communications:	Check the box if the program will include the com	munication type.	
	Traditional media (radio ads, TV ads, newspaper ads, flyers, etc.)	Social media (Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, etc.)	
	Large media (bus-wraps, billboards, etc.)	Program website	
	Print/electronic publications	Other;	
	(newsletters, blogs, etc.)	Other,	
	What languages, if any, will the selected commun	ications be translated to:	
Collaborative Partnerships:	Check all parties that have a committed role in the	e project beyond submitting a letter of support.	
	Local Public Health Department	Schools/School Districts	
	Law Enforcement	☐ Public Works Departments	
	Non-Profit Organizations/Community Based Organizations	Other;	

Auto-populated with data from Part A1 and A2

### ATP CYCLE 4 APPLICATION FORM

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0 56 To 1

Plan Type (only intended for Plans)							
Plan Type:	Check which type of Plan:						
2.	Pedestrian Plan	Active Transportation Plan					
	☐ Bicycle Plan	Safe Routes to School Plan					

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



Right of Way (R/W) Impacts (Check all that apply)

_	
	Project is 100% within the Implementing Agency's R/W and/or is within their control <u>at the time of this application</u> submittal. (This includes temporary construction easements)
	Project will likely require R/W in fee ownership, permanent easements and/or temporary construction easements from private owners and/or will require utility relocations from utility companies outside that implementing agency's governmental control.
	The federal R/W process involving private property acquisitions and/or private utility relocations can often take 18 to 24 months after environmental document approval. The project schedule in the application for R/W needs to reflect the necessary time to complete the federal R/W process.
	What is the total number of private R/W parcels expected to be impacted?
	What is the total number of utility companies expected to be impacted?
	What is the total additional months needed (all project phases) for the expected R/W acquisitions and/or utility relocations?
	Has the project schedule been developed to account for this time?
	Project will likely encroach into Caltrans R/W requiring easements, encroachment permits and/or other approvals.
	Is Caltrans the "Implementing Agency"?
	*See the application instructions for more details on the required coordination, documentation and approval from Caltrans.
	The applicant must attach the approved and signed Caltrans Checklist for ATP projects impacting Caltrans R/W.
	The following project details must match the information shown in the approved Caltrans Checklist attached above:
	What % of the project (by area) is within Caltrans R/W?
	What % of the project (by total project cost) is within Caltrans R/W?
	What is the total cost (all project phases) of all the project elements within Caltrans R/W?
	What level of Caltrans project development oversite has been determined to be needed by Caltrans?
	Is the project expected to be tracked by Caltrans as a "Local Assistance" or "Capital" project?
	What is the total additional months needed (all project phases) for Caltrans to complete its required oversite responsibilities?
	Has the project schedule been developed to account for this time?
	Project will likely require R/W, Easements, encroachment and/or approval involving Governmental (excluding Caltrans - as Caltrans impacts are documented above), Environmental, or Railroad owner's property.
	*See the application instructions for more details on the required coordination and documentation from these agencies.
	Attach a letter of support or neutrality from each separate agency. Combine all letters in one pdf attachment.
	The following information should be based on specific prior coordination and agreement between the agencies:
	What is the total additional months needed (all project phases) for all of these agencies to complete their required oversite responsibilities and to complete any required actions that are necessary based on the expected R/W impacts?
	Has the project schedule been developed to account for this time?

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part A5: Project Schedule

NOTES: 1) Per CTC Guidelines, all project applications must be submitted with the expectation of receiving federal funding and therefore the schedule below must account for the extra time needed for federal project delivery requirements and approvals, including a NEPA environmental clearance and for each CTC allocation there must also be a Notice to Proceed with Federally Reimbursable work.

2) Prior to estimating the durations of the project delivery tasks (below), applicants are highly encouraged to review the appropriate chapters of the Local Assistance Procedures Manual and work closely with District Local Assistance Staff.

3) The proposed CTC Allocation dates must be between July 1, 2019 and June 30, 2023 to be consistent with the available ATP funds for Cycle 4.

for Cycle 4.	
NFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS:	
PA&ED Project Delivery Phase:	
Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Expected or Past Start Date for PA&ED activities:	
Time to complete the separate CEQA & NEPA studies/approvals:  months (See note)	#2, above)
Expected or Past Completion Date for the PA&ED Phase:	
* Applications showing the PA&ED phase as complete, must include/attach the signature pages for the CEQA and NE which include project descriptions covering the full scope.	PA documents,
PS&E Project Delivery Phase:	
Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? Yes No	
Expected or Past Start Date for PS&E activities:  Time to complete the final Plans, Specification & Estimate:  months	
Expected or Past Completion Date for the PS&E Phase:	
* Applications showing the PS&E phase as complete, must include/attach the signed & Stamped Title Sheet for the pla approval page of the specifications.	ns and
Right of Way Project Delivery Phase:	
Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Expected or Past Start Date for R/W activities:	
Time to complete the R/W Engineering, Acquisition, and Utilities:	
Expected or Past Completion Date for the R/W Phase:	
* PS&E and Right of Way phases can be allocated at the same CTC meeting.	
* Applications showing the R/W phase as complete, must include/attach the Caltrans approved R/W Certification.	
Construction Project Delivery Phase:	
Will ATP funds be used in this phase of the project? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Expected Start Date for Construction activities:	
Time to complete the Construction activities:	
Expected or Past Completion Date for the CON Phase:	
Proposed Dates for "Before" and "After" Counts (As required by the CTC and Caltrans guidelines):	
Expected Date for "Before" counts (Ideally, within 12 months of the beginning of the Construction Activities)	
Expected Date for "After" counts (Ideally, at least 6 months after the end of all Construction Activities)	

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part A6: Project Funding

(1,000s)

Project Phase	Total Project Costs	Total ATP Funding	ATP Allocation Year *	Total Non-ATP Funding **	Non- Participating Funding	"Prior" ATP Funding	Leveraging Funding	Future Local Identified Funding
PA&ED	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
PS&E	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
R/W	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
CON	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
NI-CON/ PLAN	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	-	-		-	-	-	-	-

<sup>\*</sup> The CTC Allocation-Year is calculated based on the information entered into the "Project Schedule" section.

#### ATP FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED:

Per the CTC Guidelines, all ATP projects must be eligible to receive federal funding. Most ATP projects will receive federal funding; however, it is the intent of the Commission to consolidate the allocation of federal funds to as few projects as practicable. Therefore, the smallest projects may be granted State Funding from the State Highway Account (SHA) for all or part of the project. Agencies with projects under \$1M, especially ones being implemented by agencies who are not familiar with the federal funding process, are encouraged to request State funding.

Do you believe your project warrants receiving state-only funding?	☐ Yes ☐ No		
If "Yes", provide a brief explanation. (Max of 50 Words)		Words Remaining:	
If "Yes", applicants requesting SHA must also attach an "Exhibit 22-F"			

#### ATP PROJECT PROGRAMMING REQUEST (PPR):

Using the Project Schedule, Project Funding, and General Project information provided, this electronic form has automatically prepared the following PPR pages. Applicants must review the information in the PPR to confirm it matches their expectations.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Applicants must ensure that the "Total Non-ATP Funding" values show in this table match the overall Non-ATP Funding values they enter into Page 2 of the PPR (later in this form)

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018)



v1.0 Amendment (Existing Project) N **Date:** 05/23/18 District EA Project ID **PPNO** MPO ID Alt Project. ID/prg. ATP Route/Corridor PM Bk PM Ahd **Project Sponsor/Lead Agency** County **MPO Element Phone** E-mail Address **Project Manager/Contact Project Title** Location (Project Limits), Description (Scope of Work) Component **Implementing Agency** PA&ED PS&E Right of Way Construction **Legislative Districts** Assembly: Senate: Congressional: Project Benefits (If more space is needed, use the Additional Information field on the next page.) **Purpose and Need** Unit **Outputs/Outcomes Total** Category Bike/Ped Improvements: Y N Reversible Lane Analysis: Y N ADA Improvements: Y N Inc. Sustainable Communities Strategy Goals: Y N Reduces Greenhouse Gas Emissions: Y N **Project Milestone** Existing **Proposed** Project Study Report Approved 05/23/18 Begin Environmental (PA&ED) Phase Circulate Draft Environmental Document (Document Type) **Draft Project Report** End Environmental Phase (PA&ED Milestone) Begin Design (PS&E) Phase End Design Phase (Ready to List for Advertisement Milestone) Begin Right of Way Phase End Right of Way Phase (Right of Way Certification Milestone) Begin Construction Phase **End Construction Phase** Begin Closeout Phase End Closeout Phase (Closeout Report)

Auto-populated with data from Part A1 and A2  $\,$ 

ATP CYCLE 4 APPLICATION FORM

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



Additional Information	Date:	05/23/18

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



### **Exhibit 22-G Project Programming Request (PPR)**

Date: 05/23/18

Project Information:										
Project Title:										
District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO					

	Funding Information:  DO NOT FILL IN ANY SHADED AREAS											
	Notes:											
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total				
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

ATP Funds	Infrastruct	Program Code							
		20.30.720							
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

ATP Funds	Non-Infras		Program Code						
		Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	(\$1,000s)				20.30.720
Component	Prior	Funding Agency							
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

ATP Funds	Plan Cycle		Program Code						
		Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	(\$1,000s)				20.30.720
Component	Prior	Funding Agency							
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

ATP Funds	Previous (		Program Code						
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Caltrans
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0

TOTAL



#### **Exhibit 22-G Project Programming Request (PPR)**

Date: 05/23/18

	Project Information:											
Project Title:												
District	County	County Route EA Project ID P										

### **Summary of Non-ATP Funding**

The I	Von-ATP	funding	shown oi	n this pag	ge must r	match the	e values i	n the Pro	ject Funding table.
Fund No. 2:									Program Code
	<u>'</u>	Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	\$1,000s)				
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Fund No. 3:									Program Code
	'	Propose	d Funding	Allocation (	\$1,000s)				
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Fund No. 4:									Program Code
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Fund No. 5:									Program Code
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Fund No. 6:									Program Code
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Fund No. 7:									Program Code
Component	Prior	18/19	19/20	20/21	21/22	22/23	23/24+	Total	Funding Agency
E&P (PA&ED)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
PS&E	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Notes:
R/W	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### Auto-populated with data from Part A1 and A2

ATP CYCLE 4 APPLICATION FORM LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018)

v1.0



District	County	Route	EA	Project ID	PPNO	Alt. ID				
SECTION 1 - A	SECTION 1 - All Projects									
	Project Background Characters Remaining:									
1 Tojoot Baokgroun					Characters	ivemaning.				
Programming Char	nge Requested				Characters	Remaining:				
Reason for Propos	sed Changed				Characters	Remaining:				
If prepared shape	a will dolov one or n	and components of	Jeanly eveloin 4) rec	son for the delay ?	) and increase rela	stad to the delay				
and 3) how cost in	e will delay one or it crease will be funde	ed	learly explain 1) rea	son for the delay, 2		Remaining:				
,						g				
Other Significant II	nformation				Characters	Remaining:				
SECTION 2 - F	or SB1 Project	s Only								
ozomon z	-	-	w the individual SB1	nrogram guidelines f	for specific criteria)					
SECTION 3 - A		tequest (1 lease folic	W the marriadal OB1	program galacimes i	or specific criteria)					
	di Frojects									
	Approvals  I hereby certify that the above information is complete and accurate and all approvals have been obtained for the processing of this amendment									
•	int or Type)	Si	gnature		Title	Date				
1.0000			-J			2410				
Attachments										

- 1) Concurrence from Implementing Agency and/or Regional Transportation Planning Agency
- 2) Project Location Map

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part A7: Screening Criteria

The following Screening Criteria are requirements for applications to be considered for ATP funding. Failure to demonstrate a project meets these criteria will result is the disqualification of the application.

es 🗌 No
ning:
es 🗌 N
ing:
es N
ing:
es
ning:
1

Note: Projects not providing proof will be disqualified and not be evaluated.

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018)



v1.0	0	
3.	Is the Implementing Agency Caltrans?	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Per the CTC, Caltrans must document the need to address this project with ATP funds, versus other funding streams complete streets through existing Caltrans funding. Attached the necessary documentation:	s available for
	Per the CTC Guidelines, Caltrans nominated projects must illustrate coordination with the corresponding local and re Caltrans is required to show assurance that local communities are supportive of and have provided feedback on the ATP project. Attached the necessary documentation:	

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part B: Narrative Questions Ouestion #1

		Question #1								
	ESTION #1 SADVANTAGED COMMUNITIES (0-10 POINTS)									
	This project does not qualify as a Disadvantaged Community.									
	If this project does not qualify as a Disa	If this project does not qualify as a Disadvantaged Community, applicant may skip and move onto question 2.								
۹.	Map of Project Boundaries, Access and Destina	ation (0 points): Required								
	Provide a scaled map showing the boundaries of the proposed project, the geographic boundaries of the disadvantaged community, and disadvantaged community access point(s) and destinations that the project is benefiting.									
3.	Identification of Disadvantaged Community: (0	points)								
	Select one of the following 4 options. Must provide information for all Census Tract/Block Group/Place Number that the project affects.  • Median Household Income  • CalEnviroScreen  • Free or Reduced Priced School Meals - Applications using this measure must demonstrate how the project benefits the school students in the project area.  • Other									
	Select Option:									
	The Median Household Income (Table ID B19013) is less than 80% of the statewide median based on the most current Census Tract 140) level data from the 2012-2016 American Community Survey (ACS) (<\$51,026). Communities with a population less than 15,000 use data at the Census Block Group (ID 150) level. Unincorporated communities may use data at the Census Place (ID 160) level. Da available at: http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/nav/jsf/pages/index.xhtml									
	Census Tract/Block Group/Place #	Population	мні							
	Lowest median household income from above (au	·	ed for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only)							
	Median household income by census tract for the	community(ies) benefited by the project: \$ _								
	(to be used for severity calculation only)									
	Must attach a copy of FactFinder ACS page for each census tract listed above. Attach all pages as one pdf.									
	An area identified as among the most disadvantaged 25% in the state according to the CalEPA and based on the California Communitie Environmental Health Screening Tool 2.0 (CalEnviroScreen 2.0) scores (score must be greater than or equal to 39.34). This list can be found at the following link under SB 535 List of Disadvantaged Communities: <a href="https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-30">https://oehha.ca.gov/calenviroscreen/report/calenviroscreen-30</a>									
	Census Tract/Block Group/Place #	Population	CalEnviroScreen Score							
	Highest California Communities Environmental He	ealth Screening Tool (CalEnviroScreen) score	from above (autofill):							
	` ` ` ` ` ` `	g as benefiting a DAC only)								
	California Communities Environmental Health Scr		community benefited by the project:							
	(to be used for severity	• •								
	Must attach a copy of CalEnviroScreen page for each census tract listed above. Attach all pages as one pdf.									

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



**School Name School Enrollment** % of Students Eligible for FRPM

At least 75% of public school students in the project area are eligible to receive free or reduced-price meals under the

School Na	ıme	School Enrollme	ent	% of Students Eligible for FRPM	
-	rcentage of students eligible fro		-	for qualifying as benefiting a DAC only)	
	e of students eligible for the Fre	ee or Reduced Price Meals Pro	grams:		
(to be used	I for severity calculation only)				
Other					
<ul><li>Projects</li></ul>	located within Federally Recog	gnized Tribal Lands (typically v	vithin the bo	oundaries of a Reservation or Rancheria?	
☐ Yes	☐ No				
16	-4!:4 b!:	L			
				he project does not meet the aforementioned small neighborhood or unincorporated area,	
applican	t must submit for consideration	a quantitative assessment to	demonstrate	e that the community's median household inc	
or below	80% of that state median hous	sehold income. (Max of 100 W	ords)	Words Remaining	ng:
				s of the Active Transportation Program a regi	
				ear cycle adoption of a Regional Transportat ons with Title VI of the Federal Civil Rights A	
				ities of concern," must document a robust pu	
				ified based on severity. Justification for a reg	
				and severity stratification, must be submitted in ff will make the final determination of the elig	
	definitions by June 29, 2018. (I		10. 010 Sta	Words Remainin	Ė
		,		Words Kemanin	ig.
Direct Bend	efit: (0 - 4 points)				
			dresses a d	eficiency in an active transportation network	or mee
importa	ant community need. (Max of 1	50 Words)		Words Remaining	ng:
	how the disadvantaged comm 150 Words)	iunity residents will have physi	cai access t	o tne project.  Words Remaini	na: [
(***********				Words Remaining	ig
		or how the project was reques	ted or suppo	orted by the disadvantaged community reside	ents.
(Max of	150 Words)			Words Remaining	ng:
	umentation				

Is your project located within a disadvantaged community?

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



E. Severity: (0 - 4 points)

Auto calculated

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



### **Part B: Narrative Questions**

#### Question #2

#### **QUESTION #2**

POTENTIAL FOR INCREASED WALKING AND BICYCLING, ESPECIALLY AMONG STUDENTS, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF WALKING AND BICYCLING ROUTES TO AND FROM SCHOOLS, TRANSIT FACILITIES, COMMUNITY CENTERS, EMPLOYMENT CENTERS, AND OTHER DESTINATIONS; AND INCLUDING INCREASING AND IMPROVING CONNECTIVITY AND MOBILITY OF NON-MOTORIZED USERS. (0-43 POINTS)

Please provide the following information: (This must be completed to be considered for funding.)

# of Users	Pedestrian	Bicycle	Date of Counts	Mark here if N/A to project
Current	-	-		

<u>Safe Routes to School projects:</u> The following information related to the Safe Routes to School Projects data was already entered in part 3 of the application.

Document the methodologies used to establish the <u>current</u> count data. (Max of 250 Words)	Words Remaining:

A. Statement of project need. Describe the issue(s) that this project will address. How will the proposed project benefit the non-motorized users? What is the project's desired outcome and how will the project best deliver that outcome? (0-21 points)

#### Discuss:

- Destinations and key connectivity the project will achieve
- · How the project will increase walking or biking
- The lack of mobility if applicable Does the population have limited access to cars? bikes? and transit?
  - o Does the project have an unserved or underserved demand?
- The **local** health concern responses should focus on:
  - Specific local public health concerns, health disparity, and/or conditions in the built and social environment that affect the
    project community and can be addressed through the proposed project. Please provide detailed relevant answers instead of
    general descriptions on the health benefits of walking and biking (i.e. "walking and biking increase physical activity").
  - Local public health data demonstrating the above public health concern or health disparity. Data should be at the smallest geography available (state or national data is not sufficient). One potential source is the Healthy Places Index (HPI) (<a href="http://healthyplacesindex.org">http://healthyplacesindex.org</a>)
- For combined I/NI projects: Discuss need for an encouragement, education, and/or enforcement program.

(Max of 750 Words)	Words Remaining:

- B. Describe how the proposed project will address the active transportation need: (0-22 points)
  - Close a gap?
  - · Creation of new routes?
  - · Removal of barrier to mobility?
  - Other improvements to existing routes?

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



No. of gaps: Total length of gap(s) (feet):

INO	. or gaps.		
Ga	p closure = Construc	tion of a missing segment of an existing facility in order to make that facility contin	uous.
	w route = Construction m one place to another	n of a new facility that did not previously exist for non-motorized users that provicer.	les a course or way to get
Туј	pe of barrier:		
a.	destinations, includi employment centers or other community	oject links or connects, or encourages use of existing routes to transportation-relang but not limited to: schools, school facilities, transit facilities, community, socials, high density or affordable housing, regional, State or national trail system, recreitdentified destinations. Specific destination must be identified. And/or describe the day and how the project addresses the existing barrier. (Max of 750 Words)	service or medical centers, eational and visitor destinations
	Must provide a map ation and improveme	of each gap closure identifying the gap and connections, and/or of the new route nt.	location, and/or the barrier

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0

**Total** 



### **Part B: Narrative Questions**

#### **Question #3**

#### **QUESTION #3**

POTENTIAL FOR REDUCING THE NUMBER AND/OR RATE OF PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLIST FATALITIES AND INJURIES, INCLUDING THE IDENTIFICATION OF SAFETY HAZARDS FOR PEDESTRIANS AND BICYCLISTS. (0-25 POINTS)

A. Describe project location's history of pedestrian and bicycle collisions resulting in fatalities and injuries to non-motorized users, which this project will mitigate. (12 points max)

Applicants are encouraged to use the new UC Berkeley SafeTREC TIMS tool which was specifically designed for the ATP to produce these documents in an efficient manner. Applicants with access to alternative collision data tools and training can utilize their choice of methods/tools. Applicants must respond to question 1 or 2, and have the option to respond to both.

- 1. For applications using the TIMS ATP tool, attach the following:
  - a. Collision Heat-map of the area surrounding the project limits demonstrating the relative collision history of the project limits in relation to the overall jurisdiction/community's collision history
  - b. Project Area Collision Map identifying the past crash locations within the project limits
  - c. Collision Summaries and collision lists/reports demonstrating collision trends, collision types, and collision details
  - d. For a Combined I/NI project If the NI project area is different than the infrastructure portion, the applicant may attach NI related heat-maps, etc in Attachment J

Combine the various maps/summaries into one PDF file and attach it in the field below.						
	Applications that do not have the collision data above OR that prefer to provide additional collision data and/or safety in a different format can provide this data below. (Examples include: Collision Rates, Community Observations, surveys, etc.)					
	The data and corresponding methodologies can be included in written/text form and/or via a separate attachment in the field below					OW.
	(Max of 200 Words) (optional	al)			Words Remaining:	
	Data and methodologies Att	achment (optional)				
3. From the project-area collision summaries/data provided in questions 1 and/or 2, enter the total reported pedestrian and/or bicyc collisions using the most recent 5 to 11 years of available data:  How many years of collision data were used in the Heat Maps and collision summaries:					cle	
	# of Crashes	Pedestrian	Bicycle	Total	Average Per Year	
	Fatalities			0		
	Injuries			0		

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



4. Referencing project's heat-maps, collision map and collision summaries provided in above, discuss the extent to which the proposed project limits represents one of the agency's top priorities for addressing ongoing safety and discuss how the proposed safety improvements correspond to the types and locations of the past collisions. (e.g. sidewalks, bike lanes, lighting, bulb-outs, signals/barriers, etc.)

For Projects with Non-Infrastructure elements (Combined I/NI projects):

As appropriate, describe how the NI program elements:

- educates bicyclists, pedestrians, and/or drivers about safety hazards for pedestrians and bicyclists; and
- encourages safe behavior, including through enforcement.

(Max of 700 Words)	Words Remaining:	

B. Safety Countermeasures (13 points max)

Describe how the project improvements will remedy (one or more) potential safety hazards that contribute to pedestrian and/or bicyclist injuries or fatalities. Referencing the information you provided in Part A, demonstrate how the proposed countermeasures directly address the underlying factors that are contributing to the occurrence of pedestrian and/or bicyclist collisions.

- a. Reduces speed or volume of motor vehicles in the proximity of non-motorized users? Discuss current speed and volume and anticipated speed and volume.
- b. Improves sight distance and visibility between motorized and non-motorized users? Discuss current sight distance and/or visibility issue(s) and anticipated issue resolution.
- c. Eliminates potential conflict points between motorized and non-motorized users, including creating physical separation between motorized and non-motorized users?

Discuss current conflict point description and anticipated issue resolution.

- d. Improves compliance with local traffic laws for both motorized and non-motorized users? Discuss which law(s) and how the project will improve compliance.
- e. Addresses inadequate vehicular traffic control devices?

Discuss which devices are inadequate, how they are inadequate and how the project will address the issues.

- f. Inadequate or unsafe bicycle facilities, trails, crosswalks and/or sidewalks?

  Identify which facilities are inadequate, how they are inadequate and how the project will address the issues.
- g. Eliminates or reduces behaviors that lead to collisions involving non-motorized users? Identify the behaviors and how the project will address them.

(Max_of 1500 Words)		Words Remaining:	
Į			

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# **Part B: Narrative Questions**

### **Question #4**

#### **QUESTION #4**

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION and PLANNING (0-10 POINTS)** 

Describe the community based public participation process that culminated in the project

nat is/was the process of defining designs to prepare for future needs of users of this project? How did the applicant analyz ernatives and impacts on the transportation system to influence beneficial outcomes? Describe who was/will be engaged ir entification and development of this project. Describe how stakeholders will continue to be engaged in the implementation of e project. If applicable - Describe the feedback received during the stakeholder engagement process.		
(Max of 500 words)	Words Remaining:	
How did the applicant analyze the range of alternatives and impacts on the	ne transportation system to influence beneficial	
outcomes? (Max of 500 words)	Words Remaining:	
Cateomos: (max or ood wordd)	Words Kemaining.	
(wax or ooc words)	Words Remaining.	

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



### **Part B: Narrative Questions**

#### **Question #5**

#### **QUESTION #5**

B.

#### CONTEXT SENSITIVE BIKEWAYS/WALKWAYS and INNOVATIVE PROJECT ELEMENTS (0-5 POINTS)

A. How are the "recognized best" solutions employed in this project appropriate to maximize user comfort and for the local community context?

As you address this question consider the following:

- The posted speed limits and actual speed,
- The existing and future motorized and non-motorized traffic volume,
- The widths for each facility,
- The amount of physical separation from vehicular traffic,
- The adjacent land use, and
- How the project is advancing a low(er) stress environment on each facility or a low stress network
  - o What is the current stress level? (low, medium, or high)
  - o If the stress level is medium or high, is the project going beyond minimum design standards to maximize potential users of all ages and abilities?

(Max of 500 words)	Words Remaining:	
Innovative Project Elements		
Does this project propose any solutions that are new to their region? Were any innovative elements of	onsidered, but not selected? Ex	plain
why they were not selected. (Max of 500 words)	Words Remaining:	

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



### **Part B: Narrative Questions**

### **Question #6**

#### QUESTION #6 LEVERAGING FUNDS (0-5 POINTS)

A. The application funding plan will show all federal, state and local funding for the project: (5 points max)

Based on the project funding information provided earlier in the application (Part 6: Project Funding), the following Leveraging amounts are designated for this project. If these numbers do not match the applicant's expectations, the numbers shown earlier need to be revised.

Non-ATP funding can only be considered "Leveraging" funding if it goes towards ATP eligible costs. If the project includes ineligible costs, the application must confirm the leveraging funding shown below does not include the non-ATP funds for ineligible items.

DA 9 ED Dhace Dr	aiget Delivery Coate			
Leveraging	oject Delivery Costs: Funding: \$0	Designate the Fundi	nding Type:	
		J		
-	ect Delivery Costs:	Decimate the Fundi	odina Tura a	
Leveraging	Funding: \$0	Designate the Fundi	naing Type:	
Right of Way Pha	se Project Delivery Costs:			
Leveraging I	Funding: \$0	Designate the Fundi	nding Type:	
Construction Pho	ase Project Delivery Costs:			
Leveraging	-	Designate the Fundi	nding Type:	
2010.49.19	r dirding.	Boolghato the Faria		—
•	N-INFRASTRUCTURE (NI) ele			
Leveraging	Funding: \$0	Designate the Fundi	nding Type:	
OVERALL TOTAL	S FOR PROJECT/APPLICAT	ION:		
Total Projec				
Leveraging				
% of Total P	Project			
Total Baints ross	eived for "leveraging funding	'. (Auto coloulated)		
Total Points rece	ived for leveraging funding	<u>. (</u> Auto-calculateu)		
1 Point	At least 1% to 5% of total pro	ect cost		
2 Points	More than 5% to less than 10	% of total project cost		
3 Points	At least 10% to 15% of total p	roject cost		
4 Points	More than 15% to 20% of the	project cost		
5 Points	More than 20% of the total pr	oject cost		
		to explain the leveraging	ng funding and its intended use on the ATP project.	
(Max of 100 Word	S)		Words Remaining:	

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part B: Narrative Questions Question #7

QUESTION #7 SCOPE AND PLAN CONSISTENCY (0 - 2 points)

A. The application, scope and plans are consistent with one another: (2 points max)

The scope and plans are consistent with one another including:

- Improvement location(s)
- Improvement elements(s)

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# **Part B: Narrative Questions**

# Question #8

QUESTI USE OF	ON #8 CALIFORNIA CONSERVATION CORPS (CCC) OR CERTIFIED COMMUNITY CONSERVATION CORPS (0-5 POINTS)
	Applicant has not coordinated with both corps, or Tribal Corps (if applicable) (-5 points)
	Applicant contacted the corps; but does not intend to partner with any corps (-5 points)
Step 1:	The applicant must submit the following information via email concurrently to both the CCC AND Certified Community Conservation Corps at least 5 days prior to application submittal to Caltrans. The CCC and Certified Community Conservation Corps will respond within five (5) business days from receipt of the information.
	<ul> <li>Project Title</li> <li>Project Description</li> <li>Detailed Estimate</li> <li>Project Schedule</li> <li>Project Map</li> <li>Preliminary Plan</li> </ul>
	Click on the following links for the California Conservation Corps and Certified Community Conservation Corps Representative ATP contact information: <a href="http://www.ccc.ca.gov/work/programs/ATP/Pages/ATP%20home.aspx">http://www.ccc.ca.gov/work/programs/ATP/Pages/ATP%20home.aspx</a> <a href="http://calocalcorps.org/active-transportation-program/">http://calocalcorps.org/active-transportation-program/</a>
	The applicant must also attach any email correspondence from the CCC and Certified Community Conservation Corps or Tribal Corps (if applicable) to the application verifying communication/participation. Failure to attach their email responses will result in a loss of 5 points.  Attach submittal email, response email and any attachment(s) from the CCC:
	Attach submittal email, response email and any attachment(s) from the Certified Community Conservation Corps:
	Attach submittal email, response email and any attachment(s) from the Tribal Corps (If applicable):
Step 2:	The applicant has coordinated with the CCC AND with the Certified Community Conservation Corps, or the Tribal Corps and determined the following: (check appropriate box)
	Applicant intends to utilize the CCC, Certified Community Conservation Corps, or the Tribal Corps on the following items listed below. (0 points) (Max of 100 Words)
	Words Remaining:
	No corps can participate in the project. (0 points)
	At the time that the application was submitted, the applicant had not received a response from the following corps: (0 points)
	☐ the CCC ☐ the Certified Community Conservation Corps ☐ the Tribal Corps (if applicable)

ATP CYCLE 4 APPLICA LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# Part B: Narrative Questions Question #9

APPLICANT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST ATP FUNDED PROJECTS (0 to -10 points)

For CTC use only.

LAPG 22-U (NEW 05/2018) v1.0



# **Part C: Application Attachments**

Applicants must ensure all data in this part of the application is fully consistent with the other parts of the application. See the Application Instructions and Guidance document for more information and requirements related to Part C.

### **List of Application Attachments**

The following attachment names and order must be maintained for all applications. Depending on the Project Type (I, NI or Plans) some attachments will be intentionally left blank. All non-blank attachments must be identified in hard-copy applications using "tabs" with appropriate letter designations

Application Signature Page (Required for all applications)	Attachment A
Engineer's Checklist (Required for Infrastructure & Combo Projects)	Attachment B
Project Location Map (Required for all applications)	Attachment C
Project Map/Plans showing existing and proposed conditions (Required for all Infrastructure Projects; Optional for 'Non-Infrastructure' and 'Plan' Projects)	Attachment D
Photos of Existing Conditions (Required for all applications)	Attachment E
Project Estimate (Required for all Infrastructure Projects)	Attachment F
Non-Infrastructure Work Plan (Form 22-R) (Required for all projects with Non-Infrastructure Elements)	Attachment G
Plan Scope of Work (Form 22-PLAN) (Required for all Plan Projects)	Attachment H
Letters of Support (10 maximum) (Required or recommended for all projects as designated in the instructions) (All letters must be scanned into one	Attachment I document.)
Exhibit 22-F State Funding	Attachment J
Additional Attachments (Additional attachments may be included. They should be organized in a way that allows application reviews easy identification and review of the information.) (All additional attachments must be scanned into one document	Attachment K