# PMPC ATG (TG 3+4) Meeting Minutes

Date:	January <b>4</b> , 2023
Time:	1:00-3:00 PM
Location:	Webex

Facilitator: Kelly Lorah

Attendees: Scott Dmytrow, Pat Imhoff, Jacquelyn Wong, Cathrina Barros, Joseph Dongo, Chu Wei, Ian Sun Chee Fore, Kelly Lorah

Not in Attendance: Phil Reader, Dennis McElroy

- 1. Introductions/Review Agenda
- 2. Review past action items (notes inline)
- 3. Introductory Urgent/Emerging Issues
  - A. Balanced Mix Design Implementation for Caltrans Report
    - i. A report came out from the Pavement Program on the Balanced Mix Design Implementations for Caltrans. A consultant will likely be tasked to develop a presentation on this for the EC after the ATG review the document.
- 4. In-Place Recycling Subtask Group Update
  - A. Develop CT for FDR-C and Update Specification for UCS
    - i. There are issues with the PLC component that will continue to be discussed.
  - B. Explore PDR as Surface Wearing Course
    - i. There are roadblocks in the literature search. They are revising the scoping document to consider the use of recycled carpet fibers, HyRAP, and/or any other recycled materials as fillers.
  - C. Zero Speed Inertial Profiler
    - i. A decision document to allow the use of a stop-and-go inertial profiler will be presented to the STG for consideration.
- 5. Asphalt Subtask Group Update
  - A. Use RAP up to 40% in HMA
  - B. RAS up to 3%
    - i. Both RAP & RAS WGs have completed all they can for now. They will create a semifinal report and disband the WG and create a new WG for data collection & evaluation and address Aging Protocols.
  - C. Post Plant Gradation
    - i. They're drafting the final specification, working OCCS to publish, and developing the final report.
  - D. 10% RAP in RHMA-G
    - i. 2 pilot projects are awaiting paving dates. Likely to occur when the weather clears.
  - E. Implementation of Balanced Mix Design
    - i. There were comments from the EC about sustainability verbiage. The document was returned to Kee and Tony to make the edits.
  - F. HOLD: CT 125/306 Review

- G. Section 39 Quality Characteristics (formerly known as Binder Content)
  - i. Tony is getting Kee a draft of the scoping document by today. STG will review/approve soon.
- 6. Pavement Preservation Subtask Group Update
  - A. 2021 Section 37 Fog Seals Update
    - i. The specification is in comment review and this WP is still expected to finish on time.
- 7. EC Updates for ATG
  - A. The meeting minute template was posted on the PMPC site.
  - B. A Sponsors/EC meeting is coming soon. There will be a presentation on Sustainability.
- 8. Roundtable / Review Action Items / Next Meeting
  - A. The next meeting will be
  - B. The next ATG meeting will be a TG+STG meeting and will be hybrid (Translab Auditorium & Webex).

### Action Items from TG 3+4 on 01/04/22:

- 1. <u>Kelly</u> Add pilot project needs (to determine whether or not the WGs need more pilot projects) to the next TG+STG Chairs/Leads meeting
- <u>Cathrina</u> Update the FY 23/24 HM1 pilot project list and send to Kelly for distribution to the STGs to determine their pilot project needs for the next FY

### Pre-TG 3+4 on 12/07 Homework

- <u>Steve (PPSTG)</u> Pilot project tracker needs data collection contact person for each WG, updated, & posted – Almost complete, needs to be posted
  - a. 01/04: Steve has updated the pilot project tracker. The data collection contact is in column K. Ian will post it soon.
  - b. 12/07: Steve has reached out and is pending response
- <u>Kee/Tony (ASTG)</u> How do STG/WGs anticipate pilot project needs and start the process early enough? (Brandon originated) – **Complete**
  - a. 12/07: The pilot projects *should* be anticipated (how many and in which districts) during the development of a scoping document. The call for HM1 pilot projects occurs in February/March to the districts.
- 3. When do we have enough Pilot Projects? (Jackie follow-up)
  - a. 01/04: Kelly will add this request to the next TG+STG Chairs/Leads agenda
  - b. 12/07: The WG should identify a target amount of pilot projects during the development of a scoping document and during status updates.
- 4. Kee/Tony (ASTG) Status of forming new RAP/RAS and Aging Protocol group
- 5. <u>Kee/Tony (ASTG)</u> Create a WG report with the summary, findings, and recs over the past years before we commence the new WG
  - a. <u>Ian</u> Inform Kee/Tony that the ATG approves of forming a new WG and scoping document to merge the remaining items of the existing scoping documents. A semi-final report on both scoping documents will need to be submitted addressing all milestones completed to date.
- 6. <u>Kee/Tony/Maged</u> Verify if PPG WG will make the January RSS posting and status of the implementation plan **Complete**

- a. 12/07: It will not make January RSS posting, the CPD route will be taken. There is an implementation plan that will consider test equipment for districts, DIME entry for test results, etc.
- 7. <u>Steve/Allen</u> Bin lists need to be updated
  - a. 12/07: Allen's bin list is likely approved by the STG, but Steve's is unlikely. The bin lists need to be approved by the STGs.
- 8. <u>RAP/RAS/10%</u> Data collection and evaluation plans from the STG/WGs
  - a. 12/07: These plans should be documented in either the close-out reports or in the new scoping documents.
- Kelly Create invites for ½ hour post-EC meetings to review information from the EC meetings
  - a. 12/07: This is green lit; Kelly do the invites.

### Action Items from TG+STG Chairs/Leads on 11/02/22:

1. <u>Kee & Tony</u> – Rewrite the project description for bin list item #1 (Section 39 – Quality Characteristics)

### Action Items from TG 3+4 on 09/07/22:

- 1. <u>ATG</u> Define what an ad hoc group is and it's function (Why, what, when, where, who, how?) for the SOP **Complete** 
  - a. 10/04/22: An ad hoc group is an informal working group operating without a scoping document to address short term issues. A decision document or final report should be created to create a document trail of decisions made. An ad hoc group can report back to either the STG or WG.
- 2. <u>CT ATG</u> Determine who (at what level) is responsible for reviewing Academia deliverables/final reports in relation to pilot project data and how/when to discuss the results to make sure Caltrans & Industry come to the same understanding. A plan should be created and presented to the EC for future acceptance into the SOP.

# Action Items from TG+STG Chairs/Leads on 08/03/22:

- <u>Recycling STG</u> Elevate/discuss with ATG (prep meeting, include Tom) about sustainability
  - a. Industry: A letter was sent to Mike Keever to escalate higher to advocate for sound engineering options. Another update will be available at the next meeting with Jeanie Ward-Waller.
  - b. Caltrans: We have started pavement sustainability meetings with Tom Pyle every 4-6 weeks.
- 2. <u>Marco & Allen</u> Develop a problem statement and resolution recommendation (informal) for project programming and pre-site investigation budgeting **Ongoing**

# Action Items from TG 3+4 on 06/01/22:

- 1. CT 125/306 <u>Phil and Pat</u>: Industry will review test method changes, workplan, solicit volunteers for materials, and report back; need 2 north and 2 south material sources.
  - a. 01/04/22 Still waiting on 3<sup>rd</sup> source in Northern California for the rubberized ILS study in the spring.
  - b. 09/07/22 Still on the hunt for another volunteer.

c. 07/05/22 – Industry is recruiting volunteers. 2 sources have been identified and at least 1 more is needed.

-----

For PMPC meeting minutes please visit:

- EC Meetings: <u>Pavement & Materials Partnering Committee (PMPC) Meeting Minutes | Caltrans</u>
- ATG Meetings: <u>Asphalt Task Group | Caltrans</u>
- CTG Meetings: <u>Concrete Task Group | Caltrans</u>