

## Centennial Corridor Project

City of Bakersfield and Kern County, CA  
District 6 - KER - 58 - PM T31.7 to PM R55.6  
District 6 - KER - 99 - PM 21.2 to PM 26.2

Project ID # 0600000484

SCH #2008091102

### Final Environmental Impact Report/ Environmental Impact Statement and Section 4(f) Evaluation



#### Volume 2 of 3

Prepared by the  
State of California Department of Transportation

The environmental review, consultation, and any other action required in accordance with applicable federal laws for this project is being, or has been, carried out by the California Department of Transportation under its assumption of responsibility pursuant to 23 U.S. Code 327.

December 2015



For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document is available in Braille, in large print, on audiocassette, or on computer disk. To obtain a copy in one of these alternate formats, please call or write to: Department of Transportation, Attn: Ms. Jennifer H. Taylor, Office Chief, Central Region, Environmental Southern San Joaquin Valley, 855 M Street, Suite 200, Fresno, CA 93721; (888) 404-6375. Telecommunication Devices for the Deaf (TDD) users or individuals with a hearing or speech disability may contact the California Relay Services (TRS) to use a telephone system through a text phone (TTY) by dialing 711 from any telephone.

## Table of Contents

### Volume 1 of 3

Summary .....	i
Figures Bound in this Volume (1 of 3) .....	xx
List of Tables in this Volume (1 of 3).....	xxiii
Chapter 1 Purpose and Need for the Project.....	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Purpose and Need .....	7
1.2.1 Purpose.....	7
1.2.2 Need .....	7
1.2.3 Independent Utility and Logical Termini.....	16
Chapter 2 Project Alternatives.....	23
2.1 Alternatives .....	23
2.1.1 Build Alternatives .....	23
2.1.2 No-Build Alternative.....	36
2.1.3 Comparison of Alternatives .....	36
2.1.4 Identification of a Preferred Alternative .....	40
2.1.5 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated From Further Discussion Prior to Draft Environmental Document.....	41
2.2 Permits and Approvals Needed.....	50
Chapter 3 Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences, and Avoidance, Minimization, and/or Mitigation Measures.....	57
3.1 Human Environment .....	58
3.1.1 Land Use .....	58
3.1.1.1 Existing and Future Land Use.....	58
3.1.1.2 Consistency with State, Regional, and Local Plans .....	61
3.1.1.3 Parks and Recreation.....	72
3.1.2 Growth .....	81
3.1.3 Farmland .....	86
3.1.4 Community Impacts.....	89
3.1.4.1 Community Character and Cohesion .....	89
3.1.4.2 Relocation and Property Acquisition .....	107
3.1.4.3 Environmental Justice .....	123
3.1.5 Utilities/Emergency Services.....	136
3.1.6 Traffic and Transportation/Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities .....	141
3.1.7 Visual/Aesthetics .....	166
3.1.8 Cultural Resources .....	201
3.2 Physical Environment .....	220
3.2.1 Hydrology and Floodplain .....	220
3.2.2 Water Quality and Storm Water Runoff .....	226
3.2.3 Geology/Soils/Seismic/Topography .....	234
3.2.4 Paleontology.....	237
3.2.5 Hazardous Waste or Materials .....	238
3.2.6 Air Quality .....	249
3.2.7 Noise .....	282
3.2.8 Energy .....	334
3.3 Biological Environment .....	337
3.3.1 Natural Communities .....	337
3.3.2 Wetlands and Other Waters .....	341
3.3.3 Plant Species .....	348

3.3.4 Animal Species .....	350
3.3.5 Threatened and Endangered Species.....	353
3.3.6 Invasive Species.....	367
3.4 Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Human Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity .....	368
3.5 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources that Would be Involved in the Proposed Project.....	370
3.6 Construction Impacts .....	371
3.7 Cumulative Impacts .....	404
Chapter 4 California Environmental Quality Act Evaluation.....	425
4.1 Determining Significance under the California Environmental Quality Act.....	425
4.2 Discussion of Significant Impacts.....	426
4.2.1 Less than Significant Effects of the Project .....	426
4.2.2 Significant Environmental Effects of the Project.....	431
4.2.3 Unavoidable Significant Environmental Effects.....	432
4.3 Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes .....	447
4.4 Minimization and Mitigation Measures for Significant Impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act.....	447
4.5 Climate Change under the California Environmental Quality Act .....	449
4.5.1 Regulatory Setting.....	450
4.5.2 Project Analysis .....	453
4.5.3 Greenhouse Gas Reduction Strategies .....	465
Chapter 5 Comments and Coordination .....	473
5.1 Coordination Plan .....	473
5.1.1 Notice of Initiation .....	473
5.1.2 Process for Inviting Cooperating/Participating Agencies .....	474
5.2 Scoping Process .....	476
5.2.1 Mailings .....	476
5.2.2 Public Noticing .....	476
5.2.3 Scoping Meetings.....	479
5.3 Consultation and Coordination with Public Agencies .....	479
5.3.1 Resource and Regulatory Agencies .....	479
5.3.2 Intergovernmental Consultation for Air Quality.....	480
5.3.3 Native American Heritage Commission and Associated Cultural Resources Consultation.....	481
5.3.4 Coordination with California Department of Parks and Recreation .....	483
5.3.5 Coordination with the City of Bakersfield on Parks .....	483
5.3.6 High-Speed Rail Authority .....	484
5.3.7 Emergency Service Providers .....	484
5.3.8 U.S. Department of Agriculture .....	484
5.3.9 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency .....	484
5.3.10 Cost Estimate Review .....	485
5.4 Public Participation.....	485
5.4.1 Neighborhood Meetings.....	486
5.4.2 Interviews.....	487
5.4.3 Focus Groups .....	487
5.4.4 Business Community Outreach.....	488
5.4.5 Citizens' Advisory Group Meetings .....	488
5.4.6 Neighborhood Surveys.....	489
5.4.7 Public Information Meeting/Open House .....	489
5.4.8 Public Information Meeting/Section 4(f) Evaluation.....	489

5.4.9	Public Review of the Draft Environmental Document .....	490
5.4.10	Public Hearing.....	491
5.4.11	Consultation and Coordination with Public Agencies, Organizations, Native American Tribes and Elected Officials .....	492
Chapter 6	List of Preparers.....	493
6.1	Caltrans Staff .....	493
6.2	Consultant Staff .....	497
Chapter 7	Distribution List .....	511

**The following material is contained in this Volume (2 of 3)**

Figures	Oversized figures are contained in this Volume—see listing on page v of this Volume.....	517–559
Appendix A	California Environmental Quality Act Checklist .....	561
Appendix B	Section 4(f) Evaluation .....	573
Appendix C	Title VI Policy Statement .....	735
Appendix D	Summary of Relocation Benefits.....	737
Appendix E	Project Plans/Right-of-Way Requirements.....	745
Appendix F	Environmental Commitments Record for Preferred Alternative B .....	825
Appendix G	Notice of Preparation and Notice of Intent.....	855
Appendix H	Project Level Conformity Determination Letter.....	871
Appendix I	Federal Endangered and Threatened Species and Biological Opinion....	873
Appendix J	Key Correspondence.....	933
J-1:	Faxed letter from California Department of Fish and Game .....	936
J-2:	Letter from Metropolitan Bakersfield Habitat Conservation Plan.....	942
J-3:	Letter to Office of Historic Preservation, Department of Parks and Recreation, State Historic Preservation Officer.....	943
J-4:	Email from Santa Rosa Rancheria Tachi Yokut Tribe .....	951
J-5:	Letter to Office of Historic Preservation, Department of Parks and Recreation, State Historic Preservation Officer.....	952
J-6:	Letter to United States Fish and Wildlife Service .....	954
J-7:	Letter from Office of Historic Preservation, Department of Parks and Recreation, State Historic Preservation Officer .....	955
J-8:	Letter from State of California, Department of Transportation, Division of Environmental Analysis .....	957
J-9:	Letter from Department of the Army, U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento, Corps of Engineers.....	961
J-10:	Farmland Conversion Impact Rating for Corridor Type Projects form .....	963
J-11:	Memorandum of Agreement between Caltrans and the State Historic Preservation Officer Regarding the Centennial Corridor Project.....	965
Appendix K	Kaiser Realignment .....	973
Appendix L	Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement .....	989
Appendix M	Preliminary Jurisdictional Determination.....	1013
Appendix N	Screening of Alternatives Memoranda .....	1031
Appendix O	Air Quality Interagency Consultation.....	1119
List of Technical Studies that are Bound Separately .....		1151
Technical Studies (Compact Disc).....		Back Cover

**Figures bound in Volume 1 of 3**

S-1	Project Alternatives.....	ii
1-1	Project Vicinity Map.....	3
1-2	Project Location Map.....	5
1-3a	Level of Service Descriptions for Freeways.....	12
1-3b	Level of Service Descriptions for Multi-Lane Highways.....	13
1-3c	Level of Service Descriptions for Intersections with Traffic Signals.....	14
1-3d	Level of Service Descriptions for Unsignalized Intersections.....	15
2-1	Alternatives for Segment 1 of the Centennial Corridor .....	25
2-2	Segment 1 Typical Cross-Section .....	27
2-3	Typical Cross-Sections for State Route 99 .....	29
3-4	Alternative A Impacts to Kern River Parkway and Associated Trails.....	77
3-5	Proximity of Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) to Centennial Park .....	78
3-6	Alternative C Impacts to Saunders Park .....	79
3-12	Representative Views within the Project Area .....	169
3-13	View Locations .....	171
3-14	Viewpoint 1 Kern River Parkway (looking east toward Alternative A Corridor Alignment).....	173
3-15	Viewpoint 2 California Avenue near Lennox Avenue (looking south toward Alternative A Corridor Alignment) .....	174
3-16	Viewpoint 3 McDonald Way near Peckham Avenue (looking north toward Alternative A Corridor Alignment) .....	175
3-17	Viewpoint 4 Kern River Parkway (looking northeast toward Alternative B [Preferred Alternative] Corridor Alignment).....	176
3-18	Viewpoint 5 California Avenue near Marella Way (looking northeast toward Alternative B [Preferred Alternative] Corridor Alignment) .....	177
3-19	Viewpoint 6 Centennial Park (looking east on Marella Way toward Alternative B [Preferred Alternative] Corridor Alignment).....	179
3-20	Viewpoint 7 Centennial Park (looking north on Fallbrook Street toward Alternative B [Preferred Alternative] Corridor Alignment) .....	181
3-21	Viewpoint 8 Centennial Park (looking east toward Alternative B [Preferred Alternative] Corridor Alignment) .....	182
3-22	Viewpoint 9 La Mirada Drive at Fallbrook Street (looking east toward Alternative B [Preferred Alternative] Corridor Alignment) .....	183
3-23	Viewpoint 10 Ford Avenue near Candy Street (looking east toward Alternative B [Preferred Alternative] Corridor Alignment) .....	184
3-24	Viewpoint 11 Saunders Park (looking east toward Alternative C Corridor Alignment).....	185
3-25	Viewpoint 12 Bank Street near Wetherley Drive (looking east toward Alternative C Corridor Alignment).....	186
3-26	Viewpoint 13 Bank Street near Olive Street (looking west toward Alternative C Corridor Alignment) .....	187
3-27	Location of Historic Properties within the Area of Potential Effects .....	205
3-28	Floodplains.....	222
3-29	Surface Water Bodies .....	223
3-30	Paleontological Sensitivity.....	239
3-31	National Mobile Source Air Toxic Emissions Trend, 1999 – 2050 for Vehicles Operating on Roadways.....	260
3-32	Air Quality Sensitive Receptors.....	263

3-33	Noise Levels of Common Activities.....	284
3-51	Stockdale Highway/State Route 43 – Natural Communities .....	339
4-1	Hourly Traffic Noise Levels at Centennial Park in 2038.....	443
4-2	California Greenhouse Gas Forecast .....	454
4-3	Possible Effect of Traffic Operations Strategies in Reducing On-road Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Emissions.....	455
4-4	Cascade of Uncertainties .....	464
4-5	The Mobility Pyramid.....	466

**Figures bound in this Volume (2 of 3)**

2-4a	Alternative A – Key Features .....	517
2-4b	Alternative A – Key Features Detail.....	518
2-5a	Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) – Key Features .....	519
2-5b	Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) – Key Features Detail .....	520
2-6a	Alternative C – Key Features.....	521
2-6b	Alternative C – Key Features Detail.....	522
2-7	Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Further Discussion Prior to Draft EIR/EIS .....	523
3-1	Existing Land Use.....	524
3-2	Future Land Use Designations.....	525
3-3	Parks and Recreational Areas .....	526
3-7	Metropolitan Bakersfield Dwelling Unit Growth from 2006 to 2035 .....	527
3-8	Neighborhoods/Communities .....	528
3-9a	Studied Census Tracts and Block Groups for Alternative A .....	529
3-9b	Studied Census Tracts and Block Groups for Alternative B (Preferred Alternative).....	530
3-9c	Studied Census Tracts and Block Groups for Alternative C .....	531
3-10a	Properties Subject to Acquisition Under Alternative A.....	532
3-10b	Properties Subject to Acquisition Under Alternative B (Preferred Alternative).....	533
3-10c	Properties Subject to Acquisition Under Alternative C .....	534
3-11	Study Intersection Locations.....	535
3-34	Centennial Corridor Key Map For Noise Measurement and Sound Wall Locations.....	536
3-35	Centennial Corridor Alt A Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	537
3-36	Centennial Corridor Alt A Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	538
3-37	Centennial Corridor Alt A Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	539
3-38	Centennial Corridor Alt A Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	540
3-39	Centennial Corridor Alt B (Preferred Alternative) Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	541
3-40	Centennial Corridor Alt B (Preferred Alternative) Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	542
3-41	Centennial Corridor Alt B (Preferred Alternative) Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	543
3-42	Centennial Corridor Alt B (Preferred Alternative) Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	544

3-43	Centennial Corridor Alt C Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	545
3-44	Centennial Corridor Alt C Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	546
3-45	Centennial Corridor Alt C Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	547
3-46	Centennial Corridor Alt C Noise Measurement, Receiver, and Sound Wall Locations.....	548
3-47	Westside Parkway (Segment 2) Sound Wall Locations.....	549
3-48	Alternative A – Natural Communities .....	550
3-49	Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) – Natural Communities .....	551
3-50	Alternative C – Natural Communities .....	552
3-52a	United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Resources – Alternative A .....	553
3-52b	United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Resources – Alternative B (Preferred Alternative).....	554
3-52c	United States Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Resources – Alternative C .....	555
3-53a	California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdictional Resources – Alternative A .....	556
3-53b	California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdictional Resources – Alternative B (Preferred Alternative).....	557
3-53c	California Department of Fish and Wildlife Jurisdictional Resources – Alternative C .....	558
3-54	Locations of Sensitive Plant and Wildlife Species .....	559

**List of Tables in Volume 1 of 3**

Table S.1 Summary of Major Potential Impacts from Alternatives ..... vi  
 Table S.2 Project Permits and Approvals ..... xii  
 Table 1.1 Existing and Future Levels of Service for Key Intersections Without Project... 17  
 Table 1.2 Existing and Future Levels of Service for State Route 99 Mainline Without Project..... 20  
 Table 2.1 Comparison of Alternatives..... 37  
 Table 2.2 Summary of Criterion that meet CEQA Requirements for Determining Range of Alternatives..... 44  
 Table 2.3 Alternatives Eliminated from Evaluation ..... 47  
 Table 2.4 Project Permits and Approvals ..... 51  
 Table 3.1 Recent and Planned Local Development Projects..... 59  
 Table 3.2 Consistency of the Centennial Corridor with the Kern County General Plan.... 65  
 Table 3.3 Consistency of the Centennial Corridor with the Metropolitan Bakersfield General Plan ..... 68  
 Table 3.4 Effects on Parks by Alternatives ..... 72  
 Table 3.5 Quality of Life within Centennial Corridor Communities ..... 96  
 Table 3.6 Race and Ethnic Composition of the Population in the Project Area..... 97  
 Table 3.7 2010 Median Income Data for Affected Census Tracts ..... 98  
 Table 3.8 Type of Residential Displacements for Each Alternative ..... 111  
 Table 3.9 Non-residential Displacements for Each Alternative ..... 112  
 Table 3.10 Number of Parcels Subject to Partial Acquisitions by Land Use Type..... 113  
 Table 3.11 Race and Ethnic Composition of the Project Area Population By Block Group..... 127  
 Table 3.12 Study Area Roads and Highways ..... 144  
 Table 3.13 Results of Freeway Effectiveness Analysis for Freeway Mainlines Within the Study Area..... 155  
 Table 3.14 Comparison of Intersections Operating at Deficient Levels of Service ..... 156  
 Table 3.15 Summary of Deficient Intersections..... 160  
 Table 3.16 Summary of Parking Impacts ..... 162  
 Table 3.17 Summary of Landscape Units ..... 167  
 Table 3.18 Summary of Existing Visual Quality for Viewpoints<sup>1</sup> ..... 188  
 Table 3.19 Summary of the Visual Impact Assessment<sup>1</sup> ..... 198  
 Table 3.20 Buried-Site Potential for Alternatives A, B, and C in Acres..... 218  
 Table 3.21 Changes in Impervious Surface Area..... 231  
 Table 3.22 Infrastructure Operation and Associated Pollutants ..... 232  
 Table 3.23 State and Federal Criteria Air Pollutant Standards..... 252  
 Table 3.24 Criteria Air Pollutants Data Summary (California Avenue Monitoring Station) ..... 256  
 Table 3.25 Localized Carbon Monoxide Concentrations – Alternative A ..... 267  
 Table 3.26 Localized Carbon Monoxide Concentrations – Alternative B (Preferred Alternative)..... 268  
 Table 3.27 Localized Carbon Monoxide Concentrations – Alternative C ..... 269  
 Table 3.28 Future Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) Emission Reductions by Project Alternatives ..... 272  
 Table 3.29 PM<sub>10</sub> Re-entrained Road Dust by Project Alternatives (Year 2038) ..... 272  
 Table 3.30 Comparison of Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions for Project Alternatives – Opening Year 2018..... 276  
 Table 3.31 Comparison of Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions for Project Alternatives – Horizon Year 2038..... 277

Table 3.32 Noise Abatement Criteria..... 283  
 Table 3.33 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative A Centennial Corridor West of State Route 99 ..... 287  
 Table 3.34 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative A State Route 58 East of State Route 99 ..... 289  
 Table 3.35 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative A State Route 99 South of State Route 58 ..... 293  
 Table 3.36 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) Centennial Corridor West of State Route 99 ..... 295  
 Table 3.37 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) State Route 58 East of State Route 99..... 297  
 Table 3.38 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) State Route 99 South of State Route 58 ..... 302  
 Table 3.39 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative C State Route 58 East of State Route 99 ..... 303  
 Table 3.40 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative C State Route 99 South of State Route 58 ..... 308  
 Table 3.41 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative C State Route 99 North of State Route 58 ..... 309  
 Table 3.42 Centennial Corridor 2038 Energy Usage Data..... 336  
 Table 3.43 Acres of Vegetation Types and Other Areas that Would be Affected by the Project..... 340  
 Table 3.44 Build Alternatives’ Impacts on Waters of the U.S. Under the Jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Regional Water Quality Control Board.... 346  
 Table 3.45 Build Alternatives’ Impacts on Waters of the State Under the Jurisdiction of the California Department of Fish and Wildlife ..... 347  
 Table 3.46 Potential Habitat Affected for Non-listed Animal Species ..... 352  
 Table 3.47 Potential Habitat Affected for Listed Animal Species ..... 357  
 Table 3.48 Typical<sup>1</sup> Construction Sequencing ..... 373  
 Table 3.49 Estimate of Unmitigated Construction Emissions..... 382  
 Table 3.50 Construction Equipment Noise ..... 384  
 Table 3.51 Centennial Corridor Calculated Construction Energy Use ..... 385  
 Table 3.52 Cumulative Projects List ..... 405  
 Table 4.1 Number of Residents Within 500 Feet of Project Alignments ..... 428  
 Table 4.2 Schools and Medical Facilities Within Vicinity of Project Alignments ..... 429  
 Table 4.3 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative A (West of State Route 99) ..... 435  
 Table 4.4 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative A (State Route 99 South of State Route 58) ..... 437  
 Table 4.5 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative A (State Route 58)..... 438  
 Table 4.6 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative B (West of State Route 99) ..... 438  
 Table 4.7 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative B (State Route 99 South of State Route 58) ..... 441  
 Table 4.8 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative B (State Route 58)..... 441  
 Table 4.9 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative C (State Route 99 South of State Route 58) ..... 444  
 Table 4.10 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative C (State Route 99 North of State Route 58) ..... 445  
 Table 4.11 Traffic Noise Impact Analysis – Alternative C (State Route 58)..... 445  
 Table 4.12 Estimate of Annual Carbon Dioxide Emissions During Construction ..... 456  
 Table 4.13 Annual Operational Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Operation of Build Alternative A (Metric tons/year) ..... 459

Table 4.14 Annual Operational Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Operation of Build Alternative B (Preferred Alternative) (Metric tons/year) ..... 460

Table 4.15 Annual Operational Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Operation of Build Alternative C (Metric tons/year) ..... 461

Table 4.16 Average Required Fuel Economy (mpg)..... 462

Table 4.17 Climate Change/Carbon Dioxide Reduction Strategies ..... 468

Table 5.1 Cooperating and Participating Agencies List ..... 474

Table 5.2 Centennial Corridor Notice of Preparation Comment Summary Matrix ..... 477

**The following material is contained in Volume 3 of 3**

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION TO COMMENTS AND RESPONSES .....1153

    1.1 What is in this Document..... 1153

    1.2 Summary of Public Input ..... 1153

CHAPTER 2 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES...1155

CHAPTER 3 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM STATE AGENCIES.....1209

CHAPTER 4 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM REGIONAL AGENCIES AND ORGANIZATIONS .....1233

CHAPTER 5 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM LOCAL AGENCIES AND ORGANIZATIONS.....1245

CHAPTER 6 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC.....1249

CHAPTER 7 RESPONSES TO ORAL COMMENTS RECEIVED AT THE JUNE 11, 2014 PUBLIC HEARING .....1591

CHAPTER 8 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM NATIVE AMERICAN TRIBES .....1615

CHAPTER 9 RESPONSES TO COMMENTS FROM ELECTED OFFICIALS ...1619

**List of Tables in Volume 3 of 3**

Table 2.1 Summary of Comment Letters Received from Federal Agencies ..... 1155

Table 3.1 Summary of Comment Letters Received from State Agencies ..... 1210

Table 4.1 Summary of Comment Letters Received from Regional Agencies and Organizations ..... 1233

Table 5.1 Summary of Comment Letters Received from Local Agencies ..... 1246

Table 6.1 Summary of Comment Letters Received from the General Public ..... 1249

Table 7.1 Summary of Oral Comments Recorded at the June 11, 2014, Public Hearing ..... 1591

Table 8.1 Comment Received from Native American Tribes ..... 1615

Table 9.1 Comments Received from Elected Officials ..... 1619






Figure 2-4a




Figure 2-4b




Figure 2-5a




Figure 2-5b




Figure 2-6a




Figure 2-6b




Figure 2-7




Figure 3-1




Figure 3-2




Figure 3-3




Figure 3-7




Figure 3-8




Figure 3-9a




Figure 3-9b




Figure 3-9c




Figure 3-10a




Figure 3-10b




Figure 3-10c





Figure 3-11



Source: Information from the Noise Abatement Decision Report 2014 and Noise Study Report 2014

Figure 3-34




Figure 3-35




Figure 3-36





Figure 3-37



Source: Information from the Noise Abatement Decision Report 2014 and Noise Study Report 2014

Figure 3-38




Figure 3-39




Figure 3-40





Figure 3-41



Source: Information from the Noise Abatement Decision Report 2014 and Noise Study Report 2014

Figure 3-42




Figure 3-43




Figure 3-44






Figure 3-45



Source: Information from the Noise Abatement Decision Report 2014 and Noise Study Report 2014

Figure 3-46



**Figure 3-47**




Figure 3-48




Figure 3-49




Figure 3-50




Figure 3-52a




Figure 3-52b




Figure 3-52c




Figure 3-53a




Figure 3-53b




Figure 3-53c




Figure 3-54



# Appendix A California Environmental Quality Act Checklist

This checklist identifies physical, biological, social and economic factors that might be affected by the project. In many cases, background studies performed in connection with the projects indicate no impacts. A No Impact answer in the last column reflects this determination. Where there is a need for clarifying discussion, the discussion is included either following the applicable section of the checklist or is within the body of the environmental document itself. The words "significant" and "significance" used throughout the following checklist are related to California Environmental Quality Act, not National Environmental Policy Act impacts. The questions in this form are intended to encourage the thoughtful assessment of impacts and do not represent thresholds of significance.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>I. AESTHETICS:</b> Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>II. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:</b> In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information compiled by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment Project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:				
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>III. AIR QUALITY:</b> Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES:</b> Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>V. CULTURAL RESOURCES:</b> Would the project:				
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS:</b> Would the project:				
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
iv) Landslides?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS:</b> Would the project:				
a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				
An assessment of the greenhouse gas emissions and climate change is included in the body of environmental document (refer to Section 4.5, Climate Change under the California Environmental Quality Act). While Caltrans has included this good faith effort in order to provide the public and decision-makers as much information as possible about the project, it is Caltrans determination that in the absence of further regulatory or scientific information related to GHG emissions and CEQA significance, it is too speculative to make a significance determination regarding the project's direct and indirect impact with respect to climate change. Caltrans does remain firmly committed to implementing measures to help reduce the potential effects of the project. These measures are outlined in the body of the environmental document.				
<b>VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS:</b> Would the project:				
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY:</b> Would the project:				
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>X. LAND USE AND PLANNING:</b> Would the project:				
a) Physically divide an established community?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>XI. MINERAL RESOURCES:</b> Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>XII. NOISE:</b> Would the project result in:				
a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING:</b> Would the project:				
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES:</b>				
a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>XV. RECREATION:</b>				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC:</b> Would the project:				
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS:</b> Would the project:				
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE</b>				
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>