Appendix F  Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form
Highway 101 HOV Lane Widening and Improvements Project: Old Redwood Highway, Petaluma to Rohnert Park Expressway, Rohnert Park

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FORM (NRCS-CPA-106)

In compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act (7 CFR 658.1-7), Parts I and III of the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form (NRCS-CPA-106) and maps of the proposed project were submitted to the Natural Resources Conservation Service for determination of whether any part of the project site is farmland subject to the Act. Their review and completion of Parts II, IV, and V on July 11, 2005 indicates that the proposed highway project would use approximately 0.44 hectares (1.08 acres) of Statewide and Local Important Farmland. This represents approximately 0.0007 percent of the total farmland subject to the Act in Sonoma County.

The total site assessment criteria score for the project is 107. The NRCS-CPA-106 form was not resubmitted to the Natural Resources Conservation Service for further review, based on regulation 7 CFR 658.4, which provides that "sites receiving a total score of less than 160 points be given a minimal level of consideration for protection and no additional sites need to be evaluated." The Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form along with the Site Assessment Criteria and Point Rating are attached.
The site assessment criteria, as described in 7 CFR 658.5, were developed by the U.S. Secretary of Agriculture in cooperation with other Federal agencies. Each criterion is given a score on a scale of 0 to the maximum points established. Conditions suggesting top, intermediate and bottom scores are indicated for each criterion. The maximum points for each criterion are shown on the Farmland Conversion Impact Rating Form (NRCS-CPA-106). The site assessment criteria and scores for each are described below.

1. **Area in Nonurban Use.** How much land is in nonurban use within a radius of 1.0 mile from where the project is intended?

   Approximately 65 percent. (10 points)

2. **Perimeter in Nonurban Use.** How much of the perimeter of the site borders on land in nonurban use?

   Approximately 50 percent. (5 points)

3. **Percent of Corridor Being Farmed.** How much of the site has been farmed (managed for a scheduled harvest or timber activity) more than five of the last ten years?

   Approximately 50 percent. (10 points)

4. **Protection Provided by State and Local Government.** Is the site subject to State or unit of local government policies or programs to protect farmland or covered by private programs to protect farmland?

   One site is protected by the Williamson Act. (20 points)

5. **Size of Present Farm Unit Compared to Average.** Is the farm unit(s) containing the site (before the project) as large as the average-size farming unit in the county?

   Farming units containing the sites are approximately 25 percent smaller than the Sonoma County average of 208 acres. (5 points)
6. **Creation of Non-farmable Farmland.** If the site is chosen for the project, how much of the remaining land on the farm will become non-farmable because of interference with land patterns?

   Estimated less than five percent. (0 points)

7. **Availability of Farm Support Services.** Does the site have available adequate supply of farm support services and markets, i.e., farm suppliers, equipment dealers, processing and storage facilities and farmer’s markets?

   Most services required are available. (5 points)

8. **On-Farm Investments.** Does the site have substantial and well-maintained on-farm investments such as barns, other storage buildings, fruit trees and vines, field terraces, drainage, irrigation, waterways, or other soil and water conservation measures?

   There are some existing on-farm investments on the farmland sites under consideration. (2 points)

9. **Effects of Conversion of Farm Support Services.** Would the project at this site, by converting farmland to nonagricultural use, reduce the demand for farm support services so as to jeopardize the continued existence of these support services and thus, the viability of the farms remaining in the area?

   No substantial reduction in demand for support services if the site is converted. (0 points)

10. **Compatibility with Existing Agricultural Use.** Is the kind and intensity of the proposed use of the site sufficiently incompatible with agriculture that it is likely to contribute to the eventual conversion of surrounding farmland to nonagricultural use?

    Proposed project is tolerable with existing agricultural use of surrounding farmland. (5 points)
# FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING
## FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

### PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)
- **Name of Project**: Highway 101 Widening & Improvements Project
- **Type of Project**: Transportation (Highway)
- **Federal Agency Involved**: Federal Highway Administration
- **County and State**: Sonoma, California
- **Date of Land Evaluation Request**: 6/17/05
- **Person Completing Form**: Jessica Stornello
- **Acres Irrigated**: 75,901
- **Average Farm Size**:
- **Farmable Land In Government Jurisdiction**: 627,227 acres
- **Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA**:
- **Name of Local Site Assessment System**: NONE
- **Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS**: 7/11/05

### PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)
- **Total Acres To Be Converted Directly**: 108
- **Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services**: 0
- **Total Acres In Corridor**: 108

### PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information
- **Total Acres of Prime And Unique Farmland**: 6.63
- **Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland**: 621
- **Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Gov't: Unit To Be Converted**: 100%

### PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criteria Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Serviced Or Converted (Scale of 0-100 Points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Criteria</th>
<th>Maximum Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Area In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>15 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use</td>
<td>10 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed</td>
<td>20 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Protection Of State And Local Government</td>
<td>20 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average</td>
<td>10 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland</td>
<td>25 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Availability Of Farm Support Services</td>
<td>5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. On-Farm Investments</td>
<td>20 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services</td>
<td>25 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use</td>
<td>10 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS**: 62 0 0 0

### PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 655.5(c))

**Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)**: 130 45

**Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)**: 100 62 0 0

**TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)**: 200 107 0 0

### PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)
- **Corridor Selected**: YES
- **Total Acres Of Farmlands to be Converted by Project**: 107
- **Date Of Selection**: 8/9/07
- **Was A Local Site Assessment Used?**: NO

**Signature of Person Completing this Form**: [Signature]

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor.