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General Information About This Document

What's in this document?

This document is a Negative Declaration (ND) based upon an Initial Study (IS) in accordance with CEQA and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) based upon an Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with NEPA. The document describes the proposed project and why it is being approved. It also describes the existing environment that could be affected by the project, potential impacts from the proposed alternative, and proposed mitigation measures.

Caltrans released the Wilfred Avenue Interchange Project IS/EA on July 18, 2004, and held a public meeting on August 5, 2004, to give the public an opportunity to review and comment on the document and the project. The comment period ended on August 19, 2004. This ND/FONSI takes into account the comments received on the IS/EA (see Chapter 4, Comments and Coordination). Please note that the text that is changed or newly added in the IS/EA is marked with a vertical line on the outside margin of the page.

For individuals with sensory disabilities, this document can be made available in Braille, large print, on audiocassette, or computer disk. To obtain a copy in one of these alternate formats, please call or write to Caltrans, Attn: Melanie Brent, Office of Environmental Analysis, P.O. Box 23660 Mail Station 6D, Oakland CA 94623; (510) 286-5231 Voice, or use the California Relay Service TTY number, 1(800) 735-2929.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABAG</td>
<td>Association of Bay Area Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>Aerially Deposited Lead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APE</td>
<td>Area of Potential Effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASR</td>
<td>Archaeological Survey Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td>Biological Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Block Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP</td>
<td>Best Management Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Clean Air Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEQA</td>
<td>California Environmental Quality Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNDD</td>
<td>California Natural Diversity Database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dB</td>
<td>Decibels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EA</td>
<td>Environmental Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>Executive Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>High Occupancy Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Initial Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISA</td>
<td>Initial Site Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP or kp</td>
<td>Kilometer Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leq(h)</td>
<td>Hourly Equivalent Sound Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBTA</td>
<td>Migratory Bird Treaty Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCE</td>
<td>Maximum Credible Earthquake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAAQS</td>
<td>National Ambient Air Quality Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>Noise Abatement Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NES</td>
<td>Natural Environment Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRHP</td>
<td>National Register of Historic Places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPDES</td>
<td>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSR</td>
<td>Project Study Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Particulate Matter 10 microns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM or pm</td>
<td>Post mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWQCB</td>
<td>Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>State Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TASAS</td>
<td>Traffic Accident Surveillance and Analysis System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCM</td>
<td>Traffic Control Measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNAP</td>
<td>Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGB</td>
<td>Urban Growth Boundary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Negative Declaration
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Project Description
Located in Rohnert Park, Sonoma County, this project will modify the Wilfred Avenue/Golf Course Drive Interchange by constructing a new bridge undercrossing structure linking Wilfred Avenue to Golf Course Drive, and modifying the existing ramps. This project will also realign and widen Route 101 from 4 to 6 lanes for High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes from the Rohnert Park Expressway Overcrossing to the Santa Rosa Avenue Overcrossing, a length of approximately 2 kilometers or 1.6 miles.

Determination
The Department has prepared an Initial Study for this project, and following public review, has determined from this study that the proposed project would not have significant impacts on the environment for the following reasons:

1. The project will not significantly impact fish, plant life or wildlife; nor will it significantly impact any rare or endangered species.
2. No historic or archaeological sites or structures of architectural or engineering significance will be affected.
3. There will be no significant impacts upon the aesthetic features of the area.
4. The project will not significantly affect any important farmland, any floodplain or any wetlands.
5. The potential for geologic or seismic hazards will not be increased by the project.
6. The project is compatible with local, regional and state land use planning and will not introduce any new pattern of land use or any growth in the area. It will not significantly alter present patterns of traffic circulation or movement.
7. There will be no impacts on noise, air, and water quality. The project will not change the rate of use of any natural resources.

[Signature]
Susan Chang
Deputy District Director
Division of Environmental Planning & Engineering
California Department of Transportation

20 June 05
Date
FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

FOR

United States Highway 101, Wilfred Avenue Interchange Project
Rohnert Park, Sonoma County

Located in Rohnert Park, this project will modify the Wilfred Avenue/Golf Course Drive Interchange by constructing a new bridge undercrossing structure linking Wilfred Avenue to Golf Course Drive, and modifying the associated ramps. This project will also realign and widen Route 101 from four to six lanes for High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes from the Rohnert Park Expressway Overcrossing to the Santa Rosa Avenue Overcrossing, a length of approximately 1.6 miles.

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has determined that the Wilfred Avenue Interchange Project as described in the supporting documentation dated June 2005 will have no significant impact on the human environment. This FONSI is based on the attached Negative Declaration/Initial Study and the previously approved Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Assessment, July 2004, which has been independently evaluated by the FHWA and determined to adequately and accurately discuss the need, environmental issues, and impacts of the proposed project and appropriate mitigation measures. This documentation provides sufficient evidence and analysis for determining that an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is not required. The FHWA takes full responsibility for the accuracy, scope, and content of the attached Environmental Assessment.

Gene K. Fong
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration

11/6/2006
Date